

# Boundary value problems for systems of second-order functional differential equations

Svatoslav Staněk<sup>\*†</sup>

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Science,  
Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic  
e-mail: stanek@risc.upol.cz

**Abstract.** Systems of second-order functional differential equations  $(x'(t)+L(x)(t))' = F(x)(t)$  together with nonlinear functional boundary conditions are considered. Here  $L : C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^0([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $F : C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  are continuous operators. Existence results are proved by the Leray-Schauder degree and the Borsuk antipodal theorem for  $\alpha$ -condensing operators. Examples demonstrate the optimality of conditions.

**Key words and phrases.** Functional boundary value problem, existence, Leray-Schauder degree, Borsuk theorem,  $\alpha$ -condensing operator.

**1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 34K10, 34B15

## 1 Introduction, notation

Let  $J = [0, T]$  be a compact interval,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , we set  $|a| = \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_n|\}$ . For any  $x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) we write  $x(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$  and  $\int_a^b x(t) dt = (\int_a^b x_1(t) dt, \dots, \int_a^b x_n(t) dt)$  for  $0 \leq a < b \leq T$ .

From now on,  $C^0(J; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $L_1(J; \mathbb{R})$  and  $L_1(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the Banach spaces with the norms  $\|x\|_0 = \max\{|x(t)| : t \in J\}$ ,  $\|x\| = \max\{\|x_1\|_0, \dots, \|x_n\|_0\}$ ,  $\|x\|_1 = \max\{\|x\|, \|x'\|\}$ ,  $\|(x, a, b)\|_* = \|x\| + |a| + |b|$ ,  $\|x\|_{L_1}^0 = \int_0^T |x(t)| dt$  and  $\|x\|_{L_1} = \max\{\|x_1\|_{L_1}^0, \dots, \|x_n\|_{L_1}^0\}$ , respectively.  $\mathcal{K}(J \times [0, \infty); [0, \infty))$  denotes the set of all functions  $\omega : J \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which are integrable on  $J$  in the first variable, nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$  in the second variable and  $\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_0^T \omega(t, \varrho) dt = 0$ .

---

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by grant no. 201/98/0318 of the Grant Agency of Czech Republic and by the Council of Czech Government J14/98:153100011

<sup>†</sup>This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_0$  the set of all functionals  $\alpha : C^0(J; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are

a) continuous,  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = \mathbb{R}$ , and

b) increasing (i.e.  $x, y \in C^0(J; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $x(t) < y(t)$  for  $t \in J \Rightarrow \alpha(x) < \alpha(y)$ ).

Here  $\text{Im}(\alpha)$  stands for the range of  $\alpha$ . If  $k$  is an increasing homeomorphism on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq a < b \leq T$ , then the following functionals

$$\max\{k(x(t)) : a \leq t \leq b\}, \quad \min\{k(x(t)) : a \leq t \leq b\}, \quad \int_a^b k(x(t)) dt$$

belong to the set  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Next examples of functionals belonging to the set  $\mathcal{A}_0$  can be found for example in [2], [3].

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_0}_n$ . For each  $x \in C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $x(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ , we define  $\varphi(x)$  by

$$\varphi(x) = (\varphi_1(x_1), \dots, \varphi_n(x_n)). \quad (1)$$

Let  $L : C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $F : C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_1(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$  be continuous operators,  $L = (L_1, \dots, L_n)$ ,  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ . Consider the functional boundary value problem (BVP for short)

$$(x'(t) + L(x)(t))' = F(x)(t), \quad (2)$$

$$\varphi(x) = A, \quad \psi(x') = B. \quad (3)$$

Here  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ ,  $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$  and  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ ,  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n)$ .

A function  $x \in C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be a *solution of BVP* (2), (3) if the vector function  $x'(t) + L(x)(t)$  is absolutely continuous on  $J$ , (2) is satisfied for a.e.  $t \in J$  and  $x$  satisfies the boundary conditions (3).

The aim of this paper is to state sufficient conditions for the existence results of BVP (2), (3). These results are proved by the Leray-Schauder degree and the Borsuk theorem for  $\alpha$ -condensing operators (see e.g. [1]). In our case  $\alpha$ -condensing operators have the form  $U + V$ , where  $U$  is a compact operator and  $V$  is a strict contraction. We recall that this paper is a continuation of the previous paper by the author [3], where the scalar BVP

$$(x'(t) + L_1(x')(t))' = F_1(x)(t),$$

$$\varphi_1(x) = 0, \quad \psi_1(x') = 0$$

was considered. Here  $L_1 : C^0(J; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $F_1 : C^1(J; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_1(J; \mathbb{R})$  are continuous operators and  $\varphi_1, \psi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_0$  satisfy  $\varphi_1(0) = 0 = \psi_1(0)$ .

