

## New results in discrete asymptotic analysis

Andrei Vernescu, Cristinel Mortici

### Abstract

We present the order of magnitude of the sequence  $\left(\Omega_{n,r}^{[\alpha]}\right)_n$  of general term  $\Omega_{n,r}^{[\alpha]} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+r)(\alpha+2r)\dots(\alpha+(n-1)r)}{\beta(\beta+r)(\beta+2r)\dots(\beta+(n-1)r)}$ , where  $r > 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq r$  are fixed.

**2000 Mathematical Subject Classification:** 26D15, 30B10, 33F05,  
40A05, 40A60

## 1 Introduction

The asymptotic analysis, usually considered in connection with the functions of real or complex variable, can be also applied to the study of the functions of natural variable  $n$ , for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This study forms the discrete asymptotic analysis. The principal purposes of this analysis are to obtain, for a given sequence:

( $\alpha$ ) the order of magnitude;

- ( $\beta$ ) the convergence of the given sequence or of a derived one;
- ( $\gamma$ ) the first iterated limit (respecting an auxiliary scale of sequences);
- ( $\gamma'$ ) a two sided estimation for the convergence; it must permit to find again the limit of ( $\gamma$ );
- ( $\delta$ ) (if possible) the asymptotic expansion (respecting the given scale of sequences).

Some examples are classic.

**For** ( $\alpha$ ). The harmonic sum  $H_n = 1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + \dots + 1/n$  has the order of magnitude of  $\ln n + \gamma$ , namely

$$H_n = \ln n + \gamma + \varepsilon_n,$$

where  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H_n - \ln n) = 0,577\dots$  is the famous constant of *Euler* and  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ .

The factorial's magnitude is described by the formula of *Stirling*  $n! \approx n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n}$ , having the precise signification that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n}} = 1.$$

The number  $\pi(n)$  of primes not exceeding a given number  $n$  is  $\pi(n) \approx n/(\ln n)$  (i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\pi(n))/(n/(\ln n)) = 1$ ). This formula has a rich history related to *Legendre*, *Gauss*, *Tchebycheff*, *Hadamard*, *De La Vallée*, *Poussin* and others.

**For** ( $\beta$ ). The sequence  $(e_n)_n$  of general term  $e_n = (1 + 1/n)^n$  defines by its limit, the famous constant  $e$  of *Napier* and *Euler*.

For  $(H_n)_n$  the limit is  $\infty$ , but  $\gamma_n = H_n - \ln n$  is an convergent sequence related to  $H_n$ ; its limit defines the constant of *Euler*.

If we consider  $S_n = \log_2 3 + \log_3 4 + \dots + \log_n(n+1)$  (a sum of *L. Panaitopol*), then the sequence  $x_n = S_n - (n-1) - \ln(\ln n)$  is convergent to  $x = \gamma + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k \ln k} - \ln \ln n \right)$ .

**For**  $(\gamma)$ . Two examples of first iterated limits are the following

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left( e - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \right) = \frac{e}{2};$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n (\gamma_n - \gamma) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

**For**  $(\gamma')$ . The corresponding two sided estimations are

$$\frac{e}{2n+2} < e - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n < \frac{e}{2n+1},$$

$$\frac{1}{2n+1} < \gamma_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{2n}.$$

**For**  $(\delta)$ . As examples of asymptotic developments (expansions) we can consider the corresponding for  $H_n$  and  $n!$  and others.

Many examples are known.

In the following we will use some of standard notations.

- $a_n = O(b_n)$  if there are two constants  $M > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that  $|a_n| < M|b_n|$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > c$ .

- $a_n = o(b_n)$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 0$ .

- $O(1)$  is a notation for a sequence which is bounded.

- $o(1)$  is a notation for a sequence which tends to zero, where  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- the sequences  $(a_n)_n$  and  $(b_n)_n$  are called asymptotic equivalent and we write  $a_n \sim b_n$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 1$ .

