



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 2, No. 2, February 2011, pp. 129-138

ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright © ICSRS Publication, 2011

www.i-csrs.org

Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

Fractional Integrals Involving General Class of Polynomials, H–Function and Multivariable I–Function

Shakeeluddin

Department of Mathematics, D I T School of Engg.,
Greater Noida (UP)
E-mail: shakeel374@gmail.com

(Received 14-12-10 /Accepted: 1-1-11)

Abstract

In this paper we obtain a Eulerian integral and a main theorem based on the functional operator associated with H–Function [2], general class of polynomial [8] and multivariable I–Function [14] having general arguments. The special class of the main theorem has also been given.

Keywords: Riemann–Liouville operator, General polynomial, Fractional integral, H–function and multivariable I–function.

1 Introduction

The Riemann–Liouville operator of fractional integration $R^m f$ of order m is defined by

$${}_x D_y^{-m} [f(y)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \int_x^y (y-u)^{m-1} f(u) du \quad (1.1)$$

for $\text{Re}(m) > 0$ and a constant x

An equivalent form of Beta function is [2,p.10, eq.(13)]

$$\int_m^n (u-m)^{a-1} (n-u)^{b-1} du = (n-m)^{a+b-1} B(a, b)$$

(1.2)

Where $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$ ($x < y$), $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(b) > 0$.

Making use of [2, p.62, eq. (15)], we have

$$(pu + q) = (xp + q)^\alpha \left[1 + \frac{p(u-x)}{xp + q} \right]^\alpha$$

$$\frac{(xp + q)^\alpha}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \Gamma(-\beta) \Gamma(-\beta - \alpha) \left[\frac{p(u-x)}{xp + q} \right]^\beta d\beta$$

(1.3)

Where $i = \sqrt{-1}$; $p, q, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$; $x, u \in \mathbb{R}$; $|\arg(\frac{p}{xp+q})| < \pi$ and the path of integration is necessary in such a manner so as to separate the poles of $\Gamma(-\beta)$ from those of $\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)$. The multivariable I-function is defined and represented in the following manner [4]:

$$I[z_1, \dots, z_r] = I_{p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3; \dots; p_r, q_r} (p^1, q^1; \dots; p^{(r)}, q^{(r)})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[z_1 | (a_{2j}; \alpha'_{2j}; \alpha''_{2j}); (a_{3j}; \alpha'_{3j}; \alpha''_{3j}); \dots; (a_{rj}; \alpha'_{rj}; \alpha''_{rj}); \right. \\ & \left. \vdots z_r | (b_{2j}; \beta'_{2j}; \beta''_{2j}); (b_{3j}; \beta'_{3j}; \beta''_{3j}); \dots; (b_{rj}; \beta'_{rj}; \beta''_{rj}); \right. \\ & \quad \left. (a'_j; \alpha'_j) 1, p^1; \dots; (a_j^{(r)}; \alpha_j^{(r)}) 1, p^{(r)} \right] \\ & \quad \left. (b'_j; \beta'_j) 1, q^1; \dots; (b_j^{(r)}; \beta_j^{(r)}) 1, q^{(r)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(1.4)

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \varphi_1(\xi_1) \dots \varphi_r(\xi_r) \psi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) z_1^{\xi_1} \dots z_r^{\xi_r} d\xi_1, \dots, d\xi_r$$

Where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

For convergence conditions and other details of multivariable I-function, see Prasad [4]. The Lauricella function $F_D^{(h)}$ is defined in the following integral form

$$\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b_1) \dots \Gamma(b_h)}{\Gamma(c)} F_D^{(h)} [a, b_1, \dots, b_h; c, x_1, \dots, x_h]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^h} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \cdots \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_h) \Gamma(b_1 + \xi_1) \dots \Gamma(b_h + \xi_h)}{\Gamma(c + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_h)} \\
&\cdot \Gamma(-\xi_1) \dots \Gamma(-\xi_h) (-x_1)^{\xi_1} \dots (-x_h)^{\xi_h} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_h
\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Where $\max [|\arg(-x_1)|, \dots, |\arg(-x_h)|] < \pi$; $c = 0, -1, -2, \dots$

