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# D'ALEMBERT'S FORMULA AND PERIODIC MILD SOLUTIONS TO ITERATED HIGHER-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN HILBERT SPACES 

NEZAM IRANIPARAST, LAN NGUYEN

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Abstract. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the periodicity of } \\
& \text { solutions of mild solutions to the iterated higher-order differential equation } \\
& \qquad \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{d}{d t}-A_{j}\right) u(t)=f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \\
& \text { in a Hilbert space. Our results are illustrated with examples and applications. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction

In this article we study the periodicity of solutions of the iterated higher-order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{d}{d t}-A_{j}\right) u(t)=f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{j}$ are linear, closed and mutually commuting operators on a Hilbert space $E$, and $f$ is a function from $[0, T]$ to $E$.

The asymptotic behavior and, in particular, the periodicity of solutions of the higher-order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(n)}(t)=A u(t)+f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been a subject of intensive study for recent decades. When $n=1$, it is well known [7] that, if $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then (1.2) admits a unique $T$-periodic solution for each continuous $T$-periodic forcing term $f$ if and only if $\lambda_{k}=2 k \pi / T$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, are not eigen-values of $A$. That result was extended by Krein and Dalecki 4 to the Cauchy problem in an abstract Banach space. It was shown 4, Theorem II 4.3] that, if $A$ is a linear, bounded operator on $E$, then 1.2 ) admits a unique $T$-periodic solution for each $f \in C[0, T]$ if and only if $2 k \pi i / T \in \varrho(A), k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $\varrho(A)$ denotes the resolvent set of $A$. For an unbounded operator $A$, the situation changes dramatically and the above statement generally fails. When $A$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup, periodicity of solutions of (1.4) has intensively been

[^0]studied recently (see e.g. [9, 10, 14, 18]). Corresponding results on the periodic solutions of the second order differential equation were obtained in [3, 20, when $A$ is the generator of a cosine family. Related results on the periodicity of solutions of 1.2 , when $A$ is a closed operator, can be found in [5, 8, 12, 13, 19 ] and the references therein.

Unfortunately, for the complete higher-order differential equations, we have little consideration about the regularity of their solutions, mainly because of the complexity of the structure of the equation. In [15] and [16], the authors studied the iterated higher-order Cauchy problem of the type

$$
\begin{gather*}
\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{d}{d t}-A_{j}\right) u(t)=0, \quad t>0  \tag{1.3}\\
u^{(j)}(0)=x_{j} \in E \quad(j=0,1, \ldots, n-1)
\end{gather*}
$$

and stated that, under some certain conditions, 1.3 is well posed if and only if $A_{i}$ are generators of $C_{0}$-semigroups. Moreover, they found the formula of solutions in the form $u(t)=\sum_{1}^{n} u_{i}(t)$, where $\left(d / d t-A_{j}\right) u_{i}=0$. That result suggests that (1.3) is in some sense the correct way to consider higher-order Cauchy problems. Later, in [17], the nonautonomous version of iterated evolution equation (1.3) was studied, where a nice structure of the solutions was found.

In this paper we investigate the periodicity of mild solutions of the iterated higher-order differential equation 1.1 when $A_{j}, j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, are linear and closed operators on a Hilbert space $E$. The main tool we use here is the Fourier series method. For an integrable function $f(t)$ from $[0, T]$ to $E$, the Fourier coefficient of $f(t)$ is defined by

$$
f_{k}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} f(s) e^{-2 k \pi i s / T} d s, \quad k \in Z
$$

Then $f(t)$ can be represented by Fourier series

$$
f(t) \approx \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2 k \pi i t / T} f_{k}
$$

We first give the definition of mild solution to 1.1 , when $n=1$.
Definition 1.1. (i) A continuous function $u(\cdot)$ is a mild solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t), \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s \in D(A)$ and

$$
u(t)=u(0)+A \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$.
(ii) Suppose $f$ is a continuous function. A function $u(\cdot)$ is a classical solution of 1.4 if $u(t)$ is continuously differentiable, $u(t) \in D(A)$, and 1.4 holds for all $t \in[0, T]$.

It is not hard to see that, if a mild solution of $\sqrt{1.4}$ is continuously differentiable, then it is a classical solution. Furthermore, if $u(t)$ is a mild solution on $[0, T]$ with $u(0)=u(T)$, then $u(t)$ can be continuously extended to a $T$-periodic mild solution
of 1.4 on $\mathbb{R}$, provided $f(t)$ has been extended $T$-periodically, too. Therefore, we call a mild solution of $1.4 T$-periodic if $u(0)=u(T)$.