## 2 The starting example

Let

$$(1) \quad \Omega_n = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot \dots \cdot 2n}$$

be. This expression has a certain importance, because it appears often in many concrete questions of analysis:

– It is related to the bigger binomial coefficient (the middle term) of  $(1+1)^n$ , namely  $\binom{2n}{n} = 4^n \Omega_n$ .

– It is related to the *Mac Laurin* expansions of  $(1+x)^{1/2}$ ,  $(1-x)^{1/2}$ ,  $(1-x^2)^{1/2}$  and  $\arcsin x$ .

– It is related to the so called integrals of Wallis,  $I_n = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^n x dx$ .

– It is related to the formula of Wallis

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where

$$(3) \quad W_n = \frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot \dots \cdot 2n \cdot 2n}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n-1)(2n+1)},$$

because of the relation

$$(4) \quad W_n = \frac{1}{\Omega_n^2} \frac{1}{2n+1}.$$

Just because of these, the expression  $\Omega_n$  was intensively studied.

Firstly, from the inequality

$$(5) \quad 0 < \Omega_n < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n+1}}$$

it results  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_n = 0$ .

From (2) and (4) we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_n \sqrt{n} = 1/\sqrt{\pi}$ , i.e.

$$(6) \quad \Omega_n = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}\right).$$

A two sided estimation of  $\Omega_n$  (more accurate than (5)), namely

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}} < \Omega_n < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}$$

is called in a famous book of *D.S.Mitrinović* and *P. M. Vasić* [5] "the inequality of *Wallis*".

It was refined by *D. N. Kazarinoff* in 1956 (see [1])

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}} < \Omega_n < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \left(n + \frac{1}{4}\right)}},$$

respectively *L. Panaitopol* in 1985 (see [6])

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \left(n + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4n}\right)}} < \Omega_n < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \left(n + \frac{1}{4}\right)}}$$

and later we have given its asymptotic expansion

$$(10) \quad \Omega_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{32n} - \frac{1}{128n^2} + \dots}}$$

(see [7], [8]).

### 3 The expressions considered by us

Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $r > 1$  be. Also let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the real numbers, such that  $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq r$ . Consider the sequence  $\left(\Omega_{n,r}^{[\beta]}\right)_{n \geq 1}$  with general term defined by the equality

$$\Omega_{n,r}^{[\beta]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\alpha(\alpha+r)(\alpha+2r) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha+(n-1)r)}{\beta(\beta+r)(\beta+2r) \cdot \dots \cdot (\beta+(n-1)r)}.$$

This generalizes  $\Omega_n$ , which can be obtained for  $r = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 2$ .

We are interested to obtain the order of magnitude of  $\Omega_{n,r}^{[\beta]}$ .

### 4 The order of magnitude

We have

$$(11) \quad \Omega_{n,r}^{[\beta]} = \frac{r^n \frac{\alpha}{r} \left(\frac{\alpha}{r} + 1\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{r} + 2\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{r} + (n-1)\right)}{r^n \frac{\beta}{r} \left(\frac{\beta}{r} + 1\right) \left(\frac{\beta}{r} + 2\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{r} + (n-1)\right)}.$$

From the formula  $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$ ,  $x > 0$ , we obtain by iteration

$$\Gamma(x+p+1) = x(x+1)(x+2) \cdot \dots \cdot (x+p)\Gamma(x)$$

( $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x, x+p \notin \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}$ ) i.e.

$$(12) \quad x(x+1)(x+2) \cdot \dots \cdot (x+p) = \frac{\Gamma(x+p+1)}{\Gamma(x)}.$$

Applying (12) two times in (11) we obtain

$$(13) \quad \Omega_{n,r}^{[\beta]} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{r} + n\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{r} + n\right)},$$

which is a first expression of  $\Omega_{n,r}^{[\frac{\alpha}{\beta}]}$ .

