



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 25, No. 1, November 2014, pp.19-26
ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2014
www.i-csrs.org
Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

Notes on Some Schatten's Equation

Carlos C. Peña

UNCPBA, FCExactas
Departamento de Matemáticas, NUCOMPA
E-mail: ccpenia@gmail.com

(Received: 3-6-14 / Accepted: 22-7-14)

Abstract

We consider Schatten's equation in connection with a Banach algebra and Banach modules. From this connection we infer a new proof of the fact that the second action of a bounded derivation is also a bounded derivation.

Keywords: *Schatten equation, Arens transpose of a bounded bilinear map, Arens products.*

1 Preliminaries and Notation

Throughout this article let X be a Banach bimodule over a complex Banach algebra \mathcal{U} . Let $\mathcal{B}_l(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}_r(X)$ be the Banach algebras of bounded linear operators on X , endowed with the usual composition of operators or reverse composition respectively. Consequently there are contractive homomorphisms $\lambda : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_l(X)$ and $\rho : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_r(X)$ so that $\lambda(a)$ and $\rho(b)$ commutes whenever $a, b \in \mathcal{U}$. If $a \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in X$ we shall write $\lambda(a)(x) = ax$ and $\rho(a)(x) = xa$ and also

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_l & : \mathcal{U} \times X \rightarrow X, \pi_l(a, x) = ax, \\ \pi_r & : X \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X, \pi_r(x, a) = xa.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the above bilinear maps depends intrinsically of λ and ρ and they lay the way in which \mathcal{U} acts on X at each side. As usual, $\mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}, X)$ will be the Banach space of continuous derivations d from \mathcal{U} into X , i.e. bounded linear operators $d : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ that verifies the Leibnitz rule: $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b)$ for any $a, b \in \mathcal{U}$.

Let us consider the Arens adjoints of π_l and π_r , say

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_l^* : X^* \times \mathcal{U} &\rightarrow X^*, \pi_l^{**} : X^{**} \times X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^*, \pi_l^{***} : \mathcal{U}^{**} \times X^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}, \\ \pi_r^* : X^* \times X &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}^*, \pi_r^{**} : \mathcal{U}^{**} \times X^* \rightarrow X^*, \pi_r^{***} : X^{**} \times \mathcal{U}^{**} \rightarrow X^{**},\end{aligned}$$

so that for $a \in \mathcal{U}$, $a^{**} \in \mathcal{U}^{**}$, $x \in X$, $x^* \in X^*$ and $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, \pi_l^*(x^*, a) \rangle &= \langle \pi_l(a, x), x^* \rangle = \langle ax, x^* \rangle = \langle x, x^*a \rangle, \\ \langle a, \pi_l^{**}(x^{**}, x^*) \rangle &= \langle \pi_l^*(x^*, a), x^{**} \rangle = \langle x^*a, x^{**} \rangle = \langle a, x^{**}x^* \rangle, \\ \langle x^*, \pi_l^{***}(a^{**}, x^{**}) \rangle &= \langle \pi_l^{**}(x^{**}, x^*), a^{**} \rangle = \langle x^{**}x^*, a^{**} \rangle = \langle x^*, a^{**}x^{**} \rangle, \\ \langle a, \pi_r^*(x^*, x) \rangle &= \langle \pi_r(x, a), x^* \rangle = \langle xa, x^* \rangle = \langle a, x^*x \rangle, \\ \langle x, \pi_r^{**}(a^{**}, x^*) \rangle &= \langle \pi_r^*(x^*, x), a^{**} \rangle = \langle x^*x, a^{**} \rangle = \langle x, a^{**}x^* \rangle, \\ \langle x^*, \pi_r^{***}(x^{**}, a^{**}) \rangle &= \langle \pi_r^{**}(a^{**}, x^*), x^{**} \rangle = \langle a^{**}x^*, x^{**} \rangle = \langle x^*, x^{**}a^{**} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation reveals that for all $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{U}^{**}$ and $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_l^{***}(a^{**} \square b^{**}, x^{**}) &= \pi_l^{***}(a^{**}, \pi_l^{***}(b^{**}, x^{**})), \\ \pi_r^{***}(\pi_r^{***}(x^{**}, a^{**}), b^{**}) &= \pi_r^{***}(x^{**}, a^{**} \square b^{**}),\end{aligned}$$

where \square denotes the current first Arens product. Indeed,

$$\pi_l^{***}(a^{**}, \pi_r^{***}(x^{**}, b^{**})) = \pi_r^{***}(\pi_l^{***}(a^{**}, x^{**}), b^{**})$$

and X^{**} becomes a $(\mathcal{U}^{**}, \square)$ -Banach bimodule. We observe that for the reverse algebra \mathcal{U}^r of \mathcal{U} we get the identities

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B}_l(X)) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}^r, \mathcal{B}_r(X)), \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B}_r(X)) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}^r, \mathcal{B}_l(X)).$$

So, by following the above lines with \mathcal{U}^r instead of \mathcal{U} we see that X^{**} admits a $((\mathcal{U}^r)^{**}, \diamond)$ -Banach bimodule structure.

