



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 17, No. 2, August, 2013, pp.8-14

ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2013

www.i-csrs.org

Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

A Study of a New Family of Functions on the Space of Analytic Functions

Abdul Rahman S. Juma¹ and Hazha Zirar²

¹ Department of Mathematics

University of Anbar, Ramadi, Iraq

E-mail: dr_juma@hotmail.com

² Department of Mathematics, College of Science

University of Salahaddin Erbil, Kurdistan, Iraq

E-mail: hazhazirar@yahoo.com

(Received: 6-5-13 / Accepted: 15-6-13)

Abstract

By making use of a linear differential operator, we give some applications of the new families of analytic functions on the same space associated with quasi-Hadamard product in the unit disk U .

Keywords: *Analytic functions, Differential operator, Quasi-Hadamard product.*

1 Introduction

Let H be the class of functions analytic in $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $H[a, n]$ be the subclass of H consisting of functions of the form $f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + a_{n+2} z^{n+2} + \dots$. Let $A_p \subseteq H[a, n]$ denote the class of all functions of the form $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$.

Let A denote the class of functions of the form $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$ or $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$.

For functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ the Hadamard

product (or convolution) $f * g$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

see [7], for $f \in A_p$ we define the operator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_p^0(\beta, \gamma)f(z) &= f(z); \\ (p(\gamma + 1) + \beta)\Theta_p^1(\beta, \gamma)f(z) &= \beta f(z) + p(\gamma + 1)\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{p}\right); \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ \Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma)f(z) &= D(\Theta_p^{m-1}(\beta, \gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

This gives rise to

$$\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p + n - 1)(\gamma + 1)}{\beta + p(\gamma + 1)}\right)^m a_k z^k, \beta, \gamma \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

which was given for $k = p+1$ in [4]. This operator generalize certain differential operators which already exist in literature as under.

- $\beta = \lambda, \gamma = 0$ we get $\Theta_p^m(m, \lambda, 0)$ of Aghalary et al. differential operator [1].
- $\beta = \lambda, \gamma = 0$ and $p = 1$ we get Cho-Kim [2] and Cho-Srivastava [3] differential operator.
- $\beta = 1, \gamma = 0$ and $p = 1$ we get Uralegaddi and Somanatha differential operator [9].
- $\beta = 0, \gamma = 0$ and $p = 1$ we get Salagean differential operator [6].
- $\beta = l, \gamma = 0$ and $p = 1$ we get Kumar et al. differential operator [5] and Srivastava et al. differential operator [8].

Note that

$$(\gamma + 1)z(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma)f(z))' = (p(\gamma + 1) + \beta)\Theta_p^{m+1}(\beta, \gamma)f(z) - \beta\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma)f(z).$$

Throughout this paper, we consider the functions of the form as follow

$$f(z) = a_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (a_1 > 0, a_n \geq 0), \quad (2)$$

$$f_i(z) = a_{1,i}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,i}z^n, (a_{1,i} > 0, a_{n,i} \geq 0), \quad (3)$$

$$g(z) = b_1z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_nz^n, (b_1 > 0, b_n \geq 0), \quad (4)$$

$$g_j(z) = b_{1,j}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n,j}z^n, (b_{1,j} > 0, b_{n,j} \geq 0), \quad (5)$$

be regular and univalent in the unit disc $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

For $0 \leq \rho < 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $\eta \geq 0$, we let $\mathfrak{U}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ denote the class of functions f defined by (2) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\Re\left\{\frac{z(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))'}{(1-\rho)(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma)) + \rho z(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))'} - \delta\right\} > \eta\left\{\frac{z(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))'}{(1-\rho)(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma)) + \rho z(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))'} - 1\right\}.$$

Also let $\mathfrak{E}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ denote the class of functions f defined by (2) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\Re\left\{\frac{(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))' + z(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))''}{(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))' + \rho z(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))''} - \delta\right\} > \eta\left\{\frac{(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))' + z(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))''}{(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))' + \rho z(\Theta_p^m(\beta, \gamma))''} - 1\right\}.$$

A function $f \in \mathfrak{U}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1, \eta \geq 0$) if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)}\right)^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] a_{n,i} \leq (1-\delta)|a_{1,i}|,$$

and $f \in \mathfrak{E}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1, \eta \geq 0$) if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)}\right)^{k+1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] a_{n,i} \leq (1-\delta)|a_{1,i}|.$$

A function f which is analytic in \mathcal{U} belonging to the class $\mathfrak{M}_s(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)}\right)^s [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] a_{n,i} \leq (1-\delta)|a_{1,i}|, \quad (6)$$

where $0 \leq \rho < 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1, \eta \geq 0$ and s is any fixed nonnegative real number. For $s = k$ and $s = k + 1$, it is identical to the family of functions denoted by $\mathfrak{U}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ and $\mathfrak{E}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ respectively. Further, for any positive integer $s > h > h - 1 > \dots > k + 1 > k$, we have the inclusion relation

$$\mathfrak{U}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta) \subseteq \mathfrak{E}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_h(k, \rho, \delta, \eta) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_s(k, \rho, \delta, \eta).$$

The class $\mathfrak{M}_s(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ is nonempty for any nonnegative real number s as the functions of the form

$$f(z) = a_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(\beta + p(\gamma + 1))^s (1 - \delta)}{(\beta + (p + n - 1)(\gamma + 1))^s [n(1 + \eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)]} \right) \lambda_n z^n,$$

where $a_1 > 0$, $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n \leq 1$; satisfy the inequality (6).