We assume throughout the paper that the continuous operators  $L$  and  $F$  in (2) satisfy the following assumptions:

(H<sub>1</sub>) There exists  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2\mu})$ ,  $\mu = \max\{1, T\}$ , such that

$$\|L(x) - L(y)\| \leq k\|x - y\|_1 \quad \text{for } x, y \in C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $\omega \in \mathcal{K}(J \times [0, \infty); [0, \infty))$  such that

$$|F(x)(t)| \leq \omega(t, \|x\|_1)$$

for a.e.  $t \in J$  and each  $x \in C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 1.** If assumption (H<sub>1</sub>) is satisfied then

$$\|L(x)\| \leq k\|x\|_1 + \|L(0)\| \quad \text{for } x \in C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

**Example 1.** Let  $w \in C^0(J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\chi, \phi \in C^0(J; J)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & |w(t, r_1, u_1, v_1, z_1) - w(t, r_2, u_2, v_2, z_2)| \\ & \leq k \max\{|r_1 - r_2|, |u_1 - u_2|, |v_1 - v_2|, |z_1 - z_2|\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in J$  and  $r_i, u_i, v_i, z_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), where  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2\mu})$ . Then the Nemytskii operator  $L : C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$L(x)(t) = w(t, x(t), x(\chi(t)), x'(t), x'(\phi(t)))$$

satisfies assumption (H<sub>1</sub>).

**Example 2.** Let  $f : J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy the Carathéodory conditions on  $J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$|f(t, u, v)| \leq \omega(t, \max\{|u|, |v|\})$$

for a.e.  $t \in J$  and each  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\omega \in \mathcal{K}(J \times [0, \infty); [0, \infty))$ . Then the Nemytskii operator  $F : C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_1(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$F(x)(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t))$$

satisfies assumption (H<sub>2</sub>).

The existence results for BVP (2), (3) are given in Sec. 3. Here the optimality of our assumptions (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) is studied as well. We shall show that  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  can not be replaced by the weaker assumption  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  in (H<sub>1</sub>) provided  $T \leq 1$  (see Example 4), and if  $k > \frac{1}{2\mu}$  in (H<sub>2</sub>) then there exists unsolvable BVP of the type (2), (3) provided  $T > 1$  (see Example 5). Example 6 shows that the condition  $\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_0^T \omega(t, \varrho) dt = 0$  which appears for  $\omega$  in (H<sub>2</sub>) can not be replaced by  $\limsup_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_0^T \omega(t, \varrho) dt < \infty$ .

## 2 Auxiliary results

For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , we define the function  $p_\alpha \in C^0(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  by

$$p_\alpha(c) = \alpha(c). \quad ^1$$

Then  $p_\alpha$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$  and maps  $\mathbb{R}$  onto itself. Hence there exists the inverse function  $p_\alpha^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to  $p_\alpha$ .

From now on,  $m_{\gamma C} \in \mathbb{R}$  is defined for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $C = (C_1, \dots, C_n)$ , by

$$m_{\gamma C} = \max\{|p_{\gamma_i}^{-1}(C_i)| : i = 1, \dots, n\}. \quad (4)$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\gamma(x) = A$  for some  $x \in C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that*

$$(x_1(\xi_1), \dots, x_n(\xi_n)) = (p_{\gamma_1}^{-1}(A_1), \dots, p_{\gamma_n}^{-1}(A_n)).$$

**Proof.** Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $x_j(t) > p_{\gamma_j}^{-1}(A_j)$  (resp.  $x_j(t) < p_{\gamma_j}^{-1}(A_j)$ ) on  $J$ , then  $\gamma_j(x_j) > \gamma_j(p_{\gamma_j}^{-1}(A_j)) = A_j$  (resp.  $\gamma_j(x_j) < \gamma_j(p_{\gamma_j}^{-1}(A_j)) = A_j$ ), contrary to  $\gamma_j(A_j) = A_j$ . Hence there exists  $\xi_j \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_j(\xi_j) = p_{\gamma_j}^{-1}(A_j)$ .  $\square$

Define the operators

$$\Pi : C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad P : C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$Q : C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow L_1(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

by the formulas

$$\Pi(x, a)(t) = \int_0^t x(s) ds + a, \quad (5)$$

$$P(x, a)(t) = L(\Pi(x, a))(t) \quad (6)$$

and

$$Q(x, a)(t) = F(\Pi(x, a))(t). \quad (7)$$

Here  $L$  and  $F$  are the operators in (2).

Consider BVP

$$x(t) = a + \lambda \left( -P(x, b)(t) + \int_0^t Q(x, b)(s) ds \right), \quad (8)_{(\lambda, a, b)}$$

$$\varphi \left( \int_0^t x(s) ds + b \right) = A, \quad (9)_b$$

$$\psi(x) = B \quad (10)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We identify the set of all constant scalar functions on  $J$  with  $\mathbb{R}$ .

depending on the parameters  $\lambda, a, b$ ,  $(\lambda, a, b) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Here  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We say that  $x \in C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a solution of BVP  $(8)_{(\lambda, a, b)}$ ,  $(9)_b$ ,  $(10)$  for some  $(\lambda, a, b) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  if  $(8)_{(\lambda, a, b)}$  is satisfied for  $t \in J$  and  $x(t)$  satisfies the boundary conditions  $(9)_b$ ,  $(10)$ .

