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#### Abstract

More thorough results than in our previous paper in Nagoya Math. J. are given on the $L_{p}$-operator norm estimates for the Kac operator $e^{-t V / 2} e^{-t H_{0}} e^{-t V / 2}$ compared with the Schrödinger semigroup $e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V\right)}$. The Schrödinger operators $H_{0}+V$ to be treated in this paper are more general ones associated with the Lévy process, including the relativistic Schrödinger operator. The method of proof is probabilistic based on the Feynman-Kac formula. It differs from our previous work in the point of using the Feynman-Kac formula not directly for these operators, but instead through subordination from the Brownian motion, which enables us to deal with all these operators in a unified way. As an application of such estimates the Trotter product formula in the $L_{p}$-operator norm, with error bounds, for these Schrödinger semigroups is also derived.
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## 1. Introduction

By the Kac operator we mean an operator of the kind $K(t)=e^{-t V / 2} e^{-t H_{0}} e^{-t V / 2}$, where $H=$ $H_{0}+V \equiv-\Delta / 2+V(x)$ is the nonrelativistic Schrödinger operator in $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with mass 1 with scalar potential $V(x)$ bounded from below. This $K(t)$ may correspond to the transfer operator for a lattice model in statistical mechanics studied by M. Kac [Ka]. There it is one of the important problems to know asymptotic spectral properties of $K(t)$ for $t \downarrow 0$. To this end, in [H1, H2] Helffer estimated the $L_{2}$-operator norm of the difference between $K(t)$ and the Schrödinger semigroup $e^{-t H}$ to be of order $O\left(t^{2}\right)$ for small $t>0$, if $V(x)$ satisfies $\left|\partial^{\alpha} V(x)\right| \leq C_{\alpha}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{(2-|\alpha| \mid+/ 2}$ for every multi-index $\alpha$ with a constant $C_{\alpha}$. Then such norm estimates may be applied to get spectral properties of $K(t)$ in comparison with those of $H$.
In [I-Tak1] and [I-Tak2] we have extended his result to the case of more general scalar potentials $V(x)$ even in the $L_{p}$-operator norm, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, making a probabilistic approach based on the Feynman-Kac formula. In [I-Tak2] we have also considered this problem for both the nonrelativistic Schrödinger operator $H=H_{0}+V$ and the relativistic Schrödinger operator $H^{r}=H_{0}^{r}+V \equiv \sqrt{-\Delta+1}-1+V(x)$ with light velocity 1 . The $L_{p}$-operator norm of this difference is estimated to be of order $O\left(t^{a}\right)$ of small $t>0$ with $a \geq 1$, though the relativistic case shows for small $t>0$ a slightly different behavior from the nonrelativistic case. As another application of these results the Trotter product formula for the nonrelativistic and relativistic Schrödinger operators in the $L_{p}$-operator norm with error bounds is obtained. There are also related $L_{2}$ results with operator-theoretic methods, for which we refer to [D-I-Tam].
The aim of this paper is to generalize and refine the result of [I-Tak2] in the relativistic case, admitting of more general operators than the free relativistic Schrödinger operator $H_{0}^{r}=\sqrt{-\Delta+1}-1$ as well as relaxing the conditions for the potentials $V(x)$. We use the probabilistic method with Feynman-Kac formula, though observing everything in a unified way through subordination from the Brownian motion. In this respect the present method differs from that in [I-Tak2] used for the relativistic Schrödinger operator $H^{r}$, which made the best of the explicit expression of the integral kernel of $e^{-t H_{0}^{r}}$.
The more general operator we have in mind is the following operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{\psi}=\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}(-\Delta+1)\right)-\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will play the same role as the relativistic Schrödinger operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{r}=\sqrt{-\Delta+1}-1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in [I-Tak2]. Obviously, $H_{0}^{\psi}$ is a selfadjoint operator in $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Here $\psi(\lambda)$ is a continuous increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ with $\psi(0)=0$ and $\psi(\infty)=\infty$ expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\lambda)=\int_{(0, \infty)}\left(1-e^{-\lambda l}\right) n(d l), \quad \lambda \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(d l)$ is a Lévy measure on $(0, \infty)$ (i.e. a measure on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\left.\int_{(0, \infty)} l \wedge 1 n(d l)<\infty\right)$ with $n((0, \infty))=\infty$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\int_{(0, \infty)}\left(1-e^{-\lambda l}\right) e^{-l / 2} n(d l) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a special case of $H_{0}^{\psi}$ we have for $\psi(\lambda)=(2 \lambda)^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$, the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{(\alpha)}=(-\Delta+1)^{\alpha}-1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reduces to the relativistic Schrödinger operator when $\alpha=1 / 2: H_{0}^{(1 / 2)}=H_{0}^{r}$. In this case the Lévy measure is $n(d l)=\left\{2^{\alpha} \alpha / \Gamma(1-\alpha)\right\} l^{-1-\alpha} d l$.
To formulate our results we are going to describe what kind of function $V(x)$ is. Let $0<\gamma, \delta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1,0 \leq \mu, \nu, \rho<\infty, 0 \leq C_{1}, C_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}<\infty$ and $0<c<\infty$. Let $V: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying one of the following five conditions:
$(\mathrm{A})_{0} \quad|V(x)-V(y)| \leq C_{1}|x-y|^{\gamma} ;$
$(\mathrm{A})_{1} \quad V$ is a $C^{1}$-function such that
(i) $|\nabla V(z)| \leq C_{1}\left(1+V(z)^{1-\delta}\right)$,
(ii) $|\nabla V(x)-\nabla V(y)| \leq C_{2}|x-y|^{\kappa}$;
$(\mathrm{A})_{2} \quad V$ is a $C^{1}$-function such that
(i) $|\nabla V(z)| \leq C_{1}\left(1+V(z)^{1-\delta}\right)$,
(ii) $|\nabla V(x)-\nabla V(y)|$

$$
\leq C_{2}\left\{V(x)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}}\left(1+|x-y|^{\mu}\right)+1+|x-y|^{\nu}\right\}|x-y|
$$

$(\mathrm{V})_{1} \quad V$ is a $C^{1}$-function such that

$$
\text { (i) } V(z) \geq c\langle z\rangle^{\rho}, \quad \text { (ii) }|\nabla V(z)| \leq c_{1}\langle z\rangle^{(\rho-1)_{+}}
$$

$(\mathrm{V})_{2} \quad V$ is a $C^{2}$-function such that
(i) $V(z) \geq c\langle z\rangle^{\rho}, \quad$ (ii) $|\nabla V(z)| \leq c_{1}\langle z\rangle^{(\rho-1)_{+}}$,
(iii) $\left|\nabla^{2} V(z)\right| \leq c_{2}\langle z\rangle^{(\rho-2)_{+}}$.

Here $\langle z\rangle:=\sqrt{1+|z|^{2}}$.
Conditions $(\mathrm{A})_{0},(\mathrm{~A})_{1}$ and $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$ on $V(x)$ are used in [Tak] and are more general than in [ITak1,2], while conditions $(\mathrm{V})_{1}$ and $(\mathrm{V})_{2}$ are used in [D-I-Tam]. But these conditions may not be best possible. A simple example of a function which has property $(\mathrm{A})_{0},(\mathrm{~A})_{1}$ or $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$ is, needless to say, $V(x)=|x|^{r}(0<r<\infty)$, and a slightly complicated one $V(x)=|x|^{r}(2+\sin \log |x|)$, according as $0<r \leq 1,1<r<2$ or $r \geq 2$. Also $V(x)=1+\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{r}\left(x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)\right)$ satisfies $(\mathrm{A})_{0},(\mathrm{~A})_{1}$ or $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$ with the same $r$ as above, but neither $(\mathrm{V})_{1}$ nor $(\mathrm{V})_{2}$. To the contrary $V(x)=1+|x| \int_{0}^{|x|}\left(1+\sin \left(\theta^{2}\right)\right) d \theta$ satisfies $(\mathrm{V})_{1}$, but neither $(\mathrm{V})_{2},(\mathrm{~A})_{0},(\mathrm{~A})_{1}$ nor $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$.
The operator $H_{0}^{\psi}+V$ is essentially selfadjoint on $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and so its unique selfadjoint extension is also denoted by the same $H_{0}^{\psi}+V$. The semigroup $e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}$ on $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is extended to a strongly continuous semigroup on $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)(1 \leq p<\infty)$ and $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, to be denoted by the same $e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}$. Here $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is the Banach space of the continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ vanishing at infinity. To be complete, these and further facts are proved in Appendix.

As for the Lévy measure $n(d l)$ introduced in (1.3) and (1.4), we make the following assumption:
(L) For some $\alpha \in[0,1], n((\cdot, \infty))$ is regularly varying at zero with exponent $-\alpha$, i.e., there exists a slowly varying function $L(\lambda)$ at infinity such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n((t, \infty)) \sim t^{-\alpha} L\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here a positive function $L(\cdot)$ is called slowly varying at infinity if for any $c>0$,

$$
\lim _{\lambda \uparrow \infty} \frac{L(c \lambda)}{L(\lambda)}=1 .
$$

Let $\phi^{-1}(\cdot)$ be the inverse function of $\phi(\lambda):=\psi(\lambda+1 / 2)-\psi(1 / 2)$. (Note that $\phi$ is strictly increasing.) Under the above assumption, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}(\lambda):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\Gamma(1-\alpha) L(\lambda) & \text { if } & 0 \leq \alpha<1 \\
\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} n((s, \infty)) d s & \text { if } & \alpha=1,
\end{array}\right. \\
& L_{2}(x):=L_{1}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-1 / \alpha} \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

These two functions are slowly varying at infinity, and we have $\phi(\lambda) \sim \lambda^{\alpha} L_{1}(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and $\phi^{-1}(x) \sim x^{1 / \alpha} L_{2}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, as will be seen from Fact in Section 6 , so that $\int_{0}^{\dot{0}}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta$ ( $0<\alpha<1$ ) is also slowly varying at infinity.

Now we state the main results of this paper, which generalize the results in [I-Tak2]. In the following $\|\cdot\|_{p \rightarrow p}$ stands for the $L_{p}$-operator norm for $1 \leq p<\infty$ and the supremum norm on $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $p=\infty$.

Theorem 1. Suppose assumption (L) and let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then the following estimates (i), (ii) and (iii) hold for small $t>0$.
(i) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{-t V / 2} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}} e^{-t V / 2}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2} e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& = \begin{cases}O\left(t^{2}\right) & \text { if } \alpha<\gamma / 2 \\
O\left(t^{2} \int_{0}^{1 / t}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta\right) & \text { if } \quad \alpha=\gamma / 2 \\
O\left(t^{1+\gamma / 2 \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-\gamma / 2}\right) & \text { if } \gamma / 2<\alpha .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{1}$,

$$
\left\|e^{-t V / 2} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}} e^{-t V / 2}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& = \begin{cases}O\left(t^{1+1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right) & \text { if } \alpha<(1+\kappa) / 2 \text { or } \kappa=1 \\
O\left(t^{1+2 \delta}\right)+O\left(t^{2} \int_{0}^{1 / t}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta\right) & \text { if } \alpha=(1+\kappa) / 2<1 \\
O\left(t^{1+2 \delta}\right)+O\left(t^{1+(1+\kappa) / 2 \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-(1+\kappa) / 2}\right) & \text { if }(1+\kappa) / 2<\alpha,\end{cases} \\
& \| e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)} \|_{p \rightarrow p,},} \begin{array}{ll}
\left\|e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2} e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
= \begin{cases}O\left(t^{1+\delta}\right) & \text { if } \alpha<1 / 2 \\
O\left(t^{1+\delta} \int_{0}^{1 / t}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta\right) & \text { if } \alpha=1 / 2 \\
O\left(t^{\delta+1 / 2 \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } 1 / 2<\alpha .\end{cases}
\end{array} . l
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{-t V / 2} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}} e^{-t V / 2}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}=O\left(t^{1+1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right), \\
& \left\|e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2} e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& = \begin{cases}O\left(t^{1+\delta}\right) & \text { if } \alpha<1 / 2 \\
O\left(t^{1+\delta} \int_{0}^{1 / t}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta\right) & \text { if } \quad \alpha=1 / 2 \\
O\left(t^{\delta+1 / 2 \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } 1 / 2<\alpha .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, the first estimate in (iii) holds independent of (L).
A consequence of Theorem 1 is the following Trotter product formula in the $L_{p}$-operator norm with error bounds.

Theorem 2. Suppose assumption (L) and let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then the following estimates (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) hold uniformly on each finite t-interval on $[0, \infty)$.
(i) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& = \begin{cases}O\left(n^{-1}\right) & \text { if } \alpha<\gamma / 2 \\
O\left(n^{-1} \int_{0}^{n}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta\right) & \text { if } \quad \alpha=\gamma / 2 \\
O\left(n^{-\gamma / 2 \alpha} L_{2}(n)^{-\gamma / 2}\right) & \text { if } \quad \gamma / 2<\alpha .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& = \begin{cases}O\left(n^{-1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right) & \text { if } \quad \alpha<(1+\kappa) / 2 \text { or } \kappa=1 \\
O\left(n^{-2 \delta}\right)+O\left(n^{-1} \int_{0}^{n}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta\right) & \text { if } \quad \alpha=(1+\kappa) / 2<1 \\
O\left(n^{-2 \delta}\right)+O\left(n^{-(1+\kappa) / 2 \alpha} L_{2}(n)^{-(1+\kappa) / 2}\right) & \text { if } \quad(1+\kappa) / 2<\alpha\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Under $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& =O\left(n^{-1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{V})_{i}(i=1,2)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& =O\left(n^{-i / 2 \vee \rho}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, the asymptotic estimates (iii) and (iv) hold independent of (L).
Notice here that though the estimates with small $t$, in Theorem 1, for $e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}}$ and $e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2} e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2}$ are of worse order than that for $e^{-t V / 2} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}} e^{-t V / 2}$, one has, in Theorem 2 , the same error bounds with large $n$ for these three products.
Finally we give a comment on what kind of operators are to be covered by our $H_{0}^{\psi}+V$. To this end we briefly illustrate how our result reads on the Trotter product formula in the case $H_{0}^{(\alpha)}+V$ with $H_{0}^{(\alpha)}=(-\Delta+1)^{\alpha}-1,0<\alpha<1$, in (1.5). In this case, we have $n((t, \infty))=\left(2^{\alpha} / \Gamma(1-\alpha)\right) t^{-\alpha}$, or $L_{2}(\cdot) \equiv 2^{-1}$, so that

$$
\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta \sim 2^{\alpha} \log x \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

