

## THE NUMERICAL RADIUS OF A WEIGHTED SHIFT OPERATOR WITH GEOMETRIC WEIGHTS\*

MAO-TING CHIEN<sup>†</sup> AND HIROSHI NAKAZATO<sup>‡</sup>

**Abstract.** Let  $T$  be a weighted shift operator  $T$  on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbf{N})$  with geometric weights. Then the numerical range of  $T$  is a closed disk about the origin, and its numerical radius is determined in terms of the reciprocal of the minimum positive root of a hypergeometric function. This function is related to two Rogers-Ramanujan identities.

**Key words.** Numerical radius, Weighted shift operator, Rogers-Ramanujan identities.

**AMS subject classifications.** 47A12, 47B37, 33D15.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $T$  be an operator on a separable Hilbert space. The numerical range of  $T$  is defined to be the set

$$W(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

The numerical range is always nonempty, bounded and convex. The numerical radius  $w(T)$  is the supremum of the modulus of  $W(T)$ . We consider a weighted shift operator  $T$  on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbf{N})$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\{a_n\}$  is a bounded sequence. It is known (cf. [1]) that  $W(T)$  is a circular disk about the origin. Stout [3] shows that  $W(T)$  is an open disk if the weights are periodic and nonzero. For example, when  $a_n = 1$  for all  $n$ ,  $W(T)$  is the open unit disk. Clearly,  $w(T)$  is the maximal eigenvalue of the selfadjoint operator  $(T + T^*)/2$ . Stout [3] gives a formula for the numerical radius of a weighted shift operator  $T$ , by introducing an

---

\*Received by the editors August 10, 2008. Accepted for publication January 3, 2009. Handling Editor: Shmuel Friedland.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Taipei, 11102, Taiwan (mtchien@scu.edu.tw). Supported in part by Taiwan National Science Council.

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Hirosaki University, Hirosaki 036-8561, Japan (nakahr@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp).

object which is the determinant of the operator  $I - z(T + T^*)/2$  in the sense of limit process of the determinants of finite-dimensional weighted shift matrices.

Let  $T$  be the weighted shift operator defined in (1.1) with square summable weights. Denote by  $F_T(z)$  the determinant of  $I - z(T + T^*)$ . It is given by

$$(1.2) \quad F_T(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n c_n z^{2n},$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad c_n = \sum a_{i_1}^2 a_{i_2}^2 \cdots a_{i_n}^2,$$

the sum is taken over

$$1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n < \infty, \quad i_2 - i_1 \geq 2, i_3 - i_2 \geq 2, \quad \dots, \quad i_n - i_{n-1} \geq 2.$$

Stout [3] proves that  $w(T + T^*) = 1/\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the minimum positive root of  $F_T(z)$ .

In this paper, we follow the method of Stout [3] to compute the numerical radius of a geometrically weighted shift operator in terms of the minimum positive root of a hypergeometric function. This function is related to two Rogers-Ramanujan identities.

**2. Geometric weights.** Let  $T$  be an operator on a Hilbert space, and let  $T = UP$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$ . The Aluthge transformation  $\Delta(T)$  of  $T$  is defined by

$$\Delta(T) = P^{\frac{1}{2}}UP^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In [4], the numerical range of the Aluthge transformation of an operator is treated. The authors have learned from T. Yamazaki that an upper and a lower bounds for the numerical radius of a geometrically weighted shift operator can be obtained from the numerical range of the Aluthge transformation in the following way:

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $T$  be a weighted shift operator with geometric weights  $\{q^{n-1}, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ . Then  $W(T)$  is a closed disk about the origin, and*

$$\frac{1}{4q^{3/2}} \sqrt{54 - 36q - 2q^2 - 2\sqrt{(1-q)(9-q)^3}} \leq w(T) \leq 1/(2 - \sqrt{q}).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 = 1/(1-q^2) < \infty$ ,  $T$  is Hilbert-Schmidt, and thus compact. Then by [3, Corollary 8],  $W(T)$  is closed.

