

## SUBSOLUTIONS: A JOURNEY FROM POSITONE TO INFINITE SEMIPOSITONE PROBLEMS

EUN KYOUNG LEE, RATNASINGHAM SHIVAJI, JINGLONG YE

ABSTRACT. We discuss the existence of positive solutions to  $-\Delta u = \lambda f(u)$  in  $\Omega$ , with  $u = 0$  on the boundary, where  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter,  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator, and  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$  is a continuous function. We first discuss the cases when  $f(0) > 0$  (positone),  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(0) < 0$  (semipositone). In particular, we will review the existence of non-negative strict subsolutions. Along with these subsolutions and appropriate assumptions on  $f(s)$  for  $s \gg 1$  (which will lead to large supersolutions) we discuss the existence of positive solutions. Finally, we obtain new results on the case of infinite semipositone problems ( $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} f(s) = -\infty$ ).

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear eigenvalue problems of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda f(u) && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter,  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\Delta$  is a Laplacian operator, and  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$  is a continuous function, arise in the study of steady state reaction diffusion processes, in particular, nonlinear heat generation, combustion theory, chemical reactor theory and population dynamics (see Parks [31], Sattinger [34], Parter [32], Tam [36], Aris [6] and Selgrade [35]). In the case when  $f(0) > 0$  (positone problems) there is a very rich history (spanning over 50 years) on the study of positive solutions (see Amann [4], Brown [8], Cohen [16], Grandall [22], de Figueiredo [24], Gidas [25], Joseph [26], Kazdan [27], Laetsch [28], and Rabinowitz [33]). The case when  $f(0) < 0$  (semipositone problems) is mathematically more challenging as pointed out by P. L. Lions [29]. See also Castro [17]. However, in the past 20 years, there has been considerable progress on the study of semipositone problems (see [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 37]). One of the main tools used in these studies is the method of sub-super solutions. By a subsolution of (1.1) we mean a function  $\psi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  that

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J25.

*Key words and phrases.* Positone; semipositone; infinite semipositone; sub-super solutions.

©2009 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Published April 15, 2009.

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\psi &\leq \lambda f(\psi) && \text{in } \Omega \\ \psi &\leq 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and by a supersolution of (1.1) we mean a function  $Z \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta Z &\geq \lambda f(Z) && \text{in } \Omega \\ Z &\geq 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is well known (see Amman [4]) that if there exists a subsolution  $\psi$  and a supersolution  $Z$  such that  $\psi \leq Z$  in  $\Omega$  then (1.1) has a solution  $u$  such that  $\psi \leq u \leq Z$ . In applying this method to obtain positive solutions, it is essential that we are able to construct non-negative strict subsolutions. By a strict subsolution we mean a subsolution that is not a solution. In the case when  $f(0) > 0$ , it is trivial to see that  $\psi = 0$  is a strict subsolution for every  $\lambda > 0$ . More on positone problems will be discussed in Section 2. But the real challenge occurs in the case when  $f(0) < 0$  (semipositone problems). Here our test functions for positive subsolutions must come from positive functions  $\psi$  such that  $-\Delta\psi < 0$  near  $\partial\Omega$  while  $-\Delta\psi > 0$  in a large part of the interior of  $\Omega$ . We will discuss more on semipositone problems in Section 3. The case when  $f(0) = 0$  does cause considerable problems in the construction of positive subsolutions, specially in the case when we have no other information at the origin. We will address this later in Section 4. Finally in Section 5, we will establish new results for the case when  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} f(s) = -\infty$  (infinite semipositone problems). We note that once strict non-negative subsolutions  $\psi$  are constructed, with appropriate assumptions on  $f(s)$  for  $s \gg 1$  one can obtain large positive supersolutions  $Z$  such that  $Z \geq \psi$  on  $\Omega$ , hence establishing positive solutions to (1.1).

## 2. $f(0) > 0$ : POSITONE PROBLEMS

In this section, we consider the problem (1.1) under the following assumption

(F0)  $f(0) > 0$ .

(F1)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} = 0$ .