**Lemma 2.** (A priori bounds). *Let assumptions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  be satisfied. Let  $x(t)$  be a solution of BVP  $(8)_{(\lambda, a, b)}$ ,  $(9)_b$ ,  $(10)$  for some  $(\lambda, a, b) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then*

$$\|x\| < S, \quad |a| < (1 - k\mu)S, \quad |b| < m_{\varphi A} + ST,$$

where  $S$  is a positive constant such that

$$\frac{m_{\psi B} + 2km_{\varphi A} + 2\|L(0)\|}{u} + \frac{1}{u} \int_0^T \omega(t, m_{\varphi A} + \mu u) dt < 1 - 2k\mu \quad (11)$$

for  $u \in [S, \infty)$  and  $m_{\varphi A}, m_{\psi B}$  are given by (4).

**Proof.** By Lemma 1 (cf.  $(9)_b$  and  $(10)$ ), there exist  $\xi, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\int_0^{\xi_i} x_i(s) ds + b_i = p_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(A_i), \quad x_i(\nu_i) = p_{\psi_i}^{-1}(B_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (12)$$

Then (cf.  $(8)_{(\lambda, a, b)}$ )

$$p_{\psi_i}^{-1}(B_i) = a_i + \lambda \left( -P_i(x, b)(\nu_i) + \int_0^{\nu_i} Q_i(x, b)(s) ds \right), \quad (13)$$

and consequently (for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ )

$$x_i(t) = p_{\psi_i}^{-1}(B_i) + \lambda \left( P_i(x, b)(\nu_i) - P_i(x, b)(t) + \int_{\nu_i}^t Q_i(x, b)(s) ds \right).$$

Hence (cf. (4),  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and Remark 1)

$$|x_i(t)| \leq m_{\psi B} + 2k\|\Pi(x, b)\|_1 + 2\|L(0)\| + \int_0^T \omega(t, \|\Pi(x, b)\|_1) dt \quad (14)$$

for  $t \in J$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since (cf. (5) and (12))

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi(x, b)\| &= \left\| \left( \int_0^t x_1(s) ds + b_1, \dots, \int_0^t x_n(s) ds + b_n \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left( \int_{\xi_1}^t x_1(s) ds + p_{\varphi_1}^{-1}(A_1), \dots, \int_{\xi_n}^t x_n(s) ds + p_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(A_n) \right) \right\| \\ &= \max \left\{ \left\| \int_{\xi_i}^t x_i(s) ds + p_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(A_i) \right\|_0 : i = 1, \dots, n \right\} \leq m_{\varphi A} + T\|x\|, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

we have

$$\|\Pi(x, b)\|_1 \leq \max\{m_{\varphi A} + T\|x\|, \|x\|\} \leq m_{\varphi A} + \mu\|x\|. \quad (16)$$

Then (cf. (14)-(16))

$$\|x\| \leq m_{\psi B} + 2k(m_{\varphi A} + \mu\|x\|) + 2\|L(0)\| + \int_0^T \omega(t, m_{\varphi A} + \mu\|x\|) dt. \quad (17)$$

Set

$$q(u) = \frac{m_{\psi B} + 2km_{\varphi A} + 2\|L(0)\|}{u} + \frac{1}{u} \int_0^T \omega(t, m_{\varphi A} + \mu u) dt$$

for  $u \in (0, \infty)$ . Then  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} q(u) = 0$ . Whence there exists  $S > 0$  such that  $q(u) < 1 - 2k\mu$  for  $u \geq S$ , and so (cf. (17))

$$\|x\| < S.$$

Therefore (cf. (12), (13) and (15))

$$|b_i| = \left| p_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(A_i) - \int_0^{\xi_i} x_i(s) ds \right| < m_{\varphi A} + ST,$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_i| &= \left| p_{\psi_i}^{-1}(B_i) + \lambda \left( P_i(x, b)(\nu_i) - \int_0^{\nu_i} Q_i(x, b)(s) ds \right) \right| \\ &\leq m_{\psi B} + k\|\Pi(x, b)\|_1 + \|L(0)\| + \int_0^T \omega(t, \|\Pi(x, b)\|_1) dt \\ &\leq m_{\psi B} + k(m_{\varphi A} + \mu S) + \|L(0)\| + \int_0^T \omega(t, m_{\varphi A} + \mu S) dt \\ &< k\mu S + (1 - 2k\mu)S = (1 - k\mu)S \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and consequently

$$|a| < (1 - k\mu)S, \quad |b| < m_{\varphi A} + ST. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let assumption (H<sub>2</sub>) be satisfied,  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S > 0$  be a constant such that (11) is satisfied for  $u \geq S$ . Set*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \left\{ (x, a, b) : (x, a, b) \in C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|x\| < S, |a| < S, |b| < m_{\varphi A} + ST \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and let  $\Gamma : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  be given by

$$\Gamma(x, a, b) = \left( a, a + \varphi \left( \int_0^t x(s) ds + b \right) - A, b + \psi(x) - B \right). \quad (19)$$

