

## On the well-posedness of the nonlocal boundary value problem for elliptic-parabolic equations

Allaberen Ashyralyev

Department of Mathematics, Fatih University, Istanbul, Turkey

Permanent address: Department of Mathematics, ITTU, Ashgabat, Turkmenistan

**Abstract.** The abstract nonlocal boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d^2u(t)}{dt^2} + \text{sign}(t)Au(t) = g(t), (0 \leq t \leq 1), \\ \frac{du(t)}{dt} + \text{sign}(t)Au(t) = f(t), (-1 \leq t \leq 0), \\ u(1) = u(-1) + \mu \end{cases}$$

for the differential equation in a Hilbert space  $H$  with the self-adjoint positive definite operator  $A$  is considered. The well-posedness of this problem in Hölder spaces without a weight is established. The coercivity inequalities for solutions of the boundary value problem for elliptic-parabolic equations are obtained.

**Key Words:** Elliptic-parabolic equation, Nonlocal boundary-value problem, Well-posedness  
**AMC 2000:** 35M10, 65J10

### 1 A nonlocal boundary value problem. Well-posedness

Methods of solutions of the nonlocal boundary value problems for partial differential equations have been studied extensively by many researchers (see, e.g., [4]- [6], [8], [11]- [35], and the references given therein)

The role played by coercivity inequalities (well-posedness) in the study of boundary-value problems for partial differential equations is well known ( see, e.g., [1]-[3]). In the present paper we study the well-posedness of the nonlocal boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d^2u(t)}{dt^2} + \text{sign}(t)Au(t) = g(t), (0 \leq t \leq 1), \\ \frac{du(t)}{dt} + \text{sign}(t)Au(t) = f(t), (-1 \leq t \leq 0), \\ u(1) = u(-1) + \mu \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for the differential equation in a Hilbert space  $H$  with the self-adjoint positive definite operator  $A$  and  $A \geq \delta I, \delta > 0$ .

First of all, let us give some estimates that will be needed below.

**Lemma 1.1** [41]. *The following estimates hold:*

$$\| (A^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\alpha} e^{-tA^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq t^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha}{e} \right)^{\alpha}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq e, t > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\| A^{\alpha} e^{-tA} \|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq t^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha}{e} \right)^{\alpha}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq e, t > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\| (I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}})^{-1} \|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq M(\delta), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\left\| \left( I + e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}) - 2e^{-(A^{\frac{1}{2}}+A)} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq M(\delta), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( I + e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}) - 2e^{-(A^{\frac{1}{2}}+A)} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq M(\delta). \quad (1.6)$$

With the help of the self-adjoint positive definite operator  $B$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ , the Banach space  $E_\alpha = E_\alpha(B, H)$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) consists of those  $v \in H$  for which the norm (see [38]-[39])

$$\|v\|_{E_\alpha} = \sup_{z>0} z^{1-\alpha} \| \text{Bexp}\{-zB\}v \|_H + \|v\|_H$$

is finite. By the definition of  $E_\alpha(B, H)$

$$D(B) \subset E_\alpha(B, H) \subset E_\beta(B, H) \subset H \quad (1.7)$$

for all  $\beta < \alpha$ .

**Lemma 1.2** [37]. For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  the norms of the spaces  $E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)$  and  $E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)$  are equivalent.

**Lemma 1.3** . For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  the following estimates hold:

$$\|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|_{H \rightarrow E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \leq 2, \|e^{-A}\|_{H \rightarrow E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} \leq 2, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|_{H \rightarrow E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} \leq 2, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\|e^{-A}\|_{H \rightarrow E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \leq 2. \quad (1.10)$$

**Proof.** Estimate (1.8) is obvious. Using estimates (1.2)-(1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} z^{1-\alpha} \left\| A \text{exp}\{-zA\} e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} v \right\|_H &\leq z^{1-\alpha} \left\| A^\alpha e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \\ \times \|A^{1-\alpha} \text{exp}\{-zA\}\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|v\|_H &\leq \|v\|_H, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z^{1-\alpha} \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{exp}\{-zA^{\frac{1}{2}}\} e^{-A} v \right\|_H &\leq \left\| A^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} e^{-A} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \\ \times z^{1-\alpha} \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \text{exp}\{-zA^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|v\|_H &\leq \|v\|_H \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z, z > 0$  and  $v \in H$ . From that estimates (1.9)-(1.10) follow. Lemma 1.3 is proved.