To obtain the order of magnitude of  $\Omega_{n,r}^{[\frac{\alpha}{\beta}]}$ , we will use the *Stirling* approximation of  $\Gamma$ , namely

$$\Gamma(x + 1) \sim x^x e^{-x} \sqrt{2\pi x} \quad (x > 0).$$

So we obtain

$$\Omega_{n,r}^{[\frac{\alpha}{\beta}]} \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{r}\right) \left(n + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 1\right)^{n + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 1} \cdot e^{-(n + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 1)} \sqrt{2\pi \left(n + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 1\right)}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right) \left(n + \frac{\beta}{r} - 1\right)^{n + \frac{\beta}{r} - 1} \cdot e^{-(n + \frac{\beta}{r} - 1)} \sqrt{2\pi \left(n + \frac{\beta}{r} - 1\right)}},$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{n,r}^{[\frac{\alpha}{\beta}]} &\sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)} \left(\frac{n + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 1}{n + \frac{\beta}{r} - 1}\right)^{n + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(n + \frac{\beta}{r} - 1\right)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}}} \\ &\quad \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 1}{n + \frac{\beta}{r} - 1}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{-\frac{\beta - \alpha}{r}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 1}{n + \frac{\beta}{r} - 1}\right)^{n + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 1} = e^{\frac{\alpha - \beta}{r}},$$

we obtain

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Omega_{n,r}^{[\frac{\alpha}{\beta}]}}{n^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{r}}} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)}.$$

This conducts us to find the magnitude of  $\Omega_{n,r}^{[\beta]}$ , namely we have obtained the

**Theorem 1** *We have*

$$(15) \quad \Omega_{n,r}^{[\beta]} \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{r}}}$$

The proof has given before.

In the case of  $\Omega_n$  ( $r = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 2$ ) the formula (13) gives

$$(16) \quad \Omega_n = \frac{\Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(n+1)}$$

The first author remembers a view in a first time of the formula (16) starting directly from the definition of  $\Omega_n$ , in a conversation with the regretted Professor *Alexandru Lupas̄*. This conducted us to two joint papers [3], [4] and stimulated us to consider and study the general case of  $\Omega_{n,r}^{[\beta]}$ .

The formula (15) becomes

$$\Omega_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}},$$

finding again (6).

The presence of  $\sqrt{n}$  has now a natural explanation by our overview. The apparition of  $\sqrt{\pi}$  is related only to the well-known relation between  $\Gamma(1/2)$  and  $\sqrt{\pi}$ .

## References

- [1] D.Kazarinoff, *On Wallis's formula*, Edinb. Math.Not. **40**,19-21.
- [2] I. B. Lazarević, A. Lupaş, *Functional Equations for Wallis and Gamma Functions*, Publ. Electr. Fac. Univ. u Beogradu, Série: Electr., Téléc., Aut., No. 461-497 (1974), 245-251.
- [3] A. Lupaş, A. Vernescu, *Asupra unei inegalităţi*, G. M. Seria A, **17** (96) (1999), 201-210.
- [4] A. Lupaş, A. Vernescu, *Asupra unei conjecturi*, G. M. Seria A, **19** (97) (2001), 212-217.
- [5] D. S. Mitrinović, P. M. Vasić, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [6] L. Panaitopol, *O rafinare a formulei lui Stirling*, G. M. **90** (1985), No. 9, 329-332.
- [7] A. Vernescu, *Sur l'approximation et le developpement asymptotique de la suite de terme général  $\frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!}$* , Proc.of the Ann. Meet. of the Rom. Soc. of Math. Sc., Tome 1, Bucharest, 1998.
- [8] A. Vernescu, *The Natural Proof of the Inequalities of Wallis Type*, Libertas Mathematica, **24** (2004), 183-190.

Andrei Vernescu

Valahia University of Târgoviște

Department of Mathematics

18 Bd. Unirii, Târgoviște, Romania

avernescu@clicknet.ro

Cristinel Mortici

Valahia University of Târgoviște

Department of Mathematics

18 Bd. Unirii, Târgoviște, Romania

cmortici@valahia.ro