

Z-PENCILS*

JUDITH J. MCDONALD[†], D. DALE OLESKY[‡], HANS SCHNEIDER[§],
MICHAEL J. TSATSOMEROS[¶], AND P. VAN DEN DRIESSCHE^{||}

Abstract. The matrix pencil $(A, B) = \{tB - A \mid t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is considered under the assumptions that A is entrywise nonnegative and $B - A$ is a nonsingular M-matrix. As t varies in $[0, 1]$, the Z-matrices $tB - A$ are partitioned into the sets L_s introduced by Fiedler and Markham. As no combinatorial structure of B is assumed here, this partition generalizes some of their work where $B = I$. Based on the union of the directed graphs of A and B , the combinatorial structure of nonnegative eigenvectors associated with the largest eigenvalue of (A, B) in $[0, 1]$ is considered.

Key words. Z-matrix, matrix pencil, M-matrix, eigenspace, reduced graph.

AMS subject classifications. 15A22, 15A48, 05C50

1. Introduction. The generalized eigenvalue problem $Ax = \lambda Bx$ for $A = [a_{ij}]$, $B = [b_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, with inequality conditions motivated by certain economics models, was studied by Bapat et al. [1]. In keeping with this work, we consider the matrix pencil $(A, B) = \{tB - A \mid t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ under the conditions

- (1) A is entrywise nonnegative, denoted by $A \geq 0$
- (2) $b_{ij} \leq a_{ij}$ for all $i \neq j$
- (3) there exists a positive vector u such that $(B - A)u$ is positive.

Note that in [1] A is also assumed to be irreducible, but that is not imposed here. When $Ax = \lambda Bx$ for some nonzero x , the scalar λ is an *eigenvalue* and x is the corresponding *eigenvector* of (A, B) . The *eigenspace* of (A, B) associated with an eigenvalue λ is the nullspace of $\lambda B - A$.

A matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ is a *Z-matrix* if $X = qI - P$, where $P \geq 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$. If, in addition, $q \geq \rho(P)$, where $\rho(P)$ is the spectral radius of P , then X is an *M-matrix*, and is singular if and only if $q = \rho(P)$. It follows from (1) and (2) that when $t \in [0, 1]$,

*Received by the editors on 11 June 1998. Final manuscript accepted on on 16 August 1998.
Handling Editor: Daniel Hershkowitz.

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada S4S 0A2 (judi@math.uregina.ca). Research partially supported by NSERC research grant.

[‡]Department of Computer Science, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada V8W 3P6 (dolesky@csr.csc.uvic.ca). Research partially supported by NSERC research grant.

[§]Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA (hans@math.wisc.edu).

[¶]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada S4S 0A2 (tsat@math.uregina.ca). Research partially supported by NSERC research grant.

^{||}Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada V8W 3P4 (pvdd@math.uvic.ca). Research partially supported by NSERC research grant.

$tB - A$ is a Z-matrix. Henceforth the term *Z-pencil* (A, B) refers to the circumstance that $tB - A$ is a Z-matrix for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let $\langle n \rangle = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $J \subseteq \langle n \rangle$, then X_J denotes the principal submatrix of X in rows and columns of J . As in [3], given a nonnegative $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ and an $s \in \langle n \rangle$, define

$$\rho_s(P) = \max_{|J|=s} \{\rho(P_J)\}$$

and set $\rho_{n+1}(P) = \infty$. Let L_s denote the set of Z-matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ of the form $qI - P$, where $\rho_s(P) \leq q < \rho_{s+1}(P)$ for $s \in \langle n \rangle$, and $-\infty < q < \rho_1(P)$ when $s = 0$. This gives a partition of all Z-matrices of order n . Note that $qI - P \in L_0$ if and only if $q < p_{ii}$ for some i . Also, $\rho_n(P) = \rho(P)$, and L_n is the set of all (singular and nonsingular) M-matrices.

We consider the Z-pencil (A, B) subject to conditions (1)–(3) and partition its matrices into the sets L_s . Viewed as a partition of the Z-matrices $tB - A$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, our result provides a generalization of some of the work in [3] (where $B = I$). Indeed, since no combinatorial structure of B is assumed, our Z-pencil partition is a consequence of a more complicated connection between the Perron-Frobenius theory for A and the spectra of $tB - A$ and its submatrices.

