

## A STRONG SOLUTION OF AN EVOLUTION PROBLEM WITH INTEGRAL CONDITIONS

S. MESLOUB, A. BOUZIANI, AND N. KECHKAR

**Abstract.** The paper is devoted to proving the existence and uniqueness of a strong solution of a mixed problem with integral boundary conditions for a certain singular parabolic equation. A functional analysis method is used. The proof is based on an energy inequality and on the density of the range of the operator generated by the studied problem.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35K20.

**Key words and phrases:** Parabolic equation, integral boundary conditions, strong solution, energy inequality.

### 1. POSING OF THE PROBLEM

In the domain  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ , with  $\Omega = (0, a) \times (0, b)$ , where  $a < \infty$ ,  $b < \infty$  and  $T < \infty$ . We shall determine a solution  $u$ , in  $Q$ , of the differential equation

$$\mathcal{L}u = u_t - \frac{1}{x}(xu_x)_x - \frac{1}{x^2}u_{yy} = f(x, y, t), \quad (x, y, t) \in Q, \quad (1)$$

satisfying the initial condition

$$\ell u = u(x, y, 0) = \varphi(x, y), \quad 0 < x < a, \quad 0 < y < b, \quad (2)$$

the classical conditions

$$u(a, y, t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad 0 < y < b, \quad (3)$$

$$u_y(x, b, t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad 0 < x < a, \quad (4)$$

and the integral conditions

$$\int_0^a xu(x, y, t)dx = 0, \quad \int_0^b u(x, y, t)dy = 0. \quad (5)$$

For consistency, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a, y) &= 0, & \varphi_y(x, b) &= 0, \\ \int_0^a x\varphi(x, y)dx &= 0, & \int_0^b \varphi(x, y)dy &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Many methods were used to investigate the existence and uniqueness of the solution of mixed problems which combine classical and integral conditions. J. R. Cannon [5] used the potential method, combining a Dirichlet and an integral condition for an equation of the parabolic type. L. A. Mouravey and V. Philinovoski [12] used the maximum principal, combining a Neumann and an integral condition for the heat equation. Ionkin [10] used the Fourier method for the same purpose.

Mixed problems for one-dimensional second order parabolic equations, for which a local and an integral condition are combined, can be found in the papers by Cannon, Estiva, and van der Hoeck [6], Cannon and Van der hoeck [7]–[8], Kamynin [11], Yurchuk [16], Bouziani [2], Peter Shi [15], Mesloub and Bouziani [13]. Problems with purely integral conditions are studied by Bouziani [3], and Benouar and Bouziani [4], Mesloub and Bouziani [14]. In this paper, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a strong solution for the problem (1)–(5). The result and the method used here are a further elaboration of those from the paper by Benouar and Yurchuk [1].

We introduce appropriate function spaces. Let  $L^2(Q)$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable functions having the norm and scalar product denoted respectively by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(Q)}$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(Q)}$ . Let  $V^{1,0}(Q)$  be a subspace of  $L^2(Q)$  with the finite norm

$$\|u\|_{V^{1,0}(Q)}^2 = \|u\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|u_x\|_{L^2(Q)}^2,$$

having the scalar product defined by

$$(u, v)_{V^{1,0}(Q)} = (u, v)_{L^2(Q)} + (u_x, v_x)_{L^2(Q)}.$$

In general, a function in the space  $V^{k,m}(Q)$ , with  $k, m$  nonnegative integers, possesses  $x$ -derivatives up to  $k$ th order in  $L^2(Q)$ , and  $t$ -derivatives up to  $m$ th order in  $L^2(Q)$ . To problem (1)–(5) we associate the operator  $L = (\mathcal{L}, \ell)$  with the domain of definition

$$D(L) = \left\{ u \in L^2(Q) \mid u_t, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{yy}, u_{xt} \in L^2(Q) \right\}$$

satisfying (3)–(5). The operator  $L$  is considered from  $E$  to  $F$ , where  $E$  is the Banach space consisting of functions  $u \in L^2(Q)$  satisfying the boundary conditions (3)–(5) and having the finite norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_E^2 &= \int_Q \left( x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 + x (\Im_{xy}(\xi u_t))^2 + x^3 u_x^2 + x u_y^2 \right) dx dy dt \\ &\quad + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \left( (x + x^3) u^2(\cdot, \cdot, \tau) + x^3 (\Im_y u_x(\cdot, \cdot, \tau))^2 \right) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Im_x u = \int_0^x u(\xi, y, t) d\xi$ ,  $\Im_y u = \int_0^y u(x, \eta, t) d\eta$ ,  $\Im_{xy} u = \Im_x(\Im_y u)$  (below we will use also the notation  $\Im_{yy} u = \Im_y^2 u = \Im_y(\Im_y u)$ ,  $\Im_{xyy} u = \Im_x(\Im_{yy} u)$ ) and  $F$  is the Hilbert space of vector-valued functions  $\mathcal{F} = (f, \varphi)$  having the norm