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1: *Let the functions f_i defined by (3) belonging to the family of functions $\mathfrak{E}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ defined on space of analytic functions for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then quasi-Hadamard product of $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r$ belongs to the family $\mathfrak{M}_{r(k+2)-1}(n, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ on same space of analytic functions.*

Proof: Since $f_i \in \mathfrak{E}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$, implies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p + n - 1)(\gamma + 1)}{\beta + p(\gamma + 1)} \right)^{k+1} [n(1 + \eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)] a_{n,i} \leq (1 - \delta) |a_{1,i}|, \tag{7}$$

implies

$$|a_{n,i}| \leq \left(\frac{\beta + (p + n - 1)(\gamma + 1)}{\beta + p(\gamma + 1)} \right)^{-k-2} |a_{1,i}|, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, r. \tag{8}$$

Using (7) as well as (8) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$, implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p + n - 1)(\gamma + 1)}{\beta + p(\gamma + 1)} \right)^{r(k+2)-1} [n(1 + \eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)] \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} |a_{n,i}| &\leq \\ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p + n - 1)(\gamma + 1)}{\beta + p(\gamma + 1)} \right)^{k+1} [n(1 + \eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)] |a_{n,r}| \prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| &= \\ (1 - \delta) \prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r \in \mathfrak{M}_{r(k+2)-1}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta).$$

Hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.2: *Let the functions f_i defined by (3) belonging to the family of functions $\mathfrak{U}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ defined on space of analytic functions for all $i =$*

$1, 2, \dots, r$. Then quasi-Hadamard product of $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r$ belongs to the family $\mathfrak{M}_{r(k+1)-1}(n, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ on the same space of analytic functions.

Proof: Using the same techniques of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we proved that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)} \right)^{r(k+1)-1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] \prod_{n=1}^r |a_{n,i}| &\leq \\ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)} \right)^{k+1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] |a_{n,r}| \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} |a_{1,i}| &= \\ (1-\delta) \prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r \in \mathfrak{M}_{r(k+1)-1}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta).$$

Hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.3: Let the functions f_i defined by (3) belonging to the family $\mathfrak{E}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ of functions on space of analytic functions for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and let g_i defined by (5) belonging to family $\mathfrak{U}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ of functions on space of analytic functions for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. Then quasi-Hadamard product of $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q$ belongs to the class $\mathfrak{M}_{r(k+2)+q(k+1)-1}(n, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ on the same space of analytic functions.

Proof: Let us denote $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q$ by H . Then

$$H(z) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{1,j}| \right] z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{n,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{n,j}| \right] z^n.$$

Since $f_i \in \mathfrak{E}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ and $g_j \in \mathfrak{U}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$, implies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)} \right)^{k+1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] |a_{n,i}| \leq (1-\delta) |a_{1,i}|, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n,i}| &\leq \frac{(\beta + p(\gamma+1))^{k+1} (1-\delta) |a_{1,i}|}{(\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1))^{k+1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)]}, \\ |a_{n,i}| &\leq \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)} \right)^{-k-2} |a_{1,i}|, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, r. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$|b_{n,i}| \leq \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)} \right)^{-k-1} |a_{b,i}|, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (10)$$

Also

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)} \right)^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] |b_{n,j}| \leq (1-\delta) |b_{1,j}|. \tag{11}$$

Using (9), (11) and (10) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r, j = q$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$ respectively. We have (consider $t = r(k+2) + q(k+1) - 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)} \right)^t [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{n,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{n,j}| \right] \leq \\ & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)} \right)^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] |b_{n,q}| \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} |b_{1,j}| \right] = \\ & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + (p+n-1)(\gamma+1)}{\beta + p(\gamma+1)} \right)^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] |b_{n,q}| \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} |b_{1,j}| \right] \leq \\ & (1-\delta) \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{1,j}| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q \in \mathfrak{M}_{r(k+2)+q(k+1)-1}(n, \rho, \delta, \eta).$$

Hence the proof is complete.

References

- [1] R. Aghalary, R.M. Ali, S.B. Joshi and V. Ravichandran, Inequalities for analytic functions defined by certain linear operators, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 4(2005), 267-274.
- [2] N.E. Cho and T.H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly close to convex functions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 40(2003), 399-410.
- [3] N.E. Cho and H.M. Srivastava, Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, *Math. Comput. Modeling*, 37(2003), 39-49.
- [4] I. Faisal, Z. Shareef and M. Darus, A study of a new subclass of multivalent analytic functions, *Revista Notas de Mathematica*, 7(1)(39) (2011), 101-110.

- [5] S.S. Kumar, H.C. Taneja and V. Ravichandran, Classes multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformations, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 46(2006), 97-109.
- [6] G.S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functiond, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, Heideberg, 1013(1983), 362-372.
- [7] R.K. Sharma and D. Singh, An inequality of subclasses of univalent functions related to complex order by convolution method, *Gen. Math. Notes*, 3(2) (2011), 59-65.
- [8] H.M. Srivastava, K. Suchithra, B.A. Stephen and S. Sivasubramanian, Inclusion and neighborgood properties of certain subclasses of multivalent functions of complex order, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7(5) (2006), 1-8.
- [9] B.A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of univalent functions, In: *Current Topics in Analytic Functions Theory*, (eds.) H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, World Scientific Company, Singapore, (1992).