We have the following result

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume (F0), (F1). Then (1.1) has a positive solution for all  $\lambda > 0$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\psi = 0$  is a strict subsolution since  $f(0) > 0$ . Let  $\tilde{f}(s) := \max_{t \in [0, s]} f(t)$ . Then  $f(s) \leq \tilde{f}(s)$ ,  $\tilde{f}$  is nondecreasing and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{f}(s)}{s} = 0$ . Hence we can choose  $m(\lambda) \gg 1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\|e\|_\infty \lambda} \geq \frac{\tilde{f}(m(\lambda)\|e\|_\infty)}{m(\lambda)\|e\|_\infty}$$

where  $e$  is the solution of  $-\Delta e = 1$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $e = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $Z := m(\lambda)e$ . Then

$$-\Delta Z = m(\lambda) \geq \lambda \tilde{f}(m(\lambda)\|e\|_\infty) \geq \lambda \tilde{f}(m(\lambda)e) \geq \lambda f(m(\lambda)e).$$

Thus  $Z$  is a supersolution. Hence (1.1) has a positive solution.  $\square$

3.  $f(0) < 0$ : SEMIPOSITONE PROBLEMS

In this section, we discuss two results for the problem (P). First, we assume that

(F2) There exists  $K_0 > 0$  such that  $f(s) \geq -K_0$  for all  $s > 0$ .

(F3)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(s) = \infty$ .

Note that (F2) includes the case  $f(0) < 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume (F1), (F2), (F3). Then (1.1) has a positive solution for  $\lambda \gg 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_1 > 0$  be the first eigenvalue of the operator  $-\Delta$  with Dirichlet boundary condition and  $\phi$  be the corresponding eigenfunction satisfying  $\phi > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\nu$  is outward normal vector on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\|\phi\|_\infty = 1$ . Note that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\phi$  satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\phi &= \lambda_1\phi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \phi &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $m > 0$  be such that  $|\nabla\phi|^2 - \lambda_1\phi^2 \geq m$  in  $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$  and  $\mu \leq \phi \leq 1$  in  $\Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_\delta$  where  $\bar{\Omega}_\delta := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \delta\}$ . This is possible since  $|\nabla\phi| \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $\psi := \frac{K_0\lambda}{2m}\phi^2$ . Then

$$\nabla\psi = \frac{K_0\lambda}{m}\phi\nabla\phi$$

and

$$-\Delta\psi = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi) = -\frac{K_0\lambda}{m}\{\phi\Delta\phi + |\nabla\phi|^2\} = -\frac{K_0\lambda}{m}\{|\nabla\phi|^2 - \lambda_1\phi^2\}$$

Then in  $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$ ,

$$-\Delta\psi \leq -K_0\lambda \leq \lambda f(\psi). \quad (3.1)$$

From (F3), we know that for  $\lambda \gg 1$

$$\frac{K_0\lambda_1}{m} \leq f\left(\frac{K_0\lambda}{2m}\mu^2\right).$$

Thus in  $\Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_\delta$ ,

$$-\Delta\psi \leq \frac{K_0\lambda\lambda_1}{m} \leq \lambda f\left(\frac{K_0\lambda}{2m}\phi^2\right) = \lambda f(\psi). \quad (3.2)$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), if  $\lambda \gg 1$  we see that

$$-\Delta\psi \leq \lambda f(\psi) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Thus  $\psi$  is a positive subsolution of (1.1). Next constructing a supersolution  $Z$  as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can also choose  $m(\lambda)$  large enough so that  $Z \geq \psi$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . This is possible since  $e > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\frac{\partial e}{\partial \nu} < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\nu$  is outward normal vector on  $\partial\Omega$ . Thus we know that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u \in [\psi, Z]$  for  $\lambda \gg 1$ .  $\square$

We next discuss a semipositone problem where  $f(u) < 0$  for  $u \gg 1$ . (Hence in this case (F3) will not be satisfied.) In particular, we recall the example

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= au - bu^2 - c & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

studied in [30]. This equation arises in the study of population dynamics of one species with  $u$  representing the concentration of the species and  $c$  representing the rate of harvesting. To get a positive subsolution, in [30] the authors use the anti-maximum principle by Clement and Peletier [18], and establish the following result:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that  $a > \lambda_1$  and  $b > 0$ . Then there exists  $c_1 = c_1(a, b)$  such that for  $0 < c < c_1$ , (3.3) has a positive solution.*

