

## SPECTRA OF WEIGHTED COMPOUND GRAPHS OF GENERALIZED BETHE TREES\*

OSCAR ROJO<sup>†</sup> AND LUIS MEDINA<sup>†</sup>

**Abstract.** A generalized Bethe tree is a rooted tree in which vertices at the same distance from the root have the same degree. Let  $\mathcal{G}_m$  be a connected weighted graph on  $m$  vertices. Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  be a set of trees such that, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

(i)  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is a generalized Bethe tree of  $k_i$  levels,

(ii) the vertices of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  at the level  $j$  have degree  $d_{i,k_i-j+1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i$ , and

(iii) the edges of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  joining the vertices at the level  $j$  with the vertices at the level  $(j + 1)$  have weight  $w_{i,k_i-j}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  be the graph obtained from  $\mathcal{G}_m$  and the trees  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m$  by identifying the root vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  with the  $i$ th vertex of  $\mathcal{G}_m$ . A complete characterization is given of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian and adjacency matrices of  $\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  together with results about their multiplicities. Finally, these results are applied to the particular case  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \dots = \mathcal{B}_m$ .

**Key words.** Weighted graph, Generalized Bethe tree, Laplacian matrix, Adjacency matrix, Spectral radius, Algebraic connectivity.

**AMS subject classifications.** 5C50, 15A48.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  be a simple graph with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . A weighted graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is a graph in which each edge  $e \in E$  has a positive weight  $w(e)$ . Labelling the vertices of  $\mathcal{G}$  by  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , the Laplacian matrix of  $\mathcal{G}$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix  $L(\mathcal{G}) = (l_{i,j})$  defined by

$$l_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -w(e) & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } e \text{ is the edge joining } i \text{ and } j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i \text{ is not adjacent to } j \\ -\sum_{k \neq i} l_{i,k} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

and the adjacency matrix of  $\mathcal{G}$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A(\mathcal{G}) = (a_{i,j})$  defined by

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} w(e) & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } e \text{ is the edge joining } i \text{ and } j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i \text{ is not adjacent to } j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}.$$

---

\*Received by the editors June 30, 2008. Accepted for publication December 30, 2008. Handling Editor: Michael Neumann.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Universidad Católica del Norte, Antofagasta, Chile (orojo@ucn.cl). The work of the first author was supported by Project Fondecyt 1070537, Chile. The work of the second author was supported by Project Mecusup UCN0202, Chile.

$L(\mathcal{G})$  and  $A(\mathcal{G})$  are both real symmetric matrices. From this fact and Geršgorin's Theorem, it follows that the eigenvalues of  $L(\mathcal{G})$  are nonnegative real numbers. Moreover, since its rows sum to 0,  $(0, \mathbf{e})$  is an eigenpair of  $L(\mathcal{G})$ , where  $\mathbf{e}$  is the all ones vector. Fiedler [1] proved that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a connected graph if and only if the second smallest eigenvalue of  $L(\mathcal{G})$  is positive. This eigenvalue is called the algebraic connectivity of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

If  $w(e) = 1$  for all  $e \in E$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is an unweighted graph. In [4], some of the many results known for the Laplacian matrix of an unweighted graph are given.

A generalized Bethe tree is a rooted tree in which vertices at the same distance from the root have the same degree. In [5], one can find a complete characterization of the spectra of the Laplacian matrix and adjacency matrix of such class of trees.

Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  be a set of trees such that

(i)  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is a generalized Bethe tree of  $k_i$  levels,

(ii) the vertices of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  at the level  $j$  have degree  $d_{i,k_i-j+1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i$ , and

(iii) the edges of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  joining the vertices at the level  $j$  with the vertices at the level  $(j+1)$  have weight  $w_{i,k_i-j}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_m$  be a connected weighted graph on  $m$  vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$ . As usual  $v_i \sim v_j$  means that  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are adjacent. Let  $\varepsilon_{i,j} = \varepsilon_{j,i}$  be the weight of the edge  $v_i v_j$  if  $v_i \sim v_j$  and let  $\varepsilon_{i,j} = \varepsilon_{j,i} = 0$  otherwise.

In this paper, we characterize completely the spectra of the Laplacian and adjacency matrices of the graph  $\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  obtained from  $\mathcal{G}_m$  and the trees  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m$  by identifying the root vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  with  $v_i$ . In particular, we apply the results to the case  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \dots = \mathcal{B}_m$ .

From now on, we write  $\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\}$  instead of  $\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ .

For  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k_i$ , let  $n_{i,k_i-j+1}$  be the number of vertices at the level  $j$  of  $\mathcal{B}_i$ . Observe that  $n_{i,k_i} = 1$  and  $n_{i,k_i-1} = d_{i,k_i}$ . We have

$$(1.1) \quad n_{i,k_i-j} = (d_{i,k_i-j+1} - 1) n_{i,k_i-j+1}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq k_i - 1.$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let  $d(v_i)$  be the degree of  $v_i$  as a vertex of  $\mathcal{G}_m$ . The total number of vertices in  $\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\}$  is  $n = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{k_i-1} n_{i,j} + m$ .

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let

$$\delta_{i,1} = w_{i,1}, \quad \delta_{i,j} = (d_{i,j} - 1) w_{i,j-1} + w_{i,j} \quad (j = 2, 3, \dots, k_i - 1)$$

$$\delta_{i,k_i} = d_{i,k_i} w_{i,k_i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_i = \sum_{v_i \sim v_j} \varepsilon_{i,j}.$$

Observe that if  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is an unweighted tree, then  $\delta_{i,j} = d_{i,j}$ , and if  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is an unweighted graph, then  $\delta_i = d(v_i)$ .

We introduce the following additional notation:

$|A|$  is the determinant of  $A$ .

$0$  and  $I$  are the all zeros matrix and the identity matrix of appropriate sizes, respectively.

$I_r$  is the identity matrix of size  $r \times r$ .

$\mathbf{e}_r$  is the all ones column vector of dimension  $r$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k_i - 2$ ,  $m_{i,j} = \frac{n_{i,j}}{n_{i,j+1}}$  and  $C_{i,j}$  is the block diagonal matrix defined by

$$C_{i,j} = \text{diag} \{ \mathbf{e}_{m_{i,j}}, \mathbf{e}_{m_{i,j}}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m_{i,j}} \}$$

with  $n_{i,j+1}$  diagonal blocks. The size of  $C_{i,j}$  is  $n_{i,j} \times n_{i,j+1}$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , let  $s_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i-2} n_{i,j}$  and  $E_i$  be the  $s_i \times m$  matrix defined by

$$E_i(p, q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q = i \text{ and } s_i + 1 \leq p \leq s_i + n_{i,k_i-1} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} .$$

We label the vertices of  $\mathcal{G}_m \{ \mathcal{B}_i \}$  as follows:

1. Using the labels  $1, 2, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{k_1-1} n_{1,j}$ , we label the vertices of  $\mathcal{B}_1$  from the bottom to level 2 and, at each level, in a counterwise sense.
2. Using the labels  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_1-1} n_{1,j} + 1, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{k_1-1} n_{1,j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k_2-1} n_{2,j}$ , we label the vertices of  $\mathcal{B}_2$  from the bottom to level 2 and, at each level, in a counterwise sense.
3. We continue labelling the vertices of  $\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{B}_4, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m$ , in this order, as above.
4. Finally, using the labels  $n - m + 1, n - m + 2, \dots, n$ , we label the vertices of  $\mathcal{G}_m$ .