Therefore Theorem 2 says that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and uniformly on each finite $t$-interval in $[0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{(\alpha)} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{(\alpha)}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{(\alpha)} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{(\alpha)}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{(\alpha)} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{(\alpha)} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{(\alpha)}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
O\left(n^{-1}\right) & \text { if } & \alpha<\gamma / 2 \\
O\left(n^{-1} \log n\right) & \text { if } & \alpha=\gamma / 2 \quad \text { under }(\mathrm{A})_{0}, \\
O\left(n^{-\gamma / 2 \alpha}\right) & \text { if } & \gamma / 2<\alpha
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
O\left(n^{-1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right) & \text { if } \quad \alpha<(1+\kappa) / 2 \\
O\left(n^{-1} \log n\right) & \text { if } \quad \alpha=(1+\kappa) / 2 \text { and } 1 / 2 \leq \delta \leq 1 \\
O\left(n^{-2 \delta}\right) & \text { if } \quad \alpha=(1+\kappa) / 2 \text { and } 0<\delta<1 / 2 \\
O\left(n^{-2 \delta \wedge(1+\kappa) / 2 \alpha}\right) & \text { if } \quad(1+\kappa) / 2<\alpha
\end{array} \quad \text { under }(\mathrm{A})_{1} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

An important remark is the following. In the above example, the case $\alpha=1$ is missing. This is equivalent to the nonrelativistic case $H_{0}+V=-\Delta / 2+V(x)$, treated in [Tak] (cf. [I-Tak1,2]). However we may think that this case is also implicitly contained in our results, Theorems 1 and 2, for $\alpha=1 / 2$. Indeed, by using $H_{0}^{r}(c)=\sqrt{-c^{2} \Delta+c^{4}}-c^{2}$ with light velocity $c$ restored in place of $H_{0}^{r}$ in (1.2), we can obtain the case $\alpha=1 / 2$ so as to involve the parameter $c$ (light velocity). Since, in the nonrelativistic limit $c \rightarrow \infty$, the relativistic Schrödinger semigroup $e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{r}(c)+V\right)}$ is strongly convergent to the nonrelativistic Schrödinger semigroup $e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V\right)}$ uniformly on each finite $t$-interval in $[0, \infty$ ) (e.g. [I2]), we can reproduce the nonrelativistic result in [Tak] (cf. Remark following Theorem 2.3).
In Section 2, we state our results in more general form: we generalize Theorems 1 and 2 to Theorems 2.1 and $2.2 / 2.3$ by introducing the subordinator $\sigma_{t}$, namely, a time-homogeneous Lévy process associated with the Lévy measure $e^{-l / 2} n(d l)$. Moreover we state Theorem 2.4 on asymptotics of the moments of the process $\sigma_{t}$. Once we know these asymptotics, we can obtain Theorems 1 and 2 from Theorems 2.1 and $2.2 / 2.3$. These four theorems are proved in Sections 3-6.
In Appendix, we give a full study of the semigroups $e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}, t \geq 0$, on $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$ and $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ defined through the Feynman-Kac formula. We show they constitute a strongly continuous contraction semigroup there. It is also shown that its infinitesimal generator $\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}$ has $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ as a core, by establishing Kato's inequality for the operator $H_{0}^{\psi}$. Some of these results seem to be new.
The authors would like to thank the referee for his / her careful reading of the manuscript and for a number of comments.

## 2. General results

In this section we shall prove the theorems in a little more general setting based on probability theory. To describe it we introduce some notations and notions. For a continuous function $V: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(t) & :=e^{-t V / 2} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}} e^{-t V / 2}, \\
G(t) & :=e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R(t):=e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2} e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{K}(t) & :=K(t)-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}, \\
Q_{G}(t) & :=G(t)-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}, \\
Q_{R}(t) & :=R(t)-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose we are given the independent random objects $N(\cdot)$ and $B(\cdot)$ on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ :
(i) $N(d s d l)$ is a Poisson random measure on $[0, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$ such that $\mathbb{E}[N(d s d l)]=d s e^{-l / 2} n(d l)$;
(ii) $(B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a $d$-dimensional Brownian motion starting at 0 .

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}:=\int_{0}^{t+} \int_{(0, \infty)} l N(d s d l) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(\sigma_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a time-homogeneous Lévy process with increasing paths such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda \sigma_{t}}\right]=e^{-t(\psi(\lambda+1 / 2)-\psi(1 / 2))} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e.g. Note 1.7 .1 in $[\mathrm{It}-\mathrm{MK}])$. Note that $\sigma_{t}$ has moments of all order (cf. (6.1)), which is to be seen at the beginning of Section 6 . We use a subordination of $B(\cdot)$ by a subordinator $\sigma$., i.e., a process $\left(B\left(\sigma_{t}\right)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. This is a Lévy process such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\sqrt{-1}\left\langle p, B\left(\sigma_{t}\right)\right\rangle}\right]=e^{-t\left(\psi\left(\left(|p|^{2}+1\right) / 2\right)-\psi(1 / 2)\right)}
$$

which corresponds to the semigroup $\left\{e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ with generator $H_{0}^{\psi}$ in (1.1).
We prove the following generalization of Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 2.1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $t \geq 0$.
(i) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{0}$,

$$
\left\|Q_{K}(t)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|Q_{G}(t)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|Q_{R}(t)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq \operatorname{const}(\gamma, d) C_{1} t \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{\gamma / 2}\right]
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|Q_{K}(t)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} & \leq \operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d)\left[C_{1}^{2}\left(t^{2}+t^{2 \delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(C_{2} t\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right] \\
\left\|Q_{G}(t)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|Q_{R}(t)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} & \leq \operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d) \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{C_{1}^{j}\left(t^{j}+t^{j \delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j / 2}\right]+\left(C_{2} t\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{2}$,

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left\|Q_{K}(t)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq & \operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d)
\end{array}\right] C_{1}^{2}\left(t^{2}+t^{2 \delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(C_{2} t\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j}\right], ~\left(C_{2} t\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j(1+\nu / 2)}\right]+\left(C_{2} t^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j}\right]+\left(C_{2} t^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j(1+\mu / 2)}\right]\right\}\right], ~ \begin{aligned}
\left\|Q_{G}(t)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|Q_{R}(t)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq & \operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d) \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{C_{1}^{j}\left(t^{j}+t^{j \delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j / 2}\right]+\left(C_{2} t\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j}\right]\right. \\
& +\left(C_{2} t\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j(1+\nu / 2)}\right]+\left(C_{2} t^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j}\right] \\
& \left.+\left(C_{2} t^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j(1+\mu / 2)}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty, t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(i) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \quad \leq \operatorname{const}(\gamma, d) C_{1} t \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{\gamma / 2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d)\left[C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2 \delta}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(C_{2} \frac{t}{n}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right] \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d)\left[\frac{1}{n}\left(C_{1}\left(t+t^{\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{1} t \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+C_{1}\left(\frac{t}{n}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2 \delta}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(C_{2} \frac{t}{n}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{A})_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d)\left[C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2 \delta}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(C_{2} \frac{t}{n}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(C_{2} \frac{t}{n}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\nu / 2)}\right]+\left(C_{2}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+\left(C_{2}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\mu / 2)}\right]\right\}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d)\left[\frac { 1 } { n } \left(C_{1}\left(t+t^{\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} t^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\mu / 2}\right]\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+C_{2} t\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\nu / 2}\right]\right)\right)+C_{1}\left(\frac{t}{n}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2 \delta}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right] \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(C_{2} \frac{t}{n}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+\left(C_{2} \frac{t}{n}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\nu / 2)}\right]+\left(C_{2}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(C_{2}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\mu / 2)}\right]\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $t \geq 0$.
(i) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{V})_{1}$ for $n \geq 2^{2(2 \vee \rho)}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \operatorname{const}\left(\rho, c, c_{1}, d\right) n^{-1 / 2 \vee \rho}\left[t^{2 /(\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1-1}+\left(t^{2}+t^{2(1 \wedge((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1) / 2 \rho)}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(\left(t^{j}+t^{j 2 / 2 \vee \rho}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+t^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right)\right], \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \\
& \operatorname{const}\left(\rho, c, c_{1}, d\right) n^{-1 / 2 \vee \rho}\left[t^{2 /(\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1-1}+\left(t+t^{1 \wedge((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1) / 2 \rho}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]\right. \\
& \quad+t^{2 / 2 \vee \rho} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+t\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right)+\left(t^{2}+t^{2(1 \wedge((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1) / 2 \rho)}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right] \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(t^{j}+t^{j 2 / 2 \vee \rho}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+t^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Under}(\mathrm{V})_{2}$ for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\| e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)} \|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}\left(\rho, c, c_{1}, c_{2}, d\right) n^{-2 / 2 \vee \rho}\left[\left(t^{2}+t^{2 / 1 \vee \rho}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(\left(t^{j}+t^{j 2 / 2 \vee \rho}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+t^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right)\right], \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}\left(\rho, c, c_{1}, c_{2}, d\right)\left[n ^ { - 2 / 2 \vee \rho } \left(\left(t+t^{1 / 1 \vee \rho}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+\left(t+t^{2 / 2 \vee \rho}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+t \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(t^{2}+t^{2 / 1 \vee \rho}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(t^{j}+t^{j 2 / 2 \vee \rho}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+t^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.+n^{-1 / 1 \vee \rho} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{1 / 2}\right]\left(t+t^{1 / 1 \vee \rho}\right)\right]
$$

Remark. As noted at the end of Section 1, the nonrelativistic case for $H_{0}+V=-\Delta / 2+V$, being equivalent to the case $\alpha=1$ which Theorems 1 and 2 fail to cover, can be thought to be implicitly contained in the relativistic case, of the above three theorems, for the relativistic Schrödinger operator $H_{0}^{r}(c) \equiv \sqrt{-c^{2} \Delta+c^{4}}-c^{2}$ with the light velocity $c \geq 1$ restored. We have $H_{0}^{\psi}=H_{0}^{r}(c)$, where this $\psi(\lambda)$ is a $c$-dependent function (1.3) given by $\psi(\lambda):=\psi(\lambda ; c)=\sqrt{2 c^{2} \lambda+c^{4}-c^{2}}-\sqrt{c^{4}-c^{2}}$ associated with the $c$-dependent Lévy measure $e^{-l / 2} n(d l ; c)=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} c e^{-c^{2} l / 2} l^{-3 / 2} d l$. In this case, Theorem 2.1 and Theorems $2.2 / 2.3$ hold with the corresponding $c$-dependent subordinator $\sigma_{t}(c)$, just as they stand, namely, only with $\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{s}^{a}\right]$ replaced by $\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{s}(c)^{a}\right]$ for each respective $s>0$ and $a>0$. Then the nonrelativistic case in question is obtained as the nonrelativistic limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ of this $c$-dependent relativistic case, turning out to be just Theorems 2.1 and $2.2 / 2.3$ with $\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{s}^{a}\right]$ replaced by $s^{a}$. This is because one can show that, as $c \rightarrow \infty$, the relativistic Schrödinger semigroup $e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{r}(c)+V\right)}$ on the LHS converges strongly to the nonrelativistic Schrödinger semigroup $e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V\right)}$ uniformly on each finite $t$-interval in $[0, \infty)(\mathrm{cf}.[\mathrm{I} 2])$, and $\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}(c)^{a}\right]$ on the RHS tends to $t^{a}$. Then taking the most dominant contribution on the RHS for small $t$ or large $n$ reproduces the same nonrelativistic result as in [Tak].

Theorems 1 and 2 follow immediately from Theorems 2.1 and $2.2 / 2.3$, if one knows the asymptotics for $t \downarrow 0$ of the moments of $\sigma_{t}$ to investigate which of the terms on the RHS makes a dominant contribution for small $t$ or large $n$. These asymptotics are given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose assumption (L). Let $a>0$.
(i) If $\alpha<a$ or $a \geq 1$, then $\int_{(0, \infty)} l^{a} e^{-l / 2} n(d l)<\infty$ and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right] \sim t \int_{(0, \infty)} l^{a} e^{-l / 2} n(d l) \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0
$$

In fact, for $a \geq 1$ this always holds independent of (L).
(ii) If $\alpha=a$ and $a<1$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right] \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t \int_{0}^{1 / t}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0
$$

(iii) If $0<a<\alpha$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right] \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{a}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma(1-a)} t^{a / \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-a} \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0
$$

The proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 are given in Sections $3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively. To show Theorem 2.1, in fact, we prove estimates of the integral kernels of $Q_{K}(t), Q_{G}(t)$ and $Q_{R}(t)$ by a finite positive linear combination of $t^{c} \mathbb{E}\left[|x-y|^{a} \sigma_{t}^{b} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]$, where $p(t, x-y)$ is the heat kernel (see (A.2)). Such estimates of the integral kernels of the three operators of difference in Theorems 2.2 / 2.3 also can be obtained (cf. [Tak]), but are omitted.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

It is easily seen (see (A.6)) that for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
K(t) f(x) & =\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{t}{2}\left(V(x)+V\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right)\right) f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right]  \tag{3.1}\\
G(t) f(x) & =\mathbb{E}\left[\exp (-t V(x)) f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right]  \tag{3.2}\\
R(t) f(x) & =\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-t V\left(x+X_{t / 2}\right)\right) f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and generally

$$
\begin{align*}
K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n} f(x) & =\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)+V\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)\right)\right) f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right]  \tag{3.4}\\
G\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n} f(x) & =\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)\right) f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right]  \tag{3.5}\\
R\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n} f(x) & =\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V\left(x+X_{(2 k-1) t / 2 n}\right)\right) f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ we have (see (A.13))

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{K}(t) f(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} d y f(y) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[v_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right],  \tag{3.7}\\
Q_{G}(t) f(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} d y f(y) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[v_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right],  \tag{3.8}\\
Q_{R}(t) f(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(y) d y \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[v_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right], \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{B}$ are the expectations with respect to $\sigma$. and $B$., respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma):=\exp \left(-\frac{t}{2}(V(x)+V(y))\right)-\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t, y}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right),  \tag{3.10}\\
& v_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma):=\exp (-t V(x))-\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& v_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma):=\exp \left(-t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right)\right)-\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right), \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and, for $\tau>0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq \tau$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{0, x}^{\tau, y}(\theta):=x+\frac{\theta}{\tau}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\tau}(\theta) \\
& B_{0}^{\tau}(\theta) \quad:=B(\theta)-\frac{\theta}{\tau} B(\tau) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
e^{a}-e^{b}=(a-b) e^{b}+(a-b)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) e^{\theta a} e^{(1-\theta) b} d \theta, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)= & w_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma) \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2}(V(x)+V(y))\right) \\
& -w_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) \exp \left(-\theta \int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(-(1-\theta) \frac{t}{2}(V(x)+V(y))\right) d \theta \\
= & v_{K 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)+v_{K 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma),  \tag{3.14}\\
v_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma)= & w_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma) \exp (-t V(x)) \\
& -w_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) \exp \left(-\theta \int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right) \\
& \times \exp (-(1-\theta) t V(x)) d \theta \\
v_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma)= & w_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma) \exp \left(-t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
& -w_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) \exp \left(-\theta \int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right) \\
\quad & \times \exp \left(-(1-\theta) t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right)\right) d \theta \\
= & v_{R 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)+v_{R 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma) & :=-\frac{t}{2}(V(x)+V(y))+\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s,  \tag{3.17}\\
w_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma) & :=-t V(x)+\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s,  \tag{3.18}\\
w_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma) & :=-t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t, x}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

When $V$ is further a $C^{1}$-function, since

$$
V(z)-V(w)=\langle\nabla V(w), z-w\rangle+\int_{0}^{1}\langle\nabla V(w+\theta(z-w))-\nabla V(w), z-w\rangle d \theta
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma) & =\frac{1}{2}\langle\nabla V(x)-\nabla V(y), y-x\rangle \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}} d s \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\langle\nabla V(y), y-x\rangle\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}} d s-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}} d s\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\nabla V(x)+\nabla V(y), \int_{0}^{t} B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right) d s\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
+ & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(x+\theta\left(\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right)\right)-\nabla V(x),\right. \\
& \left.\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle d \theta \\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(y+\theta\left(\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right)\right)-\nabla V(y),\right. \\
& \left.\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle d \theta \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{5} w_{K j}(t, x, y ; \sigma),  \tag{3.20}\\
w_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma)= & \left\langle\nabla V(x), \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right\rangle \\
+ & \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(x+\theta\left(\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right)\right)-\nabla V(x),\right. \\
= & w_{G 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)+w_{G 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma), \\
w_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma)= & \left\langle\nabla V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right), \int_{0}^{t}\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right) d s\right\rangle  \tag{3.21}\\
+ & \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)+\theta\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\nabla V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right), B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right\rangle d \theta \\
= & w_{R 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)+w_{R 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma) .
\end{align*}
$$

In the following we shall prove Theorem 2.1 only in Cases $(A)_{2}$ and $(A)_{0}$. The proof of Case $(\mathrm{A})_{1}$ is omitted; it is similar to that of $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$.