*Proof.* Consider the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta z - \lambda z &= -1 && \text{in } \Omega \\ z &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By the anti-maximum principle in [18], there exist a  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\Omega) > 0$  such that if  $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \delta_1)$  then (3.4) has a solution  $z = z_\lambda$  which is positive in  $\Omega$  and  $\frac{\partial z_\lambda}{\partial \nu} < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Fix  $\lambda^* \in (\lambda_1, \min\{a, \lambda_1 + \delta_1\})$ . Let  $z_{\lambda^*}$  be the solution of (3.4) when  $\lambda = \lambda^*$  and  $\alpha := \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_\infty$ . Define  $\psi = Kcz_{\lambda^*}$ , where  $K \geq 1$  is to be determined later. We will choose  $K \geq 1$  and  $c > 0$  properly so that  $\psi$  is a subsolution. We know

$$-\Delta\psi = Kc(-\Delta z_{\lambda^*}) = Kc(\lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}) - Kc.$$

Thus if we prove

$$(a - \lambda^*)Kz_{\lambda^*} - bc(Kz_{\lambda^*})^2 + K - 1 \geq 0, \quad (3.5)$$

then

$$-\Delta\psi = Kc(\lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}) - Kc \leq a(Kcz_{\lambda^*}) - b(Kcz_{\lambda^*})^2 - c.$$

Thus  $\psi = Kcz_{\lambda^*}$  can be a subsolution of (3.3). To show (3.5), define  $H(y) := (a - \lambda^*)y - bcy^2 + (K - 1)$ . If  $H(y) \geq 0$  for all  $y \in [0, K\alpha]$ , then (3.5) is true. Since  $a > \lambda^*$ , if  $K \geq 1$ , then it suffice to show that  $H(K\alpha) = (a - \lambda^*)K\alpha - bc(K\alpha)^2 + (K - 1) \geq 0$ , which is equivalent to

$$c \leq \frac{(a - \lambda^*)K\alpha + (K - 1)}{b(K\alpha)^2}$$

Thus if we define

$$c_1 = c_1(a, b) := \sup_{K \geq 1} \frac{(a - \lambda^*)K\alpha + (K - 1)}{b(K\alpha)^2},$$

then we know that when  $0 < c < c_1$ , there exist  $\tilde{K} \geq 1$  such that  $\psi = \tilde{K}cz_{\lambda^*}$  is a subsolution. It is obvious that  $Z = M$  where  $M$  is sufficiently large constant is a supersolution of (3.3) with  $Z \geq \psi$ . Thus Theorem 3.2 is proven.  $\square$

#### 4. $f(0) = 0$

In this section, we consider the problem (1.1) for the case  $f(0) = 0$ . Since (F2) includes the case  $f(0) = 0$ , we've already discussed this case in Theorem 3.1. We now discuss a more precise result under the additional assumption

$$(F4) \quad f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f'(0) > 0.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume (F1), (F4). Then (1.1) has a positive solution for  $\lambda > \lambda_1/f'(0)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f'(0) > \lambda_1/\lambda$  we know that there exist  $m = m(\lambda) > 0$  such that

$$f(s) > \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda} s \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, m). \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $\psi := m\phi$  where  $\phi$  is as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Then

$$-\Delta\psi = \lambda_1 m\phi \leq \lambda f(m\phi) = \lambda f(\psi).$$

Thus  $\psi$  is a positive subsolution of (1.1). By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can find a supersolution  $Z$  of (1.1) with  $Z \geq \psi$ . Thus we know that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u \in [\psi, Z]$  for  $\lambda > \frac{\lambda_1}{f'(0)}$ .  $\square$

For the case  $f(0) = 0$ , we can also study (1.1) when  $f$  does not satisfy (F1) but satisfies