Then

$$D(I - \Gamma, \Omega, 0) \neq 0, \quad (20)$$

where “D” denotes the Leray-Schauder degree and  $I$  is the identity operator on  $C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $U : [0, 1] \times \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$U(\lambda, x, a, b) = \left( a, a + \varphi\left(\int_0^t x(s) ds + b\right) - (1 - \lambda)\varphi\left(-\int_0^t x(s) ds - b\right) - \lambda A, \right. \\ \left. b + \psi(x) - (1 - \lambda)\psi(-x) - \lambda B \right).$$

By the theory of homotopy and the Borsuk antipodal theorem, to prove (20) it is sufficient to show that

(j)  $U(0, \cdot)$  is an odd operator,

(jj)  $U$  is a compact operator, and

(jjj)  $U(\lambda, x, a, b) \neq (x, a, b)$  for  $(\lambda, x, a, b) \in [0, 1] \times \partial\Omega$ .

Since

$$U(0, -x, -a, -b) = \left( -a, -a + \varphi\left(-\int_0^t x(s) ds - b\right) - \varphi\left(\int_0^t x(s) ds + b\right), \right. \\ \left. -b + \psi(-x) - \psi(x) \right) = -U(0, x, a, b)$$

for  $(x, a, b) \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $U$  is an odd operator.

The compactness of  $U$  follows from the properties of  $\varphi, \psi$  and applying the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem.

Assume that  $U(\lambda_0, x_0, a_0, b_0) = (x_0, a_0, b_0)$  for some  $(\lambda_0, x_0, a_0, b_0) \in [0, 1] \times \partial\Omega$ ,  $a_0 = (a_{01}, \dots, a_{0n})$ ,  $b_0 = (b_{01}, \dots, b_{0n})$ . Then

$$x_0(t) = a_0, \quad t \in J, \quad (21)$$

$$\varphi(a_0 t + b_0) = (1 - \lambda_0)\varphi(-a_0 t - b_0) + \lambda_0 A, \quad (22)$$

$$\psi(a_0) = (1 - \lambda_0)\psi(-a_0) + \lambda_0 B, \quad (23)$$

and consequently (cf. (22) and (23))

$$\varphi_i(a_{0i} t + b_{0i}) = (1 - \lambda_0)\varphi_i(-a_{0i} t - b_{0i}) + \lambda_0 A_i, \quad (24)$$

$$\psi_i(a_{0i}) = (1 - \lambda_0)\psi_i(-a_{0i}) + \lambda_0 B_i \quad (25)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $a_{0i} > 0$  then  $\psi_i(-a_{0i}) < \psi_i(a_{0i})$ , and so (cf. (25))  $\psi_i(a_{0i}) \leq (1 - \lambda_0)\psi_i(a_{0i}) + \lambda_0 B_i$ . Therefore

$$\lambda_0 \psi_i(a_{0i}) \leq \lambda_0 B_i. \quad (26)$$

For  $\lambda_0 = 0$  we obtain (cf. (25))  $\psi_i(a_{0i}) = \psi_i(-a_{0i})$ , a contradiction. Let  $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1]$ . Then (cf. (26))  $\psi_i(a_{0i}) \leq B_i$  and

$$0 < a_{0i} \leq p_{\psi_i}^{-1}(B_i) \leq m_{\psi B}. \quad (27)$$

If  $a_{0i} < 0$  then  $\psi_i(a_{0i}) < \psi_i(-a_{0i})$  and (cf. (25))  $\psi_i(a_{0i}) \geq (1 - \lambda_0)\psi_i(a_{0i}) + \lambda_0 B_i$ . Hence

$$\lambda_0 \psi_i(a_{0i}) \geq \lambda_0 B_i. \quad (28)$$

For  $\lambda_0 = 0$  we obtain (cf. (25))  $\psi_i(a_{0i}) = \psi_i(-a_{0i})$ , which is impossible. Let  $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1]$ . Then (cf. (28))

$$0 > a_{0i} \geq p_{\psi_i}^{-1}(B_i) \geq -m_{\psi B}. \quad (29)$$

From (27) and (29) we deduce

$$|a_{0i}| \leq m_{\psi B}. \quad (30)$$

Assume that  $a_{0i}t + b_{0i} > 0$  for  $t \in J$ . Then  $\varphi_i(-a_{0i}t - b_{0i}) < \varphi_i(a_{0i}t + b_{0i})$ , and so (cf. (24))  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$  and  $\varphi_i(a_{0i}t + b_{0i}) \leq (1 - \lambda_0)\varphi_i(a_{0i}t + b_{0i}) + \lambda_0 A_i$ . Hence