We now consider the iterated differential equation 1.1 and employ the substitution (see also [15]) by defining $U(\cdot):=\left(u_{1}(\cdot), u_{2}(\cdot), \ldots, u_{n}(\cdot)\right)^{T}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(\cdot)=u(\cdot) \\
& u_{2}(\cdot)=u_{1}(\cdot)^{\prime}-A_{1} u_{1}(\cdot)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
u_{n}(\cdot)=u_{n-1}(\cdot)^{\prime}-A_{n-1} u_{n-1}(\cdot)
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}(\cdot)^{\prime} & =A_{1} u_{1}(\cdot)+u_{2}(\cdot) ; \\
u_{2}(\cdot)^{\prime} & =A_{2} u^{1}(\cdot)+u^{3}(\cdot) ; \\
& \ldots \\
u_{n-1}(\cdot)^{\prime} & =A_{n-1} u^{n-1}(\cdot)+u_{n}(\cdot) ; \\
u_{n}(\cdot)^{\prime} & =A_{n} u_{n}(\cdot)+f(\cdot)
\end{aligned}
$$

That can be written in matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime}(t)=\mathcal{C} U(t)+F(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the product space $E^{n}$, where $F(t)=(0,0, \ldots, 0, f(t))^{T}$ and

$$
\mathcal{C}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
A_{1} & I & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0  \tag{1.6}\\
0 & A_{2} & I & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & A_{n-1} & I \\
0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 & A_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $D(\mathcal{C}):=D\left(A_{1}\right) \times D\left(A_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times D\left(A_{n}\right)$. Note that the product space $E^{n}$ is again a Hilbert space with the norm $\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}\right\|:=\sqrt{\sum_{1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}}$. In [15], is was stated that $\mathcal{C}$ is generator of a $C_{0}$-semigroup in $E^{n}$ if and only if $A_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are generators of $C_{0}$ semigroups in $E$. That suggests the following definition of mild (classical) solutions for iterated higher-order differential equation.

Definition 1.2. A continuous function $u(\cdot)$ is a mild (classical) solution of the higher-order differential equation (1.1) if $u$ is the first component of a mild (classical) solution of the first-order differential equation (1.5).

We next establish the relationship between the Fourier coefficients of the periodic solutions of (1.1) and those of the inhomogeneity $f$. Then, as the main result, we give an equivalent condition so that (1.1) admits a unique periodic solution for each inhomogeneity $f$ in a certain function space. Our result generalizes some wellknown ones, as in Section 3 we present several particular cases, among which, $A$ generates a $C_{0}$ semigroup and a cosine family.

Throughout this article, if not otherwise indicated, we assume that $E$ is a complex Hilbert space and $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, are linear, closed and mutually commuting
operators on $E$ with $D=D\left(A_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n$, dense in $E$. The spectrum and resolvent set of $A$ are denoted by $\sigma(A)$ and $\varrho(A)$, respectively and $(\lambda-A)^{-1}$ is denoted by $R(\lambda, A)$. Two unbounded operators $A$ and $B$ are said to commute if for each $\lambda_{1} \in \varrho(A)$ and $\lambda_{2} \in \varrho(B)$ we have $\left(\lambda_{1}-A\right)^{-1}\left(\lambda_{2}-B\right)^{-1}=\left(\lambda_{2}-B\right)^{-1}\left(\lambda_{1}-A\right)^{-1}$. That definition is equivalent to the fact that $A B=B A$ as the following simple lemma shows.

Lemma 1.3. Suppose $A$ and $B$ are two commuting operators. Then for each $x \in D$ with $B x \in D$ we have $A x \in D$ and $B A x=A B x$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \varrho(A)$ and $\beta \in \varrho(B)$ and put $y=A B x$. Then

$$
(\alpha-A)(\beta-B) x=\alpha \beta x-\beta A x-\alpha B x+y
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =(\beta-B)^{-1}(\alpha-A)^{-1}(\alpha \beta x-\beta A x-\alpha B x+y) \\
& =(\alpha-A)^{-1}(\beta-B)^{-1}(\alpha \beta x-\beta A x-\alpha B x+y)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
(\beta-B)(\alpha-A) x=\alpha \beta x-\beta A x-\alpha B x+y
$$

or $B A x=y=A B x$.