Let us denote by  $C^\alpha([-1, 1], H)$ ,  $C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1, 0], H)$ ,  $C^\alpha([0, 1], H)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$  the Banach spaces obtained by completion of the set of all smooth  $H$ -valued functions  $\varphi(t)$  in the norms

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\varphi\|_{C^\alpha([-1, 1], H)} = \|\varphi\|_{C([-1, 1], H)} \\ &+ \sup_{-1 < t < t+\tau < 0} \frac{\|\varphi(t+\tau) - \varphi(t)\|_H}{\tau^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} + \sup_{0 < t < t+\tau < 1} \frac{\|\varphi(t+\tau) - \varphi(t)\|_H}{\tau^\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} &= \|\varphi\|_{C([-1,0],H)} + \sup_{-1 < t < t+\tau < 0} \frac{\|\varphi(t+\tau) - \varphi(t)\|_H}{\tau^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \\ \|\varphi\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)} &= \|\varphi\|_{C([0,1],H)} + \sup_{0 < t < t+\tau < 1} \frac{\|\varphi(t+\tau) - \varphi(t)\|_H}{\tau^{\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C([a,b],H)$  stands for the Banach space of all continuous functions  $\varphi(t)$  defined on  $[a,b]$  with values in  $H$  equipped with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{C([a,b],H)} = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \|\varphi(t)\|_H.$$

**Lemma 1.4** . Suppose  $g(t) \in C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)$  and  $f(t) \in C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then the following estimates hold:

$$\left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds \right\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \|g\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(1)) ds \right\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \|g\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\left\| \int_{-1}^0 A e^{-(s+1)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds \right\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})} \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$\left\| \int_{-1}^0 A e^{-(s+1)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds \right\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)}, \quad (1.14)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds \right\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)} \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \|g\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)}, \quad (1.15)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(1)) ds \right\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)} \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \|g\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)}, \quad (1.16)$$

where  $M$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ ,  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$ .

**Proof.** Using estimates (1.2)-(1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & z^{1-\alpha} \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\{-zA^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds \right\|_H \\ & \leq z^{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 \left\| A e^{-(s+z)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|g(s) - g(0)\|_H ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq z^{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{s^\alpha}{(s+z)^2} ds \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)} \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)} \quad (1.17)$$

for all  $z, z > 0$  and  $g(t) \in C^\alpha([0,1],H)$ . Using estimates (1.2)-(1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds \right\|_H &\leq \int_0^1 \left\| A e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|g(s) - g(0)\|_H ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{s^{1-\alpha}} \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)} \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

for  $g(t) \in C^\alpha([0,1],H)$ . From (1.17)- (1.18) estimate (1.11) follows. In a similar manner one establishes estimates (1.12) and (1.13). Using estimates (1.2)-(1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &z^{1-\alpha} \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\{-zA^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \int_{-1}^0 A e^{-(s+1)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds \right\|_H \\ &\leq z^{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 \left\| A^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-zA^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-(s+1)A} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|f(s) - f(-1)\|_H ds \\ &\leq z^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{3}{e}\right)^3 \int_{-1}^0 \frac{2^{\frac{3}{2}}(s+1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{(z^2 + s + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} ds \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} \\ &\leq \frac{Mz^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)(z^2)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}} \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} = \frac{M}{1-\alpha} \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

for all  $z, z > 0$  and  $f(t) \in C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)$ . Using estimates (1.2)-(1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{-1}^0 A e^{-(s+1)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds \right\|_H &\leq \int_{-1}^0 \left\| A e^{-(s+1)A} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|f(s) - f(-1)\|_H ds \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^0 \frac{ds}{(s+1)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} = \frac{2}{\alpha} \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

From (1.19)-(1.20) estimate (1.14) follows. Using estimates (1.2)-(1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &z^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| A \exp\{-zA\} \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds \right\|_H \\ &\leq z^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_0^1 \left\| A^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-zA} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|g(s) - g(0)\|_H ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq z^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_0^1 \left\| A^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-zA} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} s^\alpha ds \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)},$$

for all  $z, z > 0$  and  $g(t) \in C^\alpha([0, 1], H)$ . Since

$$\left\| A^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-zA} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{z^3}, \left( \frac{3}{e} \right)^3 \frac{1}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\}$$

for all  $z, z > 0$  and all  $s, s > 0$ , we have the bounded

$$\int_0^1 \left\| A^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-zA} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} s^\alpha ds \leq \int_0^1 \frac{M}{(\sqrt{z} + s)^{3-\alpha}} ds \leq \frac{M_1}{(\sqrt{z})^{2-\alpha}}.$$

Then

$$z^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| A \exp\{-zA\} \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds \right\|_H \leq M_1 \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)} \quad (1.21)$$

for all  $z, z > 0$  and  $g(t) \in C^\alpha([0, 1], H)$ . Using estimates (1.2)-(1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds \right\|_H &\leq \int_0^1 \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|g(s) - g(0)\|_H ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{s^{1-\alpha}} \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

From (1.21)-(1.22) estimate (1.15) follows. In a similar manner one establishes estimate (1.16). Lemma 1.4 is proved.