To prove the Eulerien integrals, we use the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_x^y (u-x)^{a-1} (y-u)^{b-1} (p_1 u + q_1)^{\rho_1} \dots (p_h u + q_h)^{\rho_h} du \\
&= (y-x)^{a+b-1} B(a, b) (p_1, x+q_1)^{\rho_1} \dots (p_h, x+q_h)^{\rho_h} \\
&F_D^{(h)}[a, -\rho_1, \dots, -\rho_h; a+b; -\frac{(y-x)p_1}{p_1x+q_1}, \dots, -\frac{(y-x)p_h}{p_hx+q_h}]
\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Where $x, y \in R(x, y)$; $p_j, q_j, \rho_j \in c (j=1, \dots, h)$;

$$\text{Min}[re(m), re(n)] > 0 \text{ and } \max \left[\left| \frac{(y-x)p_1}{p_1x+q_1} \right|, \dots, \left| \frac{(y-x)p_h}{p_hx+q_h} \right| \right]$$

Making use of the results (1.2), (1.3) and (1.5), we can prove the formula given in (1.6). for $h = 1$ and $h = 2$, we get the known results [5, p.301 entry (2.2.6.1)] and [11, p.81, eq. (3.6)] respectively.

In what follows h is a positive integer and $0, \dots, 0$ would mean h zero.

The series representation of H-function [2] is as follows

$$\bar{H}_{P, Q}^{M, N} [z] = \bar{H}_{P, Q}^{M, N} \left[z \middle| \begin{matrix} (e_j, E_j; \alpha_j)_1, N, (e_j, E_j) N+1, P \\ (f_j, F_j)_1, M, (f_j, F_j; \beta_j) M+1, Q \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$(1.7)$$

$$= \sum_{g=1}^M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \phi(\eta g, k)}{k! F_g} z^{\eta g, k}$$

where

$$\frac{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq g}}^M \Gamma(f_j - F_j \eta_{g,k}) \prod_{j=1}^M \{\Gamma(1 - e_j + E_j \eta_{g,k})\}^{\alpha_j}}{\prod_{j=M+1}^Q \{\Gamma(1 - f_j + F_j \eta_{g,k})\}^{\beta_j} \prod_{j=N+1}^P \Gamma(e_j + E_j \eta_{g,k})}$$

$$\text{and } \eta_{g,k} = \frac{fg+k}{Fg}$$

for convergence conditions and other details of the H – function see Inayat–Hussain [2]

Srivastava [13,p.75, eqn.(1.1)] introduced the general class of polynomials defined in the following manner:

$$S_N[x] = \sum_{K=0}^{N/M} \frac{(-N)_{Mk}}{k!} A_{N,k}, \quad x^k, \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.8)$$

Where M is an arbitrary positive integer and the coefficients $A_{N,k}$ ($N, k > 0$) are arbitrary constants, real or complex.