$$\varphi_i(a_{0i}t + b_{0i}) \leq A_i.$$

If  $a_{0i}t + b_{0i} > p_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(A_i)$  for  $t \in J$  then  $A_i \geq \varphi_i(a_{0i}t + b_{0i}) > \varphi_i(p_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(A_i)) = A_i$ , a contradiction. Thus there is  $\xi_i \in J$  such that

$$0 < a_{0i}\xi_i + b_{0i} \leq p_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(A_i) \leq m_{\varphi A}. \quad (31)$$

Let  $a_{0i}t + b_{0i} < 0$  for  $t \in J$ . Then  $\varphi_i(a_{0i}t + b_{0i}) < \varphi_i(-a_{0i}t - b_{0i})$  and (24) implies that  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$  and  $\varphi_i(-a_{0i}t - b_{0i}) \leq A_i$ . If  $-a_{0i}t - b_{0i} > p_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(A_i)$  for  $t \in J$  then  $A_i \geq \varphi_i(-a_{0i}t - b_{0i}) > \varphi_i(p_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(A_i)) = A_i$ , a contradiction. Hence there exists  $\nu_i \in J$  such that

$$0 < -a_{0i}\nu_i - b_{0i} \leq p_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(A_i) \leq m_{\varphi A}. \quad (32)$$

We have proved that there exists  $\tau_i \in J$  such that (cf. (31) and (32))

$$|a_{0i}\tau_i + b_{0i}| \leq m_{\varphi A},$$

and consequently (cf. (30))

$$|b_{0i}| \leq |a_{0i}\tau_i + b_{0i}| + |a_{0i}\tau_i| \leq m_{\varphi A} + Tm_{\psi B}. \quad (33)$$

Since (cf. (11))  $m_{\psi B} < (1 - k\mu)S \leq S$ , it follows that (cf. (21), (30) and (33))

$$\|x_0\| < S, \quad |a| < S, \quad |b| < m_{\varphi A} + ST,$$

contrary to  $(x_0, a_0, b_0) \in \partial\Omega$ . □

### 3 Existence results, examples

The main result of this paper is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let assumptions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  be satisfied. Then for each  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , BVP (2), (3) has a solution.*

**Proof.** Fix  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $S$  be a positive constant such that (11) is satisfied for  $u \geq S$  and  $\Omega \subset C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  be defined by (18). Let  $U, V : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$U(x, a, b) = \left( a + \int_0^t Q(x, b)(s) ds, a + \varphi\left(\int_0^t x(s) ds + b\right) - A, b + \psi(x) - B \right),$$

$$V(x, a, b) = (-P(x, b)(t), 0, 0)$$

and let  $W, Z : [0, 1] \times \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$W(\lambda, x, a, b) = \left( a + \lambda \int_0^t Q(x, b)(s) ds, a + \varphi\left(\int_0^t x(s) ds + b\right) - A, b + \psi(x) - B \right),$$

$$Z(\lambda, x, a, b) = \lambda V(x, a, b).$$

Then  $W(0, \cdot) + Z(0, \cdot) = \Gamma(\cdot)$  and  $W(1, \cdot) + Z(1, \cdot) = U(\cdot) + V(\cdot)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is defined by (19). By Lemma 3,  $D(I - W(0, \cdot) - Z(0, \cdot), \Omega, 0) \neq 0$ , and consequently, by the theory of homotopy (see e.g. [1]), to show that

$$D(I - U - V, \Omega, 0) \neq 0 \tag{34}$$

it suffices to prove:

(i)  $W$  is a compact operator,

(ii) there exists  $m \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|Z(\lambda, x, a, b) - Z(\lambda, y, c, d)\|_* \leq m \|(x, a, b) - (y, c, d)\|_*$$

for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $(x, a, b), (y, c, d) \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,

(iii)  $W(\lambda, x, a, b) + Z(\lambda, x, a, b) \neq (x, a, b)$  for  $(\lambda, x, a, b) \in [0, 1] \times \partial\Omega$ .