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_F^2 = \|f\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\varphi\|_{V^{1,0}(\Omega)}^2.$$

*Remark 1.1.* The weights appearing in this paper arise because of singular coefficients and for the annihilation of inconvenient terms during integration by parts.

## 2. A PRIORI ESTIMATE AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any function  $u \in D(L)$ , we have the following a priori estimate:*

$$\|u\|_E \leq c \|Lu\|_F, \quad (6)$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant independent of the solution  $u$ .

*Proof.* In we take the inner product in  $L^2(Q^\tau)$  of equation (1) and the operator

$$Mu = -x^3 \Im_y^2 u_t + 2x^2 \Im_y^2 u_x + x^3 \Im_{xyy}(\xi u_t) + x^3 \Im_y u_y,$$

where  $Q^\tau = \Omega \times (0, \tau)$ , then, in light of the initial condition (2), the boundary conditions (3)–(5), and a standard integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\Im_y u_x(\cdot, \cdot, \tau))^2 dx dy \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} x u^2(\cdot, \cdot, \tau) dx dy + a^2 \int_0^b \int_0^\tau (\Im_y u_x(a, y, t))^2 dt dy \\ & + \int_0^b \int_0^\tau u^2(0, y, t) dt dy + \int_{Q^\tau} x (\Im_{xy}(\xi u_t))^2 dx dy dt \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} x^3 u^2(\cdot, \cdot, \tau) dx dy + \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 u_x^2 dx dy dt + \int_{Q^\tau} x u_y^2 dx dy dt \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\Im_y \varphi_x)^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} x^3 \varphi^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} x \varphi^2 dx dy \\ & + \int_{Q^\tau} x^4 \Im_y u_x \cdot \Im_y u_t dx dy dt + 2 \int_{Q^\tau} x^2 \Im_y u_x \cdot \Im_{xy}(\xi u_t) dx dy dt \\ & - \int_{Q^\tau} x u_y \Im_{xy}(\xi u_t) dx dy dt + 2 \int_{Q^\tau} x^2 u_y \Im_y u_x dx dy dt \\ & - \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 \mathcal{L} u \Im_y^2 u_t dx dy dt + 2 \int_{Q^\tau} x^2 \mathcal{L} u \Im_y^2 u_x dx dy dt \\ & + \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 \mathcal{L} u \Im_y u_y dx dy dt + \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 \mathcal{L} u \Im_{xyy}(\xi u_t) dx dy dt. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By virtue of the elementary inequality

$$\int_0^a (\Im_x u)^2 dx \leq \frac{a^2}{2} \int_0^a u^2 dx \quad (8)$$

(see [3]) and the Cauchy's  $\varepsilon$ -inequality

$$\alpha\beta \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\beta^2, \quad (9)$$

we can estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q^\tau} x^4 \Im_y u_x \cdot \Im_y u_t dx dy dt &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1 a^2}{2} \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 (\Im_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{Q^\tau} x^2 \Im_y u_x \cdot \Im_{xy} (\xi u_t) dx dy dt &\leq \varepsilon_2 \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 (\Im_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2} \int_{Q^\tau} x (\Im_{xy} (\xi u_t))^2 dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{Q^\tau} x u_y \Im_{xy} (\xi u_t) dx dy dt &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} \int_{Q^\tau} x u_y^2 dx dy dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} \int_{Q^\tau} x (\Im_{xy} (\xi u_t))^2 dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{Q^\tau} x^2 u_y \Im_y u_x dx dy dt &\leq \varepsilon_4 \int_{Q^\tau} x u_y^2 dx dy dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_4} \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 (\Im_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 \mathcal{L} u \Im_y^2 u_t dx dy dt &\leq \frac{a^3}{2\varepsilon_5} \int_{Q^\tau} f^2 dx dy dt \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon_5 b^2}{4} \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{Q^\tau} x^2 \mathcal{L} u \Im_y^2 u_x dx dy dt &\leq \frac{a}{\varepsilon_6} \int_{Q^\tau} f^2 dx dy dt \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon_6 b^2}{2} \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 (\Im_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 \mathcal{L} u \Im_y u_y dx dy dt &\leq \frac{a^5}{2\varepsilon_7} \int_{Q^\tau} f^2 dx dy dt \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon_7 b^2}{4} \int_{Q^\tau} x u_y^2 dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 \mathcal{L} u \Im_{xyy} (\xi u_t) dx dy dt &\leq \frac{a^5}{2\varepsilon_8} \int_{Q^\tau} f^2 dx dy dt \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon_8 b^2}{4} \int_{Q^\tau} x (\Im_{xy} (\xi u_t))^2 dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\Im_y \varphi_x)^2 dx dy \leq \frac{a^3 b^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_x^2 dx dy, \quad (18)$$