Let $J=[0, T]$. For the sake of simplicity (and without loss of generality) we assume $T=1$. For $p \geq 1, L_{p}(J)$ denotes the space of $E$-valued $p$-integrable functions on $J$ with $\|f\|_{L_{p}(J)}=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\|f(t)\|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}<\infty$ and $C(J)$ the space of continuous functions on $J$ with $\|f\|_{C(J)}=\max _{J}\|f(t)\|$. Moreover, if $m \geq 1$, we define the function space

$$
W_{2}^{m}(J):=\left\{f \in L_{2}(J): f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, f^{(m)} \in L_{2}(J)\right\}
$$

which is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{W_{2}^{m}}:=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}\left\|f^{(j)}\right\|_{L_{2}(J)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We will use the following simple lemma.
Lemma 1.4. If $F$ is an absolutely continuous function on $J$ such that $f=F^{\prime} \in$ $L_{p}(J)$, then for $k \neq 0$ we have

$$
F_{k}=\frac{1}{2 k \pi i} f_{k}+\frac{F(0)-F(1)}{2 k \pi i}
$$

where $f_{k}$ and $F_{k}$ are the Fourier coefficients of $f$ and $F$, respectively.
Finally, a continuous function $u(\cdot)$ is said to be a 1-periodic solution of 1.1 (or to be a solution in $W_{2}^{m}(J)$ ) if the corresponding mild solution $\mathcal{U}(\cdot)$ of 1.5 is 1-periodic (or in $W_{2}^{m}\left(J, E^{n}\right)$ ) respectively.

## 2. Periodic mild solutions of higher-order differential equations

Proposition 2.1. Suppose $f \in L_{p}(J)$ and $u$ is a mild solution of the first-order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t), \quad t \in J \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 k \pi i-A) u_{k}-f_{k}=u(0)-u(1) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Let $u$ be a mild solution of 1.4 , i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u(0)+A \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, if $k=0$, then using 2.3 for $t=1$ we have $u(1)=u(0)+A u_{0}+f_{0}$, from which 2.2 holds for $k=0$.

Next, if $k \neq 0$, taking the $k^{\text {th }}$ Fourier coefficient on both sides of (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{k} & =A \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 k \pi i s} \int_{0}^{s} u(\tau) d \tau d s+\int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 k \pi i s} \int_{0}^{s} f(\tau) d \tau d s \\
& =A U_{k}+F_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U_{k}$ is the $k^{t h}$ Fourier coefficient of $U(t)=\int_{0}^{t} u(\tau) d \tau$ and $F_{k}$ is the $k^{t h}$ Fourier coefficient of $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) d \tau$. Using now Lemma 1.4 for $U(t)=\int_{0}^{t} u(\tau) d \tau$ and $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) d \tau$ we obtain

$$
u_{k}=\frac{A\left(u_{k}-U(1)\right)}{2 k \pi i}+\frac{f_{k}-F(1)}{2 k \pi i}
$$

from which we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2 k \pi i-A) u_{k} & =f_{k}-(A U(1)+F(1)) \\
& =f_{k}-\left(A \int_{0}^{1} u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s\right) \\
& =f_{k}+(u(0)-u(1))
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (2.2) holds. Here we used the fact that $u$ is a mild solution of (1.4), implying $u(1)=u(0)+A \int_{0}^{1} u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s$.

If $u$ is a 1 -periodic solution of 1.4 , then we have a nice relationship between Fourier coefficients of $u$ and those of $f$, as the following result shows.

Corollary 2.2. Suppose $f \in L_{p}(J)$ and $u$ is a continuous mild solution of (1.4). Then $u$ is 1-periodic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 k \pi i-A) u_{k}=f_{k} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Next we give a sufficient condition for the existence of 1-periodic mild solutions of (1.1.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose $f \in L_{p}(J)$. Then the iterated differential equation 1.1 admits a continuous, 1-periodic mild solution if and only if there is a sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \subset E$, such that
(i) For each $m, 0 \leq m \leq n-1$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m}(t):=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2 k \pi i t}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)\right] u_{k} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is continuous on $[0,1]$ and
(ii) The equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right) u_{k}=f_{k} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Suppose 1.1 admits a 1-periodic mild solution $u$. By the definition of solution $u$, there is a 1-periodic mild solution $U(t)=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right)^{T}$ of (1.5) with $u=u_{1}$. By Corollary 2.2, we have