2 Integral

The main integral to be established here is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_m^n (u-m)^{\alpha-1} (n-u)^{b-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\rho_j} \right\} \\ & \cdot \bar{H}_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[x(u-m)^\lambda (n-u)^\mu \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\sigma_j} \right] \\ & \cdot S_{N'}^{M'} \left[z(u-m)^c (n-u)^d \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\nu_j} \right] \\ & \cdot I \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 (u-m)^{\gamma_1} (n-u)^{\tau_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{-c'_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r (u-m)^{\gamma_r} (n-u)^{\tau_r} \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\frac{\nu_j}{c_j}} \end{array} \right] du \\ & = G_1 \sum_{g=0}^M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{N^1/M^1} \frac{(-1)^k \varphi(\eta g, k)}{k! Fg} \frac{(-N^1)^{m^1 r}}{r!} A_{N',r} x^{\eta g, k} z^r G_2 \cdot G_3 \\ & I \begin{pmatrix} 0, n_2 ; 0, n_3 ; \dots ; 0, n_r + h + 2 : (m^1, n^1); \dots; (m^{(r)}, n^{(r)}); (1,0); \dots ; (1,0) \\ p_2, q_2 ; p_3, q_3 ; \dots ; p_r + h + 2, q_r + h + 1 : (p^1, q^1); \dots; (p^{(r)}, q^{(r)}); (0,1); \dots ; (0,1) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[R_1 \left| A_1, A_2, A_3, (\alpha_{2j}'; \alpha_{2j}''; \alpha_{2j}''') 1, p_2; (\alpha_{3j}; \alpha_{3j}'; \alpha_{3j}''') 1, p_2; \dots ; \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \vdots \right] R_r \left(b_{2j}; \beta_{2j}'; \beta_{2j}'' 1, q_2; (b_{3j}; \beta_{3j}'; \beta_{3j}''') 1, q_2; \dots ; (b_{rj}, \beta_{rj}', \dots, \beta_{rj}^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0) 1, \right. \\
& q_r \\
& \left. \left. (a_{rj}, \alpha_{rj}', \dots, \alpha_{rj}^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0); (a_{rj}', \alpha_{rj}') 1, p_1; \dots ; (a_{rj}^{(r)}, \alpha_{rj}^{(r)}) 1, p^{(r)}; \dots ; \dots ; \dots \right] \right. \\
& \left. A_4, A_5, (b_j'; \beta_j') 1, q^1; (b_j'; \beta_j^{(r)}) 1, q^{(r)}; (0, 1); \dots ; (0, 1) \right) \\
& \quad (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

The following are the conditions of the validity of (2.1):

$$(i) \quad m, n \in \mathbb{R} (m < n); \gamma_i; \tau_i; c_j^{(i)}, \gamma_j, \lambda, \mu, \sigma_j, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^+, \rho_j \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$p_j, q_j \in \mathbb{C}, z_i \in \mathbb{C} (I = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, h);$$

$$(ii) \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq h} \left[\left| \frac{(n-m)p_j}{p_j m + q_j} \right| \right] < 1;$$

$$(iii) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[a + \lambda \frac{f_j}{F_j} - \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \frac{b_j^{(i)}}{\beta_j^{(i)}} \right] > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m^{(i)}),$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[a + \mu \frac{f_j}{F_j} - \sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \frac{b_j^{(i)}}{\beta_j^{(i)}} \right] > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m^{(i)}),$$

$$(iv) \quad \left| \arg(z_i) - \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{-c_j^{(i)}} \right| < \frac{\Gamma_i \pi}{2} \quad (m \leq u \leq n; i = 1, \dots, r),$$

Where

$$U_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n^{(i)}} \alpha_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=n^{(i)}+1}^{p^{(i)}} \alpha_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m^{(i)}} \beta_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=m^{(i)}+1}^{q^{(i)}} \beta_j^{(i)}$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \alpha_{2j}^{(i)} - \sum_{j=n_2+1}^{p_2} \alpha_{2j}^{(i)} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_3} \alpha_{2j}^{(i)} - \sum_{j=n_3+1}^{p_3} \alpha_{2j}^{(i)} \right) + \dots$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} n_r & (i) \\ j=1 & j=n_r+1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} q_2 & (i) & q_3 & (i) \\ j=1 & & j=1 & \\ & & & q_r & (i) \\ & & & j=1 & \end{array} \right)$$

$$+ \sum \alpha_{rj} - \sum \alpha_{rj} + \sum \beta_{2j} - \sum \beta_{3j} + \dots + \sum \beta_{3j}$$

