

SOME RESULTS ON GROUP INVERSES OF BLOCK MATRICES OVER SKEW FIELDS*

CHANGJIANG BU[†], JIEMEI ZHAO[†], AND KUIZE ZHANG[†]

Abstract. In this paper, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the existence of the group inverse of the block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ over any skew field, where A, B are both square and $\text{rank}(B) \geq \text{rank}(A)$. The representation of this group inverse and some relative additive results are also given.

Key words. Skew, Block matrix, Group inverse.

AMS subject classifications. 15A09.

1. Introduction. Let K be a skew field and $K^{n \times n}$ be the set of all matrices over K . For $A \in K^{n \times n}$, the matrix $X \in K^{n \times n}$ is said to be the group inverse of A , if

$$AXA = A, XAX = X, AX = XA.$$

We then write $X = A^\#$. It is well known that if $A^\#$ exists, it is unique; see [16].

Research on representations of the group inverse of block matrices is an important effort in generalized inverse theory of matrices; see [14] and [13]. Indeed, generalized inverses are useful tools in areas such as special matrix theory, singular differential and difference equations and graph theory; see [5], [9] [11], [12] and [15]. For example, in [9] it is shown that the adjacency matrix of a bipartite graph can be written in the form of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the existence

and representation of the group inverse of a block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

In 1979, Campbell and Meyer proposed the problem of finding an explicit representation for the Drazin (group) inverse of a 2×2 block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ in terms of its sub-blocks, where A and D are required to be square matrices; see [5]. In [10] a condition for the existence of the group inverse of $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ is given under the as-

*Received by the editors October 11, 2008. Accepted for publication February 20, 2009. Handling Editor: Ravindra B. Bapat.

[†]Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Science, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, P. R. China (buchangjiang@hrbeu.edu.cn, zhaojiemei500@163.com, zkz0017@163.com). Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province, No.159110120002.

sumption that A and $(I+CA^{-2}B)$ are both invertible over any field; however, the representation of the group inverse is not given. The representation of the group inverse of a block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$ over skew fields has been given in 2001; see [6]. The representation of the Drazin (group) inverse of a block matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (A is square, 0 is square null matrix) has not been given since it was proposed as a problem by Campbell in 1983; see [4]. However, there are some references in the literature about representations of the Drazin (group) inverse of the block matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ under certain conditions. Some results are on matrices over the field of complex numbers, e.g., in [8]; or when $A = B = I_n$ in [7]; or when $A, B, C \in \{P, P^*, PP^*\}$, $P^2 = P$ and P^* is the conjugate transpose of P . Some results are over skew fields, e.g., in [1], when $A = I_n$ and $rank(CB)^2 = rank(B) = rank(C)$; in [3] when $A = B, A^2 = A$. In addition, in [2] results are given on the group inverse of the product of two matrices over a skew field, as well as some related properties.

In this paper, we mainly give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence and the representation of the group inverse of a block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $A, B \in K^{n \times n}, rank(B) \geq rank(A)$. We also give a sufficient condition for AB to be similar to BA .

Letting $A \in K^{m \times n}$, the order of the maximum invertible sub-block of A is said to be the rank of A , denoted by $rank(A)$; see [17]. Let $A, B \in K^{n \times n}$. If there is an invertible matrix $P \in K^{n \times n}$ such that $B = PAP^{-1}$, then A and B are similar; see [17].

2. Some Lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $A, B \in K^{n \times n}$. If $rank(A) = r, rank(B) = rank(AB) = rank(BA)$, then there are invertible matrices $P, Q \in K^{n \times n}$ such that*

$$A = P \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q, \quad B = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 X \\ Y B_1 & Y B_1 X \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

where $B_1 \in K^{r \times r}, X \in K^{r \times (n-r)}$, and $Y \in K^{(n-r) \times r}$.