$$
(2 k \pi i-\mathcal{C}) U_{k}=\left(0,0, \ldots, f_{k}\right)^{T}
$$

or

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\left(2 k \pi i-A_{1}\right) & -I & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \left(2 k \pi i-A_{2}\right) & -I & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & & \ddots & -I \\
0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 & \left(2 k \pi i-A_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(u_{1}\right)_{k} \\
\left(u_{2}\right)_{k} \\
\vdots \\
\left(u_{n}\right)_{k}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
f_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(u_{2}\right)_{k} & =\left(2 k \pi i-A_{1}\right)\left(u_{1}\right)_{k}=\left(2 k \pi i-A_{1}\right) u_{k} \\
\left(u_{3}\right)_{k} & =\left(2 k \pi i-A_{2}\right)\left(u_{2}\right)_{k}=\left(2 k \pi i-A_{2}\right)\left(2 k \pi i-A_{1}\right) u_{k} \\
& \ldots  \tag{2.7}\\
\left(u_{n}\right)_{k} & =\left(2 k \pi i-A_{n-1}\right)\left(u_{n-1}\right)_{k}=\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right) u_{k} \\
f_{k} & =\left(2 k \pi i-A_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}\right)_{k}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right) u_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq n-1$, the function

$$
v_{j}(t):=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2 k \pi i t}\left[\prod_{z=1}^{j}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{z}\right)\right] u_{k}
$$

is the same as $u_{j}(t)$, which is continuous on $[0,1]$. Moreover, 2.6) follows from (2.7).

Conversely, suppose for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq n-1$, the function 2.5 is continuous on $[0,1]$ and $(2.6)$ holds. We show that there exists a mild solution $U$ of (1.5), which is 1 -periodic. To this end, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$
u_{1}(t):=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2 k \pi i t} u_{k}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{2}(t) & :=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2 k \pi i t}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{1}\right) u_{k} \\
& \ldots \\
u_{n}(t) & :=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2 k \pi i t} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right) u_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by the assumption, $U(t):=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right)^{T}$ is a continuous function with the following Fourier coefficients:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u_{2}\right)_{k} & =\left(2 k \pi i-A_{1}\right) u_{k} \\
\left(u_{3}\right)_{k} & =\left(2 k \pi i-A_{2}\right)\left(u_{2}\right)_{k}=\left(2 k \pi i-A_{2}\right)\left(2 k \pi i-A_{1}\right) u_{k} \\
& \ldots \\
\left(u_{n}\right)_{k} & =\left(2 k \pi i-A_{n-1}\right)\left(u_{n-1}\right)_{k}=\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right) u_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (2.6),

$$
\left(2 k \pi i-A_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}\right)_{k}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right) u_{k}=f_{k}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\left(2 k \pi i-A_{1}\right) & -I & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \left(2 k \pi i-A_{2}\right) & -I & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & -I \\
0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 & \left(2 k \pi i-A_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(u_{1}\right)_{k} \\
\left(u_{2}\right)_{k} \\
\vdots \\
\left(u_{n}\right)_{k}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
f_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

or $(2 k \pi-\mathcal{C}) U_{k}=\left(0,0, \ldots, f_{k}\right)^{T}$. By Corollary 2.2, $U(t)=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right)^{T}$ is a 1-periodic mild solution of $\sqrt{1.5}$ and hence, $u(t)$ is a 1-periodic mild solution of (1.1.

Note that Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 also hold if $E$ is a Banach space. We now can state the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $E$ is a Hilbert space. Then the following are equivalent
(i) For each function $f \in W_{2}^{1}(J)$, Equation 1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution in $W_{2}^{1}(J)$;
(ii) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n, 2 k \pi i \in \varrho\left(A_{j}\right)$ and there is a number $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j+1}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(2 k \pi i-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|=M<\infty . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Suppose for each function $f \in W_{2}^{1}(J)$, Equation 1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution $u \in W_{2}^{1}(J)$. By the definition of solution $u$ in $W_{2}^{1}(J)$, the corresponding solution $U$ of 1.5 belongs to $W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)$. We prove that $U$ is the only mild solution of 1.5 corresponding to $f$ by showing that $U \equiv 0$ is the only mild solution of 1.5 corresponding to $f \equiv 0$. Indeed, if $f \equiv 0$, then $u \equiv 0$.