### 3.1. Case $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$

In this subsection, we suppose condition $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$ on $V(x)$.

## Claim 3.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} & {\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[v_{K 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right] \mid } \\
\leq & \operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d) C_{2}\left[t ^ { 1 \wedge 2 \delta } \left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{2} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{2+\mu} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\mu / 2} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right) \\
& +t\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{2} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{2+\nu} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\nu / 2} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. In view of (3.14) and (3.20), we set

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{K 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma) & =\sum_{j=1}^{5} w_{K j}(t, x, y ; \sigma) e^{-t(V(x)+V(y)) / 2} \\
& =: \sum_{j=1}^{5} v_{K 1 j}(t, x, y ; \sigma) \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly

$$
\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[w_{K 3}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\nabla V(x)+\nabla V(y), \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}_{B}\left[B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right] d s\right\rangle=0
$$

and hence $\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[v_{K 13}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right]=0$. By the fact $\left(\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{t-s}\right)_{0 \leq s \leq t} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[w_{K 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma) p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\langle\nabla V(y), y-x\rangle\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}} d s p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]-\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{t-s}}{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{t-t}} d s p\left(\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{t-t}, x-y\right)\right]\right) \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[v_{K 12}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[v_{K 12}(t, x, y ; \sigma) p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]=0$. By $(\mathrm{A})_{2}(\mathrm{ii})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|v_{K 11}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|=\left|w_{K 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-t(V(x)+V(y)) / 2} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}|\nabla V(x)-\nabla V(y)||x-y| t e^{-t(V(x)+V(y)) / 2} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{C_{2}}{2}\left\{V(x)^{(1-2 \delta)+}\left(1+|x-y|^{\mu}\right)+1+|x-y|^{\nu}\right\}|x-y|^{2} t e^{-t V(x) / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{2}}{2}\left\{V(x)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}} e^{-t V(x) / 2} t\left(|x-y|^{2}+|x-y|^{2+\mu}\right)+t\left(|x-y|^{2}+|x-y|^{2+\nu}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{2}}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{2(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}} t^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\left(|x-y|^{2}+|x-y|^{2+\mu}\right)+t\left(|x-y|^{2}+|x-y|^{2+\nu}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Here (and hereafter) the following inequality has been (will be) used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{b} e^{-t} \leq\left(\frac{b}{e}\right)^{b}, \quad t \geq 0, b \geq 0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $b=0$ we understand $(0 / e)^{0}:=1$. By $(\mathrm{A})_{2}(\mathrm{ii})$ and (3.25) again

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|v_{K 14}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|=\left|w_{K 4}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-t(V(x)+V(y)) / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1}\left|\nabla V\left(x+\theta\left(\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right)\right)-\nabla V(x)\right| \\
& \quad \times\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right| d \theta e^{-t V(x) / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\{V(x)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}} e^{-t V(x) / 2}\left(\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\mu}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\quad+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\nu}\right\} d s \\
& \leq \frac{C_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left(\frac{2(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}} t^{-(1-2 \delta)_{+}}\right. \\
& \quad \times\left(\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\mu}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\nu}\right\} d s . \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|v_{K 15}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{C_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left(\frac{2(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}} t^{-(1-2 \delta)_{+}}\right. \\
& \times\left(\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\mu}\right) \\
&\left.+\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\nu}\right\} d s .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that for $a>0$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq \tau(\tau>0)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|\frac{\theta}{\tau} z+B_{0}^{\tau}(\theta)\right|^{a}\right] & \leq 3^{(a-1)_{+}}\left(|z|^{a}+2 C(a, d) \tau^{a / 2}\right), \\
\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|\frac{\tau-\theta}{\tau} z+B_{0}^{\tau}(\theta)\right|^{a}\right] & \leq 3^{(a-1)+}\left(|z|^{a}+2 C(a, d) \tau^{a / 2}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C(a, d):=\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[|B(1)|^{a}\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|y|^{a} p(1, y) d y$. Thus, taking expectation $\mathbb{E}_{B}$ in (3.26) and (3.27), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{K 14}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|\right]+\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{K 15}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|\right] \\
& \leq C_{2}\left\{\left(\frac{2(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{(1-2 \delta)+} t^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right. \\
& \quad \times\left(3|x-y|^{2}+6 C(2, d) \sigma_{t}+3^{1+\mu}|x-y|^{2+\mu}+3^{1+\mu} 2 C(2+\mu, d) \sigma_{t}^{1+\mu / 2}\right) \\
& \quad+ \\
& \left.\quad t\left(3|x-y|^{2}+6 C(2, d) \sigma_{t}+3^{1+\nu}|x-y|^{2+\nu}+3^{1+\nu} 2 C(2+\nu, d) \sigma_{t}^{1+\nu / 2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting all the above into (3.23) yields the estimate in Claim 3.1 and the proof is complete.

## Claim 3.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} & {\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{K 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right] } \\
\leq & \operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d)\left[C_{1}^{2}\left(t^{2}+t^{2 \delta}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{2} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right)\right. \\
& +C_{2}^{2} t^{2(1 \wedge 2 \delta)}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{4} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{2} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{4+2 \mu} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{2+\mu} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +C_{2}^{2} t^{2}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{4} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{2} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{4+2 \nu} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{2+\nu} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By $(\mathrm{A})_{2}(\mathrm{i})$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{3} w_{K j}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|= & \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\nabla V(x), \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\nabla V(y), \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right\rangle \right\rvert\, \\
\leq & \frac{C_{1}}{2}\left\{\left(1+V(x)^{1-\delta}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right| d s\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(1+V(y)^{1-\delta}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right| d s\right\} . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

This estimate together with (3.26) and (3.27) gives us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|w_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-\theta t(V(x)+V(y)) / 4} \\
& \leq\left|\sum_{j=1}^{3} w_{K j}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-\theta t(V(x)+V(y)) / 4}+\sum_{j=4}^{5}\left|w_{K j}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-\theta t(V(x)+V(y)) / 4} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{1}}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{4(1-\delta)}{e}\right)^{1-\delta} \theta^{-1+\delta} t^{-1+\delta}\right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|+\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|\right) d s \\
& +\frac{C_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} d s\left\{\theta^{-(1-2 \delta)_{+}} t^{-(1-2 \delta)+}\left(\frac{4(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}}\right. \\
& \quad \times\left(\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\mu}\right. \\
& \quad \quad \quad\left|\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\mu}\right) \\
& \quad+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\nu} \\
& \left.\quad+\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\nu}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Schwarz inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left|w_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-\theta t(V(x)+V(y)) / 4}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 12\left[\left(\frac{C_{1}}{2}\right)^{2}\left(t+\left(\frac{4(1-\delta)}{e}\right)^{2(1-\delta)} \theta^{-2+2 \delta} t^{-1+2 \delta}\right)\right. \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2} d s\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{C_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{4(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{2(1-2 \delta)_{+}} \theta^{-2(1-2 \delta)+} t^{2(1 \wedge 2 \delta)-1}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{t}| | \frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+\left.B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{4} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{4+2 \mu} d s\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{4} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{4+2 \mu} d s\right) \\
&+t\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{4} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{4+2 \nu} d s\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{4} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{4+2 \nu} d s\right)\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Take expectation $\mathbb{E}_{B}$ above, and integrate in $\theta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{K 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}_{B}\left[w_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \theta e^{-\theta t(V(x)+V(y)) / 2} d \theta\right] \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \theta \mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left(\left|w_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-\theta t(V(x)+V(y)) / 4}\right)^{2}\right] d \theta \\
& \leq 12\left[\left(\frac{C_{1}}{2}\right)^{2} 3\left(t^{2}+\left(\frac{4(1-\delta)}{e}\right)^{2(1-\delta)} \frac{1}{\delta} t^{2 \delta}\right)\left(|x-y|^{2}+2 C(2, d) \sigma_{t}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{C_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{4(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{2(1-2 \delta)+} \frac{1}{1 \wedge 2 \delta} t^{2(1 \wedge 2 \delta)}\right. \\
& \quad \times\left[3^{3}\left(|x-y|^{4}+2 C(4, d) \sigma_{t}^{2}\right)+3^{3+2 \mu}\left(|x-y|^{4+2 \mu}+2 C(4+2 \mu, d) \sigma_{t}^{2+\mu}\right)\right] \\
& \left.\left.\quad+t^{2}\left[3^{3}\left(|x-y|^{4}+2 C(4, d) \sigma_{t}^{2}\right)+3^{3+2 \nu}\left(|x-y|^{4+2 \nu}+2 C(4+2 \nu, d) \sigma_{t}^{2+\nu}\right)\right]\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

whence follows immediately the estimate in Claim 3.2.

## Claim 3.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} & {\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right], \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right] } \\
\leq & \operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d) \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left[C_{1}^{j}\left(t^{j}+t^{j \delta}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{j} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j / 2} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right)\right. \\
& +C_{2}^{j} t^{j(1 \wedge 2 \delta)}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{2 j} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{j(2+\mu)} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j(1+\mu / 2)} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right) \\
& +C_{2}^{j} t^{j}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{2 j} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{j(2+\nu)} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{j(1+\nu / 2)} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right]\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Similarly to what is done in (3.29), (3.26) and (3.27), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|w_{G 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-r t V(x)} \\
& \quad \leq C_{1}\left(1+\left(\frac{1-\delta}{e}\right)^{1-\delta}(r t)^{-1+\delta}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right| d s \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|w_{G 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-r t V(x)} \\
& \leq C_{2}\left[\left(\frac{(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}}(r t)^{-(1-2 \delta)_{+}}\right. \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\mu}\right) d s \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\nu}\right) d s\right],  \tag{3.31}\\
& \left|w_{R 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-r t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right)} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left(1+\left(\frac{1-\delta}{e}\right)^{1-\delta}(r t)^{-1+\delta}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left|B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right| d s,  \tag{3.32}\\
& \left|w_{R 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-r t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right)} \\
& \leq C_{2}\left[\left(\frac{(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}}(r t)^{-(1-2 \delta)_{+}}\right. \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t, y}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t, y}}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t, y}}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right|^{2+\mu}\right) d s \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t, y}}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t, y}}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right|^{2+\nu}\right) d s\right] . \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.15), (3.16), (3.21) and (3.22), note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|v_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| \\
& \leq\left|w_{G 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-t V(x)}+\left|w_{G 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-t V(x)} \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{1} \theta\left(\left|w_{G 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-\theta t V(x) / 2}+\left|w_{G 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-\theta t V(x) / 2}\right)^{2} d \theta  \tag{3.34}\\
& \left|v_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| \\
& \leq \\
& \leq\left|w_{R 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right)}+\left|w_{R 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right)} \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{1} \theta\left(\left|w_{R 1}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-\theta t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right) / 2}\right.  \tag{3.35}\\
& \left.\quad \quad+\left|w_{R 2}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| e^{-\theta t V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma t, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right) / 2}\right)^{2} d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Also note that for $a>0$ and $0 \leq \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \leq \tau(\tau>0)$ (cf. (3.28))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|B_{0, x}^{\tau, y}\left(\theta_{1}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\tau, y}\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right|^{a}\right] \leq 3^{(a-1)_{+}}\left(|x-y|^{a}+2 C(a, d) \tau^{a / 2}\right) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting all the above yields the estimate in Claim 3.3 immediately.
We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.1(iii). To do so, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then, for $a, b \geq 0$ with $C(a, d)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|y|^{a} p(1, y) d y$,

$$
f_{a, b}(t):=\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)| \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|\cdot-y|^{a} \sigma_{t}^{b} p\left(\sigma_{t}, \cdot-y\right)\right] d y\right\|_{p}
$$

$$
\leq C(a, d) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a / 2+b}\right]\|f\|_{p}, \quad f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

Proof. For $p=\infty$, the described estimate is obvious. So let $1 \leq p<\infty$. First we note the Minkowski inequality for integrals: If $h(x, y)$ is a measurable function on a $\sigma$-finite product measure space $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \alpha(d x) \times \beta(d y))$, then

$$
\left(\int_{\mathcal{Y}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{X}}|h(x, y)| \alpha(d x)\right)^{p} \beta(d y)\right)^{1 / p} \leq \int_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{Y}}|h(x, y)|^{p} \beta(d y)\right)^{1 / p} \alpha(d x) .
$$

Note also that for $c \geq 0$

$$
\left\|\int _ { \mathbb { R } ^ { d } } \left|f(y)\left\|\cdot-\left.y\right|^{c} p(\tau, \cdot-y) d y\right\|_{p} \leq C(c, d) \tau^{c / 2}\|f\|_{p}\right.\right.
$$

By these inequalities, the estimate is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)| \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|\cdot-y|^{a} \sigma_{t}^{b} p\left(\sigma_{t}, \cdot-y\right)\right] d y\right\|_{p} & \leq \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|f(y)\left\|\cdot-\left.y\right|^{a} \sigma_{t}^{b} p\left(\sigma_{t}, \cdot-y\right) d y\right\|_{p}\right]\right.\right. \\
& \leq C(a, d) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a / 2+b}\right]\|f\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1(iii). By Claims 3.1, 3.2 with (3.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|Q_{K}(t) f\right\|_{p} \leq \| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)|\left|\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[v_{K 1}(t, \cdot, y ; \sigma)\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, \cdot-y\right)\right]\right| d y \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)| \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{K 2}(t, \cdot, y ; \sigma)\right|\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, \cdot-y\right)\right] d y \|_{p} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d)\left[C_{1}^{2}\left(t^{2}+t^{2 \delta}\right)\left(f_{2,0}(t)+f_{0,1}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{C_{2}^{j} t^{j(1 \wedge 2 \delta)}\left(f_{2 j, 0}(t)+f_{0, j}(t)+f_{j(2+\mu), 0}(t)+f_{0, j(1+\mu / 2)}(t)\right)\right. \\
&\left.\left.\quad \quad+C_{2}^{j} t^{j}\left(f_{2 j, 0}(t)+f_{0, j}(t)+f_{j(2+\nu), 0}(t)+f_{0, j(1+\nu / 2)}(t)\right)\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By Claim 3.3 with (3.8), (3.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|Q_{R}^{G}(t) f\right\|_{p} \leq & \left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)| \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{R}^{G}(t, \cdot, y ; \sigma)\right|\right] p\left(\sigma_{t}, \cdot-y\right)\right] d y\right\|_{p} \\
\leq & \operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d) \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left[C_{1}^{j}\left(t^{j}+t^{j \delta}\right)\left(f_{j, 0}(t)+f_{0, j / 2}(t)\right)\right. \\
& +C_{2}^{j} t^{j(1 \wedge 2 \delta)}\left(f_{2 j, 0}(t)+f_{0, j}(t)+f_{j(2+\mu), 0}(t)+f_{0, j(1+\mu / 2)}(t)\right) \\
& \left.+C_{2}^{j} t^{j}\left(f_{2 j, 0}(t)+f_{0, j}(t)+f_{j(2+\nu), 0}(t)+f_{0, j(1+\nu / 2)}(t)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these with Lemma 3.1 we have the assertion of Theorem 2.1(iii).