Thus, the adjacency matrix  $A(\mathcal{G}_m \{ \mathcal{B}_i \})$  and the matrix  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{ \mathcal{B}_i \})$  become

$$(1.2) \quad A(\mathcal{G}_m \{ \mathcal{B}_i \}) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{1,k_1-1} E_1 \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 & w_{2,k_2-1} E_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_m & w_{m,k_m-1} E_m \\ w_{1,k_1-1} E_1^T & w_{2,k_2-1} E_2^T & \cdots & w_{m,k_m-1} E_m^T & A(\mathcal{G}_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\}) = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -w_{1,k_1-1}E_1 \\ 0 & L_2 & \cdots & 0 & -w_{2,k_2-1}E_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & L_m & -w_{m,k_m-1}E_m \\ -w_{1,k_1-1}E_1^T & -w_{2,k_2-1}E_2^T & \cdots & -w_{m,k_m-1}E_m^T & L_{m+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $A_i$  and  $L_i$  are the following block tridiagonal matrices:

$$(1.4) \quad A_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_{i,1}C_{i,1} & & & \\ w_{i,1}C_{i,1}^T & 0 & w_{i,2}C_{i,2} & & \\ & w_{i,2}C_{i,2}^T & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & 0 & w_{i,k_i-2}C_{i,k_i-2} \\ & & & w_{i,k_i-2}C_{i,k_i-2}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad L_i = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{i,1}I_{n_{i,1}} & -w_{i,1}C_{i,1} & & & \\ -w_{i,1}C_{i,1}^T & \delta_{i,2}I_{n_{i,2}} & -w_{i,2}C_{i,2} & & \\ & -w_{i,2}C_{i,2}^T & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \delta_{i,k_i-2}I_{n_{i,k_i-2}} & -w_{i,k_i-2}C_{i,k_i-2} \\ & & & -w_{i,k_i-2}C_{i,k_i-2}^T & \delta_{i,k_i-1}I_{n_{i,k_i-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Moreover,

$$(1.6) \quad A(\mathcal{G}_m) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{1,2} & \varepsilon_{1,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,2} & 0 & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,3} & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & 0 & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,m} & \varepsilon_{2,m} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad L_{m+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{1,k_1} + \delta_1 & -\varepsilon_{1,2} & -\varepsilon_{1,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,2} & \delta_{2,k_2} + \delta_2 & -\varepsilon_{2,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{2,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,3} & -\varepsilon_{2,3} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \delta_{m-1,k_{m-1}} + \delta_{m-1} & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m} & -\varepsilon_{2,m} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m} & \delta_{m,k_m} + \delta_m \end{bmatrix}$$

**2. Preliminaries.**

LEMMA 2.1. *Let*

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \pm w_{1,k_1-1}E_1 \\ 0 & X_2 & \cdots & 0 & \pm w_{2,k_2-1}E_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & X_m & \pm w_{m,k_m-1}E_m \\ \pm w_{1,k_1-1}E_1^T & \pm w_{2,k_2-1}E_2^T & \cdots & \pm w_{m,k_m-1}E_m^T & X_{m+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $X_i$  is the block tridiagonal matrix

$$X_i = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{i,1}I_{n_{i,1}} & \pm w_{i,1}C_{i,1} & & & \\ \pm w_{i,1}C_{i,1}^T & \alpha_{i,2}I_{n_{i,2}} & \pm w_{i,2}C_{i,2} & & \\ & \pm w_{i,2}C_{i,2}^T & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \alpha_{i,k_i-2}I_{n_{i,k_i-2}} & \pm w_{i,k_i-2}C_{i,k_i-2} \\ & & & \pm w_{i,k_i-2}C_{i,k_i-2}^T & \alpha_{i,k_i-1}I_{n_{i,k_i-1}} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad X_{m+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \varepsilon_{1,2} & \varepsilon_{1,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,2} & \alpha_2 & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,3} & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \alpha_{m-1} & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,m} & \varepsilon_{2,m} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} & \alpha_m \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$(2.2) \quad X_{m+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\varepsilon_{1,2} & -\varepsilon_{1,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,2} & \alpha_2 & -\varepsilon_{2,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{2,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,3} & -\varepsilon_{2,3} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \alpha_{m-1} & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m} & -\varepsilon_{2,m} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m} & \alpha_m \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{i,1} &= \alpha_{i,1}, \\ \beta_{i,j} &= \alpha_{i,j} - \frac{n_{i,j-1} w_{i,j-1}^2}{n_{i,j} \beta_{i,j-1}}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, k_i - 1, \\ \beta_i &= \alpha_i - n_{i,k_i-1} \frac{w_{i,k_i-1}^2}{\beta_{i,k_i-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\beta_{i,j} \neq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad |X| = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{k_i-1} \beta_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}} \right) |Y_{m+1}|,$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad Y_{m+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \varepsilon_{1,2} & \varepsilon_{1,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,2} & \beta_2 & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,3} & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \beta_{m-1} & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,m} & \varepsilon_{2,m} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} & \beta_m \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$(2.5) \quad Y_{m+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & -\varepsilon_{1,2} & -\varepsilon_{1,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,2} & \beta_2 & -\varepsilon_{2,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{2,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,3} & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \beta_{m-1} & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m} & -\varepsilon_{2,m} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m} & \beta_m \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively.

*Proof.* We give a proof for  $X_{m+1}$  in (2.1). Suppose  $\beta_{1,j} \neq 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_1 - 1$ . After some steps of the Gaussian elimination procedure, without row interchanges, we reduce  $X$  to the intermediate matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \pm w_{1,k_1-1} E_1 \\ 0 & X_2 & \cdots & 0 & \pm w_{2,k_2-1} E_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & X_m & \pm w_{m,k_m-1} E_m \\ 0 & \pm w_{2,k_2-1} E_2^T & \cdots & \pm w_{m,k_m-1} E_m^T & X_{m+1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $R_1$  is the block bidiagonal matrix

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1,1} I_{n_{1,1}} & \pm w_{1,1} C_{1,1} & & & \\ & \beta_{1,2} I_{n_{1,2}} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \pm w_{1,k_1-2} C_{1,k_1-2} & \\ & & & & \beta_{1,k_1-1} I_{n_{1,k_1-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $X_{m+1}^{(1)}$  is the matrix

$$X_{m+1}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \varepsilon_{1,2} & \varepsilon_{1,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,2} & \alpha_2 & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,3} & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \alpha_{m-1} & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,m} & \varepsilon_{2,m} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} & \alpha_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose, in addition, that  $\beta_{i,j} \neq 0$  for all  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ . We continue the Gaussian elimination procedure to finally obtain the upper triangular matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \pm w_{1,k_1-1} E_1 \\ 0 & R_2 & \cdots & 0 & \pm w_{2,k_2-1} E_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & R_m & \pm w_{m,k_m-1} E_m \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & Y_{m+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where, for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$ ,

$$R_i = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{i,1} I_{n_{i,1}} & \pm w_{i,1} C_{i,1} & & & \\ & \beta_{i,2} I_{n_{i,2}} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \pm w_{i,k_i-2} C_{i,k_i-2} & \\ & & & \beta_{i,k_i-1} I_{i,n_{k_i-1}} & \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $Y_{m+1}$  is as in (2.4). A similar proof yields to  $Y_{m+1}$  in (2.5) whenever  $X_{m+1}$  is in form (2.2). Thus, (2.3) is proved.  $\square$

From now on, we denote by  $\tilde{A}$  the submatrix obtained from  $A$  by deleting its last row and its last column. Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let  $F_{i,j}$  be the  $k_i \times k_j$  matrix with  $F_{i,j}(k_i, k_j) = 1$  and zeroes elsewhere.