then taking  $\varepsilon_1 = 2$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 = 8$ ,  $\varepsilon_3 = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_4 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $\varepsilon_5 = \frac{1}{b^2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_6 = \frac{1}{b^2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_7 = \frac{1}{2b^2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_8 = \frac{1}{2b^2}$ .

Substituting (10)–(18) into (7), and taking into account that the fourth and fifth terms in (7) are positive, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q^\tau} \left( x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 + x (\Im_{xy} (\xi u_t))^2 + x^3 u_x^2 + x u_y^2 \right) dx dy dt \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left( x^3 (\Im_y u_x(\cdot, \cdot, \tau))^2 + (x + x^3) u^2(\cdot, \cdot, \tau) \right) dx dy \\ &\leq k \left\{ \int_{Q^\tau} x^3 (\Im_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt + \int_{Q^\tau} f^2 dx dy dt + \int_{\Omega} (\varphi^2 + \varphi_x^2) dx dy \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where

$$k = \max(2a^3 + 2a, 8a^5 b^2 + 4ab^2 + 2a^3 b^2, 4a^2 + 66).$$

We now conclude from (19) and Gronwall's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q^\tau} \left( x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 + x (\Im_{xy} (\xi u_t))^2 + x^3 u_x^2 + x u_y^2 \right) dx dy dt \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left( x^3 (\Im_y u_x(\cdot, \cdot, \tau))^2 + (x + x^3) u^2(\cdot, \cdot, \tau) \right) dx dy \\ &\leq k e^{kT} \left\{ \int_{Q^\tau} f^2 dx dy dt + \int_{\Omega} (\varphi^2 + \varphi_x^2) dx dy \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since the right-hand side of (20) does not depend on  $\tau$ , we take the least upper bound in its left-hand side with respect to  $\tau$  from 0 to  $T$ , thus obtaining (6), where  $c = \sqrt{k e^{kT}}$ .  $\square$

It can be proved in a standard way that the operator  $L : E \rightarrow F$  is closable. Let  $\bar{L}$  be the closure of this operator, with the domain of definition  $D(\bar{L})$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A solution of the operator equation

$$\bar{L}u = \mathcal{F}$$

is called a strong solution of problem (1)–(5).

The a priori estimate (6) can be extended to strong solutions, i.e., we have the estimate

$$\|u\|_E \leq c \|\bar{L}u\|_F, \quad \forall u \in D(\bar{L}). \quad (21)$$

Inequality (21) implies the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.1.** *A strong solution of (1)–(5) is unique and depends continuously on  $\mathcal{F} = (f, \varphi)$ .*

**Corollary 2.2.** *The range  $R(\bar{L})$  of  $\bar{L}$  is closed in  $F$  and  $\overline{R(L)} = R(\bar{L})$ .*

The latter corollary shows that to prove that problem (1)–(5) has a strong solution for arbitrary  $\mathcal{F} = (f, \varphi)$ , it suffices to prove that the set  $R(L)$  is dense in  $F$ .

