

2005-Oujda International Conference on Nonlinear Analysis.
Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Conference 14, 2006, pp. 249–254.
ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: <http://ejde.math.txstate.edu> or <http://ejde.math.unt.edu>
<ftp://ejde.math.txstate.edu> (login: ftp)

EXISTENCE OF NON-NEGATIVE SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR EQUATIONS IN THE SEMI-POSITONE CASE

NAJI YEBARI, ABDERRAHIM ZERTITI

ABSTRACT. Using the fibring method we prove the existence of non-negative solution of the p -Laplacian boundary value problem $-\Delta_p u = \lambda f(u)$, for any $\lambda > 0$ on any regular bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , in the special case $f(t) = t^q - 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper we are interested in finding nonnegative solutions to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda f(u) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

for some specific f in the non positone case ($f(0) < 0$), under assumptions stated below.

Here Ω is a connected and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N with boundary $\partial\Omega$ in $C^{1,\alpha}$. We set

$$\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u).$$

When $p = 2$, this type of problem in the nonpositone case can be studied via the shooting method. Existence of a radially symmetric nonnegative solution for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small have been obtained in [1, 2] and nonexistence of such a solution for $\lambda > 0$ large have been established in [1, 3], in the framework of the semi positone case and f is superlinear. Observe that, since $f(0) < 0$, the constant 0 is an upper solution of (1.1) and as a consequence it is not possible, in general, to apply the usual techniques (for example: the method of upper and lower solutions, etc.) and we shall work in the framework of the so-called fibration method introduced by Pohozaev in [5], and then developed in [6, 7, 8]. We shall assume that f has the form

$$f(t) = t^q - 1, \quad \text{with } q > p - 1 \tag{1.2}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J65, 35J25.

Key words and phrases. Superlinear semi-positone problems; variational methods; fibring method.

©2006 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Published September 20, 2006.

To avoid the noncompactness problem we shall always assume that the problem is subcritical, in the sense of the critical exponent for Ω ,

$$p^* = \begin{cases} \frac{Np}{N-p} & \text{if } 1 < p < N, \\ +\infty & \text{if } p \geq N. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Let

$$u = \theta v, \quad \theta = \lambda^{-\left(\frac{1}{q-p+1}\right)}, \quad \mu = \lambda^{\frac{q}{q-p+1}} > 0. \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= u^q - \mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &> 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

It can be seen that (1.1), (1.2), (1.4) and (1.5) are equivalent. Also let p and q satisfy

$$0 < p - 1 < q < p^* - 1 \quad (1.6)$$

where p^* is given by (1.3). Concerning μ , we shall assume its positivity.

By a solution of (1.5), we mean a $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ function which is a critical point of the functional

$$E(v) = -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx$$

and therefore satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi - (u^q - \mu)\varphi) dx = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let assumptions (1.3) and (1.6) be satisfied. Then there exists a nontrivial nonnegative solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ of problem (1.1) for any $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha > 0$.*

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

The proof is based on the fibering method and is divided into five stages.

Step 1: We introduce the Euler functional associated with (1.5) as follows

$$E(u) = -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q+1} dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u(x)| dx$$

According to the fibering method, we set

$$u(x) = rv(x), \quad (2.1)$$

where $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then we obtain

$$\tilde{E}(r, v) = E(r, v) = -\frac{|r|^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + \frac{|r|^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx - \mu r \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx \quad (2.2)$$

We introduce the fibering functional

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx = 1 \quad (2.3)$$

Under condition (2.3) the functional \tilde{E} takes the form

$$\tilde{E}(r, v) = -\frac{r^p}{p} + \frac{r^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx - \mu r \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx \quad (2.4)$$

The bifurcation equation is

$$0 = \frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial r} = -r^{p-1} + r^q \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx \quad (2.5)$$

which gives

$$-r^p + r^{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx - \mu r \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Let set

$$\tilde{E}(v) = E(r(v)v) \quad (2.7)$$

Step 2: Let us consider the variational problem

$$M_0 = \sup \left\{ \tilde{E}(v); v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) / \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{E}(v) = \min_{r \geq 0} \tilde{E}(r, v) = \min_{r \geq 0} \left\{ -\frac{r^p}{p} + \frac{r^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx - \mu r \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx \right\} < 0, \quad (2.9)$$

as a matter of fact, (2.6) gives

$$-\frac{r^p(v)}{p} = -\frac{r^{q+1}(v)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx + \mu \frac{r(v)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx,$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}(v) &= E(r(v)v) \\ &= -\frac{r^{q+1}(v)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx + \mu \frac{r(v)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{r^{q+1}(v)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx - \mu r(v) \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\tilde{E}(v) = \frac{(p-q-1)}{(q+1)p} r^{q+1}(v) \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx - \mu r(v) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx \quad (2.10)$$

By (1.6), $\tilde{E}(v) < 0$.