The continuity of  $W$  follows from that of  $Q, \varphi$  and  $\psi$ . We claim that  $W([0, 1] \times \bar{\Omega})$  is a relatively compact subset of the Banach space  $C^0(J; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Indeed, let  $\{(\lambda_j, x_j, a_j, b_j)\} \subset [0, 1] \times \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $x_j = (x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jn})$ ,  $a_j = (a_{j1}, \dots, a_{jn})$ ,  $b_j = (b_{j1}, \dots, b_{jn})$  ( $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then (cf. (7), (H<sub>2</sub>) and (18))

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| a_{ji} + \lambda \int_0^t Q_i(x_j, b_j)(s) ds \right| \leq |a_{ji}| + \int_0^T |Q_i(x_j, b_j)(s)| ds \\ & < S + \int_0^T \omega(t, \|\Pi(x_j, b_j)\|_1) dt \leq S + \int_0^T \omega(t, \mu \|x_j\| + |b_j|) dt \\ & \leq S + \int_0^T \omega(t, m_{\varphi A} + S(\mu + T)) dt, \\ & \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} Q_i(x_j, b_j)(s) ds \right| \leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \omega(t, m_{\varphi A} + S(\mu + T)) dt \right|, \\ & \left| a_{ji} + \varphi_i \left( \int_0^t x_{ji}(s) ds + b_{ji} \right) - A_i \right| \\ & < S + \max\{|p_{\varphi_i}(-m_{\varphi A} - 2ST)|, |p_{\varphi_i}(m_{\varphi A} + 2ST)|\} + |A| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|b_{ji} + \psi_i(x_{ji}) - B_i| < m_{\varphi A} + ST + \max\{|p_{\psi_i}(-S)|, |p_{\psi_i}(S)|\} + |B|$$

for  $t, t_1, t_2 \in J$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore there exists a convergent subsequence of  $\{W(\lambda_j, x_j, a_j, b_j)\}$  by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem and the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem. Hence  $W$  is a compact operator.

Let  $(\lambda, x, a, b), (\lambda, y, c, d) \in [0, 1] \times \bar{\Omega}$ . Then (cf. (H<sub>1</sub>) and (6))

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z(\lambda, x, a, b) - Z(\lambda, y, c, d)\|_* & \leq \|P(x, b) - P(y, d)\| = \|L(\Pi(x, b)) - L(\Pi(y, d))\| \\ & \leq k \|\Pi(x, b) - \Pi(y, d)\|_1 = k \max\{\|\Pi(x, b) - \Pi(y, d)\|, \|x - y\|\} \\ & \leq k \max\{\|x - y\|T + |b - d|, \|x - y\|\} \\ & \leq k\mu(\|x - y\| + |b - d|) \leq k\mu\|(x, a, b) - (y, c, d)\|_*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (ii) holds with  $m = k\mu < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Suppose (iii) was false. Then we could find  $(\lambda_0, x_0, a_0, b_0) \in [0, 1] \times \partial\Omega$  such that

$$W(\lambda_0, x_0, a_0, b_0) + Z(\lambda_0, x_0, a_0, b_0) = (x_0, a_0, b_0).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(t) & = a_0 + \lambda_0 \left( -P(x_0, b_0)(t) + \int_0^t Q(x_0, b_0)(s) ds \right) \quad \text{for } t \in J, \\ \varphi \left( \int_0^t x_0(s) ds + b_0 \right) & = A, \quad \psi(x_0) = B, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently  $x_0(t)$  is a solution of BVP (8) $_{(\lambda_0, a_0, b_0)}$ , (9) $_{b_0}$ , (10). By Lemma 2,  $\|x_0\| < S$ ,  $|a_0| < (1-k\mu)S \leq S$  and  $|b_0| < m_{\varphi_A} + ST$ , contrary to  $(x_0, a_0, b_0) \in \partial\Omega$ .

We have proved (34). Therefore there exists a fixed point of the operator  $U + V$ , say  $(u, a, b)$ . It follows that

$$u(t) = a - P(u, b)(t) + \int_0^t Q(u, b)(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \in J, \quad (35)$$

$$\varphi\left(\int_0^t u(s) ds + b\right) = A, \quad \psi(u) = B. \quad (36)$$

Set  $x(t) = \int_0^t u(s) ds + b$ ,  $t \in J$ . Then (cf. (5)-(7), (35) and (36))

$$x'(t) = a - L(x)(t) + \int_0^t F(x)(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \in J,$$

$$\varphi(x) = A, \quad \psi(x') = B,$$

and we see that  $x(t)$  is a solution of BVP (2), (3). □

**Example 3.** Let  $w_{ji} \in C^0(J; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i \in C^0(J; J)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 9$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Define  $L_i : C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R})$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} L_i(x)(t) &= w_{1i}(t)x_1(t) + w_{2i}(t)x_2(t) + w_{3i}(t)x_1(\alpha_i(t)) + w_{4i}(t)x_2(\beta_i(t)) \\ &+ w_{5i}(t)x'_1(t) + w_{6i}(t)x'_2(t) + w_{7i}(t)x'_1(\gamma_i(t)) + w_{8i}(t)x'_2(\delta_i(t)) + w_{9i}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $F_i : C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L_1(J; \mathbb{R})$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be continuous operators such that

$$|F_i(x)(t)| \leq \tilde{\omega}(t, \|x\|_1)$$

for a.e.  $t \in J$  and each  $x \in C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{K}(J \times [0, \infty); [0, \infty))$ .