Proof. Since $rank(A) = r$, there are nonsingular matrices $P, Q \in K^{n \times n}$ such that

$$A = P \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q, \quad B = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

where $B_1 \in K^{r \times r}$, $B_2 \in K^{r \times (n-r)}$, $B_3 \in K^{(n-r) \times r}$, and $B_4 \in K^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$. From $\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(AB)$, we have

$$B_3 = YB_1, \quad B_4 = YB_2, \quad Y \in K^{(n-r) \times r}.$$

Since $\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(BA)$, we obtain

$$B_2 = B_1X, \quad B_4 = B_3X, \quad X \in K^{r \times (n-r)}.$$

So

$$B = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1X \\ YB_1 & YB_1X \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.2. [6] Let $A \in K^{r \times r}$, $B \in K^{(n-r) \times r}$, $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in K^{n \times n}$. Then the group inverse of M exists if and only if the group inverse of A exists and $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$. If the group inverse of M exists, then

$$M^\# = \begin{pmatrix} A^\# & 0 \\ B(A^\#)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. [6] Let $A \in K^{r \times r}$, $B \in K^{r \times (n-r)}$, $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in K^{n \times n}$. Then the group inverse of M exists if and only if the group inverse of A exists and $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \end{pmatrix}$. If the group inverse of M exists, then

$$M^\# = \begin{pmatrix} A^\# & (A^\#)^2 B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 2.4. [2] Let $A \in K^{m \times n}$, $B \in K^{n \times m}$. If $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(BA)$, $\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(AB)$, then the group inverse of AB and BA exist.

LEMMA 2.5. Let $A, B \in K^{n \times n}$. If $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(BA)$, then the following conclusions hold:

- (i) $AB(AB)^\#A = A$,
- (ii) $A(BA)^\#BA = A$,
- (iii) $BA(BA)^\#B = B$,
- (iv) $B(AB)^\#A = BA(BA)^\#$,
- (v) $A(BA)^\# = (AB)^\#A$.

Proof. Suppose $\text{rank}(A) = r$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$A = P \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q, \quad B = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 X \\ Y B_1 & Y B_1 X \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

where $B_1 \in K^{r \times r}$, $X \in K^{r \times (n-r)}$, $Y \in K^{(n-r) \times r}$. Then

$$AB = P \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad BA = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ Y B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q.$$

Since $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B)$, we have that B_1 is invertible. By using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we get

$$(AB)^\# = P \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{-1} & B_1^{-1} X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad (BA)^\# = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{-1} & 0 \\ Y B_1^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q.$$

Then

- (i) $AB(AB)^\#A = P \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q = A,$
- (ii) $A(BA)^\#BA = P \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q = A,$
- (iii) $BA(BA)^\#B = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 X \\ Y B_1 & Y B_1 X \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} = B,$
- (iv) $B(AB)^\#A = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q = BA(BA)^\#,$
- (v) $A(BA)^\# = P \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q = (AB)^\#A. \quad \square$

3. Conclusions.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $A, B \in K^{n \times n}$, $\text{rank}(B) \geq \text{rank}(A) = r$. Then

(i) The group inverse of M exists if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(BA)$.

(ii) If the group inverse of M exists, then $M^\# = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$M_{11} = (AB)^\#A - (AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#B,$$

$$M_{12} = (AB)^\#A,$$

$$M_{21} = (BA)^\#B - B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\# + B(AB)^\#A(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#B,$$

$$M_{22} = -B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#.$$

Proof. (i) It is obvious that the condition is sufficient. Now we show that the condition is necessary.

$$\text{rank}(M) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B),$$

$$\text{rank}(M^2) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A^2 + AB & A^2 \\ BA & BA \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} AB & A^2 \\ 0 & BA \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the group inverse of M exists if and only if $\text{rank}(M) = \text{rank}(M^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) &= \text{rank}(M^2) \\ &\leq \text{rank}(AB) + \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A^2 \\ BA \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leq \text{rank}(AB) + \text{rank}(A), \\ \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) &= \text{rank}(M^2) \\ &\leq \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} AB & A^2 \end{pmatrix} + \text{rank}(BA) \\ &\leq \text{rank}(BA) + \text{rank}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{rank}(B) \leq \text{rank}(AB)$, and $\text{rank}(B) \leq \text{rank}(BA)$. Therefore

$$\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(BA).$$

From $\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A)$, and $\text{rank}(A) \leq \text{rank}(B)$, we have