Let  $T$  be the geometrically weighted shift with weights  $\{q^{n-1}\}$ . Then the positive semidefinite part  $P$  of the polar decomposition of  $T$  is

$$P = \text{diag} \{1, q, q^2, q^3, \dots, q^{n-1}, \dots\},$$

and we obtain that

$$\Delta(T) = \sqrt{q} T.$$

By [5], the inequality

$$w(T) \leq \|T\|/2 + w(\Delta(T))/2$$

holds. Thus, we have

$$w(T) \leq \|T\|/2 + \sqrt{q} w(T)/2.$$

Since the operator norm  $\|T\| = 1$ , it follows that

$$w(T) \leq 1/(2 - \sqrt{q}).$$

For the lower bound, we consider the unit vector  $x \in \ell^2(\mathbf{N})$  with coordinates  $x_n = (1 - \alpha)^{1/2} \alpha^{(n-1)/2}$ , where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then

$$\langle Tx, x \rangle = x_1x_2 + qx_2x_3 + \dots + q^{n-1}x_nx_{n+1} + \dots = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}(1 - \alpha)}{1 - q\alpha}.$$

Hence,

$$w(T) \geq \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}(1 - \alpha)}{1 - q\alpha} = \frac{1}{4q^{3/2}} \sqrt{54 - 36q - 2q^2 - 2\sqrt{(1 - q)(9 - q)^3}},$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Suppose that  $q$  is a positive real number with  $0 < q < 1$ . We consider a weighted shift operator with geometric weights,  $a_n = q^{n-1}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). In this case, we denote by  $F_q(z)$  the function  $F_T(z)$  in (1.2).

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a weighted shift operator with geometric weights  $\{q^{n-1}, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ . Then  $W(T)$  is a closed disk about the origin, and*

$$(2.1) \quad F_q(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{2n(n-1)}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^4)(1 - q^6) \dots (1 - q^{2n})} z^{2n}.$$

*Proof.* By using the geometric series formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n = \frac{1}{1 - q},$$

we prove formula (2.1) by induction on the coefficients  $c_n$  of (1.3). It is obvious that

$$c_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{2i} = \frac{1}{1-q^2}.$$

We assume that  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  are of the desired form for the formula (2.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n+1} &= 1 \times \sum_{2 \leq j_1, j_1+2 \leq j_2, \dots, j_{n-1}+2 \leq j_n} q^{2j_1} q^{2j_2} \dots q^{2j_n} \\ &\quad + q^2 \sum_{3 \leq j_1, j_1+2 \leq j_2, \dots, j_{n-1}+2 \leq j_n} q^{2j_1} q^{2j_2} \dots q^{2j_n} + \dots \\ &= 1 \times q^{4n} (1 \times q^4 q^8 \dots q^{4(n-1)} + \dots) \\ &\quad + q^2 q^{6n} (1 \times q^4 q^8 \dots q^{4(n-1)} + \dots) + \dots \\ &= (q^{4n} + q^{6n+2} + q^{8n+4} + \dots) c_n \\ &= \frac{q^{4n} q^{2n(n-1)}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^4) \dots (1-q^{2n})(1-q^{2n+2})} \\ &= \frac{q^{2n(n+1)}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^4) \dots (1-q^{2(n+1)})}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We give an example for  $q = 0.2$ . In this case, the upper and lower bounds of Theorem 2.1 are estimated by

$$0.414 \approx \frac{1}{4q^{3/2}} \sqrt{54 - 36q - 2q^2 - 2\sqrt{(1-q)(9-q)^3}} \leq w(T) \leq 1/(2 - \sqrt{q}) \approx 0.644.$$

On the other hand, the minimum positive root of  $F_{0.2}(z)$  in (2.1) is estimated by 0.980552. Thus, an approximate value of the maximum spectrum of  $T + T^*$  is given by  $1.01983 = 1/0.980552$ . Therefore,  $w(T) \approx 1.01983/2 = 0.50991$ .