Consider BVP

$$\begin{aligned} (x'_1(t) + L_1(x)(t))' &= F_1(x)(t), \\ (x'_2(t) + L_2(x)(t))' &= F_2(x)(t), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$\varphi_1(x_1) = A_1, \quad \varphi_2(x_2) = A_2, \quad \psi_1(x'_1) = B_1, \quad \psi_2(x'_2) = B_2. \quad (38)$$

By Theorem 1, for each  $\varphi_i, \psi_i \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $A_i, B_i \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), BVP (37), (38) has a solution provided  $\sum_{j=1}^8 \|w_{ji}\|_0 < \frac{1}{2\mu}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Next Example 4 shows that for  $T \leq 1$  the condition  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  in  $(H_1)$  is optimal and can not be replaced by  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . In the case of  $T > 1$  we will show (see Example 5) that for each  $k > \frac{1}{2T}$  in  $(H_1)$  there exists an unsolvable BVP of the type (2), (3) satisfying  $(H_2)$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $T \leq 1$ . Consider BVP

$$\begin{aligned}(x'_1(t) + \alpha(t)(x'_1(T) + x'_2(T)))' &= 1, \\ (x'_2(t) + \alpha(t)(x'_1(T) + x'_2(T)))' &= 1,\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(x_1) = A_1, \min\{x'_1(t) : t \in J\} &= 0, \\ \varphi_2(x_2) = A_2, \min\{x'_2(t) : t \in J\} &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{40}$$

where  $\alpha \in C^0(J; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\|\alpha\|_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\alpha(0) = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\alpha(T) = -\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $L_i : C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $L_i(x)(t) = \alpha(t)(x'_1(T) + x'_2(T))$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|L_i(x) - L_i(y)\|_0 &\leq \|\alpha\|_0(|x'_1(T) - y'_1(T)| + |x'_2(T) - y'_2(T)|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(\|x'_1 - y'_1\|_0 + \|x'_2 - y'_2\|_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x' - y'\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|_1,\end{aligned}$$

and so  $\|L(x) - L(y)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|_1$  for  $x, y \in C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^2)$  where  $L = (L_1, L_2)$ . BVP (39), (40) satisfies  $(H_2)$  with  $\omega(t, \varrho) = 1$  but in  $(H_1)$  we have  $k = \frac{1}{2}$  ( $= \frac{1}{2\mu}$ ).

Assume that  $u(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t))$  is a solution of BVP (39), (40). Then  $u'_1 = u'_2$ . Indeed, since  $(u'_1(t) - u'_2(t))' = 0$  for  $t \in J$  there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u'_1(t) = u'_2(t) + c$  on  $J$ . From  $\min\{u'_1(t) : t \in J\} = \min\{u'_2(t) : t \in J\} = 0$  we deduce that  $u'_1(\nu) = 0$ ,  $u'_2(\tau) = 0$  for some  $\nu, \tau \in J$ , and so  $0 = u'_1(\nu) = u'_2(\nu) + c \geq c$ . If  $c < 0$  then  $0 \leq u'_1(\tau) = c$ , a contradiction. Hence  $c = 0$  and then

$$(u'_1(t) + 2\alpha(t)u'_1(T))' = 1 \quad \text{for } t \in J.$$

Using the equality  $u'_1(\nu) = 0$  we have

$$u'_1(t) = 2(\alpha(\nu) - \alpha(t))u'_1(T) + t - \nu \quad \text{for } t \in J.\tag{41}$$

If  $\nu = 0$  then (cf. (41) with  $t = T$ )  $u'_1(T) = u'_1(T) + T$ , which is impossible. Assume  $\nu \in (0, T]$ . Then (cf. (41) with  $t = 0$ )

$$u'_1(0) = 2\left(\alpha(\nu) - \frac{1}{4}\right)u'_1(T) - \nu \leq -\nu$$

contrary to  $u'_1(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in J$ . It follows that BVP (39), (40) is unsolvable.

**Example 5.** Let  $T > 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 1$ . Consider BVP

$$\begin{aligned}(x'_1(t) + \alpha(t)(x_1(T) + x_2(T)))' &= 1, \\ (x'_2(t) + \alpha(t)(x_1(T) + x_2(T)))' &= 1,\end{aligned}\tag{42}$$

$$\min\{x_i(t) : t \in J\} = 0, \quad \min\{x'_i(t) : t \in J\} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,\tag{43}$$

where  $\alpha \in C^0(J; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\|\alpha\|_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{4T}$ ,  $\int_0^T \alpha(s) ds = -\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\alpha(0) = \frac{1}{4T}$ ,  $\alpha(T) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{4T}$  and  $\alpha(t) \leq \frac{1}{4T}$  for  $t \in J$ .