In the proof of the following lemma, we will use the facts  $\begin{vmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & B \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix} = |A| |B|$  and  $\begin{vmatrix} A & 0 & B \\ C & D & E \\ F & 0 & G \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} A & B \\ F & G \end{vmatrix} |D| = \begin{vmatrix} A & C & B \\ 0 & D & 0 \\ F & E & G \end{vmatrix}$  for matrices of appropriate sizes.

LEMMA 2.2. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let  $B_i$  be a matrix of size  $k_i \times k_i$  and  $\mu_{i,j}$  be

arbitrary scalars. Then it holds that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{vmatrix} B_1 & \mu_{1,2}F_{1,2} & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1}F_{1,m-1} & \mu_{1,m}F_{1,m} \\ \mu_{2,1}F_{1,2}^T & B_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \mu_{2,m}F_{2,m} \\ \mu_{3,1}F_{1,3}^T & \mu_{3,2}F_{2,3}^T & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & B_{m-1} & \mu_{m-1,m}F_{m-1,m} \\ \mu_{m,1}F_{1,m}^T & \mu_{m,2}F_{2,m}^T & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1}F_{m-1,m}^T & B_m \end{vmatrix} \\ = \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & \mu_{1,2}|\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1}|\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & \mu_{1,m}|\widetilde{B}_m| \\ \mu_{2,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \cdots & \cdots & \mu_{2,m}|\widetilde{B}_m| \\ \mu_{3,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{3,2}|\widetilde{B}_2| & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & |B_{m-1}| & \mu_{m-1,m}|\widetilde{B}_m| \\ \mu_{m,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m,2}|\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1}|\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & |B_m| \end{vmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Let us write  $B_i = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{B}_i & \mathbf{b}_{i,1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{i,2}^T & b_i \end{bmatrix}$ . We use induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} B_1 & \mu_{1,2}F_{1,2} \\ \mu_{2,1}F_{1,2}^T & B_2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 & 0 & \mu_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & \mathbf{b}_{2,1} \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & b_2 \end{vmatrix} \\ = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & \mathbf{b}_{2,1} \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & b_2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 & 0 & \mu_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_2 & \mathbf{b}_{2,1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & b_2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 & 0 & \mu_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ = \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & 0 \\ \mu_{2,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 & \mu_{1,2} \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ = \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & 0 \\ \mu_{2,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_2 & \mu_{1,2} \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} \end{vmatrix}.$$



Clearly,

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \mu_{1,2}|\widetilde{B}_2| \\ \mu_{2,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| \end{vmatrix} = -|\widetilde{B}_2|\mu_{1,2} \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} B_1 & \mu_{1,2}F_{1,2} \\ \mu_{2,1}F_{1,2}^T & B_2 \end{vmatrix} &= \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & 0 \\ \mu_{2,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \mu_{1,2}|\widetilde{B}_2| \\ \mu_{2,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & \mu_{1,2}|\widetilde{B}_2| \\ \mu_{2,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved (2.6) for  $m = 2$ . Let  $m \geq 3$ , and assume that (2.6) is true for  $m - 1$ . The meaning of the scalars  $t, t_1$  and  $t_2$  below will be clear from the context. By linearity on the last column,

$$\begin{aligned} t := & \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 & 0 & \mu_{1,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{1,m-1} & 0 & \mu_{1,m} \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & \mathbf{b}_{2,1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & b_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \vdots & & \widetilde{B}_{m-1} & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{m-1,1} & \vdots & \vdots & & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,2}^T & b_{m-1} & 0 & \mu_{m-1,m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_m & \mathbf{b}_{m,1} \\ 0 & \mu_{m,1} & 0 & \mu_{m,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{m,m-1} & \mathbf{b}_{m,2}^T & b_m \end{vmatrix} \\ = & \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 & 0 & \mu_{1,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{1,m-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & \mathbf{b}_{2,1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & b_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \vdots & & \widetilde{B}_{m-1} & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{m-1,1} & \vdots & \vdots & & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,2}^T & b_{m-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_m & \mathbf{b}_{m,1} \\ 0 & \mu_{m,1} & 0 & \mu_{m,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{m,m-1} & \mathbf{b}_{m,2}^T & b_m \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 & 0 & \mu_{1,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{1,m-1} & 0 & \mu_{1,m} \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & \mathbf{b}_{2,1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & b_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \vdots & & \widetilde{B}_{m-1} & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{m-1,1} & \vdots & \vdots & & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,2}^T & b_{m-1} & 0 & \mu_{m-1,m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_m & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{m,1} & 0 & \mu_{m,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{m,m-1} & \mathbf{b}_{m,2}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} := t_1 + t_2.$$

By definition,

$$t_1 = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \mu_{1,2}F_{1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1}F_{1,m-1} & 0 \\ \mu_{2,1}F_{1,2}^T & B_2 & \mu_{2,3}F_{2,3} & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1}F_{2,m-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \mu_{3,2}F_{2,3}^T & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_{m-2,1}F_{1,m-2}^T & \vdots & & \ddots & \mu_{m-2,m-1}F_{m-2,m-1} & \vdots \\ \mu_{m-1,1}F_{1,m-1}^T & \mu_{m-1,2}F_{2,m-1}^T & \cdots & \cdots & B_{m-1} & 0 \\ \mu_{m,1}F_{1,m}^T & \mu_{m,2}F_{2,m}^T & \cdots & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1}F_{m-1,m}^T & B_m \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence,

$$t_1 = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \mu_{1,2}F_{1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1}F_{1,m-1} \\ \mu_{2,1}F_{1,2}^T & B_2 & \mu_{2,3}F_{2,3} & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1}F_{2,m-1} \\ \vdots & \mu_{3,2}F_{2,3}^T & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \mu_{m-2,1}F_{1,m-2}^T & \vdots & & \ddots & \mu_{m-2,m-1}F_{m-2,m-1} \\ \mu_{m-1,1}F_{1,m-1}^T & \mu_{m-1,2}F_{2,m-1}^T & \cdots & \cdots & B_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} |B_m|.$$

We apply the induction hypothesis on the first factor obtaining that

$$t_1 = \begin{pmatrix} |B_1| & \mu_{1,2}|\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1}|\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| \\ \mu_{2,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \mu_{2,3}|\widetilde{B}_3| & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1}|\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| \\ \vdots & \mu_{3,2}|\widetilde{B}_2| & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \mu_{m-2,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & \vdots & & \ddots & \mu_{m-2,m-1}|\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| \\ \mu_{m-1,1}|\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m-1,2}|\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \cdots & |B_{m-1}| \end{pmatrix} |B_m|.$$

Now it is easy to see that

$$(2.7) \quad t_1 = \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & \mu_{1,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & 0 \\ \mu_{2,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \mu_{2,3} |\widetilde{B}_3| & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & 0 \\ \vdots & \mu_{3,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_{m-2,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \vdots & & \ddots & \mu_{m-2,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & \vdots \\ \mu_{m-1,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m-1,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \cdots & |B_{m-1}| & 0 \\ \mu_{m,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & |B_m| \end{vmatrix}.$$

We have

$$t_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{1,2}^T & b_1 & 0 & \mu_{1,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{1,m-1} & \mu_{1,m} \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & \mathbf{b}_{2,1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & b_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \mu_{2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \widetilde{B}_{m-1} & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,1} & 0 & \\ 0 & \mu_{m-1,1} & \vdots & \vdots & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,2}^T & b_{m-1} & \mu_{m-1,m} & \\ 0 & \mu_{m,1} & 0 & \mu_{m,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{m,m-1} & 0 \end{vmatrix} |\widetilde{B}_m|.$$