Let us prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *The sequence maximizing problem (2.8) is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let (v_n) be a maximizing sequence for (2.8). We set

$$v_n(x) = c_n + \bar{v}_n(x) \quad (2.11)$$

with

$$\int_{\Omega} \bar{v}_n(x) dx = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{v}_n|^p dx = 1 \quad (2.13)$$

and by the Sobolev embedding theorems (the Poincare-Wirtinger inequality), the sequence (\bar{v}_n) is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. From the bifurcation equation (2.5), we obtain

$$r_n^p = r_n^{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |c_n + \bar{v}_n|^{q+1} dx - \mu r_n \int_{\Omega} |c_n + \bar{v}_n| dx. \tag{2.14}$$

Let us assume that

$$c_n \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{2.15}$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| 1 + \frac{\bar{v}_n}{c_n} \right|^{q+1} dx = \frac{1}{c_n^{q+1} r_n^{q-p+1}} + \frac{\mu}{c_n^q r_n^q} \int_{\Omega} \left| 1 + \frac{\bar{v}_n}{c_n} \right| dx. \tag{2.16}$$

By embedding results, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\bar{v}_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Using (2.15) and since by assumption (1.6) the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^{q+1}(\Omega)$. We may assume that (\bar{v}_n) converges strongly in latter space. Then from (2.16) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| 1 + \frac{\bar{v}_n}{c_n} \right|^{q+1} dx \rightarrow |\Omega| > 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{2.17}$$

The proof is complete. □

Hence, we can assume that the sequence (v_n) converges weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By assumption (1.6), it follows that $v_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $L^{q+1}(\Omega)$. This implies that

$$\|\nabla v_0\|_p \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\nabla v_n\|_p.$$

Since

$$\|\nabla v_n\|_p^p = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^p dx = 1,$$

we obtain

$$0 \leq \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^p dx \leq 1. \tag{2.18}$$

Now we shall prove the equality

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^p dx = 1. \tag{2.19}$$

We assume the contrary; i.e, that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^p dx < 1. \tag{2.20}$$

Note that

$$0 < \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^p dx. \tag{2.21}$$

Otherwise, if $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^p dx = 0$, $v_0 = c_0$ is a constant, and from (2.8), we have for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$M_0 - \epsilon < \tilde{E}(v_n) < M_0.$$

Let $\theta \in]0, 1[$. Then

$$\tilde{E}(\theta v_n) - \epsilon \leq M_0 - \epsilon < \tilde{E}(v_n) < M_0 \tag{2.22}$$

by (2.10). We recall that

$$\tilde{E}(v_n) = \frac{(p - q - 1)}{(q + 1)p} r_n^{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{q+1} dx - \mu r_n \left(\frac{p - 1}{p}\right) \int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)| dx.$$

Using (2.22), we see that

$$(1 - \theta)\mu r_n \left(\frac{p - 1}{p}\right) \int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)| dx < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Then we have

$$r_n \int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)| dx \rightarrow r_0 \int_{\Omega} |v_0(x)| dx = 0$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $v_0 = c_0 = 0$ which gives $\tilde{E}(v_0) = 0$. This contradicts $M_0 < 0$.

Due to (2.20) and (2.21), there exists $\theta > 1$ (i.e., $\theta^p = 1/\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0(x)|^p dx > 1$) such that $v_* = \theta v_0$ satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_*(x)|^p dx = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}(v_*) &= \tilde{E}(\theta v_0) = \min_{r \geq 0} \left\{ -\frac{r^p}{p} + \frac{r^{q+1}}{q+1} \theta^{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v_0|^{q+1} dx - \mu r \theta \int_{\Omega} |v_0(x)| dx \right\} \\ &= \min_{\rho \geq 0} \left\{ -\frac{\rho^p}{p\theta^p} + \frac{\rho^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v_0|^{q+1} dx - \mu \rho \int_{\Omega} |v_0(x)| dx \right\} \\ &> \min_{\rho \geq 0} \left\{ -\frac{\rho^p}{p} + \frac{\rho^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v_0|^{q+1} dx - \mu \rho \int_{\Omega} |v_0(x)| dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{E}(v_*) > \tilde{E}(v_0).$$

This inequality contradicts the definition of (2.8). Thus, we have obtained a solution to the variational problem.