A function  $u(t)$  is called a solution of problem (1.1) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- i.  $u(t)$  is a twice continuously differentiable in the segment  $[0, 1]$  and continuously differentiable on the segment  $[-1, 1]$ .
- ii. The element  $u(t)$  belongs to  $D(A)$  for all  $t \in [-1, 1]$ , and the function  $Au(t)$  is continuous on  $[-1, 1]$ .
- iii.  $u(t)$  satisfies the equation and nonlocal boundary condition (1.1).

A solution of problem (1.1) defined in this manner will from now on be referred to as a solution of problem (1.1) in the space  $C(H) = C([-1, 1], H)$ .

We say that the problem (1.1) is well-posed in  $C(H)$ , if there exists the unique solution  $u(t)$  in  $C(H)$  of problem (1.1) for any  $g(t) \in C([0, 1], H)$ ,  $f(t) \in C([-1, 0], H)$  and  $\mu \in D(A)$  and the following coercivity inequality is satisfied:

$$\|u''\|_{C([0,1],H)} + \|u'\|_{C([-1,0],H)} + \|Au\|_{C(H)} \quad (1.23)$$

$$\leq M[\|g\|_{C([0,1],H)} + \|f\|_{C([-1,0],H)} + \|A\mu\|_H],$$

where  $M$  does not depend on  $\mu$ ,  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$ .

In fact, inequality (1.23) does not, generally speaking, hold in an arbitrary Hilbert space  $H$  and for the general unbounded self-adjoint positive definite operator  $A$ . Therefore, the problem (1.1) is not well-posed in  $C(H)$ [8]. The well-posedness of the boundary value problem (1.1) can be established if one considers this problem in certain spaces  $F(H)$  of smooth  $H$ -valued functions on  $[-1, 1]$ .

A function  $u(t)$  is said to be a solution of problem (1.1) in  $F(H)$  if it is a solution of this problem in  $C(H)$  and the functions  $u''(t)$  ( $t \in [0, 1]$ ),  $u'(t)$  ( $t \in [-1, 1]$ ) and  $Au(t)$  ( $t \in [-1, 1]$ ) belong to  $F(H)$ .

As in the case of the space  $C(H)$ , we say that the problem (1.1) is well-posed in  $F(H)$ , if the following coercivity inequality is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u''\|_{F([0,1],H)} + \|u'\|_{F([-1,0],H)} + \|Au\|_{F(H)} \\ & \leq M[\|g\|_{F([0,1],H)} + \|f\|_{F([-1,0],H)} + \|A\mu\|_H], \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

where  $M$  does not depend on  $\mu$ ,  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$ .

In paper [41] the well-posedness of problem (1.1) in Hölder spaces  $C^{\alpha,\alpha}([-1, 1], H)$ , ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) with a weight was established. The coercivity inequalities for the solution of boundary value problems for elliptic-parabolic equations were obtained. The first order of accuracy difference scheme for the approximate solution of the nonlocal boundary value problem (1.1) was presented. The well-posedness of this difference scheme in Hölder spaces with a weight was established. In applications, the coercivity inequalities for the solution of difference scheme for elliptic-parabolic equations were obtained.

Note that the coercivity inequality (1.24) fails if we set  $F(H)$  equal to  $C^\alpha(H) = C^\alpha([-1, 1], H)$ , ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ). Nevertheless, we can establish the following coercivity inequality.

**Theorem 1.5** . Suppose  $A\mu \in E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)$ ,  $f(0) + g(0) \in E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)$ ,  $f(-1) + g(1) \in E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)$  and  $g(t) \in C^\alpha([0, 1], H)$ ,  $f(t) \in C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1, 0], H)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then the boundary value problem (1.1) is well-posed in a Hölder space  $C^\alpha(H)$  and the following coercivity inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u'\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} + \|Au\|_{C^\alpha([-1,1],H)} + \|u''\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)} \\ & \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \left[ \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} + \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1],H)} \right] + M \left[ \|A\mu\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|f(0) + g(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)} + \|f(-1) + g(1)\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

where  $M$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ ,  $f(t)$ ,  $g(t)$  and  $\mu$ .