Expanding along the last column, we get

$$t_2 = (s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_{m-1}) |\widetilde{B}_m|$$

where, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , the summand  $s_i$  is the cofactor of the entry  $\mu_{i,m}$ . In particular,

$$s_1 = (-1)^{k_2 + \cdots + k_{m-1} + 1} \mu_{1,m} \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & \mathbf{b}_{2,1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & b_2 & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{2,m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \widetilde{B}_{m-1} & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,1} & \\ 0 & \mu_{m-1,1} & \vdots & \vdots & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,2}^T & b_{m-1} & \\ 0 & \mu_{m,1} & 0 & \mu_{m,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{m,m-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

After  $k_{m-1} + k_{m-2} + \dots + k_2$  row interchanges, we obtain

$$s_1 = -\mu_{1,m} \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{B}_1 & \mathbf{b}_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{m,1} & 0 & \mu_{m,2} & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{m,m-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{B}_2 & \mathbf{b}_{2,1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2,1} & \mathbf{b}_{2,2}^T & b_2 & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{2,m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \widetilde{B}_{m-1} & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,1} \\ 0 & \mu_{m-1,1} & 0 & \mu_{m-1,2} & \cdots & \mathbf{b}_{m-1,2}^T & b_{m-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

We apply the induction hypothesis to get that

$$s_1 = -\mu_{1,m} \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{m,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| \\ \mu_{2,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| \\ \vdots & \mu_{3,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \mu_{m-1,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| \\ \mu_{m-1,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m-1,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & |B_{m-1}| \end{vmatrix}.$$

After  $m - 2$  row interchanges, we have

$$(2.8) \quad s_1 = (-1)^{m-1} \mu_{1,m} \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{2,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| \\ \mu_{3,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{3,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \mu_{m-1,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| \\ \mu_{m-1,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m-1,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & |B_{m-1}| \\ \mu_{m,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| \end{vmatrix}.$$

We may write

$$(2.9) \quad s_1 = \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & \mu_{1,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & \mu_{1,m} \\ \mu_{2,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & 0 \\ \mu_{3,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{3,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & |B_{m-1}| & 0 \\ \mu_{m,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

In fact, expanding (2.9) along the last row we obtain (2.8). Similarly,

$$s_2 = \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & \mu_{1,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & 0 \\ \mu_{2,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & \mu_{2,m} \\ \mu_{3,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{3,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & |B_{m-1}| & \vdots \\ \mu_{m,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$s_{m-1} = \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & \mu_{1,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & 0 \\ \mu_{2,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & \vdots \\ \mu_{3,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{3,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & |B_{m-1}| & \mu_{m-1,m} \\ \mu_{m,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.10) \quad t_2 = \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & \mu_{1,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & \mu_{1,m} |\widetilde{B}_m| \\ \mu_{2,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & \mu_{2,m} |\widetilde{B}_m| \\ \mu_{3,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{3,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & |B_{m-1}| & \mu_{m-1,m} |\widetilde{B}_m| \\ \mu_{m,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

By (2.7) and (2.10), we have

$$t = t_1 + t_2 = \begin{vmatrix} |B_1| & \mu_{1,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & \mu_{1,m} |\widetilde{B}_m| \\ \mu_{2,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & |B_2| & \cdots & \mu_{2,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & \mu_{2,m} |\widetilde{B}_m| \\ \mu_{3,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{3,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & |B_{m-1}| & \mu_{m-1,m} |\widetilde{B}_m| \\ \mu_{m,1} |\widetilde{B}_1| & \mu_{m,2} |\widetilde{B}_2| & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1} |\widetilde{B}_{m-1}| & |B_m| \end{vmatrix}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. The spectrum of the Laplacian matrix.

DEFINITION 3.1. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let

$$P_{i,0}(\lambda) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad P_{i,1}(\lambda) = \lambda - \delta_{i,1}$$

and, for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, k_i - 1$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad P_{i,j}(\lambda) = (\lambda - \delta_{i,j}) P_{i,j-1}(\lambda) - \frac{n_{i,j-1}}{n_{i,j}} w_{i,j-1}^2 P_{i,j-2}(\lambda).$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let

$$P_i(\lambda) = (\lambda - \delta_{i,k_i} - \delta_i) P_{i,k_i-1}(\lambda) - n_{i,k_i-1} w_{i,k_i-1}^2 P_{i,k_i-2}(\lambda)$$

and

$$\Omega_i = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq k_i - 1 : n_{i,j} > n_{i,j+1}\}.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *The following hold:*

(a)

$$(3.2) \quad |\lambda I - L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})| = P(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j \in \Omega_i} P_{i,j}^{n_{i,j} - n_{i,j+1}}(\lambda),$$

where

$$P(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} P_1(\lambda) & \varepsilon_{1,2} P_{2,k_2-1}(\lambda) & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m} P_{m,k_m-1}(\lambda) \\ \varepsilon_{1,2} P_{1,k_1-1}(\lambda) & P_2(\lambda) & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m} P_{m,k_m-1}(\lambda) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} P_{m,k_m-1}(\lambda) \\ \varepsilon_{1,m} P_{1,k_1-1}(\lambda) & \varepsilon_{2,m} P_{2,k_2-1}(\lambda) & \cdots & P_m(\lambda) \end{vmatrix}.$$

(b) *The set of eigenvalues of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$  is*

$$\sigma(L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})) = (\cup_{i=1}^m \cup_{j \in \Omega_i} \{\lambda : P_{i,j}(\lambda) = 0\}) \cup \{\lambda : P(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

*Proof.* (a)  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$  is given by (1.3), (1.5) and (1.7). We apply Lemma 2.1 to the matrix  $X = \lambda I - L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$ . For this matrix,

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \lambda - \delta_{i,j} \quad (1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq k_i - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i = \lambda - \delta_{i,k_i} - \delta_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq m).$$