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B).$$

Since $\text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) \leq \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} AB & A^2 \end{pmatrix} + \text{rank}(BA)$, and $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} AB & A^2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \text{rank}(A)$, we get $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} AB & A^2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(A)$. Thus

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} AB & A^2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(AB).$$

Then there exists a matrix $U \in K^{n \times n}$ such that $ABU = A^2$. Then

$$\text{rank}(M^2) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} AB & 0 \\ 0 & BA \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(AB) + \text{rank}(BA).$$

So we get

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(BA).$$

(ii) Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. We will prove that the matrix X satisfies the conditions of the group inverse. Firstly, we compute

$$MX = \begin{pmatrix} AM_{11} + AM_{21} & AM_{12} + AM_{22} \\ BM_{11} & BM_{12} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$XM = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11}A + M_{12}B & M_{11}A \\ M_{21}A + M_{22}B & M_{21}A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 (i), (ii), and (v), we have

$$\begin{aligned} AM_{11} + AM_{21} &= A(AB)^\#A - A(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#B + A(BA)^\#B - AB(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\# \\ &\quad + AB(AB)^\#A(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#B \\ &= A(BA)^\#B, \\ M_{11}A + M_{12}B &= (AB)^\#A^2 - (AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#BA + (AB)^\#AB \\ &= (AB)^\#A^2 - (AB)^\#A^2 + (AB)^\#AB \\ &= A(BA)^\#B. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.5 (i), (ii), and (v), we get

$$\begin{aligned} AM_{12} + AM_{22} &= A(AB)^\#A - AB(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\# \\ &= A(AB)^\#A - A^2(BA)^\# \\ &= 0, \\ M_{11}A &= (AB)^\#A^2 - (AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#BA \\ &= (AB)^\#A^2 - (AB)^\#A^2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.5 (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} BM_{11} &= B(AB)^\#A - B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#B, \\ M_{21}A + M_{22}B &= (BA)^\#BA - B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#A + B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#A(BA)^\#BA \\ &\quad - B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#B \\ &= (BA)^\#BA - [B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#A - B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#A] \\ &\quad - B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#B \\ &= B(AB)^\#A - B(AB)^\#A^2(BA)^\#B. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.5 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} BM_{12} &= B(AB)^{\#}A, \\ M_{21}A &= (BA)^{\#}BA - B(AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}A + B(AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}A(BA)^{\#}BA \\ &= B(AB)^{\#}A. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$MX = XM = \begin{pmatrix} A(BA)^{\#}B & 0 \\ B(AB)^{\#}A - B(AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}B & B(AB)^{\#}A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} MXM &= \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A(BA)^{\#}B & 0 \\ B(AB)^{\#}A - B(AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}B & B(AB)^{\#}A \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 (i) and (iii), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} X_{11} &= A^2(BA)^{\#}B + AB(AB)^{\#}A - AB(AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}B \\ &= AB(AB)^{\#}A \\ &= A, \\ X_{12} &= AB(AB)^{\#}A = A, \\ X_{21} &= BA(BA)^{\#}B = B. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$MXM = \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} XMX &= \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A(BA)^{\#}B & 0 \\ B(AB)^{\#}A - B(AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}B & B(AB)^{\#}A \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{11} &= (AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}B - (AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}BA(BA)^{\#}B + (AB)^{\#}AB(AB)^{\#}A \\ &\quad - (AB)^{\#}AB(AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}B \\ &= (AB)^{\#}A - (AB)^{\#}A^2(BA)^{\#}B \\ &= M_{11}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{12} &= M_{12}B(AB)^{\sharp}A \\ &= (AB)^{\sharp}AB(AB)^{\sharp}A \\ &= (AB)^{\sharp}A \\ &= M_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily get

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{21} &= M_{21}A(BA)^{\sharp}B + M_{22}B(AB)^{\sharp}A - M_{22}B(AB)^{\sharp}A^2(BA)^{\sharp}B \\ &= M_{21}; \\ Y_{22} &= M_{22}B(AB)^{\sharp}A = M_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have $X = M^{\sharp}$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $A, B \in K^{n \times n}$, $\text{rank}(B) \geq \text{rank}(A) = r$. Then

(i) The group inverse of M exists if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(BA)$.