**Proof.** First, we will obtain the formula for solution of the problem (1.1). It is known that (see, e.g., [7]) for smooth data of the problems

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) + Au(t) = g(t), & (0 \leq t \leq 1), \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad u(1) = u_1, \end{cases} \quad (1.26)$$

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) - Au(t) = f(t), (-1 \leq t \leq 0), \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.27)$$

there are unique solutions of the problems (1.26), (1.27), and the following formulas hold:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \left(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{-1} \left[ \left(e^{-tA^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(t+2)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) u_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(e^{-(1-t)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(t+1)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) u_1 \right] - \left(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left(e^{-(1-t)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(t+1)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \int_0^1 A^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-1} \left(e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(s+1)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) g(s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 A^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-1} \left(e^{-(t+s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-|t-s|A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) g(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

and

$$u(t) = e^{tA} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} f(s) ds, \quad -1 \leq t \leq 0. \quad (1.29)$$

Using the condition  $u(1) = u(-1) + \mu$  and formulas (1.28), (1.29), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \left(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{-1} \left[ \left(e^{-tA^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(t+2)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) u_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(e^{-(1-t)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(t+1)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \left( e^{-A} u_0 + \int_0^{-1} e^{-(1+s)A} f(s) ds + \mu \right) \right] - \left(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left(e^{-(1-t)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(t+1)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \int_0^1 A^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-1} \left(e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(s+1)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) g(s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 A^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-1} \left(e^{-(t+s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-|t-s|A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) g(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.30)$$

For  $u_0$ , using the condition  $u'(0+) = Au(0) + f(0)$  and formula (1.30), we obtain the operator equation

$$\begin{aligned} Au(0) + f(0) &= \left(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{-1} \left[ -A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(I + e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) u_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( e^{-A} u_0 + \int_0^{-1} e^{-(1+s)A} f(s) ds + \mu \right) \right] + \int_0^1 e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} g(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (1.31)$$

$$-\left(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{-1} 2A^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_0^1 A^{-\frac{1}{2}}2^{-1} \left(e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(s+1)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) g(s)ds.$$

Since the operator

$$I + e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}) - 2e^{-(A^{\frac{1}{2}}+A)}$$

has an inverse

$$T = \left(I + e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}) - 2e^{-(A^{\frac{1}{2}}+A)}\right)^{-1}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 = T & \left[ e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ 2 \int_0^{-1} e^{-(1+s)A} f(s) ds \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \int_0^1 A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-(s+1)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) g(s) ds \right] + 2e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mu \right] \\ & + \left( I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) T \left[ -A^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(0) + \int_0^1 A^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} g(s) ds \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1.32)$$

for the solution of the operator equation (1.31). Hence, for the solution of the nonlocal boundary value problem (1.1), we have formulas (1.29), (1.30) and (1.32).

Second, we will establish estimate (1.25). It is based on the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u'\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} + \|Au\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} \\ & \leq \frac{M}{\frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})} \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} + M \|Au_0 + f(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)} \end{aligned} \quad (1.33)$$

for the solution of an inverse Cauchy problem (1.27) and on the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u''\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)} + \|Au\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)} \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \|g\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)} \\ & + M [\|Au_0 - g(0)\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} + \|Au_1 - g(1)\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)}] \end{aligned} \quad (1.34)$$

for the solution of the boundary value problem (1.26) and on the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au_0 + f(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)} & \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} [\|g\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)} + \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)}] \\ & + M \left[ \|A\mu\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} + \|f(0) + g(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au_0 - g(0)\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} & \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} [\|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} + \|g\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)}] \\ & + M \left[ \|A\mu\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} + \|f(0) + g(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.36)$$



$$\|Au_1 - g(1)\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} [\|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0], H)} + \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1], H)}] \quad (1.37)$$

$$+ M \left[ \|A\mu\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} + \|f(0) + g(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} + \|f(-1) + g(1)\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \right]$$