### 3.2. Case (A) ${ }_{0}$

In this subsection, we suppose condition $(\mathrm{A})_{0}$ on $V(x)$. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|v_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| & \leq\left|w_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{C_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{t}| | \frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+\left.B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{\gamma} d s+\frac{C_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(x-y)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{\gamma} d s, \\
\left|v_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| & \leq\left|w_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\sigma_{t}}(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right|^{\gamma} d s \\
\left|v_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| & \leq\left|w_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right| \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)-B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{t / 2}\right)\right|^{\gamma} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here taking expectation $\mathbb{E}_{B}$, we have by (3.28) or (3.36),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{K}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|\right], \mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{G}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|\right], \mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|v_{R}(t, x, y ; \sigma)\right|\right] \\
& \quad \leq C_{1} t\left(|x-y|^{\gamma}+2 C(\gamma, d) \sigma_{t}^{\gamma / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, by (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|Q_{K}(t) f(x)\right|,\left|Q_{G}(t) f(x)\right|,\left|Q_{R}(t) f(x)\right| \\
& \leq C_{1} t\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)| \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[|x-y|^{\gamma} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right] d y\right. \\
& \left.\quad+2 C(\gamma, d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)| \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\sigma_{t}^{\gamma / 2} p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right] d y\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and Lemma 3.1 the assertion of Theorem 2.1(i) follows immediately.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

For notational simplicity we set $H_{0}:=H_{0}^{\psi}$ and $H:=H_{0}+V$, in the following, so that $K(t)=$ $e^{-t V / 2} e^{-t H_{0}} e^{-t V / 2}, G(t)=e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0}}$ and $R(t)=e^{-t H_{0} / 2} e^{-t V} e^{-t H_{0} / 2}$.

### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.2 for $K(t)$

Since $K(t)$ and $e^{-s H}$ are contractions, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} & =\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n-1-k}\left(K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)-e^{-t H / n}\right) e^{-k t H / n}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left\|K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)-e^{-t H / n}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& =n\left\|Q_{K}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with the estimates for $Q_{K}(t)$ in Theorem 2.1, the desired bound for $K(t / n)^{n}-e^{-t H}$ in Case $(\mathrm{A})_{0},(\mathrm{~A})_{1}$ or $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$ is obtained immediately.

### 4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2 for $G(t)$ and $R(t)$ in Case (A) $)_{0}$

In the same way as above

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|G\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} & \leq n\left\|Q_{G}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
\left\|R\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} & \leq n\left\|Q_{R}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which together with Theorem 2.1(i), the desired bounds follow immediately.

### 4.3. Proof of Theorem 2.2 for $G(t)$ and $R(t)$ in Case (A) $)_{1}$ or (A) $)_{2}$

In this subsection we suppose that $V(x)$ satisfies $(\mathrm{A})_{1}$ or $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$.
We first observe that for $t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}= & e^{-t V / 2 n}\left(K\left(\frac{n-1}{n} t \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}-e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right) e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0} / n} \\
& +\left[e^{-t V / 2 n}, e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right] e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0} / n}+e^{-(n-1) t H / n} Q_{G}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right), \\
R\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}= & e^{-t H_{0} / 2 n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\left(K\left(\frac{n-1}{n} t \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}-e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right) e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0} / 2 n} \\
& +e^{-t H_{0} / 2 n}\left[e^{-t V / 2 n}, e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right] e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0} / 2 n} \\
& +\left[e^{-t H_{0} / 2 n}, e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right] e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0} / 2 n}+e^{-(n-1) t H / n} Q_{R}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $[A, B]=A B-B A$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|G\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq & \left\|K\left(\frac{n-1}{n} t \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}-e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& +\left\|\left[e^{-t V / 2 n}, e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right]\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}+\left\|Q_{G}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}  \tag{4.1}\\
\left\|R\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq & \left\|K\left(\frac{n-1}{n} t \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}-e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& +\left\|\left[e^{-t V / 2 n}, e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right]\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}+\left\|\left[e^{-t H_{0} / 2 n}, e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right]\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& +\left\|Q_{R}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

As for the first term on the RHS of (4.1) and (4.2), we see by Theorem 2.2 which was proved in Section 4.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|K\left(\frac{n-1}{n} t \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}-e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d)\left[C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2 \delta}\right)(n-1) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(C_{2} \frac{t}{n}\right)^{j}(n-1) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right], \\
\text { in Case (A) }, \\
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d)\left[C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2 \delta}\right)(n-1) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{( C _ { 2 } \frac { t } { n } ) ^ { j } \left((n-1) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]\right.\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.+(n-1) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\nu / 2)}\right]\right)+\left(C_{2}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j}\left((n-1) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+(n-1) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\mu / 2)}\right]\right)\right\}\right], \\
\text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

As for the third term on the RHS of (4.1) and the fourth term of (4.2), we see by Theorem 2.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|Q_{G}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|Q_{R}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d) \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{C_{1}^{j}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{j}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{j \delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j / 2}\right]+\left(C_{2} \frac{t}{n}\right)^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right\}, & \text { in Case (A) }{ }_{1}, \\
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d) \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{C_{1}^{j}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{j}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{j \delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j / 2}\right]\right. & \\
+\left(C_{2}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\right)^{j}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\mu / 2)}\right]\right) \\
\left.+\left(C_{2} \frac{t}{n}\right)^{j}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(1+\nu / 2)}\right]\right)\right\}, & \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{2}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we need to estimate the middle terms of (4.1) and (4.2).

Claim 4.1. Let $s \geq 0$ and $t>0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left[e^{-s V}, e^{-t H}\right]\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|\left[e^{-s H_{0}}, e^{-t H}\right]\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d) s\left[C_{1}\left(1+t^{-1+\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right], & \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{1} \\
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d) s[ & C_{1}\left(1+t^{-1+\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} t^{-(1-2 \delta)+}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\mu / 2}\right]\right) \\
\left.+C_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\nu / 2}\right]\right)\right], & \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{2}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. First we estimate the $L_{p}$-operator norm of $\left[e^{-s V}, e^{-t H}\right]$. We have (by (A.13)) that for $f \in C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[e^{-s V}, e^{-t H}\right] f(x)} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(y)\left(e^{-s V(x)}-e^{-s V(y)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right) d r\right) p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right] d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left[e^{-s V}, e^{-t H}\right] f(x)\right| \\
& \leq s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)| \mathbb{E}\left[|V(y)-V(x)| \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right) d r\right) p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right] d y \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the integrand in (4.3), note by Taylor's theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(y)-V(x)= & \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\nabla V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right), y-x\right\rangle \frac{d r}{t} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} d \theta \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\nabla V(x+\theta(y-x))-\nabla V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right), y-x\right\rangle \frac{d r}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

In Case (A) ${ }_{1}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
|V(y)-V(x)| \leq & \int_{0}^{t} C_{1}\left(1+V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right)^{1-\delta}\right) \frac{d r}{t}|x-y| \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} d \theta \int_{0}^{t} C_{2}\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right|^{\kappa} d r \frac{|x-y|}{t} \\
\leq & C_{1}\left(1+t^{-1+\delta}\left(\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right) d r\right)^{1-\delta}\right)|x-y| \\
& +C_{2} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{1} d \theta \int_{0}^{t}\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right|^{\kappa} d r|x-y| \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality is due to Jensen's inequality. In Case (A) $)_{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& |V(y)-V(x)| \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} C_{1}\left(1+V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right)^{1-\delta}\right) \frac{d r}{t}|x-y| \\
&+\int_{0}^{1} d \theta \int_{0}^{t} C_{2}\left\{V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}}\left(1+\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right|^{\mu}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\quad+1+\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right|^{\nu}\right\}\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right| \frac{d r}{t}|x-y| \\
& \leq C_{1}\left(1+t^{-1+\delta}\left(\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right) d r\right)^{1-\delta}\right)|x-y| \\
&+C_{2} t^{-(1-2 \delta)+}\left(\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right) d r\right)^{(1-2 \delta)+} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\max _{0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{t}}\left|\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}(\sigma)\right|\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\max _{0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{t}}\left|\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}(\sigma)\right|^{1+\mu}\right) d \theta|x-y| \\
& \quad+C_{2} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{1} d \theta \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \quad\left.\quad\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right|^{1+\nu}\right) d r|x-y| .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.25), (4.4) and (4.5) imply the desired estimate:

$$
|V(y)-V(x)| \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right) d r\right)
$$

$$
\leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}\left(1+\left(\frac{1-\delta}{e}\right)^{1-\delta} t^{-1+\delta}\right)|x-y| \\
+C_{2} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{1} d \theta \int_{0}^{t}\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right|^{\kappa} d r|x-y|, \quad \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{1}, \\
C_{1}\left(1+\left(\frac{1-\delta}{e}\right)^{1-\delta} t^{-1+\delta}\right)|x-y| \\
+C_{2}\left(\frac{(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}} t^{-(1-2 \delta)_{+}} \\
\quad \times \int_{0}^{1}\left(\max _{0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{t}}\left|\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}(\sigma)\right|\right. \\
\left.\quad+\max _{0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{t}}\left|\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}(\sigma)\right|^{1+\mu}\right) d \theta|x-y| \\
+C_{2} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{1} d \theta \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right|\right. \\
\left.\quad+\left|\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma_{t}}-\theta\right)(y-x)+B_{0}^{\sigma_{t}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right|^{1+\nu}\right) d r|x-y|, \quad \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We take expectation $\mathbb{E}_{B}$ in the above. This time we use the following moment estimate: For $a>0, \tau>0,0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\left|\left(\frac{t}{\tau}-\theta\right) z+B_{0}^{\tau}(t)\right|^{a}\right] & \leq 3^{(a-1)+}\left(|z|^{a}+2 C(a, d) \tau^{a / 2}\right), \\
\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\max _{0 \leq t \leq \tau}\left|\left(\frac{t}{\tau}-\theta\right) z+B_{0}^{\tau}(t)\right|^{a}\right] & \leq 3^{(a-1)_{+}+}\left(|z|^{a}+2 \widetilde{C}(a, d) \tau^{a / 2}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C(a, d)=\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[|B(1)|^{a}\right]$ and $\widetilde{C}(a, d)=\mathbb{E}_{B}\left[\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|B(t)|^{a}\right]$, and thereby we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{B}\left[|V(y)-V(x)| \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t, y}}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)\right) d r\right)\right] \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}\left(1+\left(\frac{1-\delta}{e}\right)^{1-\delta} t^{-1+\delta}\right)|x-y|+C_{2}\left(|x-y|^{1+\kappa}+2 C(\kappa, d) \sigma_{t}^{\kappa / 2}|x-y|\right) \\
\text { in Case (A) })_{1}, \\
C_{1}\left(1+\left(\frac{1-\delta}{e}\right)^{1-\delta} t^{-1+\delta}\right)|x-y| \\
+C_{2}\left(\frac{(1-2 \delta)_{+}}{e}\right)^{(1-2 \delta)_{+}} t^{-(1-2 \delta)_{+}} \\
\quad \times\left(|x-y|+2 \widetilde{C}(1, d) \sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}+3^{\mu}\left(|x-y|^{1+\mu}+2 \widetilde{C}(1+\mu, d) \sigma_{t}^{(1+\mu) / 2}\right)\right)|x-y| \\
+ \\
+C_{2}\left(|x-y|+2 C(1, d) \sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}+3^{\nu}\left(|x-y|^{1+\nu}+2 C(1+\nu, d) \sigma_{t}^{(1+\nu) / 2}\right)\right)|x-y|, \\
\text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{2}
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence follows the desired bound for $\left[e^{-s V}, e^{-t H}\right]$ by Lemma 3.1 with (4.3).
Next we estimate the $L_{p}$-operator norm of $\left[e^{-s H_{0}}, e^{-t H}\right]$.
First we suppose that $V: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is in $C^{\infty}$ and all its derivatives have polynomial growth. Then it is easily verified that (cf. Claim A. 2 and its Remark)
(i) $e^{-t H}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right) \subset \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, in particular, $e^{-t H_{0}}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right) \subset \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and
(ii) $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset \bigcap_{1 \leq p \leq \infty} \mathfrak{D}\left(\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}\right) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq p \leq \infty} \mathfrak{D}\left(\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, 0}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}=\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, 0}-V$ on $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Here $\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}(1 \leq p<\infty)$ is the infinitesimal generator of $\left\{e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V\right)}\right\}$ on $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}^{\psi, V}$ the one on $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By these facts the following formula holds in $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)(1 \leq p<\infty)$ and $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ :

For each $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\left[e^{-s H_{0}}, e^{-t H}\right] f=\int_{0}^{s} e^{-u H_{0}}\left[V, e^{-t H}\right] e^{-(s-u) H_{0}} f d u
$$