(F5) There exists  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $f(s) > 0$  for  $s \in (0, r_0)$  and  $f(r_0) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Assume (F5). Then (1.1) has a positive solution for  $\lambda \gg 1$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\sigma \in (0, r_0)$  and let  $\psi := \frac{\sigma}{2}\phi^2$  where  $\phi$  is as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Then

$$-\Delta\psi = -\sigma\{|\nabla\phi|^2 - \lambda_1\phi^2\}.$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $m > 0$  and  $\Omega_\delta$  be as before (see the proof of Theorem 3.1). We can choose  $\lambda \gg 1$  such that

$$\sigma\lambda_1 < \lambda \min_{s \in [\frac{\sigma}{2}\mu^2, \sigma]} f(s).$$

Thus in  $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\delta$  for  $\lambda \gg 1$ ,

$$-\Delta\psi \leq \sigma\lambda_1 < \lambda \min_{s \in [\frac{\sigma}{2}\mu^2, \sigma]} f(s) \leq \lambda f(\psi). \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, in  $\overline{\Omega}_\delta$ ,

$$-\Delta\psi < -\sigma m \leq \lambda f(\psi), \quad (4.3)$$

since  $\lambda f(\psi) \geq 0$ . Combining (4.2) and (4.3), if  $\lambda \gg 1$  we see that  $\psi$  is a positive subsolution of (1.1). Next, it is easy to check that constant function  $Z := r_0$  is a supersolution of (1.1) with  $Z \geq \psi$ . Hence for  $\lambda \gg 1$ , (1.1) has a positive solution and Theorem 4.2 is proven.  $\square$

## 5. $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} f(s) = -\infty$ : INFINITE SEMIPOSITONE PROBLEMS

We discuss the existence of positive solutions to the following infinite semipositone problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda \frac{g(u)}{u^\alpha} && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $g(0) < 0$  and  $g$  is continuous. We introduce the following hypotheses:

(G1) There exists  $\gamma > 0$  and  $B > 0$  such that  $\alpha \leq \gamma < \alpha + 1$  and  $g(s) \leq Bs^\gamma$  for  $s \geq 0$ .

(G2) There exists  $\beta > 0$  and  $A > 0$  such that  $g(s) \geq As^\beta$  for  $s \gg 1$ .

We establish the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume (G1), (G2). Then (5.1) has a positive solution for  $\lambda \gg 1$ .*

We prove our result by finding sub-super solutions to our singular equation. By a subsolution of (5.1) we mean a function  $\psi : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow R$  such that  $\psi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  and satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\psi &\leq \lambda \frac{g(\psi)}{\psi^\alpha} && \text{in } \Omega \\ \psi &> 0 && \text{in } \Omega \\ \psi &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

and by a supersolution of (5.1) we mean a function  $Z : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow R$  such that  $Z \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  and satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta Z &\geq \lambda \frac{g(Z)}{Z^\alpha} && \text{in } \Omega \\ Z &> 0 && \text{in } \Omega \\ Z &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.2** ([23]). *If there exist a subsolution  $\psi$  and a supersolution  $Z$  of (5.1) such that  $\psi \leq Z$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , then (5.1) has at least one solution  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfying  $\psi \leq u \leq Z$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be the eigenfunction as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $\psi := \lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}$ ,  $r \in (\frac{1}{1+\alpha}, \frac{1}{1+\alpha-\beta})$ . Then

$$\nabla\psi = \lambda^r \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right) \phi^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \nabla\phi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\psi &= -\lambda^r \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right) \left\{ \phi^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \Delta\phi + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) \phi^{-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} |\nabla\phi|^2 \right\} \\ &= \lambda^r \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right) \frac{1}{(\phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^\alpha} \left\{ \lambda_1 \phi^2 - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) |\nabla\phi|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $m > 0$  be such that

$$\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right) \left\{ \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) |\nabla\phi|^2 - \lambda_1 \phi^2 \right\} \geq m \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}_\delta,$$

and  $\phi \in [\mu, 1]$  in  $\Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_\delta$ , where  $\Omega_\delta := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \delta\}$ . Then in  $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$ , if  $\lambda \gg 1$  then

$$\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right) \left\{ \lambda_1 \phi^2 - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) |\nabla\phi|^2 \right\} \leq -m \leq \frac{\lambda g(0)}{\lambda^r \lambda^{r\alpha}} = \lambda^{[1-r-r\alpha]} g(0)$$

since  $g(0) < 0$  and  $1-r-r\alpha < 0$ . Hence in  $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$ , if  $\lambda \gg 1$  then

$$-\Delta\psi = \lambda^r \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right) \frac{1}{(\phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^\alpha} \left\{ \lambda_1 \phi^2 - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) |\nabla\phi|^2 \right\} \leq \lambda \frac{g(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})}{(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^\alpha}. \quad (5.2)$$