Hence, its Fourier coefficients $u_{k}=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the proof of Theorem 2.3 we have $\left(u_{2}\right)_{k}=\left(2 k \pi i-A_{1}\right) u_{k}=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $u_{2}(t) \equiv 0$. Similarly, we have $u_{j}(t) \equiv 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ and thus, $U(t) \equiv 0$.
Define the operator:

$$
G: f \in W_{2}^{1}(J) \mapsto G f \in W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)
$$

as follows: $(G f)(t)$ is the unique solution of 1.5 corresponding to $f$. Then $G$ is a linear, everywhere defined operator. We will prove its boundedness by showing $G$ is a closed operator.

To this end, suppose $\left\{f_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of functions in $F_{1}=W_{2}^{1}(J)$ such that $f_{m} \rightarrow f$ in $F_{1}$ and $G f_{m}$ approaches some function $V=\left(V_{1}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right)^{T}$ in $F_{2}=W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We show that $f \in D(G)$ and $G f=V$.

Since $G f_{m}$ is a mild solution of 1.5 corresponding to $f_{m}$, we have

$$
G f_{m}(t)=G f_{m}(0)+\mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{t} G f_{m}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} F_{m}(s) d s
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(G f_{m}\right)_{1}(t)=\left(G f_{m}\right)_{1}(0)+A_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{1}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{2}(s) d s \\
\left(G f_{m}\right)_{2}(t)=\left(G f_{m}\right)_{2}(0)+A_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{2}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{3}(s) d s \\
\ldots  \tag{2.9}\\
\left(G f_{m}\right)_{n-1}(t)=\left(G f_{m}\right)_{n-1}(0)+A_{n-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{n-1}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{n}(s) d s \\
\left(G f_{m}\right)_{n}(t)=\left(G f_{m}\right)_{n}(0)+A_{n} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{n}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f_{m}(s) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider now the sequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ in $E$, where $x_{m}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{1}(s) d s$. We have

$$
x_{m}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{1}(s) d s \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t} V_{1}(s) d s
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and from 2.9 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} x_{m}=A_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{1}(s) d s & =\left(G f_{m}\right)_{1}(t)-\left(G f_{m}\right)_{1}(0)-\int_{0}^{t}\left(G f_{m}\right)_{2}(s) d s \\
& \rightarrow V_{1}(t)-V_{1}(0)-\int_{0}^{t} V_{2}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $A_{1}$ is a closed operator, we have $\int_{0}^{t} V_{1}(s) d s \in D\left(A_{1}\right)$ and

$$
A_{1} \int_{0}^{t} V_{1}(s) d s=V_{1}(t)-V_{1}(0)-\int_{0}^{t} V_{2}(s) d s
$$

which implies

$$
V_{1}(t)=V_{1}(0)+A_{1} \int_{0}^{t} V_{1}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} V_{2}(s) d s
$$

In the same manner, we can show that

$$
V_{2}(t)=V_{2}(0)+A_{2} \int_{0}^{t} V_{2}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} V_{3}(s) d s
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{n-1}(t)=V_{n-1}(0)+A_{n-1} \int_{0}^{t} V_{n-1}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} V_{n}(s) d s \\
V_{n}(t)=V_{n}(0)+A_{n} \int_{0}^{t} V_{n}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e., $V$ is a mild solution of 1.5 corresponding to $f$ and consequently, $G f=V$. So, $G$ is a bounded operator from $F_{1}$ to $F_{2}$.

Next we show that $2 k \pi i \in \varrho\left(A_{j}\right)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $x$ be any vector in $E, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and take $f(t)=e^{2 k \pi i t} x$ and $V=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{n}\right)^{T}$ be the unique mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to $f$. From Fourier coefficient Identity (2.7) we have