Let  $L_i : C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow C^0(J; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $(L_i x)(t) = \alpha(t)(x_1(T) + x_2(T))$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_i(x) - L_i(y)\|_0 &\leq \|\alpha\|_0(|x_1(T) - y_1(T)| + |x_2(T) - y_2(T)|) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4T}(\|x_1 - y_1\|_0 + \|x_2 - y_2\|_0) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2T}\|x - y\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2T}\|x - y\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\|Lx - Ly\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2T}\|x - y\|_1$  for  $x, y \in C^1(J; \mathbb{R}^2)$  where  $L = (L_1, L_2)$ . Hence BVP (42), (43) satisfies  $(H_2)$  with  $\omega(t, \varrho) = 1$  but in  $(H_1)$  we have  $k = \frac{\varepsilon}{2T}$  ( $> \frac{1}{2\mu}$ ).

Assume that  $u(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t))$  is a solution of BVP (42), (43). Applying the same procedure as in Example 4, it is obvious that  $u_1 = u_2$ . Hence

$$(u_1'(t) + 2\alpha(t)u_1(T))' = 1 \quad \text{for } t \in J,$$

and since  $\min\{u_1(t) : t \in J\} = 0$  and  $\min\{u_1'(t) : t \in J\} = 0$  we have  $u_1(t) \geq 0$ ,  $u_1'(t) \geq 0$  on  $J$  and  $u_1'(\nu) = 0$  for some  $\nu \in J$ . Therefore

$$u_1'(t) = 2(\alpha(\nu) - \alpha(t))u_1(T) + t - \nu \quad \text{for } t \in J. \quad (44)$$

Assume  $\nu = 0$ . Then

$$u_1'(t) = 2\left(\frac{1}{4T} - \alpha(t)\right)u_1(T) + t \geq t,$$

and so  $u_1(t)$  is increasing on  $J$  and  $\min\{u_1(t) : t \in J\} = 0$  implies  $u_1(0) = 0$ . Hence

$$u_1(t) = 2\left(\frac{t}{4T} - \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds\right)u_1(T) + \frac{t^2}{2} \quad \text{for } t \in J$$

and

$$u_1(T) = 2\left(\frac{1}{4} - \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds\right)u_1(T) + \frac{T^2}{2} = u_1(T) + \frac{T^2}{2},$$

which is impossible.

Let  $\nu \in (0, T]$ . Then (cf. (44))

$$u_1'(0) = 2\left(\alpha(\nu) - \frac{1}{4T}\right)u_1(T) - \nu \leq -\nu,$$

contrary to  $\min\{u_1'(t) : t \in J\} = 0$ . We have proved that BVP (42), (43) is unsolvable.

The following example demonstrates that the condition  $\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \omega(t, \varrho) dt = 0$  in  $(H_2)$  can not be replaced by  $\limsup_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \omega(t, \varrho) dt < \infty$ .

**Example 6.** Consider BVP

$$x_1''(t) = 1 + \frac{2}{T^2}\|x\|_1, \quad x_2''(t) = 1 + \sqrt{\|x\|}, \quad (45)$$

$$\min\{x_1(t) : t \in J\} = 0, \quad \varphi_1(x_2) = A, \quad \min\{x_1'(t) : t \in J\} = 0, \quad \varphi_2(x_2') = B, \quad (46)$$

where  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that BVP (45), (46) is solvable and let  $u(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t))$  be its solution. Then  $u_1''(t) \geq 1$  on  $J$  and the equality  $\min\{u_1'(t) : t \in J\} = 0$  implies  $u_1'(0) = 0$ . Hence

$$u_1'(t) = \left(1 + \frac{2}{T^2}\|u\|_1\right)t \quad \text{for } t \in J, \quad (47)$$

and consequently  $u_1(t)$  is increasing on  $J$ . From  $\min\{u_1(t) : t \in J\} = 0$  we deduce that  $u_1(0) = 0$  and then (cf. (47))

$$u_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{2}{T^2}\|u\|_1\right)t^2 \quad \text{for } t \in J.$$

Therefore

$$\|u_1\|_0 = \frac{T^2}{2} + \|u\|_1 \geq \frac{T^2}{2} + \|u_1\|_0.$$

which is impossible. Hence BVP (45), (46) is unsolvable.

We note that for (45) the inequality  $|F(x)(t)| \leq \omega(t, \|x\|_1)$  in  $(H_2)$  is optimal with respect to the function  $\omega$  for  $\omega(t, \varrho) = 1 + \max\left\{\frac{2}{T^2}\varrho, \sqrt{\varrho}\right\}$  and we see that

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_0^T \omega(t, \varrho) dt = \frac{2}{T}.$$

## Reference

- [1] Deimling K., *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1985.
- [2] Staněk S., *Multiple solutions for some functional boundary value problems*. Nonlin. Anal. 32(1998), 427–438.
- [3] Staněk S., *Functional boundary value problems for second order functional differential equations of the neutral type*. Glasnik Matematički (to appear).