



Initial boundary value problems for some damped nonlinear conservation laws

Manoj K. Yadav 

Department of Mechanics, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague,
Thákurova 7, Prague 6, Czech Republic

School of Natural Sciences, Mahindra École Centrale, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Received 19 June 2015, appeared 30 November 2015

Communicated by Ivan Kiguradze

Abstract. In this paper, we study the non-negative solutions of initial boundary value problems for some damped nonlinear conservation laws on the half line modelled by first order nonlinear hyperbolic PDEs. We consider the class of initial profile which are non-negative, bounded and compactly supported. Using the method of characteristics and Rankine–Hugoniot jump condition, an entropy solution is constructed subject to a top-hat initial profile. Then the large time behaviour of the constructed entropy solution is obtained. Finally, taking recourse to some comparison principles and the method of super and sub solutions the large time behaviour of entropy solutions subject to the general class of bounded and compactly supported initial profiles are established as the large time behaviour of the entropy solution subject to top-hat initial profiles.

Keywords: damped nonlinear conservation laws, Riemann problem, entropy solutions, method of characteristics, large time behaviour.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B06, 35B40, 35C06, 35F31.

1 Introduction

Analysis of initial boundary value problems for partial differential equations on the semi-infinite line describing nonlinear wave propagation, dispersion and dissipation phenomena is paramount to large time asymptotic behaviour of such systems. We refer to [1–6] as some interesting references in this direction. In this paper we consider an initial boundary value problem (IBVP) on the semi-infinite line for an inviscid generalized Burgers equation in the form

$$u_t + u^\alpha u_x + g(x, t)u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, \infty), \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(0, t) = u_0(0)f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.3)$$

 Email: manojmath@gmail.com

where $\alpha \geq 1$. The inviscid generalized Burgers equation (1.1) model a nonlinear conservation law with variable linear damping. We choose

$$g(x, t) = j/(2(t+1)) \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda \quad (1.4)$$

to study the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) for the well studied inviscid non-planar Burgers equation and the inviscid $\alpha - \lambda$ equation, respectively. Here $j \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$. Further, we choose

$$f(t) = (t+1)^{-j/2} \quad \text{or} \quad e^{-\lambda t} \quad (1.5)$$

according as $g(x, t) = j/(2(t+1))$ or λ . We may note that the choice of f makes the initial and boundary data given in (1.2) and (1.3) compatible with each other. We study the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) using the method of characteristics for a typical ‘top hat’ initial profile, $u_0(x)$ of the form

$$u_0(x) = \begin{cases} h, & 0 \leq x \leq l, \\ 0, & x > l, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where h and l are some positive constants. We refer [9, 10] and the references therein for an extensive discussion on the physical background and applications of the inviscid generalized Burgers equation.

The motivation for studying the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) is due to the work of Murray [6]. He considered an initial boundary value problem on the semi-infinite line of the form

$$u_t + g(u)u_x + \lambda h(u) = 0, \quad x \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.7)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, \infty), \quad (1.8)$$

$$u(0, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ and $g(u)$ and $h(u)$ are non-negative monotonic increasing functions of u . The initial profile $u_0(x)$ was taken as

$$u_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ f(x), & 0 < x < X, \\ 0, & x > X, \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ and $X > 0$. Murray [6] studied the IBVP (1.7)–(1.10) via the method of characteristics. He discussed the existence of discontinuities in the solution of the IBVP (1.7)–(1.10) and their propagation speeds. Rao and Yadav [9] established large time asymptotic behaviour of Cauchy problem for the inviscid non-planar Burgers equation subject to bounded, non-negative and compactly supported initial data. Large time asymptotic behaviour of entropy solution to Cauchy problem for a nonlinearly damped conservation law is studied in [7, 8].

In the present work, we are interested in analyzing the contribution of the boundary data (1.3) to the formation of discontinuities in the solution of the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) and their propagation speeds.