### 3. SOLVABILITY OF THE PROBLEM

**Theorem 3.1.** *If, for some function  $\omega \in L^2(Q)$  and for all elements  $u \in D_0(L) = \{u | u \in D(L) : \ell u = 0\}$ , we have*

$$\int_Q \mathcal{L}u \cdot \omega dx dy dt = 0, \quad (22)$$

*then  $\omega$  vanishes almost everywhere in  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* Using the fact that relation (22) holds for any function  $u \in D_0(L)$ , we can express it in a special form. First define the function  $h$  by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, y, t) &+ \int_t^T \left( x^6 \Im_{xyy}(\xi u_\tau) - 10x^3 \Im_y^2 u_\tau + 20x^2 \Im_y^2 u_x \right) d\tau \\ &= \int_t^T \omega d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Let  $u_t$  be a solution of the equation

$$-x^5 \Im_y^2 u_t = h, \quad (24)$$

and let the function  $u$  to be given by

$$u = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t \leq s, \\ \int_s^t u_\tau d\tau, & s \leq t \leq T. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

From the above relations we have

$$\omega(x, y, t) = x^5 \Im_y^2 u_{tt} + x^6 \Im_{xyy}(\xi u_t) - 10x^3 \Im_y^2 u_t + 20x^2 \Im_y^2 u_x. \quad \square \quad (26)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The function  $\omega$  represented by (26) belongs to  $L^2(Q)$ .*

*Proof.* Using a Poincaré type inequality of form (8), we easily prove that the last three terms of (26) are in  $L^2(Q)$ . To show that the term  $x^5 \Im_y^2 u_{tt}$  is in  $L^2(Q)$ , we use  $t$ -averaging operators  $\rho_\varepsilon$  of the form

$$(\rho_\varepsilon g)(x, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T w\left(\frac{\nu - t}{\varepsilon}\right) g(x, \nu) d\nu,$$

where  $w \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$ ,  $w \geq 0$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w(t) dt = 1$ .

Applying the operators  $\rho_\varepsilon$  and  $\partial/\partial t$  to equation (24), and then estimating, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \left( x^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_\varepsilon \Im_y^2 u_t \right)^2 dx dy dt &\leq 2 \int_Q \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_\varepsilon h \right)^2 dx dy dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_Q \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho_\varepsilon x^5 \Im_y^2 u_t - x^5 \rho_\varepsilon \Im_y^2 u_t \right) \right]^2 dx dy dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of  $\rho_\varepsilon$  introduced in [9], it follows that

$$\int_Q \left( x^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_\varepsilon \Im_y^2 u_t \right)^2 dx dy dt \leq 2 \int_Q \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_\varepsilon h \right)^2 dx dy dt.$$

Since  $\rho_\varepsilon g \rightarrow g$  in  $L^2(Q)$ , and  $\int_Q \left( x^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_\varepsilon \Im_y^2 u_t \right)^2 dx dy dt$  is bounded, we conclude that  $\omega \in L^2(Q)$ .  $\square$

We now return to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Replacing  $\omega$  in relation (22) by its representation (26), invoking the special form of  $u$  given by (24) and (25) and the boundary conditions (3)–(5), and then carrying out appropriate integrations by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega x^5 (\Im_y u_t(\cdot, \cdot, s))^2 dx dy + \frac{3}{2} \int_\Omega x^2 (\Im_x(\xi u(\cdot, \cdot, T)))^2 dx dy \\ &\quad + 5 \int_\Omega x^3 (\Im_y u_x(\cdot, \cdot, T))^2 dx dy + 5 \int_\Omega x u^2(\cdot, \cdot, T) dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{Q_s} x^5 (\Im_y u_{tx})^2 dx dy dt + 2 \int_{Q_s} x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 dx dy dt \\ &\quad + \frac{5}{2} \int_{Q_s} x^4 (\Im_{xy}(\xi u_t))^2 dx dy dt + \int_{Q_s} x^3 u_t^2 dx dy dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 10a^2 \int_s^T \int_0^b (\Im_y u_x(a, y, t))^2 dy dt + 10 \int_s^T \int_0^b u^2(0, y, t) dy dt \\
& = - \int_{Q_s} x^7 \Im_y u \Im_y u_{tx} dx dy dt - 25 \int_{Q_s} x^4 \Im_y u \Im_{xy}(\xi u_t) dx dy dt \\
& \quad - \int_{Q_s} x^4 u_t \Im_x(\xi u) dx dy dt - 12 \int_{Q_s} x^6 \Im_y u \Im_y u_t dx dy dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where  $Q_s = \Omega \times [s, T]$ .