for the solution of the boundary value problem (1.1). Estimates (1.33) and (1.34) were established in [9] and [10]. Now, first step would be to establish (1.35). Using (1.32), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Au_0 + f(0) &= T e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ 2 \int_0^{-1} A e^{-(1+s)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(1)) ds + 2A\mu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(e^{-A} - I)f(-1) - (I - e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}})g(1) - g(0) + (e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} - 2e^{-A})f(0) \right] \\ &\quad + T \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds + T[g(0) + f(0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Using this formula and estimates (1.2), (1.3), (1.5), (1.9), (1.13), (1.15) and (1.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au_0 + f(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} &\leq \|T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \left\| e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \\ &\quad \times \left[ 2 \left\| \int_{-1}^0 A e^{-(1+s)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds \right\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(1)) ds \right\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} \right] \\ &\quad + \|T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \left\| e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} [2(1 + \|e^{-A}\|_{H \rightarrow H}) \|f(-1)\|_H \\ &\quad + (1 + \|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|_{H \rightarrow H}) \|g(1)\|_H \\ &\quad + 2\|A\mu\|_H + \|g(0)\|_H + \left( \|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|_{H \rightarrow H} + 2\|e^{-A}\|_{H \rightarrow H} \right) \|f(0)\|_H] \\ &\quad + \|T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds \right\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} + \|T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|g(0) + f(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} [\|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0], H)} + \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1], H)}] + M [\|A\mu\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)}] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \|f(0) + g(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)}].$$

Second step would be to establish (1.36). Using (1.32), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Au_0 - g(0) &= Te^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ 2 \int_0^{-1} Ae^{-(1+s)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(1)) ds + 2A\mu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(e^{-A} - I)f(-1) - (I - e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}})g(1) + (-e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 2e^{-A})g(0) \right] \\ &\quad + T \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds - TA^{\frac{1}{2}} (I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}) (f(0) + g(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Using this formula and estimates (1.2), (1.3), (1.5), (1.6), (1.8), (1.11), (1.12) and (1.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au_0 - g(0)\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} &\leq \|T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \left\| e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H} \\ &\quad \times 2 \left\| \int_{-1}^0 Ae^{-(1+s)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds \right\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(1)) ds \right\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} \\ &\quad + \|T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \left\| e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H \rightarrow E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} [2(1 + \|e^{-A}\|_{H \rightarrow H}) \|f(-1)\|_H \\ &\quad + (1 + \|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|_{H \rightarrow H}) \|g(1)\|_H \\ &\quad + 2\|A\mu\|_H + (\|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|_{H \rightarrow H} + 2\|e^{-A}\|_{H \rightarrow H}) \|g(0)\|_H] \\ &\quad + \|T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \left\| \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA^{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(s) - g(0)) ds \right\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} \\ &\quad + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \left[ 1 + \|e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|_{H \rightarrow H} \right] \|g(0) + f(0)\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \left[ \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],H)} + \|g\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1],H)} \right] + M \left[ \|A\mu\|_{E_{\alpha}(A^{\frac{1}{2}},H)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|f(0) + g(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A,H)} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.38}$$

Third step would be to establish (1.37). Using (1.32), we get

$$Au_1 - g(1) = e^{-A} [Au_0 - g(0)] + e^{-A} [g(0) + f(-1)] + A\mu + \int_0^{-1} Ae^{-(1+s)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds - (f(-1) + g(1)).$$

Using this formula and estimates (1.2), (1.16), (1.6), (1.8), (1.11), (1.12) and (1.38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Au_1 - g(1)\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \leq \|e^{-A}\|_{H \rightarrow H} \|Au_0 - g(0)\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \\ & + \|e^{-A}\|_{H \rightarrow E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} [\|g(0)\|_H + \|f(-1)\|_H] + \|A\mu\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \\ & + \left\| \int_{-1}^0 Ae^{-(1+s)A} (f(s) - f(-1)) ds \right\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} + \|f(-1) + g(1)\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \\ & \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \left[ \|f\|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0], H)} + \|g\|_{C^\alpha([0,1], H)} \right] + M \left[ \|A\mu\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|f(0) + g(0)\|_{E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(A, H)} + \|f(-1) + g(1)\|_{E_\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, H)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.5 is proved.

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1.5 holds for the solution of the problem (1.1) in an arbitrary Banach space  $E$  with strongly positive operator  $A$  under the assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( I + e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) - 2e^{-(A^{\frac{1}{2}}+A)} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{E \rightarrow E} \leq M, \\ & \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( I + e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( I - e^{-2A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) - 2e^{-(A^{\frac{1}{2}}+A)} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{E \rightarrow E} \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.** The nonlocal boundary value problem for the elliptic-parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) = f(t), 0 < t < 1, \\ -\frac{d^2u(t)}{dt^2} + Au(t) = g(t), -1 < t < 0, \\ u(1) = u(-1) + \mu \end{cases}$$

in a Hilbert space  $H$  with a self-adjoint positive definite operator  $A$  is considered in paper [42]. The well-posedness of this problem in Hölder spaces  $C^\alpha(H)$  without a weight was established under the strong condition on  $\mu$ ,  $f(-1) + g(1)$  and  $f(0) + g(0)$ .