Conditions (2) and (3) imply that $B - A$ is a nonsingular M-matrix and thus its inverse is entrywise nonnegative; see [2, N₃₈, p. 137]. This, together with (1), gives $(B - A)^{-1}A \geq 0$. Perron-Frobenius theory is used in [1] to identify an eigenvalue $\rho(A, B)$ of the pencil (A, B) , defined as

$$\rho(A, B) = \frac{\rho((B - A)^{-1}A)}{1 + \rho((B - A)^{-1}A)}.$$

Our partition involves $\rho(A, B)$ and the eigenvalues of the subpencils (A_J, B_J) . Our Z-pencil partition result, Theorem 2.4, is followed by examples where as t varies in $[0, 1]$, $tB - A$ ranges through some or all of the sets L_s for $0 \leq s \leq n$. In Section 3 we turn to a consideration of the combinatorial structure of nonnegative eigenvectors associated with $\rho(A, B)$. This involves some digraph terminology, which we introduce at the beginning of that section.

In [3], [5] and [7], interesting results on the spectra of matrices in L_s , and a classification in terms of the inverse of a Z-matrix, are established. These results are of course applicable to the matrices of a Z-pencil; however, as they do not directly depend on the form $tB - A$ of the Z-matrix, we do not consider them here.

2. Partition of Z-pencils. We begin with two observations and a lemma used to prove our result on the Z-pencil partition.

OBSERVATION 2.1. *Let (A, B) be a pencil with $B - A$ nonsingular. Given a real $\mu \neq -1$, let $\lambda = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}$. Then the following hold.*

- (i) $\lambda \neq 1$ is an eigenvalue of (A, B) if and only if $\mu \neq -1$ is an eigenvalue of $(B - A)^{-1}A$.
- (ii) λ is a strictly increasing function of $\mu \neq -1$.
- (iii) $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ if and only if $\mu \geq 0$.

Proof. If μ is an eigenvalue of $(B - A)^{-1}A$, then there exists nonzero $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $(B - A)^{-1}Ax = \mu x$. It follows that $Ax = \mu(B - A)x$ and if $\mu \neq -1$, then $Ax = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}Bx = \lambda Bx$. Notice that λ cannot be 1 for any choice of μ . The reverse argument shows that the converse is also true. The last statement of (i) is obvious. Statements (ii) and (iii) follow easily from the definition of λ . \square

Note that $\lambda = 1$ is an eigenvalue of (A, B) if and only if $B - A$ is singular.

OBSERVATION 2.2. *Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (2), (3). Then the following hold.*

- (i) *For any nonempty $J \subseteq \langle n \rangle$, $B_J - A_J$ is a nonsingular M-matrix.*
- (ii) *If in addition (1) holds, then the largest real eigenvalue of (A, B) in $[0, 1)$ is $\rho(A, B)$.*

Proof. (i) This follows since (2) and (3) imply that $B - A$ is a nonsingular M-matrix (see [2, I₂₇, p. 136]) and since every principal submatrix of a nonsingular M-matrix is also a nonsingular M-matrix; see [2, p. 138].

(ii) This follows from Observation 2.1, since $\mu = \rho((B - A)^{-1}A)$ is the maximal positive eigenvalue of $(B - A)^{-1}A$. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1)-(3). Let $\mu = \rho((B - A)^{-1}A)$ and $\rho(A, B) = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}$. Then the following hold.*

- (i) *For all $t \in (\rho(A, B), 1]$, $tB - A$ is a nonsingular M-matrix.*
- (ii) *The matrix $\rho(A, B)B - A$ is a singular M-matrix.*
- (iii) *For all $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$, $tB - A$ is not an M-matrix.*
- (iv) *For $t = 0$, either $tB - A$ is a singular M-matrix or is not an M-matrix.*

Proof. Recall that (1) and (2) imply that $tB - A$ is a Z-matrix for all $0 < t \leq 1$. As noted in Observation 2.2 (i), $B - A$ is a nonsingular M-matrix and thus its eigenvalues have positive real parts [2, G₂₀, p. 135], and the eigenvalue with minimal real part is real [2, Exercise 5.4, p. 159]. Since the eigenvalues are continuous functions of the entries of a matrix, as t decreases from $t = 1$, $tB - A$ is a nonsingular M-matrix for all t until a value of t is encountered for which $tB - A$ is singular. Results (i) and (ii) now follow by Observation 2.2 (ii).