Here

$$G_1 = (n-m)^{a+b-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (b_j m + q_j)^{\rho_j} \right\},$$

$$G_2 = (n-m)^{(\lambda+\mu)\eta_{g,k}} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j m + q_j)^{\sigma_j \eta_{g,k}} \right\},$$

$$G_3 = (n-m)^{(c+d)\lambda} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j m + q_j)^{\nu_j \zeta} \right\}$$

$$A_1 = (1 - a - \lambda \eta_{g,k} - c \zeta : \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r, 1, \dots, 1),$$

$$A_2 = (1 - b - \mu \eta_{g,k} - d \zeta : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_r, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$A_3 = (1 + \rho_j + \sigma_j \eta_{g,k} + \nu_j \zeta : c'_j, \dots, c_i^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) 1, h$$

$$A_4 = (1 + \rho_j + \sigma_j \eta_{g,k} + \nu_j \zeta : c'_j, \dots, c_i^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0) 1, h$$

$$A_5 = [1 - a - b - (\lambda + \mu)^{\eta_{g,k}} - (c + d)\zeta : (\gamma_1 + \tau_1), \dots, (\gamma_r + \tau_r), 1, \dots, 1];$$

$$R_1 = \begin{cases} z_1 (n-m)^{\gamma_1 + \tau_1} / \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j m + q_j)^{c'_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r (n-m)^{\gamma_r + \tau_r} / \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j m + q_j)^{c_i^{(r)}} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } R_2 = \begin{cases} (n-m)p_1 / (p_1 m + q_1) \\ \vdots \\ (n-m)p_h / (p_h m + q_h) \end{cases}$$

Proof : In order to prove (2.1), expand the multivariable I–function in terms of Mellin–Barnes type of contour integral by (1.4) and H–function of general class of polynomial S given by (1.7) and (1.8) respectively now interchange the order of summation and integration (which is permissible under the conditions of validity stated above) making case of the results in (1.3), (1.5) and (1.6), we get the desired result.

3 Theorem

Let

$$f(u) = (u-m)^{a-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\rho_j} \right\}$$

$$\cdot \bar{H}_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[x (u-m)^{\lambda} \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\sigma_j} \right]$$

$$\cdot S_{N'}^{M'} \left[z (u-m)^c \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\nu_j} \right]$$

$$\cdot I \begin{bmatrix} W_1 (u - m)^{\gamma_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{-c'_j} \\ \vdots \\ W_r (u - m)^{\gamma_r} \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{(\eta_j)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$${}_m D_y^{-b} (f(y)) = I_1 \sum_{g=0}^M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{N^1/M^1} \frac{(-1)^k \varphi(\eta_{g,k})}{k! F_g} \frac{(-N^1) m^1 r}{r!} A_{N'}, r x^{\eta_{g,k}} z^r \cdot I_2 \cdot I_3$$

$$I \begin{bmatrix} 0, n_2; 0, n_3; \dots; 0, n_r + h+1 : (m^1, n^1); \dots; (m^{(\eta)}, n^{(\eta)}); (1,0); \dots; (1,0) \\ p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3; \dots; p_r + h+1, q_r + h+1 : (p^1, q^1); \dots; (p^{(\eta)}, q^{(\eta)}); (0,1); \dots; (0,1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[Y_1 \left| D_1, D_2, (a_{2j}; \alpha'_{2j}; \alpha''_{2j}) 1, p_2; (a_{3j}; \alpha'_{3j}; \alpha''_{3j}) 1, p_2; \dots; \right. \right. \\ & \left. \vdots \right. \\ & \left. Y_r \left| (b_{2j}; \beta'_{2j}; \beta''_{2j}) 1, q_2; (b_{3j}; \beta'_{3j}; \beta''_{3j}) 1, q_3; \dots; (b_{rj}, \beta'_{rj}, \dots, \beta''_{rj}, \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. (a_{rj}, \alpha'_{rj}, \dots, \alpha''_{rj}, 0, \dots, 0); (a'_{rj}, \alpha'_{rj}) 1, p_1; \dots; (a''_{rj}, \alpha''_{rj}) 1, p^{(\eta)}; \dots; \dots; \dots \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. 0, \dots, 0 \right) 1, q_r \left. D_3, D_4, (b'_j; \beta'_j) 1, q^1; (b''_j; \beta''_j) 1, q^{(\eta)}; (0,1); \dots; (0,1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