Now, we summarize the main results of this study. Let $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be non-negative and compactly supported in \mathbb{R} and $\text{supp } u_0 := [0, l]$, where $l > 0$. Then, the solution $u(x, t)$ of the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) is also non-negative. The support function $s : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [l, \infty)$ of $u(x, t)$ is defined as

$$s(t) := \sup\{x > 0 : u(x, t) > 0 \text{ in } (0, x)\}.$$

We have the following two theorems. The first theorem is for the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) with $g(x, t) = j/(2(t+1))$ and $f(t) = (t+1)^{-j/2}$, whereas the second theorem is for the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) with $g(x, t) = \lambda$ and $f(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$.

Theorem 1.1.

(i) Let $\alpha j > 2$. Then, there exists $x_0 > 0$ such that

$$l \leq s(t) \leq l + x_0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

(ii) Let $0 < \alpha j \leq 2$. Then there exist three constants c_0, c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\log t)^{-1} (s(t) - l) = c_0, \quad \text{if } \alpha j = 2,$$

and for t large

$$c_1 t^{(2-\alpha j)/2} \leq s(t) - l \leq c_2 t^{(2-\alpha j)/2}, \quad \text{if } 0 < \alpha j < 2.$$

Further, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$t \left[h^{2/j} - t \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty^{2/j} \right] \rightarrow c, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $\alpha > 0, \lambda > 0$ and $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be compactly supported. Then there exists $x_0 > 0$ such that

$$l \leq s(t) \leq l + x_0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Further, the large time behaviour of the solution to the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) is given by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\lambda t} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = h.$$

The organisation of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we have constructed an entropy solution of IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) corresponding to the inviscid non-planar Burgers equation. Further, we have proved Theorem 1.1 concerning large time behaviours of support function and the constructed entropy solution. In Section 3, we have constructed an entropy solution of IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) corresponding to the inviscid $\alpha - \lambda$ equation. Theorem 1.2 concerns large time behaviour of support function and the constructed entropy solution is proved. Finally, Section 4 presents the conclusions of the study.

2 Inviscid non-planar Burgers equation

In this section, we study the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) with $g(x, t) = j/(2(t+1))$, via the method of characteristics.

Let $x = x(t, x_0)$ denote the characteristic curve emanating from $(x_0, 0)$ and set

$$U = U(x_0, t) := u(x(t, x_0), t).$$

Then, for some fixed x_0 the characteristic equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= U^\alpha, & x(0, x_0) &= x_0 \\ \frac{dU}{dt} &= -\frac{jU}{2(t+1)}, & U(x_0, 0) &= u_0(x_0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Integrating the system (2.1), we get

$$U(x_0, t) = u_0(x_0)(t+1)^{-j/2}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$x(t, x_0) = \begin{cases} x_0 + u_0^\alpha(x_0) \log(t+1), & \text{if } \alpha j = 2, \\ x_0 + \frac{2u_0^\alpha(x_0)}{\alpha j - 2} \left[1 - (t+1)^{-(\alpha j - 2)/2}\right], & \text{if } \alpha j \neq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Let us denote the characteristic curve issued at $(0, 0)$ by $x = c(t)$. Then,

$$c(t) = \begin{cases} h^\alpha \log(t+1), & \text{if } \alpha j = 2, \\ \frac{2h^\alpha}{\alpha j - 2} \left[1 - (t+1)^{-(\alpha j - 2)/2}\right], & \text{if } \alpha j \neq 2 \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Let us denote the shock issued at $(l, 0)$ by $x = s_0(t)$. Then, the Rankine–Hugoniot jump condition requires s_0 to satisfy

$$\frac{ds_0}{dt} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} u^\alpha(s_0(t) - 0, t), \quad s_0(0) = l. \quad (2.5)$$

As long as c does not intersect s_0

$$u(s_0(t) - 0, t) = U(0, t) = h(t+1)^{-j/2}. \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.6) we integrate (2.5) to obtain

$$s_0(t) = \begin{cases} l + \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \log(t+1), & \text{if } \alpha j = 2, \\ l + \frac{2h^\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha j - 2)} \left[1 - (t+1)^{-(\alpha j - 2)/2}\right], & \text{if } \alpha j \neq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Proposition 2.1.