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)\left(V_{n}\right)_{k}=f_{k}=x
$$

which shows $\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)$ and hence, $\left(2 k \pi i-A_{n}\right)$, is surjective. Using Lemma 1.3 we have $\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)$ is surjective for each $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Assume now that for some $1 \leq j \leq n,\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)$ contrarily is not injective. Without loss of generality we can assume that $A_{j}$ is the first operator with noninjective $\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)$, i.e., $\left(2 k \pi i-A_{l}\right)$ are injective for $1 \leq l<j$. Then there is a vector $y_{0} \neq 0$ in $E$ with $\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right) y_{0}=0$. Put $y(t):=e^{2 k \pi i t} y_{0}$, then it is not hard to see that

$$
y(t)=y(0)+A_{j} \int_{0}^{t} y(s) d s
$$

holds for $t \in J$. Hence, we can see that the equation with $f \equiv 0$ has two different mild solutions in $W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right) U(t) \equiv 0$ and

$$
V(t)=e^{2 k \pi i t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{1}\right) \ldots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{j-1}\right) y_{0} \\
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{2}\right) \ldots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{j-1}\right) y_{0} \\
\vdots \\
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{j-1}\right) y_{0} \\
y_{0} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which contradicts the uniqueness of mild solutions. Hence, $\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)$ is injective and thus, $2 k \pi i \in \varrho\left(A_{j}\right)$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, n$.

Finally, we show that 2.8 holds. To this end, for any $x \in E$, let $f(t):=e^{2 k \pi i t} x$. Then, by (2.7) we see that

$$
U(t)=e^{2 k \pi i t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{1}\right) \ldots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x \\
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{2}\right) \ldots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x \\
\vdots \\
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the unique mild solution of 1.5 corresponding to $f=e^{2 k \pi i t} x$. It is not difficult to compute that

$$
\|f\|_{W_{2}^{1}(J)}^{2}=\left(1+4 k^{2} \pi^{2}\right)\|x\|^{2}
$$

$$
\|U\|_{W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)}^{2}=\left(1+4 k^{2} \pi^{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{j}\right) \cdot R\left(2 k \pi, A_{j+1}\right) \ldots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x\right\|^{2}
$$

Using the inequality $\|U\|_{W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)}^{2} \leq\|G\|^{2}\|f\|_{W_{2}^{1}(J)}^{2}$ we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{j}\right) R\left(2 k \pi, A_{j+1}\right) \cdots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x\right\|^{2} \leq\|G\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}
$$

for all $x \in E$, from which we obtain

$$
\left\|R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{j}\right) R\left(2 k \pi, A_{j+1}\right) \cdots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right)\right\| \leq\|G\|
$$

and hence, 2.8 holds.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n, 2 k \pi i \in \varrho\left(A_{j}\right)$ and (2.8) holds. If $f(t)=e^{2 k \pi i t} x$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in E$, then, from the previous part of the proof, we see that

$$
U(t)=e^{2 k \pi i t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{1}\right) \ldots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x \\
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{2}\right) \ldots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x \\
\vdots \\
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the unique mild solution of 1.5 , which is in $W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)$.
Next, if $f(t)=\sum_{k} e^{2 k \pi i t} x_{k}$ for any finite sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k} \subset E$. Using the linearity of mild solutions, we see that

$$
U(t)=\sum_{k} e^{2 k \pi i t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{1}\right) \ldots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x_{k} \\
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{2}\right) \ldots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x_{k} \\
\vdots \\
R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the unique mild solution of 1.5 corresponding to $f$. Moreover, by using the standard calculation we have

$$
\|f\|_{W_{2}^{1}(J)}^{2}=\sum_{k}\left(1+4 k^{2} \pi^{2}\right)\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|U\|_{W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{k}\left(1+4 k^{2} \pi^{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{j}\right) R\left(2 k \pi, A_{j+1}\right) \cdots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right) x_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{k}\left(1+4 k^{2} \pi^{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{j}\right) R\left(2 k \pi, A_{j+1}\right) \cdots R\left(2 k \pi i, A_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{k}\left(1+4 k^{2} \pi^{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} M^{2}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& =n M^{2} \sum_{k}\left(1+4 k^{2} \pi^{2}\right)\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& =n M^{2}\|f\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|U\|_{W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)} \leq \sqrt{n} M\|f\|_{W_{2}^{1}(J)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\mathcal{L}(J):=\left\{f(t)=\sum_{k} e^{2 k \pi i t} x_{k}:\left\{x_{k}\right\} \text { is a finite sequence in } E\right\} .
$$