To prove (iii), consider $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$. Since $(B - A)^{-1}A \geq 0$, there exists an eigenvector $x \geq 0$ such that $(B - A)^{-1}Ax = \mu x$. Then $Ax = \rho(A, B)Bx$ and $(tB - A)x = (t - \rho(A, B))Bx \leq 0$ since $Bx = \frac{1}{\rho(A, B)}Ax \geq 0$. By [2, A₅, p. 134], $tB - A$ is not a nonsingular M-matrix. To complete the proof (by contradiction), suppose $\alpha B - A$ is a singular M-matrix for some $\alpha \in (0, \rho(A, B))$. Since there are finitely many values of t for which $tB - A$ is singular, we can choose $\beta \in (\alpha, \rho(A, B))$ such that $\beta B - A$ is nonsingular. Let $\epsilon = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}$. Then $(1 + \epsilon)(\alpha B - A)$ is a singular M-matrix and

$$(1 + \epsilon)(\alpha B - A) + \gamma I = \beta B - A - \epsilon A + \gamma I \leq \beta B - A + \gamma I$$

since $A \geq 0$ by (1). By [2, C₉, p. 150], $\beta B - A - \epsilon A + \gamma I$ is a nonsingular M-matrix for all $\gamma > 0$, and hence $\beta B - A + \gamma I$ is a nonsingular M-matrix for all $\gamma > 0$ by [4, 2.5.4, p. 117]. This implies that $\beta B - A$ is also a (nonsingular) M-matrix ([2, C₉, p. 150]), contradicting the above. Thus we can also conclude that $\alpha B - A$ cannot be a singular M-matrix for any choice of $\alpha \in (0, \rho(A, B))$, establishing (iii). For (iv),

$-A$ is a singular M-matrix if and only if it is, up to a permutation similarity, strictly triangular. Otherwise, $-A$ is not an M-matrix. \square

THEOREM 2.4. *Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1)-(3). For $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ let*

$$\sigma_s = \max_{|J|=s} \{ \rho((B_J - A_J)^{-1} A_J) \}, \quad \tau_s = \frac{\sigma_s}{1 + \sigma_s},$$

and $\tau_0 = 0$. Then for $s = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ and $\tau_s \leq t < \tau_{s+1}$, the matrix $tB - A \in L_s$. For $s = n$ and $\tau_n \leq t \leq 1$, the matrix $tB - A \in L_n$.

Proof. Fiedler and Markham [3, Theorem 1.3] show that for $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $X \in L_s$ if and only if all principal submatrices of X of order s are M-matrices, and there exists a principal submatrix of order $s + 1$ that is not an M-matrix. Consider any nonempty $J \subseteq \langle n \rangle$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Conditions (1) and (2) imply that $tB_J - A_J$ is a Z-matrix. By Observation 2.2 (i), $B_J - A_J$ is a nonsingular M-matrix. Let $\mu_J = \rho((B_J - A_J)^{-1} A_J)$. Then by Observation 2.2 (ii), $\tau_J = \frac{\mu_J}{1 + \mu_J}$ is the largest eigenvalue in $[0, 1)$ of the pencil (A_J, B_J) . Combining this with Observation 2.2 (i) and Lemma 2.3, the matrix $tB_J - A_J$ is an M-matrix for all $\tau_J \leq t \leq 1$, and $tB_J - A_J$ is not an M-matrix for all $0 < t < \tau_J$. If $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$ and $|J| = s$, then $tB_J - A_J$ is an M-matrix for all $\tau_s \leq t \leq 1$. Suppose $\tau_s < \tau_{s+1}$. Then there exists $K \subseteq \langle n \rangle$ such that $|K| = s + 1$ and $tB_K - A_K$ is not an M-matrix for $0 < t < \tau_{s+1}$. Thus by [3, Theorem 1.3] $tB - A \in L_s$ for all $\tau_s \leq t < \tau_{s+1}$. When $s = n$, since $B - A$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, $tB - A \in L_n$ for all t such that $\rho(A, B) = \tau_n \leq t \leq 1$ by Lemma 2.3 (i). For the case $s = 0$, if $0 < t < \tau_1$, then $tB - A$ has a negative diagonal entry and thus $tB - A \in L_0$. For $t = 0$, $tB - A = -A$. If $a_{ii} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \langle n \rangle$, then $-A \in L_0$; if $a_{ii} = 0$ for all $i \in \langle n \rangle$, then $\tau_1 = \tau_0 = 0$, namely, $-A \in L_s$ for some $s \geq 1$. \square

We continue with illustrative examples.

EXAMPLE 2.5. Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

for which $\tau_2 = 2/3$ and $\tau_1 = 1/2$. It follows that

$$tB - A \in \begin{cases} L_0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 1/2 \\ L_1 & \text{if } 1/2 \leq t < 2/3 \\ L_2 & \text{if } 2/3 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

That is, as t increases from 0 to 1, $tB - A$ belongs to all the possible Z-matrix classes L_s .