(i) Let $\alpha j > 2$. Then the characteristic c intersects the shock s_0 if and only if

$$2\alpha h^\alpha > l(\alpha + 1)(\alpha j - 2). \quad (2.8)$$

(ii) Let $0 < \alpha j \leq 2$. Then there is a unique intersection between s_0 and c .

Proof. It is easy to see from (2.4) and (2.7) that any intersection between c and s_0 has the abscissa

$$\bar{x} = \frac{l(\alpha + 1)}{\alpha}. \quad (2.9)$$

Hence an intersection exists if and only if for some $\bar{t} > 0$, $c(\bar{t}) = \bar{x} = s_0(\bar{t})$. Since $c(0) = 0$, c is strictly increasing in t and

$$c_\infty := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} c(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2h^\alpha}{\alpha j - 2} & \text{if } \alpha j > 2, \\ \infty & \text{if } 0 < \alpha j \leq 2; \end{cases}$$

the conclusions follow from the fact that $c(\bar{t}) < c_\infty$. \square

In case of intersection between c and s_0 the shock path changes from time \bar{t} onwards and

$$\bar{t} = \begin{cases} e^{l(\alpha + 1)/(\alpha h^\alpha)} - 1, & \text{if } \alpha j = 2, \\ \left[1 - \frac{l(\alpha + 1)(\alpha j - 2)}{2\alpha h^\alpha}\right]^{-2/(\alpha j - 2)} - 1, & \text{if } \alpha j \neq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

Let s_1 denote the new shock path then, once again by Rankine–Hugoniot jump condition we have

$$\frac{ds_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} u^\alpha(s_1(t) - 0, t), \quad s_1(\bar{t}) = \bar{x}, \quad t > \bar{t}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $u(s_1(t) - 0, t)$ is given by the characteristic solution of the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) in the region $0 \leq x < c(t)$, $t \geq \bar{t}$.

Now we consider the characteristics emanating from the line $x = 0$. Let $X = X(t, t_0)$ denote the characteristic curve issued from some point $(0, t_0)$, $t_0 > 0$. Further, let

$$V = V(t, t_0) = u(X(t, t_0), t)$$

denote the characteristic solution of the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) along the curve $X = X(t, t_0)$. Then the characteristic equations for some fixed $t_0 > 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dX}{dt} &= V^\alpha, \quad X(t_0, t_0) = 0 \\ \frac{dV}{dt} &= -\frac{jV}{2(t+1)}, \quad V(t_0, t_0) = u_0(0)(t_0 + 1)^{-j/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Integrating the system (2.12), we get

$$V(t, t_0) = V(t_0, t_0) \left(\frac{t+1}{t_0+1} \right)^{-j/2} = u_0(0)(t+1)^{-j/2}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$X(t, t_0) = \begin{cases} V^\alpha(t_0, t_0)(t_0 + 1) \log \frac{t+1}{t_0+1}, & \text{if } \alpha j = 2, \\ \frac{2V^\alpha(t_0, t_0)(t_0+1)^{\alpha j/2}}{\alpha j - 2} [(t_0 + 1)^{1-\alpha j/2} - (t + 1)^{1-\alpha j/2}], & \text{if } \alpha j \neq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

On substituting $V(t_0, t_0) = u_0(0)(t_0 + 1)^{-j/2}$ in (2.14), we get

$$X(t, t_0) = \begin{cases} u_0^\alpha(0) \log \frac{t+1}{t_0+1}, & \text{if } \alpha j = 2, \\ \frac{2u_0^\alpha(0)}{\alpha j - 2} [(t_0 + 1)^{1-\alpha j/2} - (t + 1)^{1-\alpha j/2}], & \text{if } \alpha j \neq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