## 2 Applications

First, the mixed boundary value problem for the elliptic-parabolic equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -u_{tt} - (a(x)u_x)_x + \delta u = g(t, x), 0 < t < 1, 0 < x < 1, \\ u_t + (a(x)u_x)_x - \delta u = f(t, x), -1 < t < 0, 0 < x < 1, \\ f(0, x) + g(0, x) = 0, f(-1, x) + g(1, x) = 0, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1), u_x(t, 0) = u_x(t, 1), -1 \leq t \leq 1, \\ u(1, x) = u(-1, x), 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ u(0+, x) = u(0-, x), u_t(0+, x) = u_t(0-, x), 0 \leq x \leq 1 \end{array} \right. \quad (2.1)$$

generated by the investigation of the motion of gas on the nonhomogeneous space is considered (see [6] and [40]). Problem (2.1) has a unique smooth solution  $u(t, x)$  for the smooth  $a(x) \geq a > 0 (x \in (0, 1))$ , and  $g(t, x) (t \in [0, 1], x \in [0, 1])$ ,  $f(t, x) (t \in [-1, 0], x \in [0, 1])$  functions and  $\delta = \text{const} > 0$ . This allows us to reduce the mixed problem (2.1) to the nonlocal boundary value problem (1.1) in a Hilbert space  $H = L_2[0, 1]$  with a self-adjoint positive definite operator  $A$  defined by (2.1).

**Theorem 2.1** . *The solutions of the nonlocal boundary value problem (2.1) satisfy the coercivity inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| u_{tt} \|_{C^\alpha([0,1], L_2[0,1])} + \| u_t \|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0], L_2[0,1])} + \| u \|_{C^\alpha([-1,1], W_2^2[0,1])} \\ & \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \left[ \| g \|_{C^\alpha([0,1], L_2[0,1])} + \| f \|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0], L_2[0,1])} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $M$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ ,  $f(t, x)$  and  $g(t, x)$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the abstract Theorem 1.5 and the symmetry properties of the space operator generated by the problem (2.1).

Second, let  $\Omega$  be the unit open cube in the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $0 < x_k < 1, 1 \leq k \leq n$ ) with boundary  $S$ ,  $\overline{\Omega} = \Omega \cup S$ . In  $[-1, 1] \times \Omega$ , the mixed boundary value problem for multi-dimensional mixed equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -u_{tt} - \sum_{r=1}^n (a_r(x)u_{x_r})_{x_r} = g(t, x), 0 < t < 1, x \in \Omega, \\ u_t + \sum_{r=1}^n (a_r(x)u_{x_r})_{x_r} = f(t, x), -1 < t < 0, x \in \Omega, \\ f(0, x) + g(0, x) = 0, f(-1, x) + g(1, x) = 0, x \in \overline{\Omega}, \\ u(t, x) = 0, x \in S, -1 \leq t \leq 1; u(1, x) = u(-1, x), x \in \overline{\Omega}, \\ u(0+, x) = u(0-, x), u_t(0+, x) = u_t(0-, x), x \in \overline{\Omega} \end{array} \right. \quad (2.2)$$

is considered. The problem (2.2) has a unique smooth solution  $u(t, x)$  for the smooth  $a_r(x) \geq a > 0 (x \in \Omega)$  and  $g(t, x) (t \in (0, 1), x \in \overline{\Omega})$ ,  $f(t, x) (t \in (-1, 0), x \in \overline{\Omega})$  functions. This allows us to reduce the mixed problem (2.2) to the nonlocal boundary value problem (1.1) in a Hilbert space  $H = L_2(\overline{\Omega})$  of the all integrable functions defined on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , equipped with the norm

$$\| f \|_{L_2(\overline{\Omega})} = \left\{ \int \cdots \int_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |f(x)|^2 dx_1 \cdots dx_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with a self-adjoint positive definite operator  $A$  defined by (2.2).