EXAMPLE 2.6. Consider the matrices in [1, Example 5.3], that is,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Referring to Theorem 2.4, $\tau_4 = \rho(A, B) = \frac{4+\sqrt{6}}{10} = \tau_3 = \tau_2$ and $\tau_1 = 1/3$. It follows that

$$tB - A \in \begin{cases} L_0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 1/3 \\ L_1 & \text{if } 1/3 \leq t < \frac{4+\sqrt{6}}{10} \\ L_4 & \text{if } \frac{4+\sqrt{6}}{10} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that for $t \in [0, 1]$, $tB - A$ ranges through only L_0 , L_1 and L_4 .

EXAMPLE 2.7. Now let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In contrast to the above two examples, $tB - A \in L_2$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Note that, in general, $tB - A \in L_n$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ if and only if $\rho(A, B) = 0$.

3. Combinatorial Structure of the Eigenspace Associated with $\rho(A, B)$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ be a *digraph*, where V is a finite vertex set and $E \subseteq V \times V$ is the edge set. If $\mathcal{G}' = (V, E')$, then $\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{G}' = (V, E \cup E')$. Also write $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, when $E' \subseteq E$. For $j \neq k$, a *path of length* $m \geq 1$ from j to k in \mathcal{G} , is a sequence of vertices $j = r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{m+1} = k$ such that $(r_s, r_{s+1}) \in E$ for $s = 1, \dots, m$. As in [2, Ch. 2], if $j = k$ or if there is a path from vertex j to vertex k in \mathcal{G} , then j has *access to* k (or k is *accessed from* j). If j has access to k and k has access to j , then j and k *communicate*. The communication relation is an equivalence relation, hence V can be partitioned into equivalence classes, which are referred to as the *classes* of \mathcal{G} .

The *digraph* of $X = [x_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, denoted by $\mathcal{G}(X) = (V, E)$, consists of the vertex set $V = \langle n \rangle$ and the set of directed edges $E = \{(j, k) \mid x_{jk} \neq 0\}$. If j has access to k for all distinct $j, k \in V$, then X is *irreducible* (otherwise, *reducible*). It is well known that the rows and columns of X can be simultaneously reordered so that X is in block lower triangular *Frobenius normal form*, with each diagonal block irreducible. The irreducible blocks in the Frobenius normal form of X correspond to the classes of $\mathcal{G}(X)$.

In terminology similar to that of [6], given a digraph \mathcal{G} , the *reduced graph* of \mathcal{G} , $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}) = (V', E')$, is the digraph derived from \mathcal{G} by taking

$$V' = \{J \mid J \text{ is a class of } \mathcal{G}\}$$

and

$$E' = \{(J, K) \mid \text{there exist } j \in J \text{ and } k \in K \text{ such that } j \text{ has access to } k \text{ in } \mathcal{G}\}.$$

When $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(X)$ for some $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, we denote $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G})$ by $\mathcal{R}(X)$.

Suppose now that $X = qI - P$ is a singular M-matrix, where $P \geq 0$ and $q = \rho(P)$. If an irreducible block X_J in the Frobenius normal form of X is singular, then $\rho(P_J) = q$ and we refer to the corresponding class J as a *singular class* (otherwise,

a nonsingular class). A singular class J of $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is called *distinguished* if when J is accessed from a class $K \neq J$ in $\mathcal{R}(X)$, then $\rho(P_K) < \rho(P_J)$. That is, a singular class J of $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is distinguished if and only if J is accessed only from itself and nonsingular classes in $\mathcal{R}(X)$.

We paraphrase now Theorem 3.1 of [6] as follows.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ be an M-matrix and let J_1, \dots, J_p denote the distinguished singular classes of $\mathcal{G}(X)$. Then there exist unique (up to scalar multiples) nonnegative vectors x^1, \dots, x^p in the nullspace of X such that*

$$x_j^i \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } j \text{ does not have access to a vertex in } J_i \text{ in } \mathcal{G}(X) \\ > 0 & \text{if } j \text{ has access to a vertex in } J_i \text{ in } \mathcal{G}(X) \end{cases}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Moreover, every nonnegative vector in the nullspace of X is a linear combination with nonnegative coefficients of x^1, \dots, x^p .