Let  $\beta_{i,j}, \beta_i$  be as in Lemma 2.1. We first suppose that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $P_{i,j}(\lambda) \neq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ . For brevity, we write  $P_{i,j}(\lambda) = P_{i,j}$  and  $P(\lambda) = P$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{i,1} &= \lambda - \delta_{i,1} = \frac{P_{i,1}}{P_{i,0}} \neq 0, \\ \beta_{i,2} &= (\lambda - \delta_{i,2}) - \frac{n_{i,1}}{n_{i,2}} \frac{w_{i,1}^2}{\beta_{i,1}} = (\lambda - \delta_{i,2}) - \frac{n_{i,1}}{n_{i,2}} w_{i,1}^2 \frac{P_{i,0}}{P_{i,1}} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda - \delta_{i,2}) P_{i,1} - \frac{n_{i,1}}{n_{i,2}} w_{i,1}^2 P_{i,0}}{P_{i,1}} = \frac{P_{i,2}}{P_{i,1}} \neq 0, \\ &\vdots \\ \beta_{i,k_i-1} &= (\lambda - \delta_{i,k_i-1}) - \frac{n_{k_i-2}}{n_{k_i-1}} \frac{w_{i,k_i-2}^2}{\beta_{i,k_i-2}} = (\lambda - \delta_{i,k_i-1}) - \frac{n_{i,k_i-2}}{n_{i,k_i-1}} w_{i,k_i-2}^2 \frac{P_{i,k_i-3}}{P_{i,k_i-2}} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda - \delta_{i,k_i-1}) P_{i,k_i-2} - \frac{n_{i,k_i-2}}{n_{i,k_i-1}} w_{i,k_i-2}^2 P_{i,k_i-3}}{P_{i,k_i-2}} = \frac{P_{i,k_i-1}}{P_{i,k_i-2}} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i &= \lambda - \delta_{i,k_i} - \delta_i - n_{i,k_i-1} \frac{w_{i,k_i-1}^2}{\beta_{i,k_i-1}} = \lambda - \delta_{i,k_i} - \delta_i - n_{i,k_i-1} w_{i,k_i-1}^2 \frac{P_{i,k_i-2}}{P_{i,k_i-1}} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda - \delta_{i,k_i} - \delta_i) P_{i,k_i-1} - n_{i,k_i-1} w_{i,k_i-1}^2 P_{i,k_i-2}}{P_{i,k_i-1}} = \frac{P_i}{P_{i,k_i-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(\lambda I - L(\mathcal{G}_m \{ \mathcal{B}_i \})) \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{k_i-1} \beta_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}} \right) |C_{m+1}| \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{P_{i,1}^{n_{i,1}} P_{i,2}^{n_{i,2}} P_{i,3}^{n_{i,3}} \dots P_{i,k_i-2}^{n_{i,k_i-2}} P_{i,k_i-1}^{n_{i,k_i-1}}}{P_{i,0}^{n_{i,1}} P_{i,1}^{n_{i,2}} P_{i,2}^{n_{i,3}} \dots P_{i,k_i-3}^{n_{i,k_i-2}} P_{i,k_i-2}^{n_{i,k_i-1}}} \right) |C_{m+1}| \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m P_{i,1}^{n_{i,1}-n_{i,2}} P_{i,2}^{n_{i,2}-n_{i,3}} \dots P_{i,k_i-2}^{n_{i,k_i-2}-n_{i,k_i-1}} P_{i,k_i-1}^{n_{i,k_i-1}} \right) |C_{m+1}|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|C_{m+1}| = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{P_1}{P_{1,k_1-1}} & \varepsilon_{1,2} & \varepsilon_{1,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,2} & \frac{P_2}{P_{2,k_2-1}} & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,3} & \varepsilon_{2,3} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \frac{P_{m-1}}{P_{m-1,k_{m-1}-1}} & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,m} & \varepsilon_{2,m} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} & \frac{P_m}{P_{m,k_m-1}} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m P_{i,k_i-1}} \begin{vmatrix} P_1 & \varepsilon_{1,2}P_{2,k_2-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m}P_{m,k_m-1} \\ \varepsilon_{1,2}P_{1,k_1-1} & P_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m}P_{m,k_m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m}P_{m,k_m-1} \\ \varepsilon_{1,m}P_{1,k_1-1} & \varepsilon_{2,m}P_{2,k_2-1} & \cdots & \cdots & P_m \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m P_{i,k_i-1}} P(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\lambda I - L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})| \\
 &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m P_{i,1}^{n_{i,1}-n_{i,2}} P_{i,2}^{n_{i,2}-n_{i,3}} \cdots P_{i,k_i-2}^{n_{i,k_i-2}-n_{i,k_i-1}} P_{i,k_i-1}^{n_{i,k_i-1}} \right) \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m P_{i,k_i-1}} P(\lambda) \\
 &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m P_{i,1}^{n_{i,1}-n_{i,2}} P_{i,2}^{n_{i,2}-n_{i,3}} \cdots P_{i,k_i-2}^{n_{i,k_i-2}-n_{i,k_i-1}} P_{i,k_i-1}^{n_{i,k_i-1}-n_{i,k_i}} \right) P(\lambda) \\
 &= P(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{k_i-1} P_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}-n_{i,j+1}} \\
 &= P(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j \in \Omega_i} P_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}-n_{i,j+1}}(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have used the fact that  $n_{i,k_i} = 1$ . Thus, (3.2) is proved for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $P_{i,j}(\lambda) \neq 0$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ . Now, we consider  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $P_{l,s}(\lambda_0) = 0$  for some  $1 \leq l \leq m$  and  $1 \leq s \leq k_l - 1$ . Since the zeros of any nonzero polynomial are isolated, there exists a neighborhood  $N(\lambda_0)$  of  $\lambda_0$  such that  $P_{i,j}(\lambda) \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in N(\lambda_0) - \{\lambda_0\}$ , and for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ . Hence,

$$|\lambda I - L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})| = P(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j \in \Omega_i} P_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}-n_{i,j+1}}(\lambda)$$

for all  $\lambda \in N(\lambda_0) - \{\lambda_0\}$ . By continuity, taking the limit as  $\lambda$  tends to  $\lambda_0$ , we obtain

$$|\lambda_0 I - L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})| = P(\lambda_0) \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j \in \Omega_i} P_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}-n_{i,j+1}}(\lambda_0).$$

Therefore, (3.2) holds for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) It is an immediate consequence of part (a).  $\square$



DEFINITION 3.3. For  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ , let  $T_i$  be the  $k_i \times k_i$  symmetric matrix defined by

$$T_i = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{i,1} & w_{i,1}\sqrt{d_{i,2}-1} & & & & \\ w_{i,1}\sqrt{d_{i,2}-1} & \delta_{i,2} & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & w_{i,k_i-2}\sqrt{d_{i,k_i-1}-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \delta_{i,k_i-1} & w_{i,k_i-1}\sqrt{d_{i,k_i}} & \\ & & & w_{i,k_i-1}\sqrt{d_{i,k_i}} & \delta_{i,k_i} + \delta_i & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k_i - 1$ , let  $T_{i,j}$  be the  $j \times j$  leading principal submatrix of  $T_i$ .

LEMMA 3.4. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad |\lambda I - T_{i,j}| = P_{i,j}(\lambda).$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad |\lambda I - T_i| = P_i(\lambda).$$

*Proof.* It is well known [6, page 229] that the characteristic polynomial,  $Q_j$ , of the  $j \times j$  leading principal submatrix of the  $k \times k$  symmetric tridiagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & b_1 & & & & \\ b_1 & c_2 & b_2 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & b_{k-2} & c_{k-1} & b_{k-1} & \\ & & & b_{k-1} & c_k & \end{bmatrix},$$

satisfies the three-term recursion formula

$$(3.5) \quad Q_j(\lambda) = (\lambda - c_j)Q_{j-1}(\lambda) - b_{j-1}^2 Q_{j-2}(\lambda)$$

with

$$Q_0(\lambda) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_1(\lambda) = \lambda - c_1.$$

We recall that, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i$ , the polynomials  $P_{i,j}$  are defined by formula (3.1). Let  $1 \leq i \leq m$  be fixed. By (1.1),  $\sqrt{\frac{n_{i,j}}{n_{i,j+1}}} = \sqrt{d_{i,j+1}-1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 2$ . For the matrix  $T_{i,k_i-1}$ , we have  $c_j = \delta_{i,j}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$  and  $b_j = w_{i,j}\sqrt{d_{i,j+1}-1} = w_{i,j}\sqrt{\frac{n_{i,j}}{n_{i,j+1}}}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 2$ . Replacing in (3.5), we get the polynomials  $P_{i,j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ . Thus, (3.3) is proved. The proof of (3.4) is similar.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.5. Let  $r = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i$ , and let  $G$  be the  $r \times r$  symmetric matrix defined by

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & -\varepsilon_{1,2}F_{1,2} & -\varepsilon_{1,3}F_{1,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{1,m}F_{1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,2}F_{1,2}^T & T_2 & -\varepsilon_{2,3}F_{2,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{2,m}F_{2,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,3}F_{1,3}^T & -\varepsilon_{2,3}F_{2,3}^T & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & T_{m-1} & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m}F_{m-1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m}F_{1,m}^T & -\varepsilon_{2,m}F_{2,m}^T & \cdots & \cdots & T_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$|\lambda I - G| = P(\lambda).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\lambda I - G = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - T_1 & \varepsilon_{1,2}F_{1,2} & \varepsilon_{1,3}F_{1,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m}F_{1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,2}F_{1,2}^T & \lambda I - T_2 & \varepsilon_{2,3}F_{2,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m}F_{2,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,3}F_{1,3}^T & \varepsilon_{2,3}F_{2,3}^T & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \lambda I - T_{m-1} & \varepsilon_{m-1,m}F_{m-1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,m}F_{1,m}^T & \varepsilon_{2,m}F_{2,m}^T & \cdots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m}F_{m-1,m}^T & \lambda I - T_m \end{bmatrix}$$