(3.1)

valid under the same conditions as needed for integral (2.1)

Where

$$I_1 = (y - m)^{a+b-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (b_j m + q_j)^{\rho_j} \right\},$$

$$I_2 = (y - m)^{\lambda \eta_{g,k}} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j m + q_j)^{\sigma_j \eta_{g,k}} \right\},$$

$$I_3 = (y - m)^{(c^\zeta)} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j m + q_j)^{\nu_j s} \right\}$$

$$D_1 = [1 - a - \lambda \eta_{g,k} - c \zeta : \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r, 1, \dots, 1],$$

$$D_2 = [1 + \rho_j + \sigma_j \eta_{g,k} + \nu_j \zeta : c'_j, \dots, c_i^{(\eta)}, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0] 1, h$$

$$D_3 = [1 + \rho_j + \sigma_j \eta_{g,k} + \nu_j \zeta : c'_j, \dots, c_i^{(\eta)}, 0, \dots, 0] 1, h$$

$$D_4 = [1 - a - b - \lambda \eta_{g,k} - c \zeta : \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r, 1, \dots, 1].$$

$$Y_1 = \begin{cases} W_1 (y - m)^{\gamma_1} / \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j m + q_j)^{c'_j} \\ \vdots \\ W_r (y - m)^{\gamma_r} / \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j m + q_j)^{c'^r_j} \end{cases}$$

and $Y_2 = \begin{cases} (y - m)p_1 / (p_1 m + q_1) \\ \vdots \\ (y - m)p_h / (p_h m + q_h) \end{cases}$

4 Special Cases

(i) if we put $m' = 1, z = 1$ and

$$A_{N'}, r = \frac{(\alpha + 1)_N (\alpha + \beta + N' + 1)_s}{(\alpha + 1)_\zeta N'!} \quad \text{in (3.1)}$$

We get the following theorem involving Jacobi Polynomial [4,p.677, eqn.(4.1)]

Corollary

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } f(u) &= (u - m)^{\alpha-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\rho_j} \right\} \\ &\cdot \bar{H}_{P, Q}^{M, N} \left[x (u - m)^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\sigma_j} \right] \\ &\cdot P_N^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left[1 - 2 (u - m)^c \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{\gamma_j} \right] \\ &\cdot I \left[\begin{array}{l} W_1 (u - m)^{\gamma_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{-c'_j} \\ \vdots \\ W_r (u - m)^{\gamma_r} \prod_{j=1}^h (p_j u + q_j)^{-c'^r_j} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_m D_y^{-b} (f(y)) &= I_1 \sum_{g=0}^M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{N^1} \frac{(-1)^k \varphi(\eta_{g, k})}{k! F_g} \frac{(-N^1) m^1 s}{s!} \\ &\cdot \frac{(\alpha + 1)_N (\alpha + \beta + N' + 1)_\zeta}{(\alpha + 1)_s N'!} \cdot x^{\eta_{g, k}} z^r \cdot I_2 \cdot I_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$I \begin{array}{l} 0, n_2; 0, n_3; \dots; 0, n_r + h + 1 : (m^1, n^1); \dots; (m^{(r)}, n^{(r)}); (1, 0); \dots; (1, 0) \\ p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3; \dots; p_r + h + 1, q_r + h + 1 : (p^1, q^1); \dots; (p^{(r)}, q^{(r)}); (0, 1); \dots; (0, 1) \end{array}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} Y_1 \Big| D_1, D_2, (a_{2j}; \alpha'_{2j}; \alpha''_{2j})1, p_2; (a_{3j}; \alpha'_{3j}, \alpha''_{3j}, \alpha'''_{3j})1, p_3; \dots; \\ \vdots \\ Y_r \Big| (b_{2j}; \beta'_{2j}; \beta''_{2j})1, q_2; (b_{3j}; \beta'_{3j}; \beta''_{3j}; \beta'''_{3j})1, q_3; \dots; (b_{rj}, \beta'_{rj}, \dots, \beta^{(r)}_{rj}, \right. \\ \\ \left. (a_{rj}, \alpha'_{rj}, \dots, \alpha^{(r)}_{rj}, 0, \dots, 0)1, p_r; (a'_{rj}, \alpha'_{rj})1, p^1; \dots; (a^{(r)}_{rj}, \alpha^{(r)}_{rj})1, \right. \\ \left. p^{(r)}; \dots; \dots; \dots \right]$$