We may note that the characteristic, $X = X(t, t_0)$, emanating from $(0, t_0)$ intersect the characteristics $x = x_0$, $x_0 > \bar{x}$. Therefore,

$$u(s_1(t) - 0, t) = V(t, t_0), \quad t > \bar{t}. \quad (2.16)$$

Now using (2.16) and (2.13) in (2.11), we get

$$\frac{ds_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} u_0^\alpha(0)(t + 1)^{-\alpha j/2}, \quad s_1(\bar{t}) = \bar{x}, \quad t > \bar{t}. \quad (2.17)$$

On integrating (2.17), the new shock path is obtained as

$$s_1(t) = \begin{cases} \bar{x} + \frac{u_0^\alpha(0)}{\alpha + 1} \log \frac{t+1}{\bar{t}+1}, & \text{if } \alpha j = 2, \\ \bar{x} + \frac{2u_0^\alpha(0)}{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha j - 2)} [(\bar{t} + 1)^{1-\alpha j/2} - (t + 1)^{1-\alpha j/2}], & \text{if } \alpha j \neq 2, \end{cases} \quad t > \bar{t}. \quad (2.18)$$

On simplifying (2.18), we get

$$s_1(t) = s_0(t), \quad t > \bar{t}. \quad (2.19)$$

Now we define a function $s : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [l, \infty)$ as

$$s(t) := s_0(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty). \quad (2.20)$$

In due course we see that s is nothing but the support function of $u(x, t)$. Now we divide the quarter-plane $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ into the following disjoint regions

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \{(x, t) : t \geq 0, 0 \leq x < \min(c(t), s(t))\}, \\ I_2 &:= \{(x, t) : t \geq 0, \min(c(t), s(t)) \leq x \leq s(t)\}, \\ I_3 &:= \{(x, t) : t \geq 0, x > s(t)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and define $u : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as

$$u(x, t) := \begin{cases} h(t+1)^{-j/2}, & (x, t) \in I_1 \cup I_2 \\ 0, & (x, t) \in I_3. \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

The regions I_2 and I_3 are separated by the curve $x = s(t)$. Hence, $s(t)$ is the support function of $u(x, t)$ defined by (2.21).

2.1 Large time behaviour of support function and solution

In this section, we study the large time behaviour of the support function defined in (2.20) and prove Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.2. $s \in C^1(0, \infty)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $s_0 \in C^1(0, \bar{t})$. Now if $\bar{t} = \infty$, then $s(t) = s_0(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and the lemma is proved. In case of $\bar{t} < \infty$, we have $s_1 \in C^1(\bar{t}, \infty)$ and s is continuous at \bar{t} . It remains to show the continuity of ds/dt at \bar{t} . Using the expression for $V(t, t_0)$ in (2.13) and the initial value problems (2.5), (2.11) satisfied by s_0 and s_1 , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds}{dt}(\bar{t} - 0) &= \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha + 1} (\bar{t} + 1)^{-\alpha j/2}, \\ \frac{ds}{dt}(\bar{t} + 0) &= \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} V^\alpha(\bar{t}, t_0) \\ &= \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha + 1} (\bar{t} + 1)^{-\alpha j/2}, \end{aligned}$$

since $u_0(0) = h$. Thus, ds/dt is continuous at \bar{t} . Hence the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.3. For any $t \geq 0$, $s(t) \leq s_0(t)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that s satisfies the initial value problem

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} u^\alpha(s(t) - 0, t), \quad s(0) = l.$$

By the solution (2.21),

$$\frac{ds}{dt} \leq \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha + 1} (t + 1)^{-\alpha j/2}, \quad s(0) = l, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Hence $s(t) \leq s_0(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. \square

We may note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s_0(t) := s_0^\infty$ exist when $\alpha j > 2$ and

$$s_0^\infty = l + \frac{2h^\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha j - 2)}. \quad (2.22)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