We apply Lemma 2.2 to  $\lambda I - G$  to get

$$|\lambda I - G| = \begin{vmatrix} |\lambda I - T_1| & \varepsilon_{1,2} \widetilde{|\lambda I - T_2|} & \cdots & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m} \widetilde{|\lambda I - T_m|} \\ \varepsilon_{1,2} \widetilde{|\lambda I - T_1|} & |\lambda I - T_2| & \cdots & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m} \widetilde{|\lambda I - T_m|} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{1,m-1} \widetilde{|\lambda I - T_1|} & \vdots & & |\lambda I - T_{m-1}| & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} \widetilde{|\lambda I - T_m|} \\ \varepsilon_{1,m} \widetilde{|\lambda I - T_1|} & \varepsilon_{2,m} \widetilde{|\lambda I - T_2|} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m} \widetilde{|\lambda I - T_{m-1}|} & |\lambda I - T_m| \end{vmatrix}.$$

We observe that  $\widetilde{|\lambda I - T_i|} = |\lambda I - T_{i,k_i-1}|$ . We now use Lemma 3.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda I - G| &= \begin{vmatrix} P_1(\lambda) & \varepsilon_{1,2}P_{2,k_2-1}(\lambda) & \cdots & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m}P_{m,k_m-1}(\lambda) \\ \varepsilon_{1,2}P_{1,k_1-1}(\lambda) & P_2(\lambda) & \cdots & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m}P_{m,k_m-1}(\lambda) \\ \varepsilon_{1,3}P_{1,k_1-1}(\lambda) & \varepsilon_{2,3}P_{2,k_2-1}(\lambda) & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m}P_{m,k_m-1}(\lambda) \\ \varepsilon_{1,m}P_{1,k_1-1}(\lambda) & \varepsilon_{2,m}P_{2,k_2-1}(\lambda) & \cdots & \cdots & P_m(\lambda) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= P(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

THEOREM 3.6.

(a)  $\sigma(L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})) = (\cup_{i=1}^m \cup_{j \in \Omega_i} \sigma(T_{i,j})) \cup \sigma(G).$

(b) *The multiplicity of each eigenvalue of  $T_{i,j}$ , as an eigenvalue of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$ , is  $n_{i,j} - n_{i,j+1}$  for  $j \in \Omega_i$ .*

(c) *The matrix  $G$  is singular.*

*Proof.* It is known that the eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix with nonzero codiagonal entries are simple [2]. This fact, Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 yield (a) and (b). One can easily check that  $|T_{i,j}| = \omega_{i,1}\omega_{i,2} \cdots \omega_{i,j} > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k_i - 1$ . This fact and part (a) imply that 0 is an eigenvalue of  $G$ . Hence,  $G$  is a singular matrix.  $\square$

THEOREM 3.7. *The spectral radius of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$  is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$  is a positive semidefinite matrix, its spectral radius is its largest eigenvalue. By Theorem 3.6, the eigenvalues of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$  are the eigenvalues of the matrices  $T_{i,j}$  for  $i \in \Omega_i$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k_i - 1$  together with the eigenvalues of  $G$ . Since the eigenvalues of the matrices  $T_{i,j}$  interlace the eigenvalues of  $G$ , we conclude that the spectral radius of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $G$ .  $\square$

Next, we summarize the above results for the particular case of unweighted trees  $\mathcal{B}_i$  and unweighted graph  $\mathcal{G}_m$ .

THEOREM 3.8. *If each  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is an unweighted generalized Bethe tree and  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is an unweighted graph, then the following hold:*

(a)

$$\sigma(L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})) = (\cup_{i=1}^m \cup_{j \in \Omega_i} \sigma(T_{i,j})) \cup \sigma(G),$$

where  $G$  is the matrix defined in Lemma 3.5 with

$$T_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d_{i,2} - 1} & & & & \\ \sqrt{d_{i,2} - 1} & d_{i,2} & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \sqrt{d_{i,k_i-1} - 1} & \\ & & & & & \sqrt{d_{i,k_i}} \\ & & & \sqrt{d_{i,k_i-1} - 1} & \frac{d_{i,k_i-1}}{\sqrt{d_{i,k_i}}} & \sqrt{d_{i,k_i}} \\ & & & & & d_{i,k_i} + d(v_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

of size  $k_i \times k_i$  and  $T_{i,j}$  is the  $j \times j$  leading principal submatrix of  $T_i$ .

(b) The multiplicity of each eigenvalue of  $T_{i,j}$ , as an eigenvalue of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$ , is  $n_{i,j} - n_{i,j+1}$  for  $j \in \Omega_i$ .

(c) The matrix  $G$  is singular.

(d) The spectral radius of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$  is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $G$ .

**4. The spectrum of the adjacency matrix.** By (1.2), we have

$$A(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\}) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{1,k_1-1}E_1 \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 & w_{2,k_2-1}E_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_m & w_{m,k_m-1}E_m \\ w_{1,k_1-1}E_1^T & w_{2,k_2-1}E_2^T & \cdots & w_{m,k_m-1}E_m^T & A(\mathcal{G}_m) \end{bmatrix},$$

where the diagonal blocks  $A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) are given by (1.4) and  $A(\mathcal{G}_m)$  is given by (1.6).

We may apply Lemma 2.1 to  $X = \lambda I - A(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})$ . For this matrix,  $\alpha_{i,j} = \lambda$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k_i - 1$ , and  $\alpha_i = \lambda$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

DEFINITION 4.1. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let

$$Q_{i,0}(\lambda) = 1, \quad Q_{i,1}(\lambda) = \lambda$$

and, for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, k_i - 1$ , let

$$Q_{i,j}(\lambda) = \lambda Q_{i,j-1}(\lambda) - \frac{n_{i,j-1}}{n_{i,j}} w_{i,j-1}^2 Q_{i,j-2}(\lambda).$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let

$$Q_i(\lambda) = \lambda Q_{i,k_i-1}(\lambda) - n_{i,k_i-1} w_{i,j-1}^2 Q_{i,k_i-2}(\lambda).$$

THEOREM 4.2. The following hold:

(a)

$$|\lambda I - A(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})| = Q(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j \in \Omega_i} Q_{i,j}^{n_{i,j} - n_{i,j+1}}(\lambda).$$

(b)

$$\sigma(A(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})) = (\cup_{i=1}^m \cup_{j \in \Omega_i} \{\lambda : Q_{i,j}(\lambda) = 0\}) \cup \{\lambda : Q(\lambda) = 0\},$$

where

$$Q(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} Q_1(\lambda) & -\varepsilon_{1,2}Q_{2,k_2-1}(\lambda) & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{1,m}Q_{m,k_m-1}(\lambda) \\ -\varepsilon_{1,2}Q_{1,k_1-1}(\lambda) & Q_2(\lambda) & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{2,m}Q_{m,k_m-1}(\lambda) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m}Q_{1,k_1-1}(\lambda) & -\varepsilon_{2,m}Q_{2,k_2-1}(\lambda) & \cdots & Q_m(\lambda) \end{vmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

DEFINITION 4.3. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let  $S_i$  be the  $k_i \times k_i$  symmetric matrix defined by

$$S_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_{i,1}\sqrt{d_{i,2}-1} & & & \\ w_{i,1}\sqrt{d_{i,2}-1} & 0 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & w_{i,k_i-2}\sqrt{d_{i,k_i-1}-1} & \\ & & \ddots & 0 & w_{i,k_i-1}\sqrt{d_{i,k_i}} \\ & & & w_{i,k_i-1}\sqrt{d_{i,k_i}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ , let  $S_{i,j}$  be the  $j \times j$  leading principal submatrix of  $S_i$ .