which holds true under the same conditions as (4.1) given in (3.1) and where $I_1, I_2, I_3, D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4, Y_1$ and Y_2 are the same as in (3.1).

- (ii) For $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{r-1} = 0 = p_2 = \dots = p_{r-1}$, $q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_{r-1}, N' = 0$ and $\lambda = 0, \mu = 0$, $\sigma_j \rightarrow 0$ $\gamma_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and $h = 1$ in (3.1) then we arrive at the results given by Srivastava and Hussain [6].
 - (iii) $N' = 0$ in (3.1), we get the main theorem obtained by Chaurasia and Kumar (1.2).
 - (iv) If we get $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{r-1} = 0 = p_2 = p_3 = \dots = p_{r-1} = q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_{r-1}, N' = 0$ and $\lambda = 0, \mu = 0, \sigma_j \rightarrow 0$ the results given in (2.1) and (3.1) reduces to the known results obtained by Saigo and Saxena (9)
 - (v) On specializing the parameters, we get the requests obtained by Chaurasia and Singhal [13].

References

- [1] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Okerhettinger, and F.G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions* (Vol.1), McGraw-Hill, New York, Toronto, (1953).
 - [2] A.A. Inayat–Hussian, New properties of hyper geometric series derivable from Feynman integrals, a generalization of the H–Function. *J. Phy. A: Math Gen.*, 20 (1987), 4119–4128
 - [3] A.P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov and O.I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series* (Vol. I), Elementary functions, Gordon and Breach, New York–London–Paris–Montreux–Tokyo, (1986)
 - [4] H. Singh, Finite integrals and fourier series involving general polynomial and the multivariable H–Function, *Acta Ciencia Indica.*, XXX M(4) (2004), 673
 - [5] H.M. Srivastava, K.C. Gupta and S.P. Goyal, *The H–Functions of One and Two Variables with Applications*, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi–Madras, (1982).
 - [6] H.M. Srivastava and M.A. Hussain, Fractional integration of the H–Function of several variables, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 30 (1995), 73–85.

- [7] H.M. Srivastava and M.C. Daoust, Certain generalized Neumann expansions associated with the Kampe de Feriet Function, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, 31 (1969), 449–457.
- [8] H.M. Srivastav and R. Panda, some bilateral generating functions for a class of generalized hyper geometric polynomials, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 283/284, 265–274.
- [9] M. Saigo and R.K. Saxena, Unified fractional integral formulas for the multivariable H–Functions H–Function, *J. Fract. Calc.*, 6 (1999), 91–107.
- [10] R.K. Saxena and K. Nishimoto, Fractional integral formula for the H–function, *J. Fract. Calc.*, 13 (1994), 65–74.
- [11] R.K. Saxena and M. Saigo, Fractional integral formulas for the H–function II, *J. Fract. Calc.*, 6 (1998), 37–41.
- [12] V.B.L. Chaurasia and D. Kumar, A Family of fractional integrals pertaining to multivariable I–Function, *Applied Mathematical Science*, 4(31) (2010), 1535–1545.
- [13] V.B.L Chaurasia and V.K. Singhal, Fractional integration of certain Special Functions, *TamKang J. Math.*, 35(2004) 13–22.
- [14] Y.N. Prasad, Multivariable I–function, *Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika*, (1986), 231–235.