Next in  $\Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_\delta$ , since  $\phi \geq \mu$ , from (G2),

$$g(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}) \geq A(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^\beta,$$

for  $\lambda \gg 1$ . Also since  $0 < \mu \leq \phi < 1$  and  $1+r(\beta-\alpha)-r > 0$ ,

$$\left(\frac{2\lambda_1}{1+\alpha}\right) [\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}] \leq \lambda A(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^{\beta-\alpha} = \lambda \frac{A(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^\beta}{(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^\alpha},$$

for  $\lambda \gg 1$ . Hence in  $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\delta$ , for  $\lambda \gg 1$ ,

$$-\Delta\psi \leq \lambda^r \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right) \lambda_1 \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \leq \lambda \frac{A(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^\beta}{(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^\alpha} \leq \lambda \frac{g(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})}{(\lambda^r \phi^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}})^\alpha}. \quad (5.3)$$

Combining (5.2) and (5.3), we see that

$$-\Delta\psi \leq \lambda \frac{g(\psi)}{\psi^\alpha} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for  $\lambda \gg 1$ . Thus  $\psi$  is a positive subsolution.

Now we construct a supersolution  $Z \geq \psi$ . Since  $1 + \alpha - \gamma > 0$  and  $\gamma - \alpha \geq 0$ , we can choose  $m(\lambda) \gg 1$  such that

$$m(\lambda)^{1+\alpha-\gamma} \geq \lambda B e^{\gamma-\alpha}$$

where  $e$  is the unique positive solution of  $-\Delta e = 1$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $e = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Hence for  $m(\lambda) \gg 1$

$$m(\lambda) \geq \frac{\lambda B(m(\lambda)e)^\gamma}{(m(\lambda)e)^\alpha}.$$

Let  $Z := m(\lambda)e$ . Then by (G1) we have

$$-\Delta Z = m(\lambda) \geq \frac{\lambda g(m(\lambda)e)}{(m(\lambda)e)^\alpha}.$$

Thus  $Z$  is a supersolution. Further  $m(\lambda)$  can be chosen large enough so that  $Z \geq \psi$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . This is possible since  $e > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\frac{\partial e}{\partial \nu} < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\nu$  is outward normal vector on  $\partial\Omega$ . Hence for  $\lambda \gg 1$ , (5.1) has a positive solution and the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, D. Arcoya and B. Biffoni, *Positive solutions for some semipositone problems via bifurcation theory*, Differential Integral Equations, **7**(3) (1994), 655-663.
- [2] I. Ali, A. Castro and R. Shivaji, *Uniqueness and stability of nonnegative solutions for semipositone problems in a ball*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1993), 775-782.
- [3] V. Anuradha, D. Hai and R. Shivaji, *Existence results for superlinear semipositone boundary value problems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124**(3) (1996), 757-763.
- [4] H. Amann, *Fixed point equations and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces*, SIAM Rev. **18** (1976), 620-709.
- [5] D. Arcoya and A. Zertiti, *Existence and nonexistence of radially symmetric nonnegative solutions for a class of semipositone problems in an annulus*, Rendiconti di Matematica, Series 8. **14** (1994), 625-646.
- [6] R. Aris, *On stability criteria of chemical reaction engineering*, Chem. Eng. Sci. **24** (1969), 149-169.
- [7] K. Brown, A. Castro and R. Shivaji, *Nonexistence of radially symmetric solutions for a class of semipositone problems*, Differential Integral Equations, **2**(4) (1989), 541-545.
- [8] K. Brown, M. M. A. Ibrahim and R. Shivaji, *S-Shaped bifurcation curves*, Nonlinear Anal. **5**(5) (1981), 475-486.
- [9] K. Brown and R. Shivaji, *Instability of nonnegative solutions for a class of semipositone problems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112**(1) (1991), 121-124.
- [10] A. Castro and S. Gadam, *Uniqueness of stable and unstable positive solutions for semipositone problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **22** (1994), 425-429.
- [11] A. Castro, S. Gadam and R. Shivaji, *Branches of radial solutions for semipositone problems*, J. Differential Equations, **120**(1) (1995), 30-45.
- [12] A. Castro, S. Gadam and R. Shivaji, *Positive solution curves of semipositone problems with concave nonlinearities*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect A, **127**(A) (1997), 921-934.