- (i) It is easy to see that $s(0) = l$ and s is increasing on $[0, \infty)$. Hence $l \leq s(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Now by Lemma 2.3 and equation (2.22), whenever $\alpha j > 2$, there exist $x_0 := s_0^\infty - l > 0$ such that $s(t) \leq l + x_0$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (ii) By Proposition 2.1, whenever $0 < \alpha j \leq 2$, there is a unique intersection point (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) between s_0 and c . Thus, $s(t) = s_1(t)$ for $t \geq \bar{t}$ and equation (2.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\log t)^{-1} (s(t) - l) &= \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha + 1}, \quad \text{if } \alpha j = 2, \\ s(t) - l &\leq \frac{2h^\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)(2 - \alpha j)} (t + 1)^{(2 - \alpha j)/2}, \quad \text{if } \alpha j < 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_2 := \frac{2h^\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)(2 - \alpha j)}$, then we may choose $c_1 < c_2$ such that for t large

$$c_1 t^{(2 - \alpha j)/2} \leq s(t) - l \leq c_2 t^{(2 - \alpha j)/2}.$$

Further, by (2.21) for all $\alpha \geq 1$ and $j > 0$, we have

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = h(t + 1)^{-j/2}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.23)$$

From (2.23), it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t [h^{2/j} - t \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty^{2/j}] = h^{2/j}. \quad (2.24)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

3 Inviscid $\alpha - \lambda$ equation

In this section, we study the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) with $g(x, t) = \lambda$, via the method of characteristics.

Let $x = x(t, x_0)$ denote the characteristic curve emanating from $(x_0, 0)$ and set

$$U = U(x_0, t) := u(x(t, x_0), t).$$

Then, for some fixed x_0 the characteristic equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= U^\alpha, & x(0, x_0) &= x_0 \\ \frac{dU}{dt} &= -\lambda U, & U(x_0, 0) &= u_0(x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Integrating the system (3.1), we get

$$U(x_0, t) = u_0(x_0) e^{-\lambda t}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$x(t, x_0) = x_0 + \frac{u_0^\alpha(x_0)}{\alpha \lambda} (1 - e^{-\alpha \lambda t}). \quad (3.3)$$

Let us denote the characteristic curve issued at $(0,0)$ by $x = c(t)$. Then,

$$c(t) = \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha\lambda}(1 - e^{-\alpha\lambda t}). \quad (3.4)$$

Let us denote the shock issued at $(l,0)$ by $x = s_0(t)$. Then, the Rankine–Hugoniot jump condition requires s_0 to satisfy

$$\frac{ds_0}{dt} = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}u^\alpha(s_0(t) - 0, t), \quad s_0(0) = l. \quad (3.5)$$

As long as c does not intersect s_0

$$u(s_0(t) - 0, t) = U(0, t) = he^{-\lambda t}. \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.6) we integrate (3.5) to obtain

$$s_0(t) = l + \frac{c(t)}{\alpha+1}. \quad (3.7)$$

Proposition 3.1. *The characteristic curve c intersects the shock path s_0 if and only if*

$$h^\alpha > l\lambda(\alpha+1). \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. It is easy to see from (3.4) and (3.7) that any intersection between c and s_0 has the abscissa

$$\bar{x} = \frac{l(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence an intersection exists if and only if for some $\bar{t} > 0$, $c(\bar{t}) = \bar{x} = s_0(\bar{t})$. Since $c(0) = 0$, c is strictly increasing in t and

$$c_\infty := \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha\lambda},$$

the conclusions follow from the fact that $c(\bar{t}) < c_\infty$. \square

In case of intersection between c and s_0 the shock path changes from time \bar{t} onwards and

$$\bar{t} = \frac{1}{\alpha\lambda} \log \frac{h^\alpha}{h^\alpha - l\lambda(\alpha+1)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Let s_1 denote the new shock path then, once again by Rankine–Hugoniot jump condition we have

$$\frac{ds_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}u^\alpha(s_1(t) - 0, t), \quad s_1(\bar{t}) = \bar{x}, \quad t > \bar{t}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $u(s_1(t) - 0, t)$ is given by the characteristic solution of the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) in the region $0 \leq x < c(t)$, $t \geq \bar{t}$.