(ii) If the group inverse of M exists, then $M^{\sharp} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{11} &= (AB)^{\sharp}A - B(AB)^{\sharp}A^2(BA)^{\sharp}, \\ Z_{12} &= B(AB)^{\sharp} - (AB)^{\sharp}A^2(BA)^{\sharp}B + B(AB)^{\sharp}A^2(BA)^{\sharp}A(BA)^{\sharp}B, \\ Z_{21} &= (AB)^{\sharp}A, \\ Z_{22} &= -(AB)^{\sharp}A^2(BA)^{\sharp}B. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$MX = XM = \begin{pmatrix} B(AB)^{\sharp}A & A(BA)^{\sharp}B - B(AB)^{\sharp}A^2(BA)^{\sharp}B \\ 0 & A(BA)^{\sharp}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, we can prove $MXM = M, XMX = X$ easily. Thus, $X = M^{\sharp}$. \square

THEOREM 3.3. Let $A, B \in K^{n \times n}$, if $\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(BA)$. Then AB and BA are similar.

Proof. Suppose $\text{rank}(A) = r$, using Lemma 2.1, there are invertible matrices $P, Q \in K^{n \times n}$ such that

$$A = P \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q, \quad B = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1X \\ YB_1 & YB_1X \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

where $B_1 \in K^{r \times r}$, $X \in K^{r \times (n-r)}$, $Y \in K^{(n-r) \times r}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 AB &= P \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} \\
 &= P \begin{pmatrix} I_r & -X \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_r & X \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \\
 BA &= Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ Y B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q \\
 &= Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ Y & I_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ -Y & I_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} Q.
 \end{aligned}$$

So AB and BA are similar. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bu. On group inverses of block matrices over skew fields. *J. Math.*, 35:49–52, 2002.
- [2] C. Bu and C. Cao. Group inverse of product of two matrices over skew field. *J. Math. Study*, 35:435–438, 2002.
- [3] C. Bu, J. Zhao, and J. Zheng. Group inverse for a class 2×2 block matrices over skew fields. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 204:45–49, 2008.
- [4] S.L. Campbell. The Drazin inverse and systems of second order linear differential equations. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 14:195–198, 1983.
- [5] S.L. Campbell and C.D. Meyer. *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*. Dover, New York, 1991 (Originally published: Pitman, London, 1979).
- [6] C. Cao. Some results of group inverses for partitioned matrices over skew fields. *Heilongjiang Daxue Ziran Kexue Xuebao* (Chinese), 18:5–7, 2001.
- [7] C. Cao and X. Tang. Representations of the group inverse of some 2×2 block matrices. *Int. Math. Forum*, 31:1511–1517, 2006.
- [8] N. Castro-González and E. Dopazo. Representations of the Drazin inverse for a class of block matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 400:253–269, 2005.
- [9] M. Catral, D.D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche. Group inverses of matrices with path graphs. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 17:219–233, 2008.
- [10] X. Chen and R.E. Hartwig. The group inverse of a triangular matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 237/238:97–108, 1996.
- [11] G.H. Golub and C. Greif. On solving block-structured indefinite linear systems. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 24:2076–2092, 2003.
- [12] I.C.F. Ipsen. A note on preconditioning nonsymmetric matrices. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 23:1050–1051, 2001.
- [13] K.P.S. Bhaskara Rao. *The Theory of Generalized Inverses over Commutative Rings*. Taylor-Francis, New York, 2002.
- [14] G. Wang, Y. Wei, and S. Qian. *Generalized Inverse Theory and Computations*. Science Press, Beijing, 2003.
- [15] Y. Wei and H. Diao. On group inverse of singular Toeplitz matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 399:109–123, 2005.
- [16] Wa-Jin Zhuang. Involution functions and generalized inverses of matrices over an arbitrary skew fields. *Northeast. Math. J.*, (Chinese) 1:57–65, 1987.
- [17] W. Zhuang. *The Guidance of Matrix Theory over Skew Fields* (Chinese). Science Press, Beijing, 2006.