Inequality 2.10 holds for all $f \in \mathcal{L}(J)$. Observe that $\mathcal{L}(J)$ is dense in $W_{2}^{1}(J)$. Suppose now that $f$ is any function in $W_{2}^{1}(J)$. Then there is a sequence $\left\{f_{m}\right\} \subset$ $\mathcal{L}(J)$ such that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{m}=f$ in $W_{2}^{1}(J)$. Let $U_{m}$ be the unique mild solution of 1.5 corresponding to $f_{m}$. Since $\left(f_{m}-f_{q}\right) \in \mathcal{L}(J)$ for all $m, q \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\left\|U_{m}-U_{q}\right\|_{W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)} \leq \sqrt{n} M\left\|f_{m}-f_{q}\right\|_{W_{2}^{1}(J)} \rightarrow 0$ for $m, q \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there exists a function $U \in W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)$ such that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} U_{m}=U$ in $W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)$. Using the same arguments as in the (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) part, where we proved that $G$ is a bounded operator, we can show that $U$ is a mild solution of 1.5 corresponding to $f$. The uniqueness of $U$ is obvious, and the proof is complete.

Example. Suppose $A_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are mutually commuting infinitesimal generators of $C_{0}$ semigroups on $E$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup $\mathcal{T}(t)$ in $E^{n}$ (see [15]) and the mild solution of (1.5) can be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)=\mathcal{T}(t) U(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{T}(t-\tau) F(\tau) d \tau \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(t):=(0,0, \ldots, 0, f(t))^{T}$. In this case each 1-periodic mild solution of 1.1) in $W_{2}^{1}(J)$ is a classical solution, as the following theorem states.
Theorem 2.5. If $A_{i}$ generates $C_{0}$ semigroup in $E$, then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) For each $f \in L_{2}(J)$ Equation (1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution.
(ii) For each $f \in W_{2}^{1}(J)$, Equation (1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic classical solution.
(iii) For each $f \in W_{2}^{1}(J)$, Equation 1.1 admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution in $W_{2}^{1}(J)$.
(iv) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq j \leq n, 2 k \pi i \in \varrho\left(A_{j}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j+1}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(2 k \pi i-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) can be shown by standard argument and between (iii) and (iv) is from Theorem 2.4 and the implication (ii) $\rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious. It remains to show (iii) $\rightarrow$ (ii). To this end, let $U(\cdot)$ be the unique 1-periodic mild solution of (1.5), which belong to $W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)$. Since $F(t) \in W_{2}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)$, we have $\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{T}(t-\tau) F(\tau) d \tau \in D(\mathcal{C})$ and $t \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{T}(t-\tau) F(\tau) d \tau$ is continuously differentiable (see e.g. [11]. From 2.11) we obtain $\mathcal{T}(\cdot) U(0) \in W_{p}^{1}\left(J, E^{n}\right)$. It follows that $\mathcal{T}(t) U(0) \in D(\mathcal{C})$ for $t>0$ (since $t \mapsto \mathcal{T}(t) x$ is differentiable at $t_{0}$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}\left(t_{0}\right) x \in D(\mathcal{C})$ ). Hence, $U(1)$, and thus, $U(0)$ (the same as $U(1)$ ) belongs to $D(\mathcal{C})$. So $U$ is a classical solution. The uniqueness of the 1-periodic classical solution is obvious.

If $n=1$, then Theorem 2.5 becomes Gearhart theorem in [6] (See also [14]). We see clearly that statement (iv) in Theorem 2.5 holds if for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq n$, we have $2 k \pi i \in \varrho\left(A_{j}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|\left(2 k \pi i-A_{j}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

But in general, condition (2.13) is stronger than (2.12) (they are equivalent if $n=1$ ). Hence, unless $n=1$, the existence and uniqueness of 1-periodic mild solution of (1.1) does not imply 2.13). The next example shows that in some special cases the two conditions are equivalent.

Example. Suppose $B=A^{2}$, where $A$ generates a $C_{0}$ group on $E$. Consider the second-order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=B u(t)+f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can rewrite (2.14) as

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d t}-A\right)\left(\frac{d}{d t}+A\right) u(t)=f(t)
$$

Hence, from Theorem 2.5 we have the following result.
Theorem 2.6. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) For each function $f \in W_{2}^{1}(J)$, Equation 2.14 admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution in $W_{2}^{1}(J)$;
(ii) For each function $f \in W_{2}^{1}(J)$, Equation (2.14) admits a unique 1-periodic classical solution;
(iii) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 k \pi i \in \varrho(B)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|(2 k \pi i-A)^{-1}\right\|<\infty \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z},-4 k^{2} \pi^{2} \in \varrho(B)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|\left(4 k^{2} \pi^{2} i+B\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $A_{1}=-A$ and $A_{2}=A$. Then it is easy to see that $\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(2 k \pi i-$ $\left.A_{1}\right)^{-1} \|<\infty$ is equivalent to $\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|\left(2 k \pi i-A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty$, and that completes the proof.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her helpful remarks and suggestions.