Now we consider the characteristics emanating from the line $x = 0$. Let $X = X(t, t_0)$ denote the characteristic curve issued from some point $(0, t_0)$, $t_0 > 0$. Further, let

$$V = V(t, t_0) = u(X(t, t_0), t)$$

denote the characteristic solution of the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) along the curve $X = X(t, t_0)$. Then the characteristic equations for some fixed $t_0 > 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dX}{dt} &= V^\alpha, & X(t_0, t_0) &= 0 \\ \frac{dV}{dt} &= -\lambda V, & V(t_0, t_0) &= u_0(0)e^{-\lambda t_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Integrating the system (3.12), we get

$$V(t, t_0) = V(t_0, t_0)e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} = u_0(0)e^{-\lambda t}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$X(t, t_0) = \frac{V^\alpha(t_0, t_0)}{\alpha\lambda} \left(1 - e^{-\alpha\lambda(t-t_0)}\right). \quad (3.14)$$

On substituting $V(t_0, t_0) = u_0(0)e^{-\lambda t_0}$ in (3.14), we get

$$X(t, t_0) = \frac{u_0^\alpha(0)}{\alpha\lambda} \left(e^{-\alpha\lambda t_0} - e^{-\alpha\lambda t}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

We may note that the characteristic, $X = X(t, t_0)$, emanating from $(0, t_0)$ intersect the characteristics $x = x_0$, $x_0 > \bar{x}$. Therefore,

$$u(s_1(t) - 0, t) = V(t, t_0), \quad t > \bar{t}. \quad (3.16)$$

Now using (3.16) and (3.13) in (3.11), we get

$$\frac{ds_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} u_0^\alpha(0) e^{-\alpha\lambda t}, \quad s_1(\bar{t}) = \bar{x}, \quad t > \bar{t}. \quad (3.17)$$

On integrating (3.17), the new shock path is obtained as

$$s_1(t) = \bar{x} + \frac{u_0^\alpha(0)}{\alpha\lambda(\alpha + 1)} \left(e^{-\alpha\lambda\bar{t}} - e^{-\alpha\lambda t}\right), \quad t > \bar{t}. \quad (3.18)$$

On simplifying (3.18), we get

$$s_1(t) = s_0(t), \quad t > \bar{t}. \quad (3.19)$$

Now we define a function $s : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [l, \infty)$ as

$$s(t) := s_0(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.20)$$

In due course we see that s is nothing but the support function of $u(x, t)$. Now we divide the quarter-plane $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ into the following disjoint regions

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \{(x, t) : t \geq 0, 0 \leq x < \min(c(t), s(t))\}, \\ I_2 &:= \{(x, t) : t \geq 0, \min(c(t), s(t)) \leq x \leq s(t)\}, \\ I_3 &:= \{(x, t) : t \geq 0, x > s(t)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and define $u : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as

$$u(x, t) := \begin{cases} he^{-\lambda t}, & (x, t) \in I_1 \cup I_2 \\ 0, & (x, t) \in I_3. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

The regions I_2 and I_3 are separated by the curve $x = s(t)$. Hence, $s(t)$ is the support function of $u(x, t)$ defined by (3.21).

3.1 Large time behaviour of support function and solution

In this section, we study the large time behaviour of the support function defined in (3.20) and the large time behaviour of the entropy solution (3.21) of the IBVP (1.1)–(1.3) and prove Theorem 1.2. Before we proceed further, we have the following lemmas on $s(t)$.