Hence, taking $L_{p}$-norm in the above yields that for each $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[e^{-s H_{0}}, e^{-t H}\right] f\right\|_{p} \leq \int_{0}^{s}\left\|\left[V, e^{-t H}\right] e^{-(s-u) H_{0}} f\right\|_{p} d u . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $V$ satisfy $(\mathrm{A})_{1}$ or $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$. In this case $V$ is not necessarily smooth. So, take a nonnegative $h \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ with support in $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} ;|x| \leq 1\right\}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} h(x) d x=1$. Set $V^{\varepsilon}=V * h_{\varepsilon}$ with $h_{\varepsilon}(x)=(1 / \varepsilon)^{d} h(x / \varepsilon)$. Then $V^{\varepsilon}$ is in $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)\right)$, and satisfies condition (A) ${ }_{1}$ or $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$ with the same const's as $V$ does. Further, by $(\mathrm{A})_{1}(\mathrm{i})$ or $(\mathrm{A})_{2}(\mathrm{ii})$ all the derivatives of $V^{\varepsilon}$ have polynomial growth. Hence, by (4.7) and Lemma 3.1 it holds that for $g \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left[V^{\varepsilon}, e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V^{\varepsilon}\right)}\right] g\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d)\left[C_{1}\left(1+t^{-1+\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right]\|g\|_{p}, & \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{1}, \\
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d)[ & C_{1}\left(1+t^{-1+\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} t^{-(1-2 \delta)_{+}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\mu / 2}\right]\right) \\
\left.+C_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\nu / 2}\right]\right)\right]\|g\|_{p}, & \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{2} .
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since (4.8) holds with $V=V^{\varepsilon}$, by combining this with the above we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left[e^{-s H_{0}}, e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V^{\varepsilon}\right)}\right] f\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq \begin{cases}\operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d) s\left[C_{1}\left(1+t^{-1+\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right]\|f\|_{p}, & \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{1}, \\
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d) s[ & C_{1}\left(1+t^{-1+\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} t^{-(1-2 \delta)+}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\mu / 2}\right]\right) \\
\left.+C_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\nu / 2}\right]\right)\right]\|f\|_{p}, & \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{2}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Since $V^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow V$ compact uniformly, we see by the Feynman-Kac formula (A.6) that $e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V^{\varepsilon}\right)} f \rightarrow e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V\right)} f$ boundedly pointwise, so that $\left[e^{-s H_{0}}, e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V^{\varepsilon}\right)}\right] f \rightarrow$ $\left[e^{-s H_{0}}, e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V\right)}\right] f$ pointwise. Hence the desired bound for $\left[e^{-s H_{0}}, e^{-t\left(H_{0}+V\right)}\right]$ follows immediately by the Fatou inequality.

We return to estimate $G(t / n)^{n}-e^{-t H}$ and $R(t / n)^{n}-e^{-t H}$. By Claim 4.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left[e^{-t V / 2 n}, e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right]\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|\left[e^{-t H_{0} / 2 n}, e^{-(n-1) t H / n}\right]\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \kappa, d) \frac{1}{n}\left[C_{1}\left(t+t^{\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} t \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(1+\kappa) / 2}\right]\right], & \text { in Case }(\mathrm{A})_{1}, \\
\operatorname{const}(\delta, \mu, \nu, d) \frac{1}{n}\left[C_{1}\left(t+t^{\delta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} t^{1 \wedge 2 \delta}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\mu / 2}\right]\right)\right. \\
\left.+C_{2} t\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1+\nu / 2}\right]\right)\right], & \text { in Case (A) })_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, collecting all the estimates above yields the desired bounds for $G(t / n)^{n}-e^{-t H}$ and $R(t / n)^{n}-e^{-t H}$.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2.3

As in the previous section, we are setting $H_{0}=H_{0}^{\psi}$ and $H=H_{0}+V$.

### 5.1. Case $(\mathrm{V})_{2}$

Condition $(\mathrm{V})_{2}$ implies $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$ with $\delta=1 \wedge 1 / \rho, C_{1}=c_{1} c^{-(1-1 \wedge 1 / \rho)}, C_{2}=c_{2} 2^{(\rho-3)_{+}}$ $\left((1 / 2) c^{-(1-2(1 \wedge 1 / \rho))+} \vee 1\right), \mu=0$ and $\nu=(\rho-2)_{+}$. So this case follows immediately from Theorem 2.2(iii).

### 5.2. Case $(V)_{1}$

In this subsection we suppose condition $(\mathrm{V})_{1}$ on $V(x)$.
Let us adopt an idea in [D-I-Tam]. Take again a nonnegative $h \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ with support in $\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{d} ;|x| \leq 1\right\}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} h(x) d x=1$. For $0<\varepsilon \leq 1 / 4$, set

$$
V_{\varepsilon}(x):=\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon\langle x\rangle^{\eta}}\right)^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} h\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon\langle x\rangle^{\eta}}\right) V(y) d y,
$$

where $\eta:=((\rho-1) \vee 0) \wedge 1$. Then $V_{\varepsilon}$ is a smooth function and it satisfies the following:
Lemma 5.1. (i) $V_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq c^{\prime}\langle x\rangle^{\rho}$ where $c^{\prime}=c / 4^{\rho}$.
(ii) $\left|V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(x)\right| \leq C^{\prime} \varepsilon\langle x\rangle^{(\rho-1)_{+}+\eta}$ where $C^{\prime}=c_{1}(5 / 4)^{(\rho-1)_{+}}$.
(iii) $\left|\nabla V_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq c_{1}^{\prime}\langle x\rangle^{(\rho-1)_{+}}$where $c_{1}^{\prime}=c_{1}(5 / 4)^{\rho \vee 1}$.
(iv) $\left|\nabla V_{\varepsilon}(x)-\nabla V_{\varepsilon}(y)\right| \leq(1 / \varepsilon) c_{2}^{\prime}\left\{\langle x\rangle^{(\rho-2 \lambda)_{+}}+|x-y|^{(\rho-2 \lambda)_{+}}\right\}|x-y|$ where $\lambda:=(1+\eta) / 2$ and $c_{2}^{\prime}=c_{1}(5 / 4)^{(\rho-1)+} 2^{(\rho-3)+}(5 d / 16+2)$.

The proof is not difficult, so is omitted (cf. [Tak]).
As a consequence of Lemma 5.1, it is easily seen that $V_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies condition $(\mathrm{A})_{2}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{A})_{2, \varepsilon} & \left|\nabla V_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}^{\prime} V_{\varepsilon}(x)^{1-1 \wedge \lambda / \rho}, \\
& \left|\nabla V_{\varepsilon}(x)-\nabla V_{\varepsilon}(y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} C_{2}^{\prime}\left\{V_{\varepsilon}(x)^{\left(1-2(1 \wedge \lambda / \rho)_{+}\right.}+|x-y|^{(\rho-2)_{+}}\right\}|x-y|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}^{\prime}=c_{1}^{\prime} c^{\prime-(1-1 \wedge \lambda / \rho)}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}=c_{2}^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime-(1-2(1 \wedge \lambda / \rho))}+\vee 1\right)$. Indeed, by the definition of $\lambda$, we have $\rho-\rho \wedge \lambda \geq(\rho-1)_{+},(\rho-2(\rho \wedge \lambda))_{+}=(\rho-2 \lambda)_{+}=(\rho-2)_{+}$. Hence (A) $)_{2, \varepsilon}$ follows, because, by (i) with $\langle x\rangle \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\varepsilon}(x)^{1-1 \wedge \lambda / \rho} & \geq\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{1-1 \wedge \lambda / \rho}\langle x\rangle^{\rho-\rho \wedge \lambda} \geq\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{1-1 \wedge \lambda / \rho}\langle x\rangle^{(\rho-1)_{+}}, \\
V_{\varepsilon}(x)^{(1-2(1 \wedge \lambda / \rho))_{+}} & \geq\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{(1-2(1 \wedge \lambda / \rho))_{+}}\langle x\rangle \\
(\rho-2(\rho \wedge \lambda))_{+} & =\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{(1-2(1 \wedge \lambda / \rho))_{+}}\langle x\rangle^{(\rho-2 \lambda)_{+}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows we write $c, C, c_{1}, c_{2}, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ simply for $c^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}, C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}$.
Now let $K_{\varepsilon}(t):=e^{-t V_{\varepsilon} / 2} e^{-t H_{0}} e^{-t V_{\varepsilon} / 2}, G_{\varepsilon}(t):=e^{-t V_{\varepsilon}} e^{-t H_{0}}$ and $R_{\varepsilon}(t):=e^{-t H_{0} / 2} e^{-t V_{\varepsilon}} e^{-t H_{0} / 2}$.

Claim 5.1. Let $t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then with $H^{\varepsilon}=H_{0}+V_{\varepsilon}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|K_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H^{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}(\rho, d)\left[C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2(1 \wedge \lambda / \rho)}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]+\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho} t^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]\right\}\right] \\
&\left\|G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H^{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|R_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H^{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}(\rho, d)\left[\frac{1}{n} C_{1}\left(t+t^{1 \wedge \lambda / \rho}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]\right. \\
&+C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right)+C_{1}\left(\frac{t}{n}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge \lambda / \rho}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{1 / 2}\right] \\
&+C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2(1 \wedge \lambda / \rho)}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho} t^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is obvious from $(\mathrm{A})_{2, \varepsilon}$ and Theorem 2.2 (iii).
Claim 5.2. Let $t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{-t H}-e^{-t H^{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}, \\
& \left\|K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-K_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|G\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|R\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-R_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \quad \leq \operatorname{const}(C, c, \rho) \varepsilon t^{2 /((\rho \wedge 2) \mathrm{V} 1)-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) with (A.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(e^{-t H}-e^{-t H^{\varepsilon}}\right) f(x)\right| \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right)-\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right)\right|\left|f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right|\right]  \tag{5.1}\\
& \left|\left(K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-K_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right) f(x)\right| \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\lvert\, \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)+V\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\exp \left(-\frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)+V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)\right)\right)| | f\left(x+X_{t}\right) \right\rvert\,\right]  \tag{5.2}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\left|\left(G\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right) f(x)\right| \\
\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\lvert\, \exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n)}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\quad-\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)\right)| | f\left(x+X_{t}\right) \right\rvert\,\right]
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(R\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-R_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right) f(x)\right| \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\lvert\, \exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V\left(x+X_{(2 k-1) t / 2 n}\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(2 k-1) t / 2 n}\right)\right)| | f\left(x+X_{t}\right) \right\rvert\,\right] \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By a formula

$$
e^{-a}-e^{-b}=\int_{0}^{1}(b-a) e^{-\theta a} e^{-(1-\theta) b} d \theta, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right)-\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} d \theta \int_{0}^{t}\left|V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{s}\right)-V\left(x+X_{s}\right)\right| d s \\
& \times \exp \left(-\theta \int_{0}^{t} V\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right) \exp \left(-(1-\theta) \int_{0}^{t} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right) \\
& \leq C \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle x+X_{s}\right\rangle^{(\rho-1)_{+}+\eta} d s \exp \left(-c \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle x+X_{s}\right\rangle^{\rho} d s\right), \\
& \left\lvert\, \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)+V\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\exp \left(-\frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)+V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)\right)\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} d \theta \frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left|V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)-V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)-V\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(-\theta \frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)+V\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(-(1-\theta) \frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)+V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq C \varepsilon\left(\frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right\rangle^{(\rho-1)_{+}+\eta}+\frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x+X_{k t / n}\right\rangle^{(\rho-1)_{+}+\eta}\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(-c \frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right\rangle^{\rho}\right) \exp \left(-c \frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x+X_{k t / n}\right\rangle^{\rho}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq C \varepsilon \frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right\rangle^{(\rho-1))_{+}+\eta} \exp \left(-c \frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right\rangle^{\rho}\right), \\
& \left\lvert\, \exp \left(\left.-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V\left(x+X_{(2 k-1) t / 2 n)}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(2 k-1) t / 2 n}\right)\right) \right\rvert\,\right.\right. \\
& \leq C \varepsilon \frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x+X_{(2 k-1) t / 2 n}\right\rangle^{(\rho-1)_{+}+\eta} \exp \left(-c \frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x+X_{(2 k-1) t / 2 n}\right\rangle^{\rho}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Jensen's inequality and (3.25),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right)-\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right)\right| \\
& \left|\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \left|\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V\left(x+X_{(2 k-1) t / 2 n}\right)\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(2 k-1) t / 2 n}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq C \varepsilon t^{1-\left((\rho-1)_{+}+\eta\right) / \rho}\left(\frac{(\rho-1)_{+}+\eta}{\rho} \frac{1}{e c}\right)^{\left((\rho-1)_{+}+\eta\right) / \rho} \\
& \left\lvert\, \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(V\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)+V\left(x+X_{k t / n}\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& -\exp \left(\left.-\frac{t}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{(k-1) t / n}\right)+V_{\varepsilon}\left(x+X_{k t / n)}\right)\right) \right\rvert\,\right. \\
& \leq C \varepsilon\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{1-\left((\rho-1)_{+}+\eta\right) / \rho} 2_{2}\left(\frac{(\rho-1)_{+}+\eta}{\rho} \frac{1}{e c}\right)^{\left((\rho-1)_{+}+\eta\right) / \rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $\rho=0$ we understand $\left((\rho-1)_{+}+\eta\right) / \rho=0$. Substituting these into (5.1), (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4), respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(e^{-t H}-e^{-t H^{\varepsilon}}\right) f(x)\right| \\
& \left|\left(K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-K_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right) f(x)\right|,\left|\left(G\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right) f(x)\right|,\left|\left(R\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-R_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right) f(x)\right| \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}(C, c, \rho) \varepsilon t^{2 /((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1)-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply the estimates in Claim 5.2 and the proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 2.3(i). By Claims 5.1 and 5.2

$$
\left\|K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \left\|K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-K_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}+\left\|K_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H^{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p}+\left\|e^{-t H^{\varepsilon}}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
\leq & \operatorname{const}(\rho, C, c, d)\left[\varepsilon t^{2 /((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1)-1}+C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2(1 \wedge \lambda / \rho)}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]\right. \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho} t^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]\right\}\right] \\
\| & G\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\left\|_{p \rightarrow p},\right\| R\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H} \|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
\leq & \operatorname{const}(\rho, C, c, d)\left[\varepsilon t^{2 /((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1)-1}+\frac{1}{n} C_{1}\left(t+t^{1 \wedge \lambda / \rho}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]\right. \\
& +C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right) \\
& +C_{1}\left(\frac{t}{n}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge \lambda / \rho}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{1 / 2}\right]+C_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2(1 \wedge \lambda / \rho)}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right] \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n} t\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(C_{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho} t^{1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho}\right)^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $n \geq 2^{2(2 \vee \rho)}$ and $\varepsilon:=n^{-(1 / 2) \wedge(\lambda / \rho)}=n^{-1 / 2 \vee \rho}$. Then $\varepsilon \leq 1 / 4, \varepsilon^{-1} n^{-1 \wedge 2 \lambda / \rho}=n^{-1 / 2 \vee \rho}$ and $\varepsilon^{-1} n^{-1} \leq n^{-1 / 2 \vee \rho}$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|K\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}\left(\rho, C, c, C_{1}, C_{2}, d\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 / 2 \vee \rho}\left[t^{2 /((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1)-1}+\left(t^{2}+t^{2(1 \wedge((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1) / 2 \rho)}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(t^{j}+t^{j 2 / 2 \vee \rho}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+t^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right\}\right], \\
& \left\|G\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p},\left\|R\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}-e^{-t H}\right\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\
& \leq \\
& \\
& \\
& \quad \operatorname{const}\left(\rho, C, c, C_{1}, C_{2}, d\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 / 2 \vee \rho}\left[t^{2 /((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1)-1}+\left(t+t^{1 \wedge((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1) / 2 \rho}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{1 / 2}\right]\right. \\
& \quad+t^{2 / 2 \vee \rho} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+t\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right)+\left(t^{2}+t^{2(1 \wedge((\rho \wedge 2) \vee 1) / 2 \rho)}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}\right] \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\left(t^{j}+t^{j 2 / 2 \vee \rho}\right) n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j}\right]+t^{j} n \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t / n}^{j(2 \vee \rho) / 2}\right]\right\}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is complete.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 2.4