**Theorem 2.2** . *The solutions of the nonlocal boundary value problem (2.2) satisfy the coercivity inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| u_{tt} \|_{C^\alpha([0,1],L_2(\overline{\Omega}))} + \| u_t \|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],L_2(\overline{\Omega}))} + \| u \|_{C^\alpha([-1,1],W_2^2(\overline{\Omega}))} \\ & \leq \frac{M}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \left[ \| g \|_{C^\alpha([0,1],L_2(\overline{\Omega}))} + \| f \|_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([-1,0],L_2(\overline{\Omega}))} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $M$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ ,  $f(t, x)$  and  $g(t, x)$ .

The proof Theorem 2.2 is based on the abstract Theorem 1.5 and the symmetry properties of the space operator  $A$  generated by the problem (2.2) and the following theorem on the coercivity inequality for the solution of the elliptic differential problem in  $L_2(\overline{\Omega})$ .

**Theorem 2.3** . *For the solutions of the elliptic differential problem*

$$\sum_{r=1}^n (a_r(x) u_{x_r})_{x_r} = \omega(x), x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$u(x) = 0, x \in S$$

the following coercivity inequality [36]

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \| u_{x_r x_r} \|_{L_2(\overline{\Omega})} \leq M \| \omega \|_{L_2(\overline{\Omega})}$$

is valid.

### Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Prof. Pavel Sobolevskii (Jerusalem, Israel), for his helpful suggestions to the improvement of this paper.

### References

- [1] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Nauka: Moscow, 1967. (Russian). English transl.: *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **23**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1968.
- [2] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya and N.N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Elliptic Type*, Nauka: Moscow, 1973. (Russian). English transl. of first edition: *Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1968.
- [3] M.L. Vishik, A.D. Myshkis and O.A. Oleinik, *Partial Differential Equations*, in: Mathematics in USSR in the Last 40 Years, 1917-1957, Vol. 1, pp. 563-599, Fizmatgiz: Moscow, 1959. (Russian).
- [4] M.S. Salakhitdinov, *Equations of Mixed-Composite Type*, Fan: Tashkent, 1974. (Russian).

- [5] T.D. Dzhuraev, *Boundary Value Problems for Equations of Mixed and Mixed-Composite Types*, Fan:Tashkent, 1979. (Russian).
- [6] D. Bazarov and H. Soltanov, *Some Local and Nonlocal Boundary Value Problems for Equations of Mixed and Mixed-Composite Types*, Ylim: Ashgabat, 1995. (Russian).
- [7] S.G. Krein, *Linear Differential Equations in a Banach Space*, Nauka: Moskow, 1966. (Russian).
- [8] A. Ashyralyev and H. Soltanov, *On elliptic-parabolic equations in a Hilbert space*, in "Proceeding of the IMM and CS of Turkmenistan" Ashgabat, no. 4(1995), 101-104. (Russian).
- [9] A. Ashyralyev, *Coercive solvability of parabolic equations in spaces of smooth functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Turkmen. SSR Ser. Fiz. -Tekhn. Khim. Geol. Nauk, no. 3(1989), 3-13. (Russian).
- [10] A. Ashyralyev, *Well posedness of elliptic equations in a space of smooth functions*, in "Boundary Value Problems for Nonclassic Equations of Mathematical Physics", Sibirsk. Otdel AN SSSR, Novosibirsk, **2** (1989), 82–86. (Russian).
- [11] S.N. Glazatov, *Nonlocal boundary value problems for linear and nonlinear equations of variable type*, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics SB RAS, Preprint no. 46(1998), 26p.
- [12] M.G. Karatopraklieva, *On a nonlocal boundary value problem for an equation of mixed type*, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya, **27**, no.1, 68-79, 1991. ( Russian).
- [13] V.N. Vragov, *Boundary Value Problems for Nonclassical Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Textbook for Universities, NGU: Novosibirsk, 1983. (Russian)
- [14] A.M. Nakhushhev, *Equations of Mathematical Biology*, Textbook for Universities, Vysshaya Shkola: Moskow, 1995. (Russian)
- [15] A. Ashyralyev and H.A. Yurtsever, *On a nonlocal boundary value problem for semi-linear hyperbolic-parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Analysis- Theory, Methods and Applications, **47**, no. 5, 3585–3592, 2001.
- [16] V.B. Shakhmurov, *Maximal B-regular boundary value problems with parameters*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **320**, no.1 , 1-19, 2006.
- [17] Douglas R. Anderson, Feliz Manuel Minhós, *Existence of positive solutions for a fourth-order multi-point beam problem on measure chains*, Electron. J. Differential Equations no. 98(2009), 10 pp.
- [18] G. Berikelashvili, *On a nonlocal boundary value problem for a two-dimensional elliptic equation*,. Comput. Methods Appl. Math. **3** (2003), no. 1, 35-44.
- [19] D. G. Gordeziani, *On a method of resolution of Bitsadze-Samarskii boundary value problem*, Abstracts of reports of Inst. Appl. Math. Tbilisi State Univ. 2 (1970), 38–40.
- [20] D. G. Gordeziani, *On methods of resolution of a class of nonlocal boundary value problems*, Tbilisi University Press, Tbilisi, 1981.