Lemma 3.2. $s \in C^1(0, \infty)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $s_0 \in C^1(0, \bar{t})$. Now if $\bar{t} = \infty$, then $s(t) = s_0(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and the lemma is proved. In case of $\bar{t} < \infty$, we have $s_1 \in C^1(\bar{t}, \infty)$ and s is continuous at \bar{t} . It remains to show the continuity of ds/dt at \bar{t} . Using the expression for $V(t, t_0)$ in (3.13) and the initial value problems (3.5), (3.17) satisfied by s_0 and s_1 , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds}{dt}(\bar{t} - 0) &= \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha + 1} e^{-\alpha\lambda\bar{t}}, \\ \frac{ds}{dt}(\bar{t} + 0) &= \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} V^\alpha(\bar{t}, t_0) \\ &= \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha + 1} e^{-\alpha\lambda\bar{t}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $u_0(0) = h$. Thus, ds/dt is continuous at \bar{t} . Hence the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3. For any $t \geq 0$, $s(t) \leq s_0(t)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that s satisfies the initial value problem

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} u^\alpha(s(t) - 0, t), \quad s(0) = l.$$

By the solution (3.21),

$$\frac{ds}{dt} \leq \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha + 1} e^{-\alpha\lambda t}, \quad s(0) = l, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Hence $s(t) \leq s_0(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. \square

We may note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s_0(t) := s_0^\infty$ exist and

$$s_0^\infty = l + \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha\lambda(\alpha + 1)}. \quad (3.22)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is easy to see that $s(0) = l$ and s is increasing on $[0, \infty)$. Hence $l \leq s(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Now by Lemma 3.3 and equation (3.22), there exist $x_0 := s_0^\infty - l > 0$ such that $s(t) \leq l + x_0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Further, by (3.21) for all $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = h e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.23)$$

From (3.23), it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\lambda t} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = h. \quad (3.24)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

4 Conclusions

Inspired by Murray's work [6], we have studied initial boundary value problems (1.1)–(1.3) for the inviscid non-planar Burgers equation and the $\alpha - \lambda$ equation on the semi-infinite line. The boundary data (1.3) is suitably chosen so that it is compatible with the initial data (1.2). Using the method of characteristics and Rankine–Hugoniot jump condition an entropy solution is constructed. The novelty of this work lies in using the information coming from the boundary $t = 0$ for constructing the entropy solution. Further, we have found the large time behaviour of the entropy solution and its support function.

Acknowledgements

M. K. Y. acknowledges the support and grant of the European Social Fund within the framework of realizing the project "Support of intersectoral mobility and quality enhancement of research teams at Czech Technical University in Prague", CZ.1.07/2.3.00/30.0034

References

- [1] N. HAYASHI, E. I. KAIKINA, P. I. NAUMKIN, I. A. SHISMAREV, *Asymptotics for dissipative nonlinear equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1884, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2006. [MR2230524](#); [url](#)
- [2] J. HOLMER, The initial-boundary value problem for the 1D nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half-line, *Differential Integral Equations* **18**(2005), 647–668. [MR2136703](#)
- [3] J. HOLMER, The initial-boundary value problem for the Korteweg–de Vries equation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **31**(2006), 1151–1190. [MR2254610](#); [url](#)
- [4] E. I. KAIKINA, Mixed initial-boundary value problem for intermediate long-wave equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**(2012), 033701. [MR2798240](#); [url](#)
- [5] G. KARCH, Asymptotics of solutions to a convection-diffusion equation on the half-line, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **130**(2000), 837–853. [MR1776681](#); [url](#)
- [6] J. D. MURRAY, Perturbation effects on the decay of discontinuous solutions of nonlinear first order wave equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **19**(1970), 273–298. [MR0267248](#); [url](#)
- [7] R. NATALINI, A. TESEI, On a class of perturbed conservation laws, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **13**(1992), 429–453. [MR1190121](#); [url](#)
- [8] A. DE PABLO, G. REYES, Long time behaviour for a nonlinear first-order equation, *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**(2006), 284–300. [MR2228429](#); [url](#)
- [9] C. S. RAO, M. K. YADAV, Large-time behaviour of solutions of the inviscid non-planar Burgers equation, *J. Engrg. Math.* **69**(2011), 345–357. [MR2771445](#); [url](#)
- [10] P. L. SACHDEV, *Nonlinear diffusive waves*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987. [MR0898438](#); [url](#)