For $a>0$, the proof will be given, divided into the three cases $a=1, a>1$ and $0<a<1$. First we note that for every $a>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right]<\infty \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, it is enough to show when $a=\nu$ is a positive integer. To do so, let $\varphi_{t}$ be the characteristic function of $\sigma_{t}$, i.e., $\varphi_{t}(\xi)=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\sqrt{-1} \xi \sigma_{t}}\right]$. We have $\varphi_{t}(\xi)=e^{-t f(\xi)}$, where

$$
f(\xi)=\int_{(0, \infty)}\left(1-e^{\sqrt{-1} \xi l}\right) e^{-l / 2} n(d l)
$$

Since smoothness of $\varphi_{t}(\xi)$ near $\xi=0$ implies existence of moments of $\sigma_{t}$ (cf. Exercise 2.6(viii) in [It]), we have only to show that $\varphi_{t}$ or $f$ is in $C^{\infty}$ near $\xi=0$. But this is obvious, because, by a property of the Lévy measure $n$, the integral $\int_{(0, \infty)} l^{\nu} e^{-l / 2} n(d l)$ is convergent, so that by the Lebesgue convergence theorem

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d \xi}\right)^{\nu} f(\xi)=-\int_{(0, \infty)}(\sqrt{-1} l)^{\nu} e^{\sqrt{-1} \xi l} e^{-l / 2} n(d l) .
$$

By Itô's formula (e.g. [Ik-Wa]),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{t}^{a} & =\int_{0}^{t+} \int_{(0, \infty)}\left\{\left(\sigma_{s-}+l\right)^{a}-\sigma_{s-}^{a}\right\} N(d s d l) \\
& =\int_{0}^{t+} \int_{(0, \infty)} a \int_{0}^{1}\left(\sigma_{s-}+\theta l\right)^{a-1} d \theta l N(d s d l),
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, by taking expectation $\mathbb{E}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right]=\int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{(0, \infty)} l e^{-l / 2} n(d l) a \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sigma_{s}+\theta l\right)^{a-1}\right] d \theta \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is further, by the change of variable $r=\frac{s}{t}$, rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right]=\int_{0}^{1} d r \int_{(0, \infty)} l e^{-l / 2} n(d l) a \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sigma_{t r}+\theta l\right)^{a-1}\right] d \theta \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.1. The case $a=1$

By (6.3), it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}\right]=\int_{(0, \infty)} l e^{-l / 2} n(d l) \in(0, \infty) . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2. The case $a>1$

By (6.1) and (6.3), $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sigma_{r}+\theta l\right)^{a-1}\right]$ is of course integrable on $(0, \infty) \times[0,1] \times[0,1]$ w.r.t. $l e^{-l / 2} n(d l) d r d \theta$. Since $\sigma_{t}$ is increasing in $t$ with $\sigma_{0+}=\sigma_{0}=0$ and $a-1>0$, we have $\left(\sigma_{t r}+\theta l\right)^{a-1} \downarrow \theta^{a-1} l^{a-1}$ as $t \downarrow 0$. It follows by the Lebesgue convergence theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right] \downarrow \int_{(0, \infty)} l^{a} e^{-l / 2} n(d l) \in(0, \infty) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.3. The case $0<a<1$

By the same reason as above (but in this case, $a-1<0$ ), we have $\left(\sigma_{t r}+\theta l\right)^{a-1} \uparrow \theta^{a-1} l^{a-1}$ as $t \downarrow 0$, and hence, by the monotone convergence theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right] \uparrow \int_{(0, \infty)} l^{a} e^{-l / 2} n(d l) \in(0, \infty] . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This time the integral on the RHS is not always convergent. To find the exact asymptotics we suppose assumption (L).
We start with a remark on $(\mathrm{L})$ and $\psi(\lambda)$ defined by (1.3):
Fact. (i) If $0 \leq \alpha<1$, then

$$
\psi(\lambda) \sim \Gamma(1-\alpha) \lambda^{\alpha} L(\lambda) \quad \text { as } \lambda \uparrow \infty
$$

(ii) If $\alpha=1$, then $\int_{0}^{\dot{0}} n((s, \infty)) d s$ is slowly varying at zero, $L(1 / t)=o\left(\int_{0}^{t} n((s, \infty)) d s\right)$ as $t \downarrow 0$ and

$$
\psi(\lambda) \sim \lambda \int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} n((s, \infty)) d s \quad \text { as } \lambda \uparrow \infty
$$

Proof. First of all note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \infty>\int_{(0, \infty)} l \wedge 1 n(d l)=\int_{0}^{1} n((t, \infty)) d t,  \tag{6.7}\\
& \psi(\lambda)=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} d\left(\int_{0}^{t} n((s, \infty)) d s\right) . \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By (1.6), $n((1 / y, \infty)) \sim y^{\alpha} L(y)$ as $y \uparrow \infty$, and by (6.7),

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{2}} n\left(\left(\frac{1}{y}, \infty\right)\right) d y=\int_{0}^{1 / x} n((s, \infty)) d s<\infty \quad \text { for any } x>0
$$

Let us apply Lemma and Theorem 1 of $\S$ VIII. 9 in [Fe]. These say that $\int_{\text {. }}{ }^{\infty} 1 / y^{2} n((1 / y, \infty)) d y$ is regularly varying with exponent $-1+\alpha$ and

$$
\frac{(1 / x) n((1 / x, \infty))}{\int_{x}^{\infty} 1 / y^{2} n((1 / y, \infty)) d y} \longrightarrow 1-\alpha \quad \text { as } x \uparrow \infty
$$

Combining these with (1.6), we see that when $0 \leq \alpha<1$

$$
\int_{0}^{t} n((s, \infty)) d s \sim \frac{1}{1-\alpha} t n((t, \infty)) \sim \frac{1}{1-\alpha} t^{1-\alpha} L\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0
$$

and that when $\alpha=1, \int_{0}^{\cdot} n((s, \infty)) d s$ is slowly varying at zero and $L(1 / t)=o\left(\int_{0}^{t} n((s, \infty)) d s\right)$ as $t \downarrow 0$.
By virtue of (6.8), if we apply the Abelian theorem (cf. Theorem 2 of $\S$ XIII. 5 in [Fe]), the asymptotics of $\psi$ follow from those of $\int_{0}^{\cdot} n((s, \infty)) d s$.

Remark. Conversely, when $0 \leq \alpha<1$, we have (1.6) by Fact (i) by the Tauberian theorem.

Recall functions $\phi, L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ around assumption (L) in Section 1. By Fact, $L_{1}$ is slowly varying at infinity and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\lambda) \sim \lambda^{\alpha} L_{1}(\lambda) \quad \text { as } \lambda \uparrow \infty . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\psi$ is strictly increasing with $\psi(0)=0$ and $\psi(\infty)=\infty$, so is $\phi$, so that the inverse $\phi^{-1}$ exists. By (6.9), if $0<\alpha \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{-1}(x) \sim x^{1 / \alpha} L_{2}(x) \quad \text { as } x \uparrow \infty . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, by (6.9) again, $\phi$ is regularly varying with exponent $\alpha$, so is $\phi^{-1}$ with exponent $1 / \alpha$, and hence $L_{2}$ and $\int_{0}^{\circ}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta(0<\alpha<1)$ are also slowly varying at infinity.

Now we are in a position to show the asymptotics of $\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right]$ for $0<a<1$.
Claim 6.1. (i) If $0<a<\alpha$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right] \sim \frac{\Gamma(1-a / \alpha)}{\Gamma(1-a)} t^{a / \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-a} \sim \frac{\Gamma(1-a / \alpha)}{\Gamma(1-a)} \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-a} \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0 .
$$

(ii) If $a=\alpha$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{\alpha}\right] \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t \int_{0}^{1 / t}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0 .
$$

(iii) If $\alpha<a<1$, then $\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a} \phi(\lambda) d \lambda \in(0, \infty)$ and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right] \sim t \frac{a}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a} \phi(\lambda) d \lambda \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0
$$

Proof. To rewrite (6.2), we see first with (2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sigma_{s}+\theta l\right)^{a-1}\right] & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-a} e^{-\lambda \theta l} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda \sigma_{s}}\right] d \lambda \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-a} e^{-\lambda \theta l} e^{-s \phi(\lambda)} d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

and then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right] & =\frac{a}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a} e^{-s \phi(\lambda)} d \lambda \int_{(0, \infty)}\left(1-e^{-\lambda l}\right) e^{-l / 2} n(d l) \\
& =\frac{a}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a} \phi(\lambda) e^{-s \phi(\lambda)} d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\lambda$-integral in the last line is further computed by the change of variable $\lambda=\phi^{-1}(x)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a} \phi(\lambda) e^{-s \phi(\lambda)} d \lambda \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-1-a} x e^{-s x}\left(\phi^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(x) d x \\
& =\left[-\frac{1}{a}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a} x e^{-s x}\right]_{0}^{\infty}+\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a}\left(e^{-s x}-s x e^{-s x}\right) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{a}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a} e^{-s x} d x-s \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a} x e^{-s x} d x\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{a}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s x} d\left(\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} d \theta\right)-s \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s x} d\left(\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} \theta d \theta\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{a}\left\{L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\dot{( }}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} d \theta\right)-s L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\dot{( }}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} \theta d \theta\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $L(\cdot, G)$ denotes the Laplace transform of a right-continuous increasing function $G:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty): L(s, G):=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s x} d G(x)$. The last fourth and third equalities are respectively because $0 \leq\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a} x e^{-s x} \leq\left(\psi^{\prime}(1 / 2)\right)^{a} x^{1-a} e^{-s x} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \downarrow 0$, and because for $b>a-1$, $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a} x^{b} e^{-s x} d x \leq\left(\psi^{\prime}(1 / 2)\right)^{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{b-a} e^{-s x} d x=\left(\psi^{\prime}(1 / 2)\right)^{a} s^{a-b-1} \Gamma(b-a+1)<\infty$. Hence (6.2) is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right]=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{0}^{t}\left(L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\dot{ }}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} d \theta\right)-s L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\dot{ }}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} \theta d \theta\right)\right) d s \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1^{\circ}$ The case $0<a<\alpha$. Then $0<\alpha \leq 1$. By (6.10), $\left(\phi^{-1}(\cdot)\right)^{-a}$ is regularly varying with exponent $-a / \alpha \in(-1,0)$. By Theorem 1 of $\S V I I I .9$ in [Fe],

$$
\frac{x^{2}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a}}{\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} \theta d \theta} \longrightarrow 2-\frac{a}{\alpha}>0, \frac{x\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a}}{\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} d \theta} \longrightarrow \frac{\alpha-a}{\alpha}>0
$$

as $x \uparrow \infty$. Hence, by combining this with (6.10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} \theta d \theta & \sim \frac{1}{2-a / \alpha} x^{2}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a} \sim \frac{1}{2-a / \alpha} x^{2-a / \alpha} L_{2}(x)^{-a} \\
\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} d \theta & \sim \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a} x\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-a} \sim \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a} x^{1-a / \alpha} L_{2}(x)^{-a}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $x \uparrow \infty$. By applying the Abelian theorem (cf. Theorem 2 of $\S$ XIII. 5 in [Fe]), this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\cdot}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} \theta d \theta\right) & \sim \frac{\Gamma(2-a / \alpha+1)}{2-a / \alpha} s^{-2+a / \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{-a}=\Gamma\left(2-\frac{a}{\alpha}\right) s^{-2+a / \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{-a}, \\
L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\dot{ }}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} d \theta\right) & \sim \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a} \Gamma\left(2-\frac{a}{\alpha}\right) s^{-1+a / \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{-a}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $s \downarrow 0$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\dot{0}}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} d \theta\right)-s L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\cdot}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} \theta d \theta\right) & \sim\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}-1\right) \Gamma\left(2-\frac{a}{\alpha}\right) s^{-1+a / \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{-a} \\
& =\frac{a}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-a}{\alpha}\right) s^{-1+a / \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{-a} \quad \text { as } s \downarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if, for simplicity, we set

$$
Z(x):=L\left(\frac{1}{x}, \int_{0}^{\cdot}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} d \theta\right)-\frac{1}{x} L\left(\frac{1}{x}, \int_{0}^{\cdot}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-a} \theta d \theta\right),
$$

then, by (6.11)

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right]=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{0}^{t} Z\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) d s=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{1 / t}^{\infty} x^{-2} Z(x) d x
$$

and also,

$$
Z(x) \sim \frac{a}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-a}{\alpha}\right) x^{1-a / \alpha} L_{2}(x)^{-a} \quad \text { as } x \uparrow \infty .
$$