We apply the above theorem to a Z-pencil, using the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1) and (2). Then the classes of $\mathcal{G}(tB - A)$ coincide with the classes of $\mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{G}(tB - A) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$ for all scalars t . For any $i \neq j$, if either $b_{ij} \neq 0$ or $a_{ij} \neq 0$, and if $t \in (0, 1)$, conditions (1) and (2) imply that $tb_{ij} < a_{ij}$ and hence $tb_{ij} - a_{ij} \neq 0$. This means that apart from vertex loops, the edge sets of $\mathcal{G}(tB - A)$ and $\mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$ coincide for all $t \in (0, 1)$. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1)–(3) and let*

$$, = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B) & \text{if } \rho(A, B) \neq 0 \\ \mathcal{G}(A) & \text{if } \rho(A, B) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let J_1, \dots, J_p denote the classes of $,$ such that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$,

- (i) $(\rho(A, B)B - A)_{J_i}$ is singular, and
- (ii) if J_i is accessed from a class $K \neq J_i$ in $\mathcal{R}(,)$, then $(\rho(A, B)B - A)_K$ is nonsingular.

Then there exist unique (up to scalar multiples) nonnegative vectors x^1, \dots, x^p in the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue $\rho(A, B)$ of (A, B) such that

$$x_j^i \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } j \text{ does not have access to a vertex in } J_i \text{ in } , \\ > 0 & \text{if } j \text{ has access to a vertex in } J_i \text{ in } , \end{cases}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Moreover, every nonnegative vector in the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue $\rho(A, B)$ is a linear combination with nonnegative coefficients of x^1, \dots, x^p .

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 (ii), $\rho(A, B)B - A$ is a singular M-matrix. Thus

$$\rho(A, B)B - A = qI - P = X,$$

where $P \geq 0$ and $q = \rho(P)$. When $\rho(A, B) = 0$, the result follows from Theorem 3.1 applied to $X = -A$. When $\rho(A, B) > 0$, by Lemma 3.2, $, = \mathcal{G}(X)$. Class J of $,$ is singular if and only if $\rho(P_J) = q$, which is equivalent to $(\rho(A, B)B - A)_J$

being singular. Also a singular class J is distinguished if and only if for all classes $K \neq J$ that access J in $\mathcal{R}(X)$, $\rho(P_K) < \rho(P_J)$, or equivalently $(\rho(A, B)B - A)_K$ is nonsingular. Applying Theorem 3.1 gives the result. \square

We conclude with a generalization of Theorem 1.7 of [3] to Z-pencils. Note that the class J in the following result is a singular class of $\mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1)–(3) and let $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$. Suppose that J is a class of $\mathcal{G}(tB - A)$ such that $\rho(A, B) = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}$, where $\mu = \rho((B_J - A_J)^{-1}A_J)$. Let $m = |J|$. Then $tB - A \in L_s$ with*

$$s \begin{cases} \leq n - 1 & \text{if } m = n \\ < m & \text{if } m < n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. That $tB - A \in L_s$ for some $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ follows from Theorem 2.4. By Lemma 2.3 (iii), if $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$, then $tB - A \notin L_n$ since $\rho(A, B) = \tau_n$. Thus $s \leq n - 1$. When $m < n$, under the assumptions of the theorem, we have $\tau_n = \rho(A, B) = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu} \leq \tau_m$ and hence $\tau_m = \tau_{m+1} = \dots = \tau_n$. It follows that $s < m$. \square

We now apply the results of this section to Example 2.6, which has two classes. Class $J = \{2, 4\}$ is the only class of $\mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$ such that $(\rho(A, B)B - A)_J$ is singular, and J is accessed by no other class. By Theorem 3.3, there exists an eigenvector x of (A, B) associated with $\rho(A, B)$ with $x_1 = x_3 = 0$, $x_2 > 0$ and $x_4 > 0$. Since $|J| = 2$, by Theorem 3.4, $tB - A \in L_0 \cup L_1$ for all $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$, agreeing with the exact partition given in Example 2.6.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. B. Bapat, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche. Perron-Frobenius theory for a generalized eigenproblem. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 40:141-152, 1995.
- [2] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons. *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*. Academic Press, New York, 1979. Reprinted by SIAM, Philadelphia, 1994.
- [3] M. Fiedler and T. Markham. A classification of matrices of class Z. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 173:115-124, 1992.
- [4] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [5] Reinhard Nabben. Z-matrices and inverse Z-matrices. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 256:31-48, 1997.
- [6] Hans Schneider. The influence of the marked reduced graph of a nonnegative matrix on the Jordan Form and on related properties: A survey. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 84:161-189, 1986.
- [7] Ronald S. Smith. Some results on a partition of Z-matrices. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 223/224:619-629, 1995.