LEMMA 4.4. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k_i - 1$ , we have

$$|\lambda I - S_{i,j}| = Q_{i,j}(\lambda).$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$|\lambda I - S_i| = Q_i(\lambda).$$

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

LEMMA 4.5. Let  $r = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i$  and  $H$  be the  $r \times r$  symmetric matrix defined by

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & \varepsilon_{1,2}F_{1,2} & \varepsilon_{1,3}F_{1,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m}F_{1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,2}F_{1,2}^T & S_2 & \varepsilon_{2,3}F_{2,3} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m}F_{2,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,3}F_{1,3}^T & \varepsilon_{2,3}F_{2,3}^T & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & S_{m-1} & \varepsilon_{m-1,m}F_{m-1,m} \\ \varepsilon_{1,m}F_{1,m}^T & \varepsilon_{2,m}F_{2,m}^T & \cdots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m}F_{m-1,m}^T & S_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$|\lambda I - H| = Q(\lambda).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\lambda I - H = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - S_1 & -\varepsilon_{1,2}F_{1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & & -\varepsilon_{1,m}F_{1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,2}F_{1,2}^T & \lambda I - S_2 & \cdots & \cdots & & -\varepsilon_{2,m}F_{2,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,3}F_{1,3}^T & -\varepsilon_{2,3}F_{2,3}^T & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \lambda I - S_{m-1} & & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m}F_{m-1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m}F_{1,m}^T & -\varepsilon_{2,m}F_{2,m}^T & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m}F_{m-1,m}^T & & \lambda I - S_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

We apply Lemma 2.2 to  $\lambda I - H$  to obtain that

$$|\lambda I - H| = \begin{vmatrix} |\lambda I - S_1| & -\varepsilon_{1,2}|\widetilde{\lambda I - S_2}| & \cdots & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{1,m}|\widetilde{\lambda I - S_m}| \\ -\varepsilon_{1,2}|\widetilde{\lambda I - S_1}| & |\lambda I - S_2| & \cdots & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{2,m}|\widetilde{\lambda I - S_m}| \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m-1}|\widetilde{\lambda I - S_1}| & \vdots & & |\lambda I - S_{m-1}| & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m}|\widetilde{\lambda I - S_m}| \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m}|\widetilde{\lambda I - S_1}| & -\varepsilon_{2,m}|\widetilde{\lambda I - S_2}| & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m}|\widetilde{\lambda I - S_{m-1}}| & |\lambda I - S_m| \end{vmatrix}.$$

We observe that  $\widetilde{\lambda I - S_i} = \lambda I - S_{i,k_i-1}$ . We now use Lemma 4.4 to obtain  $|\lambda I - H| = Q(\lambda)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.6.**

(a)  $\sigma(A(\mathcal{G}_m\{\mathcal{B}_i\})) = (\cup_{i=1}^m \cup_{j \in \Omega_i} \sigma(S_{i,j})) \cup \sigma(H)$ .

(b) *The multiplicity of each eigenvalue of the matrix  $S_{i,j}$ , as an eigenvalue of  $A(\mathcal{G}_m\{\mathcal{B}_i\})$ , is  $n_{i,j} - n_{i,j+1}$  for  $j \in \Omega_i$ .*

(c) *The largest eigenvalue of  $H$  is the spectral radius of  $A(\mathcal{G}_m\{\mathcal{B}_i\})$ .*

*Proof.* The proofs of (a) and (b) are similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6. Finally, (c) follows from part (a) and the interlacing property of the eigenvalues.  $\square$

The following theorem summarizes the above results for the case of unweighted trees  $\mathcal{B}_i$  and unweighted graph  $\mathcal{G}_m$ .

**THEOREM 4.7.** *If each  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is an unweighted generalized Bethe tree and  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is an unweighted graph, then we have:*

(a)  $\sigma(A(\mathcal{G}_m\{\mathcal{B}_i\})) = (\cup_{i=1}^m \cup_{j \in \Omega_i} \sigma(S_{i,j})) \cup \sigma(H)$ , where  $H$  is the matrix defined

in Lemma 4.5 with

$$S_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{d_{i,2}-1} & & & & \\ \sqrt{d_{i,2}-1} & 0 & & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \sqrt{d_{i,k_i-1}-1} & & \\ & & & & 0 & \sqrt{d_{i,k_i}} \\ & & & & \sqrt{d_{i,k_i}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

of size  $k_i \times k_i$  and  $S_{i,j}$  is the  $j \times j$  leading principal submatrix of  $S_i$ .

(b) The multiplicity of each eigenvalue of the matrix  $S_{i,j}$ , as an eigenvalue of  $A(\mathcal{G}_m \{ \mathcal{B}_i \})$ , is  $n_{i,j} - n_{i,j+1}$  for  $j \in \Omega_i$ .

(c) The spectral radius of  $A(\mathcal{G}_m \{ \mathcal{B}_i \})$  is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $H$ .

**5. Unweighted compound graphs of copies of a generalized Bethe tree.**

In this section, we assume that  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is any connected unweighted graph and that  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \dots = \mathcal{B}_m = \mathcal{B}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is an unweighted generalized Bethe of  $k$  levels in which  $d_{k-j+1}$  and  $n_{k-j+1}$  are the degree of the vertices and the number of them at the level  $j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= k_2 = \dots = k_m = k, \\ \Omega_1 &= \dots = \Omega_m = \Omega = \{ j : 1 \leq j \leq k-1, n_{j+1} > n_j \}, \\ F_{i,j} &= F, \end{aligned}$$

where  $F$  is a  $k \times k$  matrix whose entries are 0 except  $F(k, k) = 1$ . Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ , the matrix  $T_{i,j}$  is the  $j \times j$  leading principal submatrix of

$$T_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d_2-1} & & & & \\ \sqrt{d_2-1} & d_2 & & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \sqrt{d_{k-1}-1} & & \\ & & & & \sqrt{d_{k-1}-1} & \sqrt{d_k} \\ & & & & \sqrt{d_k} & d_k + d(v_i) \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & -\varepsilon_{1,2}F & -\varepsilon_{1,3}F & \dots & -\varepsilon_{1,m}F \\ -\varepsilon_{1,2}F & T_2 & -\varepsilon_{2,3}F & \dots & -\varepsilon_{2,m}F \\ -\varepsilon_{1,3}F & -F & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & T_{m-1} & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m}F \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m}F & -\varepsilon_{2,m}F & \dots & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m}F & T_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

We recall that the Kronecker product [8] of two matrices  $A = (a_{i,j})$  and  $B = (b_{i,j})$  of sizes  $m \times m$  and  $n \times n$ , respectively, is defined to be the  $(mn) \times (mn)$  matrix  $A \otimes B = (a_{i,j}B)$ . For matrices  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  of appropriate sizes,

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC \otimes BD).$$

We write  $\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\}$  instead of  $\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\}$ .