Therefore, applying Theorem 1 of §VIII. 9 in [Fe] again, we have

$$
\frac{(1 / t)^{-2+1} Z(1 / t)}{\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right]} \longrightarrow \Gamma(1-a) \frac{a}{\alpha} \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0
$$

and consequently

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{a}\right] \sim \frac{\Gamma((\alpha-a) / \alpha)}{\Gamma(1-a)} t^{a / \alpha} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-a}
$$

which is just the assertion (i).
$2^{\circ}$ The case $a=\alpha$. Then $0<\alpha<1$ and hence, by (6.10), $\left(\phi^{-1}(\cdot)\right)^{-\alpha}$ is regularly varying with exponent -1 . Once again, by Theorem 1 of $\S V I I I . ~ 9$ in [Fe],

$$
\frac{x^{2}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-\alpha}}{\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} \theta d \theta} \longrightarrow 1, \frac{x\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-\alpha}}{\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta} \longrightarrow 0
$$

as $x \uparrow \infty$, and $\int_{0}^{\dot{j}}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta$ is slowly varying at infinity. By combining this with (6.10)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} \theta d \theta & \sim x^{2}\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-\alpha} \\
\sim x L_{2}(x)^{-\alpha} \\
L_{2}(x)^{-\alpha} & \sim x\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)^{-\alpha}
\end{array}\right) o o\left(\int_{0}^{x}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta\right), ~ l
$$

as $x \uparrow \infty$, and hence, by the Abelian theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\cdot}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} \theta d \theta\right) & \sim s^{-1} L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{-\alpha} \\
L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\cdot}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta\right) & \sim \int_{0}^{1 / s}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

as $s \downarrow 0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) & =L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\cdot}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta\right)-s L\left(s, \int_{0}^{\cdot}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} \theta d \theta\right) \\
& \sim \int_{0}^{1 / s}\left(\phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)^{-\alpha} d \theta \quad \text { as } s \downarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In exactly the same way as in $1^{\circ}$ we eventually have

$$
\frac{(1 / t)^{-2+1} Z(1 / t)}{\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{\alpha}\right]} \longrightarrow \Gamma(1-\alpha) \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0,
$$

from which the assertion (ii) is easily seen.
$3^{\circ}$ The case $\alpha<a<1$. Then $0 \leq \alpha<1$. By (6.6), it is enough to show that

$$
\int_{(0, \infty)} l^{a} e^{-l / 2} n(d l)=\frac{a}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a} \phi(\lambda) d \lambda<\infty
$$

First this identity is seen from the following computation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a} \phi(\lambda) d \lambda & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a}\left(\psi\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) d \lambda \\
& =\int_{(0, \infty)} e^{-l / 2} n(d l) \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a}\left(1-e^{-\lambda l}\right) d \lambda \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(1-a)}{a} \int_{(0, \infty)} l^{a} e^{-l / 2} n(d l) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next this integral is convergent. Indeed, since $\phi(\lambda) \leq \psi^{\prime}(1 / 2) \lambda(\lambda \geq 0)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{R} \lambda^{-1-a} \phi(\lambda) d \lambda \leq \psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{R} \lambda^{-a} d \lambda=\psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{R^{1-a}}{1-a}<\infty
$$

for any $R>0$. On the other hand, since $\phi(\lambda) \sim \lambda^{\alpha} L_{1}(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \uparrow \infty$, and $L_{1}(\cdot)$ is slowly varying at infinity, there exists an $R_{\varepsilon}>0$ for $0<\varepsilon<a-\alpha$ (cf. Lemma 2 of $\S V I I I .8$ in [Fe]) such that $\phi(\lambda) \leq 2 \lambda^{\alpha} L_{1}(\lambda)$ and $L_{1}(\lambda)<\lambda^{\varepsilon}$ for any $\lambda \geq R_{\varepsilon}$. Hence

$$
\int_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a} \phi(\lambda) d \lambda \leq \int_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-a} 2 \lambda^{\alpha+\varepsilon} d \lambda=\frac{2}{a-\alpha-\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{a-\alpha-\varepsilon}<\infty .
$$

## Appendix: Semigroups $e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}$ and their generators in $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

In this appendix we suppose only that $V: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a continuous function. The main result is Theorem A.1, which follows from Lemma A. 2 (Kato's inequality).
Let $M(d s d x)$ be a Poisson random measure on $[0, \infty) \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ with intensity measure $d s J(d x)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
J(d x) & :=\int_{(0, \infty)} e^{-l / 2} p(l, x) n(d l) d x  \tag{A.1}\\
p(l, x) & :=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi l}\right)^{d / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{|x|^{2}}{2 l}\right) \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

This $M(\cdot)$ may be defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ as in Section 2. Note that for $p \in[1, \infty)$ the $2 p$-th order absolute moment of $J$ is finite, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}|x|^{2 p} J(d x)<\infty . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the notation in [Ik-Wa], we set

$$
\widehat{M}(d s d x):=d s J(d x), \quad \widetilde{M}(d s d x):=M(d s d x)-\widehat{M}(d s d x)
$$

and define an $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued right-continuous process $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}:=\int_{0}^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}} x 1_{|x| \geq 1} M(d s d x)+\int_{0}^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}} x 1_{|x|<1} \widetilde{M}(d s d x), \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second term on the RHS is a stochastic integral w.r.t. $\widetilde{M}$. This is a $d$-dimensional time-homogeneous Lévy process starting at the origin such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\sqrt{ }-1}\left\langle p, X_{t}\right\rangle\right]=e^{-t\left(\psi\left(\left(|p|^{2}+1\right) / 2\right)-\psi(1 / 2)\right)},
$$

which is easily seen by Itô's formula (cf. [Ik-Wa]), so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim}\left(B\left(\sigma_{t}\right)\right)_{t \geq 0} . \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define a system of operators $P_{t}^{\psi, V}, t \geq 0$, by the Feynman-Kac formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}(x)}:=\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right) f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right] \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this definition the following is easily seen:
(i) If $f$ is a nonnegative Borel measurable function, so is $P_{t}^{\psi, V} f$, and it satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{t}^{\psi, V}\left(P_{s}^{\left.\psi, V_{f}\right)}\right. & =P_{t+s}^{\psi, V} f  \tag{A.7}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|P_{t}^{\psi, V} f(x)\right|^{p} d x & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(x)|^{p} d x, \quad 1 \leq p<\infty \tag{A.8}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) If $f \in C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then $P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}} \in C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\| P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f} \|_{\infty}} & \leq\|f\|_{\infty}  \tag{A.9}\\
\| P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}-f \|_{\infty}} & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \downarrow 0 . \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

(iii) For two nonnegative Borel measurable functions $f, g$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} P_{t}^{\psi, V} f(x) g(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) P_{t}^{\psi, V} g(x) d x \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (i) and (ii), $\left\{P_{t}^{\psi, V}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By the Riesz-Banach theorem there exists a finite measure $P^{\psi, V}(t, x, d y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}^{\psi, V} f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(y) P^{\psi, V}(t, x, d y), \quad f \in C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by noting (A.5), $P^{\psi, V}(t, x, d y)$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure $d y$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\psi, V}(t, x, d y)=\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(B_{0, x}^{\sigma_{t}, y}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right) d s\right) p\left(\sigma_{t}, x-y\right)\right] d y, \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{0, x}^{\tau, y}(\theta)$ is defined in (3.13).
By (i) and (ii) again $P_{t}^{\psi, V}$ is uniquely extended to a bounded operator on $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, which is denoted by the same $P_{t}^{\psi, V}$, and thus $\left\{P_{t}^{\psi, V}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Clearly, for $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}(x)}=\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right) f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right] \text { a.e. } x
$$

and, when $p=2, P_{t}^{\psi, V}$ is symmetric.
Let $\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}$ be the infinitesimal generator of $\left\{P_{t}^{\psi, V}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$, and on $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $p=\infty$. Their domains are denoted by $\mathfrak{D}\left(\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}\right)$.
Put

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0}^{\psi} f(x) & :=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}\left\{f(x+y)-f(x)-\langle y, \nabla f(x)\rangle 1_{|y|<1}\right\} J(d y),  \tag{A.14}\\
H^{\psi} f(x) & :=H_{0}^{\psi} f(x)+V(x) f(x) . \tag{A.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Claim A.1. (i) For $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $H_{0}^{\psi} f$ is in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and hence, for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $H^{\psi} f \in$ $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap \bigcap_{1<p<\infty} L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
(ii) For $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (where $1 \leq p<\infty$ ), $H_{0}^{\psi} f$ is well-defined, i.e., the integral in (A.14) is convergent for a.e. $x$, and $H_{0}^{\psi} f \in L_{p}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Also, for $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, the integral in (A.14) is convergent for every $x$ and $H_{0}^{\psi} f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

For the proof, cf. [II].
Claim A.2. $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset \bigcap_{1 \leq p \leq \infty} \mathfrak{D}\left(\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}\right)$, and for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V} f=-H^{\psi} f$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
We start with the proof that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V} f-f\right) \underset{t \downarrow 0}{\longrightarrow}-H^{\psi} f \quad \text { in } C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) . \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H^{\psi} f \in C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by Claim A.1, it is enough to check pointwise convergence (cf. Lemma 31.7 in [Sa]). To do so we apply Itô's formula for (A.4) to obtain

$$
\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s\right) f\left(x+X_{t}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =f(x)-\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s} V\left(x+X_{r}\right) d r\right) V\left(x+X_{s}\right) f\left(x+X_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s} V\left(x+X_{r}\right) d r\right)\left(f\left(x+X_{s-}+y\right)-f\left(x+X_{s-}\right)\right) 1_{|y| \geq 1} M(d s d y) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s} V\left(x+X_{r}\right) d r\right)\left(f\left(x+X_{s-}+y\right)-f\left(x+X_{s-}\right)\right) 1_{|y|<1} \widetilde{M}(d s d y) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s} V\left(x+X_{r}\right) d r\right)\left(f\left(x+X_{s}+y\right)-f\left(x+X_{s}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left\langle y, \nabla f\left(x+X_{s}\right)\right\rangle\right) 1_{|y|<1} \widehat{M}(d s d y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the third term on the RHS is a martingale, so that the expectation is zero. Taking expectation and changing the variable $s=t \sigma$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
t
\end{array} P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}} f(x)-f(x)\right)+ & \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t \sigma} V\left(x+X_{r}\right) d r\right)(V f)\left(x+X_{t \sigma}\right)\right] d \sigma \\
=\int_{0}^{1} d \sigma \int_{|y| \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[ & \left.\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t \sigma} V\left(x+X_{r}\right) d r\right)\left(f\left(x+X_{t \sigma}+y\right)-f\left(x+X_{t \sigma}\right)\right)\right] J(d y) \\
+\int_{0}^{1} d \sigma \int_{0<|y|<1} \mathbb{E} & {\left[\operatorname { e x p } ( - \int _ { 0 } ^ { t \sigma } V ( x + X _ { r } ) d r ) \left(f\left(x+X_{t \sigma}+y\right)-f\left(x+X_{t \sigma}\right)\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\left\langle y, \nabla f\left(x+X_{t \sigma}\right)\right\rangle\right)\right] J(d y) \\
=\int_{0}^{1} d \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}} \mathbb{E}[ & \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t \sigma} V\left(x+X_{r}\right) d r\right) \\
& \left.\times \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta)\left\langle y, \nabla^{2} f\left(x+X_{t \sigma}+\theta y\right) y\right\rangle d \theta\right] J(d y) \tag{A.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second equality is due to Taylor's theorem with the aid of symmetry of $J(d y)$. On letting $t \downarrow 0$ in the first equality of (A.17) we have (A.16) pointwise.
Next we prove for $1 \leq p<\infty$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V} f-f\right) \underset{t \downarrow 0}{\longrightarrow}-H^{\psi} f \quad \text { in } L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H^{\psi} f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by Claim A.1, it is enough to check weak convergence (cf. Lemma 32.3 in [Sa]).
First of all, we note by (A.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t>0}\left\|\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}}-f\right)\right\|_{p}<\infty \quad \text { for } 1 \leq p<\infty \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{t \downarrow 0} \int_{|x|>R} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\left.\psi, V_{f}(x)-f(x)\right) \mid d x=0 . . . . ~ . ~}\right.\right. \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by the second equality of (A.17)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V} f(x)-f(x)\right)\right| & \leq \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|(V f)\left(x+X_{t \sigma}\right)\right|\right] d \sigma \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} d \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}|y|^{2} J(d y) \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nabla^{2} f\left(x+X_{t \sigma}+\theta y\right)\right|\right] d \theta \tag{A.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by Minkowski's inequality, Jensen's inequality and Fubini's theorem

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}} f(x)-f(x)\right)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq\|V f\|_{p}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}|y|^{2} J(d y)\left\|\nabla^{2} f\right\|_{p}
$$

which shows (A.19). To show (A.20), take $R_{0}>0$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f \subset\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} ;|x|<R_{0}\right\}$, and let $R>R_{0}$. Note that $1_{|x|>R} h(x+y)=1_{|x|>R} h(x+y) 1_{|y| \geq R-R_{0}}$ for $h=f, \nabla f$ or $\nabla^{2} f$. Hence, by (A.21),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x|>R}\left|\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}}(x)-f(x)\right)\right| d x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{|x|>R}\left|(V f)\left(x+X_{t \sigma}\right)\right| d x ;\left|X_{t \sigma}\right| \geq R-R_{0}\right] d \sigma \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} d \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}|y|^{2} J(d y) \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) d \theta \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{|x|>R}\left|\nabla^{2} f\left(x+X_{t \sigma}+\theta y\right)\right| d x ;\left|X_{t \sigma}+\theta y\right| \geq R-R_{0}\right] \\
& \leq\|V f\|_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{t \sigma}\right| \geq R-R_{0}\right) d \sigma \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla^{2} f\right\|_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}|y|^{2} J(d y) \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{t \sigma}\right|+|y| \geq R-R_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{t \downarrow 0} X_{t \sigma}=0$ a.s., by the Lebesgue-Fatou inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \downarrow 0} \int_{|x|>R}\left|\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V} f(x)-f(x)\right)\right| d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla^{2} f\right\|_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}|y|^{2} J(d y) \limsup _{t \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{t \sigma}\right|+|y| \geq R-R_{0}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla^{2} f\right\|_{1} \int_{|y| \geq R-R_{0}}|y|^{2} J(d y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus (A.20) follows.
Now we show weak convergence in $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ of (A.18). When $1<p<\infty$, let $q$ be the conjugate exponent of $p$. For each $g \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $R>0$

$$
+\left(\sup _{t>0}\left\|\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}}-f\right)\right\|_{p}+\left\|H^{\psi} f\right\|_{p}\right)\left(\int_{|x|>R}|g(x)|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} .
$$

By (A.16), the first term tends to zero as $t \downarrow 0$ for fixed $R>0$, and the second term tends to zero as $R \uparrow \infty$. This shows weak convergence in $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Next, when $p=1$, for each $g \in L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $R>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V^{\prime}} f(x)-f(x)\right)+H^{\psi} f(x)\right) g(x) d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}}-f\right)+H^{\psi} f\right\|_{\infty} \int_{|x| \leq R}|g(x)| d x \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{|x|>R}\left|\frac{1}{t}\left(P_{t}^{\psi, V_{f}} f(x)-f(x)\right)\right| d x+\int_{|x|>R}\left|H^{\psi} f(x)\right| d x\right)\|g\|_{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by (A.16) and (A.20), similarly we can show weak convergence in $L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. The proof of Claim A. 2 is complete.

Remark. When $V$ is further a $C^{\infty}$-function and all its derivatives have polynomial growth, it can be shown in exactly the same way as above that $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset \bigcap_{1 \leq p \leq \infty} \mathfrak{D}\left(\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}=-H^{\psi}$ on $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

By Claim A. $2, H^{\psi}$ on $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is closable as an operator in $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$, or $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. It is natural to ask whether or not its smallest closed extension agrees with $-\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}$. The following theorem is an affirmative answer.