We now estimate each term on the right-hand side of (27) by using inequalities (8) and (9) and, taking into account that the last two terms on the left-hand side are positive, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} x^5 (\Im_y u_t(\cdot, \cdot, s))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} x^2 (\Im_x(\xi u(\cdot, \cdot, T)))^2 dx dy \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\Im_y u_x(\cdot, \cdot, T))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} x u^2(\cdot, \cdot, T) dx dy \\
& \quad + \int_{Q_s} x^5 (\Im_y u_{tx})^2 dx dy dt + \int_{Q_s} x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 dx dy dt \\
& \quad + \int_{Q_s} x^4 (\Im_{xy}(\xi u_t))^2 dx dy dt + \int_{Q_s} x^3 u_t^2 dx dy dt \\
& \leq c \left\{ \int_{Q_s} x^3 (\Im_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt + \int_{Q_s} x^5 (\Im_y u_t)^2 dx dy dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{Q_s} x^2 (\Im_x(\xi u))^2 dx dy dt + \int_{Q_s} x u^2 dx dy dt \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where

$$c = \max \left\{ 12 + 12a^2 + a^4, 12a^4 + a^6, a^3, \frac{5^4 a^3 b^2}{8} \right\}.$$

To use the essential inequality (28), we note that the constant  $c$  is independent of  $s$ . However, the function  $u$  in (28) does depend on  $s$ . To avoid this difficulty, we introduce a new function by the formula

$$\eta(x, y, t) = \int_t^T u_{\tau}(x, y, \tau) d\tau.$$

Then

$$u(x, y, t) = \eta(x, y, s) - \eta(x, y, t)$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_s} \left( x^5 (\Im_y u_{tx})^2 + x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 + x^4 (\Im_{xy}(\xi u_t))^2 + x^3 u_t^2 \right) dx dy dt \\
& + \int_{\Omega} x^5 (\Im_y u_t(\cdot, \cdot, s))^2 dx dy + (1 - 2c(T - s)) \left\{ \int_{\Omega} x \eta^2(\cdot, \cdot, s) dx dy \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} x^2 (\Im_x(\xi \eta(\cdot, \cdot, s)))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\Im_y \eta_x(\cdot, \cdot, s))^2 dx dy \right\} \\
& \leq 2c \left\{ \int_{Q_s} \left( x^5 (\Im_y \eta_t)^2 + x^3 (\Im_y \eta_x)^2 + x^2 (\Im_x(\xi \eta))^2 + x \eta^2 \right) dx dy dt \right\}. \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $s_0 > 0$  such that  $1 - 2c(T - s_0) = 1/2$ , then (29) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_s} \left( x^5 (\Im_y u_{tx})^2 + x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 + x^4 (\Im_{xy}(\xi u_t))^2 + x^3 u_t^2 \right) dx dy dt \\
& + \left( \int_{\Omega} x^5 (\Im_y u_t(\cdot, \cdot, s))^2 + \int_{\Omega} x \eta^2(\cdot, \cdot, s) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} x^2 (\Im_x(\xi \eta(\cdot, \cdot, s)))^2 + \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\Im_y \eta_x(\cdot, \cdot, s))^2 \right) dx dy \\
& \leq 4c \left\{ \int_{Q_s} \left( x^5 (\Im_y \eta_t(x, y, t))^2 + x^3 (\Im_y \eta_x(x, y, t))^2 \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + x^2 (\Im_x(\xi \eta(x, y, t)))^2 + x \eta^2(x, y, t) \right) dx dy dt \right\} \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $s \in [T - s_0, T]$ .

If we denote the sum of the four integral terms on the right-hand side of (30) by  $\alpha(s)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_s} \left( x^5 (\Im_y u_{tx})^2 + x^3 (\Im_y u_t)^2 \right) dx dy dt \\
& + \int_{Q_s} \left( x^4 (\Im_{xy}(\xi u_t))^2 + x^3 u_t^2 \right) dx dy dt - \frac{d\alpha(s)}{ds} \\
& \leq 4c\alpha(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$-\frac{d}{ds} (\alpha(s)e^{4cs}) \leq 0. \quad (31)$$

Taking into account that  $\alpha(T) = 0$ , (31) gives

$$\alpha(s)e^{4cs} \leq 0. \quad (32)$$

It follows from (32) that  $\omega = 0$  almost everywhere in  $Q_{T-s_0} = \Omega \times [T-s_0, T]$ . Proceeding in this way step by step along the cylinders of height  $s_0$ , we prove that  $\omega = 0$  almost everywhere in  $Q$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

□

Now to conclude, we have to prove

**Theorem 3.3.** *The range of the operator  $L$  coincides with  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is a Hilbert space,  $R(L) = F$  is equivalent to the orthogonality of the vector  $W = (\omega, \omega_0) \in F$  to the set  $R(L)$ , i.e., if and only if the relation

$$\int_Q \mathcal{L}u \cdot \omega dxdydt + \int_{\Omega} \left( \ell u \cdot \omega_0 + \frac{d\ell u}{dx} \right) dxdy = 0, \quad (33)$$

where  $u$  runs over  $E$  and  $W = (\omega, \omega_0) \in F$ , implies that  $W = 0$ .