From now on

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d_2 - 1} & & & & \\ \sqrt{d_2 - 1} & d_2 & & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \sqrt{d_{k-1} - 1} & & \\ & & & & d_{k-1} & \sqrt{d_k} \\ & & & & \sqrt{d_k} & d_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

**THEOREM 5.1.** *If  $\mathcal{B}$  is an unweighted generalized Bethe tree and  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is an unweighted graph, then*

$$\sigma(L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\})) = (\cup_{j \in \Omega} \sigma(B_j)) \cup (\cup_{s=1}^m \sigma(B + \gamma_s F))$$

where, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ,  $B_j$  is the  $j \times j$  leading principal submatrix of

$$B + \gamma_s F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d_2 - 1} & & & & \\ \sqrt{d_2 - 1} & d_2 & & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \sqrt{d_{k-1} - 1} & & \\ & & & & d_{k-1} & \sqrt{d_k} \\ & & & & \sqrt{d_k} & d_k + \gamma_s \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_{m-1} > \gamma_m = 0$  are the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{G}_m$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.8,

$$\sigma(L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}_i\})) = (\cup_{i=1}^m \cup_{j \in \Omega_i} \sigma(T_{i,j})) \cup \sigma(G).$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ,  $T_{i,j} = B_j$ . As a consequence,

$$\sigma(L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\})) = (\cup_{j \in \Omega} \sigma(B_j)) \cup \sigma(G).$$

It remains to prove that  $\sigma(G) = \cup_{s=1}^m \sigma(L(B + \gamma_s F))$ . We may write

$$G = I_m \otimes B + L(\mathcal{G}_m) \otimes F,$$



where

$$L(\mathcal{G}_m) = \begin{bmatrix} d(v_1) & -\varepsilon_{1,2} & -\varepsilon_{1,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,2} & d(v_2) & -\varepsilon_{2,3} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{2,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,3} & -\varepsilon_{2,3} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m} \\ -\varepsilon_{1,m} & -\varepsilon_{2,m} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{m-1,m} & d(v_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

is the Laplacian matrix of  $\mathcal{G}_m$ . Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m$  be the eigenvalues  $L(\mathcal{G}_m)$ , and let

$$V = [ \mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{v}_{m-1} \quad \mathbf{v}_m ]$$

be an orthogonal matrix whose columns  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  are eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (V \otimes I_k) G (V^T \otimes I_k) &= (V \otimes I_k) (I_m \otimes B + L(\mathcal{G}_m) \otimes F) (V^T \otimes I_k) \\ &= I_m \otimes B + (VL(\mathcal{G}_m)V^T) \otimes F. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (VL(\mathcal{G}_m)V^T) \otimes F &= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & & & & \\ & \gamma_2 & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \gamma_{m-1} & \\ & & & & \gamma_m \end{bmatrix} \otimes F \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 F & & & & \\ & \gamma_2 F & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \gamma_{m-1} F \\ & & & & & \gamma_m F \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$(V \otimes I_k) G (V^T \otimes I_k) = \begin{bmatrix} B + \gamma_1 F & & & & \\ & B + \gamma_2 F & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & B + \gamma_{m-1} F \\ & & & & & B + \gamma_m F \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $G$  and  $(V \otimes I_k) G (V^T \otimes I_k)$  are similar matrices, we conclude that

$$\sigma(G) = \cup_{s=1}^m \sigma(B + \gamma_s F).$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

COROLLARY 5.2.

(a) For  $j \in \Omega$ , the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of  $B_j$ , as an eigenvalue of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\})$ , is  $m(n_j - n_{j+1})$ .

(b)  $\det(B + \gamma_s F) = \gamma_s$ . In particular,  $B$  is a singular matrix.

(c) The spectral radius of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\})$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $B + \gamma_1 F$ .

(d) The algebraic connectivity of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\})$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $B + \gamma_{m-1} F$ .

*Proof.* (a) We have  $T_{i,j} = B_j$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ . Then the result is an immediate consequence of part (b) of Theorem 3.8.

(b) It follows easily applying the Gaussian elimination procedure.

(c), (d) The eigenvalues of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\})$  are the eigenvalues of the matrices  $B_j$  for  $j \in \Omega$  together with the eigenvalues of the matrices  $B + \gamma_s F$ . The eigenvalues of each  $B_j$  interlace the eigenvalues of any  $B + \gamma_s F$ . Then the spectral radius of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\})$  is the maximum of the spectral radii of the matrices  $B + \gamma_s F$  and the algebraic connectivity of  $L(\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\})$  is the minimum eigenvalue in  $\cup_{s=1}^{m-1} \sigma(B + \gamma_s F)$ . For  $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$B + \gamma_1 F = (B + \gamma_s F) + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_s) F.$$

We use the fact that the spectral radius of an irreducible nonnegative matrix increases when any of its entries increases [7], to conclude part (c). For  $s = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ ,

$$B + \gamma_s F = (B + \gamma_{m-1} F) + (\gamma_s - \gamma_{m-1}) F.$$

We use now the fact that the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix do not decrease if a positive semidefinite matrix is added to it [3], to conclude part (d).  $\square$

We consider now the adjacency matrix of  $\mathcal{G}_m \{\mathcal{B}\}$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ , the matrices  $S_{i,j}$ ,  $S_i$  and  $H$  in Theorem 4.7 become

$$S_{i,j} = U_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{d_2 - 1} & & & & \\ \sqrt{d_2 - 1} & 0 & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \sqrt{d_{j-1} - 1} & 0 & & \\ & & & \sqrt{d_{j-1} - 1} & \sqrt{d_j - 1} & \\ & & & & 0 & \sqrt{d_j - 1} \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S_1 = S_2 = \cdots = S_m = S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{d_2 - 1} & & & & \\ \sqrt{d_2 - 1} & 0 & & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \sqrt{d_{k-1} - 1} & & \\ & & & & \sqrt{d_{k-1} - 1} & 0 \\ & & & & \sqrt{d_k} & \sqrt{d_k} \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} S & \varepsilon_{1,2}F & \varepsilon_{1,3}F & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1,m}F \\ \varepsilon_{1,2}F & S & \varepsilon_{2,3}F & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2,m}F \\ \varepsilon_{1,3}F & F & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & S & \varepsilon_{m-1,m}F \\ \varepsilon_{1,m}F & \varepsilon_{2,m}F & \cdots & \varepsilon_{m-1,m}F & S \end{bmatrix}.$$

**THEOREM 5.3.** *If  $\mathcal{B}$  is an unweighted generalized Bethe tree and  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is an unweighted graph, then*

$$\sigma(A(\mathcal{G}_m\{\mathcal{B}\})) = (\cup_{j \in \Omega} \sigma(U_j)) \cup (\cup_{s=1}^m \sigma(S + \gamma_s F)),$$

where  $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_{m-1} \geq \gamma_m$  are the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of  $\mathcal{G}_m$ .

*Proof.* The proof uses Theorem 4.7 and is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.4.**

(a) *For  $j \in \Omega$ , the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of  $U_j$ , as an eigenvalue of  $A(\mathcal{G}_m\{\mathcal{B}\})$ , is  $m(n_j - n_{j+1})$ .*

(b) *The spectral radius of  $A(\mathcal{G}_m\{\mathcal{B}\})$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $S + \gamma_1 F$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Corollary 5.2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors wish to thank the referee for the comments which led to an improved version of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Fiedler. Algebraic connectivity of graphs. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 23:298–305, 1973.
- [2] G.H. Golub and C.F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*, 2nd edition. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1989.

- [3] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [4] R. Merris. Laplacian Matrices of Graphs: A Survey. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 197/198:143–176, 1994.
- [5] O. Rojo and R. Soto. The spectra of the adjacency matrix and Laplacian matrix for some balanced trees. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 403:97–117, 2005.
- [6] L.N. Trefethen and D. Bau, III. *Numerical Linear Algebra*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1997.
- [7] R.S. Varga. *Matrix Iterative Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
- [8] F. Zhang. *Matrix Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.