- [21] D. V. Kapanadze, *On the Bitsadze-Samarskii nonlocal boundary value problem*, Dif. Equat. 23 (1987), 543–545.
- [22] A. L. Skubachevskii, *Nonlocal elliptic problems and multidimensional diffusion processes*, J. Math. Phys. 3 (1995), 327–360.
- [23] R.V. Shamin, *Spaces of initial data for parabolic functional-differential equations*, Math. Notes 71(2002), no. 3-4, 240-273. (Russian).
- [24] A. L. Skubachevskii, R.V. Shamin, *Second-order parabolic -difference equations*, Dokl. Math. 44(2001), no. 1, 96-101.
- [25] A. L. Skubachevskii, R.V. Shamin, *The mixed boundary value problem for parabolic dipferennial-difference equation*, Functional Differential Equations 8(1006), no. 3-4, 407-424.
- [26] A. L. Skubachevskii, *Elliptic Functional Differential Equations and Applications*, Birkhauser Verlag, Operator Theory - Advances and Applications, Vol. 07, 1997.
- [27] A. L. Skubachevskii, *Asymptotic formulas for solutions of nonlocal elliptic problems*, Proceeding of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics 269 (2010), no. 1, 218-234.
- [28] V.A. Popov, A. L. Skubachevskii, *Sectorial differential-difference operators with de-generation*, Doklady Mathematics textbf80 (2009), no. 2, 716-719.
- [29] P. Gurevich, W. Jager, A. L. Skubachevskii, *On periodicity of solutions for thermocontrol problems with hysteresis-type switches*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 41 (2009), no. 2, 733-752.
- [30] A.M. Selitskii, A. L. Skubachevskii, *The second boundary-value problem for parabolic differential-difference equations*, Russian Mathematical Surveys 62 (2007), no. 1, 191-192.
- [31] V.A. Il'in, E.I. Moiseev, *Two-dimensional nonlocal boundary value problems for Poisson's operator in differential and difference variants*, Mat. Mod. 2 (1990), 139–159.
- [32] C.V. Pao, *Reaction diffusion equations with nonlocal boundary and nonlocal initial conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 195 (1995), 702–718.
- [33] B.P. Paneyakh, *On some nonlocal boundary value problems for linear differential operators*, Mat. Zam. 35 (1984), 425–433.
- [34] Gurbanov I.A and Dosiev A.A., *On the numerical solution of nonlocal boundary problems for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Approximate methods for operator equations, Azerb. Gos. Univ., Baku, pp. 64-74, 1984.
- [35] A. Ashyralyev and Y. Ozdemir, *Stability of difference schemes for hyperbolic-parabolic equations*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 50, no. 8-9, 1443-1476, 2005.
- [36] P.E. Sobolevskii, *Difference Methods for the Approximate Solution of Differential Equations*, Izdat. Voronezh. Gosud. Univ., Voronezh (1975) (Russian).

- [37] A. Ashyralyev, *Method of Positive Operators of Investigations of the High Order of Accuracy Difference Schemes for Parabolic and Elliptic Equations*, Doctor of Sciences Thesis, Kiev, 1992. (Russian).
- [38] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, 1978.
- [39] A. Ashyralyev and P.E. Sobolevskii, *Well-Posedness of Parabolic Difference Equations*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1994.
- [40] A. Ashyralyev and H. Soltanov, *On one difference schemes for an abstract nonlocal problem generated by the investigation of the motion of gas on the nonhomogeneous space*, in: Modeling the Processes of Exploitation of Gas Deposits and Applied Problems of Theoretical Gas Hydrodynamics, Ilim, Ashgabat(1998), 147-154. (Russian).
- [41] A. Ashyralyev and O. Gercek, *Nonlocal boundary value problems for elliptic-parabolic differential and difference equations*, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, vol. 2008, Article ID 904824, pp. 1-16, 2008.
- [42] A. Ashyralyev, *A note on the nonlocal boundary value problem for elliptic-parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Studies, vol 13, no. 4, pp. 327-333, 2006.

(Received April 8, 2011)