Putting  $u \in D(L_0)$  in (33), we get

$$\int_Q \mathcal{L}u \cdot \omega dxdydt = 0.$$

Hence Theorem 3.1 implies that  $\omega = 0$ . Thus (33) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \ell u \cdot \omega_0 + \frac{d\ell u}{dx} \right) dxdy = 0, \quad \forall u \in D(L). \quad (34)$$

Since the range  $R(\ell)$  of the trace operator  $\ell$  is everywhere dense in  $V^{1,0}(\Omega)$ , then it follows from (34) that  $\omega_0 = 0$ . Hence  $W = 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3. □

## REFERENCES

1. N. E. BENOUEAR and N. I. YURCHUK, Mixed problem with an integral condition for parabolic equations with the Bessel operator. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **27**(1991), No. 12, 2094–2098.
2. A. BOUZIANI, Solution forte d'un problème mixte avec conditions non locales pour une classe d'équations paraboliques. *Maghreb Math. Rev.* **6**(1997), No. 1, 1–17.
3. A. BOUZIANI, Mixed problem with boundary integral conditions for a certain parabolic equation. *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.* **9**(1996), No. 3, 323–330.
4. A. BOUZIANI and N. E. BENOUEAR, Problème mixte avec conditions intégrales pour une classe d'équations paraboliques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. 1 Math.* **321**(1995), 1177–1182.
5. J. R. CANNON, The solution of heat equation subject to the specification of energy. *Quart. Appl. Math.* **21**(1963), No. 2, 155–160.

6. J. R. CANNON, S. P. ESTEVE, and J. VAN DER HOECK, A galerkin procedure for the diffusion equation subject to the specification of mass. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **24**(1987), 499–515.
7. J. R. CANNON and J. VAN DER HOECK, The existence and the continuous dependence for the solution of the heat equation subject to the specification of energy. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. Suppl.* **1**(1981), 253–282.
8. J. R. CANNON and J. VAN DER HOECK, an implicit finite difference scheme for the diffusion of mass in a portion of the domain. *Numerical solutions of partial differential equations (J. Noye, ed.)*, 527–539, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
9. L. GARDING, Cauchy's problem for hyperbolic equation. *Mimeogr. Lecture Notes, University of Chicago, Chicago*, 1958.
10. N. I. IONKIN, Solution of boundary value problem in heat conduction theory with non local boundary conditions. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **13**(1977), 294–304.
11. N. I. KAMYNIN, A boundary value problem in the theory of heat conduction with non classical boundary condition. *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz.* **43**(1964), No. 6, 1006–1024.
12. L. A. MOURAVEY and V. PHILIPOVSKI, Sur un problème avec une condition aux limites nonlocale pour une équation parabolique. (Russian) *Mat. Sb.* **182**(1991), No. 10, 1479–1512.
13. S. MESLOUB and A. BOUZIANI, Mixed problem with a weighted integral condition for a parabolic equation with Bessel operator. *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic. Anal.* (to appear).
14. S. MESLOUB and A. BOUZIANI, Problème mixte avec conditions aux limites intégrales pour une classe d'équations paraboliques bidimensionnelles. *Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci.* (6) **9**(1998), No. 1–6, 61–72.
15. P. SHI, Weak solution to an evolution problem with a non local constraint. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **24**(1993), No. 1, 46–58.
16. N. I. YURCHUK, Mixed problem with an integral condition for certain parabolic equations. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **22**(1986), No. 19, 2117–2126.

(Received 20.11.2000; revised 10.07.2001)

Authors' addresses:

S. Mesloub Department of Mathematics  
 University of Tebessa  
 Tebessa 12002  
 Algeria  
 E-mail: mesloub@hotmail.com

A. Bouziani  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Oum el bouaghi, 04000,  
 Algeria  
 E-mail: af\_bouziani@hotmail.com

N. Kechkar  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Constantine, 25000  
 Algeria