## References

[1] Arendt, W.; Batty ,C. J. K.; Hieber, M.; Neubrander, F.; Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin 2001.
[2] Arendt, W.; Batty, C. J. K.; Almost periodic solutions of first- and second-order Cauchy problems. J. Differential Equations, 137 (1997), no. 2, 363-383.
[3] Cioranescu, I.; Lizama, C.; Spectral properties of cosine operator functions. Aequationes Math., 36 (1988), no. 1, 80-98.
[4] Daleckii, J., Krein, M. G.; Stability of solutions of differential equations on Banach spaces. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974.
[5] Eidelman, Y. S.; Tikhonov, I. V.; On periodic solutions of abstract differential equations. Abstr. Appl. Anal., 6 (2001), no. 8, 489-499.
[6] Gearhart, L.; Spectral theory for contraction semigroups on Hilbert space. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 236 (1978), 385-394.
[7] Hale, J. K.; Ordinary differential equations, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969.
[8] Hatvani, L.; Krisztin, T.; On the existence of periodic solutions for linear inhomogeneous and quasi-linear functional differential equations. J. Differential Equations, 97 (1992), 1-15.
[9] Haraux, A.; Nonlinear evolution equations. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 841 Springer Verlag, Heidelberg 1981. (1992), 1-15.
[10] Latushkin, Y., Montgomery-Smith, S.; Evolution semigroups and Liapunov Theorems in Banach spaces, J. Func. Anal. 127 (1995), 173-197.
[11] Nagel, R.; Sinestrari, E.; Inhomogeneous Volterra integrodifferential equations for HilleYosida operators. In: Bierstedt K.D., Pietsch A., Ruess W. M., Vogt D. (eds.): Functional Analysis. Proc. Essen Conference, Marcel Dekker 1993, 51-70.
[12] Murakami, S., Naito, T.; Nguyen, V. M.; Evolution Semigroups and Sums of Commuting Operators: A New Approach to the Admissibility Theory of Function Spaces. J. Differential Equations, 164, (2000), pp. 240-285.
[13] Nguyen, L.; Periodicity of Mild Solutions to Higher Order Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. Electronic J. Diff. Equ. 79 (2004), 1-12.
[14] Pruss, J.; On the spectrum of $C_{0}$-semigroup. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 284, 1984, 847-857 .
[15] Sandefur, J. T.; Higher Order Abstract Cauchy Problem. J. Math. Anal., 60 (1977), 728-742.
[16] Goldstein, J.; Sandefur, J. T.; An Abstract d'Alembert Formula. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 18 (1987), 842-856.
[17] Goldstein, G.; Goldstein, J.; Obrecht, E.; Structure of solutions to linear evolution equations: extensions of d'Alembert's formula. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 201 (1996), no. 2, 461477.
[18] Schüler, E.; Vu, Q. P.; The operator equation $A X-X B=C$, admissibility and asymptotic behavior of differential equations, J. Differential Equations, 145 (1998), 394-419.
[19] Schüler, E.; Vu, Q. P.; The operator equation $A X-X D^{2}=-\delta_{0}$ and second order differential equations in Banach spaces. Semigroups of operators: theory and applications (Newport Beach, CA, 1998), 352363, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 42, Birkhauser, Basel, 2000.
[20] Schüler, E.; On the spectrum of cosine functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 229 (1999), 376-398.
Nezam Iraniparast
Department of Mathematics, Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green Ky 42101, USA

E-mail address: Nezam.Iraniparast@wku.edu
Lan Nguyen
Department of Mathematics, Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green Ky 42101, USA

E-mail address: Lan.Nguyen@wku.edu


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34G10, 34K06, 47D06.
    Key words and phrases. Keywords and phrases: Abstract higher-order differential equations; Fourier series; periodic mild solutions; operator semigroups. (C) 2016 Texas State University.

    Published March 21, 2016.