Theorem A.1. The smallest closed extension of $H^{\psi}=-\left.\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}\right|_{C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$ in $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)(1 \leq p<\infty)$ (resp. $H^{\psi}=-\left.\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}^{\psi, V}\right|_{C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$ in $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ) agrees with $-\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}$ (resp. $-\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}^{\psi, V}$ ). In other words, $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is a core of $\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, V}(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$.

Needless to say, this theorem for $p=2$, the $L_{2}$-case, says nothing but that $H_{0}^{\psi}+V$ is essentially selfadjoint on $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
In the same way as in [I1] and [I-Tsu] we prove this theorem. Take $\rho \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that $\rho \geq 0$, $\operatorname{supp} \rho \subset\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} ;|x| \leq 1\right\}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \rho(x) d x=1$. For $0<\delta \leq 1$ set $\rho_{\delta}(x):=(1 / \delta)^{d} \rho(x / \delta)$. For $u \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we denote the convolution $u * \rho_{\delta}$ by $u^{\delta}$. Clearly $u^{\delta} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $u^{\delta} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$.

Lemma A.1. Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Suppose $u \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is such that $H_{0}^{\psi} u \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, i.e., for some $f \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ it holds that for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) \varphi(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(x) H_{0}^{\psi} \varphi(x) d x . \tag{A.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $H_{0}^{\psi} u^{\delta} \rightarrow H_{0}^{\psi} u$ in $L_{1}^{l o c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$.

Proof. Since $u \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $u^{\delta} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By Claim A.1, $H_{0}^{\psi} u^{\delta} \in L_{q}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ or $\in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ according as $1 \leq q<\infty$ or $q=\infty$, and hence $H_{0}^{\psi} u^{\delta} \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. For the proof, it is enough to check that $H_{0}^{\psi} u^{\delta}=\left(H_{0}^{\psi} u\right)^{\delta}$.
By (A.22)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(H_{0}^{\psi} u\right)^{\delta}(x)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(H_{0}^{\psi} u\right)(y) \rho_{\delta}(x-y) d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(y) H_{0}^{\psi} \rho_{\delta}(x-\cdot)(y) d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(y) d y\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}\left\{\rho_{\delta}(x-y-z)-\rho_{\delta}(x-y)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\left\langle z, \nabla \rho_{\delta}(x-\cdot)(y)\right\rangle 1_{|z|<1}\right\} J(d z)\right) . \tag{A.23}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral on the RHS is convergent, because with $\rho_{\delta}(x-\cdot)=: g \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, it is bounded by $\int_{|z| \geq 1} J(d z)\|u\|_{q} 2\|g\|_{q /(q-1)}+(1 / 2) \int_{0<|z|<1}|z|^{2} J(d z)\|u\|_{q}\left\|\nabla^{2} g\right\|_{q /(q-1)}$. Here when $q=1$ or $\infty$ we understand $\|\cdot\|_{q /(q-1)}=\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. Hence by noting that $\nabla \rho_{\delta}(x-\cdot)(y)=-\left(\nabla \rho_{\delta}\right)(x-y)$, Fubini's theorem gives us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(H_{0}^{\psi} u\right)^{\delta}(x)= & -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}} J(d z)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(y) \rho_{\delta}(x-z-y) d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(y) \rho_{\delta}(x-y) d y\right. \\
& \left.\quad-1_{|z|<1}\left\langle-z, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(y)\left(\nabla \rho_{\delta}\right)(x-y) d y\right\rangle\right) \\
= & -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}\left(u^{\delta}(x+z)-u^{\delta}(x)-1_{|z|<1}\left\langle z, \nabla u^{\delta}(x)\right\rangle\right) J(d z) \\
= & H_{0}^{\psi} u^{\delta}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the symmetry of $J(d z)$ has been used. The proof is complete.
Lemma A.2. (Kato's inequality). Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Suppose $u \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is such that $H_{0}^{\psi} u \in$ $L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then the following distributional inequality holds:

$$
\operatorname{sgn} u H_{0}^{\psi} u \geq H_{0}^{\psi}|u|,
$$

i.e. for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\varphi \geq 0$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}(\operatorname{sgn} u)(x) H_{0}^{\psi} u(x) \varphi(x) d x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|u(x)| H_{0}^{\psi} \varphi(x) d x .
$$

Here $\operatorname{sgn} u$ is a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ defined by

$$
(\operatorname{sgn} u)(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{u(x)}{|u(x)|} & \text { if } & u(x) \neq 0 \\
0 & \text { if } & u(x)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. First let $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By Claim A. $1 H_{0}^{\psi} u \in L_{q}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ or $\in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ according as $1 \leq q<\infty$ or $q=\infty$. For $\varepsilon>0$, set $u_{\varepsilon}(x):=\sqrt{|u(x)|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}$. Clearly $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $u_{\varepsilon} \geq \varepsilon$. Since $|u(x)||u(x+y)| \leq u_{\varepsilon}(x) u_{\varepsilon}(x+y)-\varepsilon^{2}$, we have

$$
-|u(x)||u(x+y)|+u(x)^{2} \geq-u_{\varepsilon}(x) u_{\varepsilon}(x+y)+u_{\varepsilon}(x)^{2} .
$$

By noting that $2 u(x) \nabla u(x)=\nabla|u(x)|^{2}=\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)^{2}=2 u_{\varepsilon}(x) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)$, this inequality gives us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -u(x)\left\{u(x+y)-u(x)-\langle y, \nabla u(x)\rangle 1_{|y|<1}\right\} \\
& =-u(x) u(x+y)+u(x)^{2}+\langle y, u(x) \nabla u(x)\rangle 1_{|y|<1} \\
& \geq-u_{\varepsilon}(x) u_{\varepsilon}(x+y)+u_{\varepsilon}(x)^{2}+\left\langle y, u_{\varepsilon}(x) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right\rangle 1_{|y|<1} \\
& =-u_{\varepsilon}(x)\left\{u_{\varepsilon}(x+y)-u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\left\langle y, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right\rangle 1_{|y|<1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating both sides by $J(d y)$, we have $u(x) H_{0}^{\psi} u(x) \geq u_{\varepsilon}(x) H_{0}^{\psi} u_{\varepsilon}(x)$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u(x)}{u_{\varepsilon}(x)} H_{0}^{\psi} u(x) \geq H_{0}^{\psi} u_{\varepsilon}(x) \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second let $u \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be such that $H_{0}^{\psi} u \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Since $u^{\delta}=u * \rho_{\delta} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, it holds by (A.24) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{u^{\delta}(x)}{\left(u^{\delta}\right)_{\varepsilon}(x)} H_{0}^{\psi} u^{\delta}(x) \varphi(x) d x & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} H_{0}^{\psi}\left(u^{\delta}\right)_{\varepsilon}(x) \varphi(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(u^{\delta}\right)_{\varepsilon}(x) H_{0}^{\psi} \varphi(x) d x \tag{A.25}
\end{align*}
$$

for any nonnegative $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. In (A.25) let $\delta \downarrow 0$ first and $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ second. As $\delta \downarrow 0$, $H_{0}^{\psi} u^{\delta} \rightarrow H_{0}^{\psi} u$ in $L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by Lemma A.1, and $u^{\delta} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By taking a subsequence if necessary we may suppose that $u^{\delta} \rightarrow u$ a.e. Since $\left|\left(u^{\delta}\right)_{\varepsilon}-u_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq\left|u^{\delta}-u\right|$ and $\left|u^{\delta} /\left(u^{\delta}\right)_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq 1$, $u^{\delta} /\left(u^{\delta}\right)_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u / u_{\varepsilon}$ boundedly a.e. Hence, letting $\delta \downarrow 0$ in (A.25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{u(x)}{u_{\varepsilon}(x)} H_{0}^{\psi} u(x) \varphi(x) d x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u_{\varepsilon}(x) H_{0}^{\psi} \varphi(x) d x \tag{A.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by $\left|u_{\varepsilon}-|u|\right| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\left|u / u_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq 1$, we obtain that $u / u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \operatorname{sgn} u$ boundedly as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Consequently, letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in (A.26) yields that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}(\operatorname{sgn} u)(x) H_{0}^{\psi} u(x) \varphi(x) d x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|u(x)| H_{0}^{\psi} \varphi(x) d x
$$

and the proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem A.1. First consider the $L_{p}$-case, $1 \leq p<\infty$. It suffices to show that $\operatorname{Im}\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+\right.$ $V+1)=\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V+1\right)\left(C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ is dense in $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, this is further reduced to show the following: Let $q$ be the conjugate exponent of $p$. If $v \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ satisfies that $\left\langle v,\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V+1\right) \varphi\right\rangle=0$ for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then $v=0$ in $L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Let $v \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be as above. Then $H_{0}^{\psi} v=-(V+1) v$ and hence $H_{0}^{\psi} v \in L_{1}^{l o c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By Lemma A.2, it is seen that for any nonnegative $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|v(x)| H_{0}^{\psi} \varphi(x) d x & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}(\operatorname{sgn} v)(x) H_{0}^{\psi} v(x) \varphi(x) d x \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}(V(x)+1)|v(x)| \varphi(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|v(x)|\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right) \varphi(x) d x \leq 0 \tag{A.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ can be approximated by a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ in the sense that $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow \varphi$ and $\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right) \varphi_{n} \rightarrow\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right) \varphi$ in $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. If $\varphi$ is moreover nonnegative, so are $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Therefore (A.27) is valid for nonnegative $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
Now note that the resolvent $\left(1-\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, 0}\right)^{-1}$ is expressed as

$$
\left(1-\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, 0}\right)^{-1} f(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(x+X_{t}\right)\right] d t
$$

Then it is not difficult to check that if $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then $\left(1-\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, 0}\right)^{-1} f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and further, if $f$ is nonnegative, so is $\left(1-\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, 0}\right)^{-1} f$. Also, by Remark following Claim A. 2 (with $V(x) \equiv 0$ ) $f=\left(1-\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, 0}\right)\left(1-\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, 0}\right)^{-1} f=\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right)\left(1-\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\psi, 0}\right)^{-1} f$. Hence, by (A.27)

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|v(x)| f(x) d x \leq 0
$$

whence it immediately follows that $v=0$ and the proof in the $L_{p}$-case is complete.
Next let us consider the $C_{\infty}$-case. In the same reason as above we have only to show that $\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V+1\right)\left(C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ is dense in $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. For this let $\nu \in C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{*}$, the dual of $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, be such that $\left\langle\nu,\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V+1\right) \varphi\right\rangle=0$ for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By the Riesz-Banach theorem, $\nu$ is regarded as a finite signed Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V+1\right) \varphi(x) \nu(d x)=0 \quad \text { for any } \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \tag{A.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nu^{\delta}=\nu * \rho_{\delta}$, i.e., $\nu^{\delta}(x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \rho_{\delta}(x-y) \nu(d y), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then $\nu^{\delta} \in C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. It follows by Claim A. 1 and by (A.28) that $H_{0}^{\psi} \nu^{\delta} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and

$$
H_{0}^{\psi} \nu^{\delta}=-\nu^{\delta}(1+V)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}(V(y)-V(\cdot)) \rho_{\delta}(\cdot-y) \nu(d y)
$$

By Lemma A.2, this implies that for nonnegative $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nu^{\delta}(x)\right| H_{0}^{\psi} \varphi(x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\operatorname{sgn} \nu^{\delta}\right)(x) H_{0}^{\psi} \nu^{\delta}(x) \varphi(x) d x \\
& \leq-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nu^{\delta}(x)\right| \varphi(x) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \varphi(x) d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|V(y)-V(x)| \rho_{\delta}(x-y)|\nu|(d y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\nu|$ is the total variation of $\nu$, and hence we have that for nonnegative $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nu^{\delta}(x)\right|\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right) \varphi(x) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \varphi(x) d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|V(y)-V(x)| \rho_{\delta}(x-y)|\nu|(d y) \tag{A.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be nonnegative. Then, $\varphi:=\left(1-\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}^{\psi, 0}\right)^{-1} f$ is in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and nonnegative, and $\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right) \varphi=f$. Set $\varphi_{n}(x):=\varphi(x) \chi\left(|x|^{2} / n^{2}\right)$ with a $\chi \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi(t)=1(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ and $\chi(t)=0(t \geq 2)$. Clearly $\varphi_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), 0 \leq \varphi_{n} \leq \varphi$ and $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{n} \subset\{x ;|x| \leq \sqrt{2} n\}$. Moreover $\left\|\varphi_{n}-\varphi\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\left\|H_{0}^{\psi} \varphi_{n}-H_{0}^{\psi} \varphi\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (A.29) and this observation it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nu^{\delta}(x)\right| f(x) d x= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nu^{\delta}(x)\right|\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right) \varphi(x) d x \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nu^{\delta}(x)\right|\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right) \varphi_{n}(x) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nu^{\delta}(x)\right|\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right)\left(\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right)(x) d x \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \varphi_{n}(x) d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|V(y)-V(x)| \rho_{\delta}(x-y)|\nu|(d y) \\
& +\left\|\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right)\left(\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty}|\nu|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \\
\leq & \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{2} n} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|V(y)-V(x)| \rho_{\delta}(x-y)|\nu|(d y) \\
& +\left\|\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+1\right)\left(\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty}|\nu|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, recalling that $\rho_{\delta}(z)$ has support in $\{z ;|z| \leq \rho\}$, we see that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{2} n} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|V(y)-V(x)| \rho_{\delta}(x-y)|\nu|(d y) \\
& \leq \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{2} n+\delta}|\nu|(d y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|V(y)-V(x)| \rho_{\delta}(x-y) d x \\
& =\int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{2} n+\delta}|\nu|(d y) \int_{|x| \leq 1}|V(y)-V(y+\delta x)| \rho(x) d x \\
& \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } \delta \downarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, noting that $\nu^{\delta}(x) d x \rightarrow \nu(d x)$ weakly, we see that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nu^{\delta}(x)\right| f(x) d x \geq\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) \nu^{\delta}(x) d x\right| \longrightarrow\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) \nu(d x)\right| \quad \text { as } \delta \downarrow 0
$$

Therefore it follows that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) \nu(d x)=0$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), f \geq 0$, which implies that $\nu=0$, and the proof in the $C_{\infty}$-case is complete.

In this paper we have denoted the semigroups $P_{t}^{\psi, 0}$ and $P_{t}^{\psi, V}$ by $e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi}}$ and $e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)}$, respectively, taking Theorem A. 1 into account. With the general theory ([Trot], [Ch]) we have taken for granted that the Trotter product formula holds in the strong topology of $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ or $C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
s-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / n}\right)^{n} & =s-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-t V / 2 n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / n} e^{-t V / 2 n}\right)^{n} \\
& =s-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n} e^{-t V / n} e^{-t H_{0}^{\psi} / 2 n}\right)^{n}=e^{-t\left(H_{0}^{\psi}+V\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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