Step 3:

$$\tilde{E}(v_0) = \sup \left\{ \tilde{E}(v); v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) / \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx = 1 \right\}$$

The fibering method implies $r = r_0 = r(v_0)$ where $r_0 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{r_0^p}{p} + \frac{r_0^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v_0|^{q+1} dx - \mu r_0 \int_{\Omega} |v_0(x)| dx \\ &= \min_{r \geq 0} \left\{ -\frac{r^p}{p} + \frac{r^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+1} dx - \mu r \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, we must show that the equation (1.5) is verified. We can assume that v_0 is nonnegative by replacing v_n by $|v_n|$. Moreover, there exists a Lagrange multiplier σ such that

$$\tilde{E}'(v_0).h = \sigma \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\cdot)|^p dx \right)'(v_0).h \quad \forall h \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \tag{2.23}$$

From the above equation, and by taking v_0 as test function, we have

$$r_0 \left\{ \int_{\Omega} ((r_0 v_0)^q - \mu) v_0 dx \right\} = p\sigma \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(v_0)|^p dx = p\sigma.$$

By (2.6) we obtain $\sigma = \frac{r_0^p}{p} > 0$. Then we can write

$$\tilde{E}'(v_0) = p\sigma(-\Delta_p v_0)$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\Delta_p(r_0 v_0) = (r_0 v_0)^q - \mu.$$

Then if we set $u = r_0 v_0 \geq 0$, we can see that u is a solution of problem (1.5).

Step 4: For $u \geq 0$, we have $\tilde{E}(v_0) < 0$, thus the solution $u \geq 0$ is non trivial.

Step 5: We have obtained the nonnegative nontrivial solution u to problem (1.5). A standard bootstrap argument (see Drabek [4]) shows that $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then the asserted regularity of $u \in C_{\text{Loc}}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ follows by Tolksdorf [9]. Thus the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Arcoya and A. Zertiti, *Existence and non-existence of radially symmetric non-negative solutions for a class of semi-positone problems in a annulus*, Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII, Vol. 14, Roma (1994), 625-646.
- [2] K. J. Brown, A. Castro and R. Shivaji, *Nonexistence of radially symmetric solutions for a class of semipositone problems*, Differential and Integral Eqns., 2(4) (1989) pp. 541-545.
- [3] A. Castro and R. Shivaji, *Nonnegative solutions for a class of nonpositone problems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin., 108(A)(1988), pp. 291-302.
- [4] P. Drabek, *Strongly nonlinear degenerate and singular elliptic problems*, in Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations (Fes, 1994), Pitman Res. Notes Maths. Ser.343, Longman, Harlow, UK, 1996, pp. 112-146.
- [5] S. I. Pohozaev, *On eigenfunctions of quasilinear elliptic problems*, Mat. Sb. 82, 192-212 (1970).
- [6] S. I. Pohozaev, *On one approach to nonlinear equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. 247, 1327-1331 (in Russian)(1979).
- [7] S. I. Pohozaev, *On a constructive method in the calculus of variations*, Dokl. AKad. Nauk. 288, 1330-1333 (in Russian)(1988).
- [8] S. I. Pohozaev, *On fibering method for the solution of nonlinear boundary value problems*, Trudy Mat.Inst. Steklov 192, 140-163 (1997).
- [9] P. Tolksdorf, *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations, 51 (1984), pp. 126-150.

NAJI YEBARI

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ ABDELMALEK ESSAADI, B. P. 2121, TETOUAN, MAROC

E-mail address: nyebari@hotmail.com, nyebari@fst.ac.ma

ABDERRAHIM ZERTITI

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ ABDELMALEK ESSAADI, B. P. 2121, TETOUAN, MAROC

E-mail address: zertiti@fst.ac.ma