We consider an approximating sequence  $F_n(z : q)$  of  $F_q(z)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(z : q) &= 1 - \frac{1}{(1-q^2)} z^2, \\ F_2(z : q) &= 1 - \frac{1}{(1-q^2)} z^2 + \frac{q^4}{(1-q^2)(1-q^4)} z^4, \\ F_3(z : q) &= 1 - \frac{1}{(1-q^2)} z^2 + \frac{q^4}{(1-q^2)(1-q^4)} z^4 - \frac{q^{12}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^4)(1-q^6)} z^6, \\ &\vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

The positive solution of  $F_1(z : q) = 0$  in  $z$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} = 1 + \frac{q^2}{2} + \frac{3q^4}{8} + \dots$$

The minimum positive solution of  $F_2(z : q) = 0$  in  $z$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{z} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}q^2}{\sqrt{1 - q^4 - (1 - 6q^4 + 4q^6 + 5q^8 - 4q^{10})^{1/2}}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{q^2}{2} - \frac{q^4}{8} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The minimum positive root of  $F_q(z)$  is assumed to be the limit of the minimum positive solutions of  $F_n(z : q) = 0$ . By successive usage of indefinite coefficients method, we find that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{z_0} = 1 + \frac{q^2}{2} - \frac{q^4}{8} + \frac{9q^6}{16} - \frac{101q^8}{128} + \frac{375q^{10}}{256} - \frac{2549q^{12}}{1024} + \frac{9977q^{14}}{2048} - \dots$$

Notice that the numerical radius  $1/(2z_0)$  of (2.2) is a sharper estimate than the bound obtained in Theorem 2.1 near  $q = 0$ . Indeed, we have the series for the bound

$$1/(2 - \sqrt{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{q^{1/2}}{2} + \frac{q}{4} + \frac{q^{3/2}}{8} + \frac{q^2}{16} + \dots \right).$$

**3. Hypergeometric  $q$ -series.** There is an interesting phenomenon in the hypergeometric function (2.1). By replacing  $z^2$  by  $z$  and  $q^2$  by  $q$  in (2.1), we set

$$(3.1) \quad H_q(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n-1)}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)\dots(1-q^n)} z^n.$$

The minimum positive solution of the equation  $H_q(z) = 0$  can be found in a series of  $q$ ,

$$z = 1 - q + q^2 - 2q^3 + 4q^4 - 8q^5 + 16q^6 - 33q^7 + 70q^8 - \dots$$

An analogous function of  $H_q(z)$  is known as the Euler equation. Recall the  $q$ -series identity

$$(3.2) \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^n x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^n)} x^n.$$

The series (3.2) is called the Euler function in  $q$  when  $x = -1$ . If the term  $q^{n(n+1)/2}$  in (3.2) is replaced by  $q^{n(n+1)}$ , then it is related to the function (3.1) corresponding to the minimum positive eigenvalue.

The function  $F_q(z)$  is closely related to Rogers-Ramanujan identities. Substituting  $z = iq$  into  $F_q(z)$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad F_q(iq) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^4)\dots(1-q^{2n})}.$$

Substituting  $z = iq^2$  into  $F_q(z)$ , we have

$$(3.4) \quad F_q(iq^2) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2+2n}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^4)\cdots(1-q^{2n})}.$$

Replacing  $q^2$  by  $q$  in (3.3) and (3.4), we have respectively, in basic hypergeometric  $q$ -series (cf. [2]), the following two Rogers-Ramanujan identities

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5n+1})(1-q^{5n+4})}$$

and

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5n+2})(1-q^{5n+3})}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.L. Shields. *Weighted Shift Operators and Analytic Function Theory*. Math. Surveys. Vol 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974.
- [2] D. Stanton. *q-Series and Partitions*. Springer, New York, 1989.
- [3] Q.T. Stout. The numerical range of a weighted shift. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 88:495–502, 1983.
- [4] T. Yamazaki. On numerical range of the Aluthge transformation. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 341:111–117, 2002.
- [5] T. Yamazaki. On upper and lower bounds of the numerical radius and an equality condition. *Studia Math.*, 178:83–89, 2007.