- [13] A. Castro A, S. Gadam and R. Shivaji, *Evolution of positive solution curves in semipositone problems with concave nonlinearities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl, **245** (2000), 282-293.
- [14] A. Castro, J.B. Garner and R. Shivaji, *Existence results for classes of sublinear semipositone problems*, Results in Mathematics, **23** (1993), 214-220.
- [15] A. Castro, M. Hassanpour and R. Shivaji, *Uniqueness of nonnegative solutions for a semipositone problem with concave nonlinearity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **20(11-12)** (1995), 1927-1936.
- [16] D. S. Cohen, and H. B. Keller, *Some positone problems suggested by nonlinear heat generation*, J. Math. Mech. **16** (1967), 1361-1376.
- [17] A. Castro, C. Maya and R. Shivaji, *Nonlinear eigenvalue problems with semipositone structure*. Electron. J. Differential Equations, Conf. **05** (2000), 33-49.
- [18] P. Clément and L.A. Peletier, *An anti-maximum principle for second-order elliptic operators*, J. Differential Equations, **34(2)** (1979), 218-229.
- [19] A. Castro and R. Shivaji, *Nonnegative solutions for a class of radially symmetric nonpositone problems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106(3)** (1989)
- [20] A. Castro and R. Shivaji, *Positive solutions for a concave semipositone Dirichlet problem*, Nonlinear Anal. **31(1/2)** (1998), 91-98.
- [21] A. Castro A and R. Shivaji, *Semipositone problems*, Semigroups of linear and nonlinear operators and applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, Eds. J. A. Goldstein and G. Goldstein, (1993), 109-119. (Invited review paper).
- [22] M. G. Crandall and P. Rabinowitz, *Some continuation and variational methods for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **52** (1973), 161-180.
- [23] Shangbin Cui, *Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for singular semilinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **41** (2000), 149-176.
- [24] D. G. de Figueiredo, P.L. Lions and R.D. Nussbaum, *A priori estimates and existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **61** (1982), 41-63.
- [25] B. Gidas, W. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), 209-243.
- [26] D. Joseph and T. Lundgren, *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **49** (1973), 241-269.
- [27] J. L. Kazdan and F. F. Warner, *Remarks on some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 567-597.
- [28] T. Laetsch, *The number of solutions of a nonlinear two point boundary value problems*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20(1)** (1970), 1-13.
- [29] P. L. Lions, *On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, SIAM Rev. **24** (1982), 441-467.
- [30] S. Oruganti, J. Shi and R. Shivaji, *Diffusive logistic equation with constant yield harvesting, I: Steady States*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354(9)** (2002), 3601-3619.
- [31] J. R. Parks, *Criticality criteria for various configurations of a self-heating chemical as functions of activation energy and temperature of assembly*, J. Chem. Phys. **34** (1961), 46-50.
- [32] S. V. Parter, *Solutions of a differential equation arising in chemical reactor processes*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **26** (1974), 687-716.
- [33] P. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 65, Providence R.I. AMS, 1986.
- [34] D.H. Sattinger, *Monotone methods in nonlinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **21** (1971/1972), 979-1000, MR **45**:8969.
- [35] Selgrade and Roberts, *Reversing period-doubling bifurcations in models of population interactions using constant stocking or harvesting*, Cana. Appl. Math. Quart. **6(1998)**, no. 3, 207-231.
- [36] K. K. Tam, *Construction of upper and lower solutions for a problem in combustion theory*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **69** (1979), 131-145.
- [37] A. Terikas, *Stability and instability of positive solutions fo semipositone problems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114(4)** (1992), 1035-1040.

EUN KYOUNG LEE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTER FOR COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCES, MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY, MISSISSIPPI STATE, MS 39762, USA

*E-mail address:* [el165@msstate.edu](mailto:el165@msstate.edu)

RATNASINGHAM SHIVAJI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTER FOR COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCES, MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY, MISSISSIPPI STATE, MS 39762, USA

*E-mail address:* [shivaji@ra.msstate.edu](mailto:shivaji@ra.msstate.edu)

JINGLONG YE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY, MISSISSIPPI STATE, MS 39762, USA

*E-mail address:* [jy79@msstate.edu](mailto:jy79@msstate.edu)