2 Our Matter and Schatten's Equation

Schatten's equation is classic in the theory of tensor products of Banach spaces. It can be roughly written as $\mathcal{B}(E, X^{**}) = \mathcal{B}(E, X)^{**}$, where E and X are Banach spaces (cf. [5], pp. 40-41; [4], p. 13). For a derivation of Schatten's formula when E is finite dimensional with connections with the principle of local reflexivity the reader can see [2]. Our goal is to consider this matter in a more algebraic context, allowing E to be a Banach algebra \mathcal{U} and X a Banach \mathcal{U} -bimodule. In Th. 3.1 we shall prove the existence of a contractive homomorphism of Banach \mathcal{U} -bimodules between $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)^{**}$ and $\mathcal{B}((\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#, X^{**})$, where $\mathcal{U}^\#$ and $(\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#$ are the unitization of \mathcal{U} and $(\mathcal{U}^{**}, \square)$ respectively. Afterwards in Th. 3.3 we give a new proof of the well known fact that the second action of a bounded derivation between a Banach algebra \mathcal{U} with values in a Banach \mathcal{U} -bimodule is also a derivation (cf. [3], Prop. 1.7).

3 The Results

Theorem 3.1 *There exists a contractive bounded linear homomorphism of \mathcal{U} -Banach bimodules*

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}((\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#, X^{**}).$$

Proof: The space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)$ becomes a Banach \mathcal{U} -bimodule by defining

$$aT : b^\# \rightarrow aT(b^\#) \quad yTa : b^\# \rightarrow T(ab^\#)$$

if $a \in \mathcal{U}$, $b^\# \in \mathcal{U}^\#$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)$. Hence it is apparent that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)^{**}$ and $\mathcal{B}((\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#, X^{**})$ are Banach \mathcal{U} -bimodules.

Given $a^{**} + \alpha 1 \in (\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#$, $x^* \in X^*$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)$ let us write

$$\langle T, u(x^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rangle \triangleq \langle (T \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*), a^{**} \rangle + \alpha \langle T(1), x^* \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^\#$ is the natural injection. It is evident that $u(x^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1)$ is \mathbb{C} -linear and it is bounded because

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T, u(x^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rangle| &\leq \|a^{**}\| \|(T \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*)\| + |\alpha| \|x^*\| \|T(1)\| \\ &\leq [\|a^{**}\| \|(T \circ \mathfrak{h})^*\| + |\alpha| \|T\|] \|x^*\| \\ &\leq [\|a^{**}\| + |\alpha|] \|T\| \|x^*\| \\ &= \|a^{**} + \alpha 1\| \|T\| \|x^*\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Now, for $n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)^{**}$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(n)(a^{**} + \alpha 1) &: X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ \langle x^*, \Gamma(n)(a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rangle &\triangleq \langle u(x^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1), n \rangle \text{ if } x^* \in X^*. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

By (1) the $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)^*$ -valued map $(x^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rightarrow u(x^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1)$ is \mathbb{C} -bilinear on $X^* \times (\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#$. Further, if $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta x^* + y^*, \Gamma(n)(a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rangle &= \langle u(\beta x^* + y^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1), n \rangle \\ &= \beta \langle u(x^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1), n \rangle + \langle u(y^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1), n \rangle \\ &= \beta \langle x^*, \Gamma(n)(a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rangle + \langle y^*, \Gamma(n)(a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\Gamma(n)(a^{**} + \alpha 1)$ is \mathbb{C} -linear on X^* . Besides by (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x^*, \Gamma(n)(a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rangle| &\leq \|n\| \|u(x^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1)\| \\ &\leq \|n\| \|a^{**} + \alpha 1\| \|x^*\|, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

i.e. $\Gamma(n)(a^{**} + \alpha 1) \in X^{**}$. If $\bar{a}^\# = a^{**} + \alpha 1$, $\bar{b}^\# = b^{**} + \beta 1$ in $(\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#$, $x^* \in X^*$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^*, \Gamma(n)(\gamma \bar{a}^\# + \bar{b}^\#) \rangle &= \langle u(x^*, \gamma a^{**} + b^{**} + (\gamma\alpha + \beta)1), n \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma u(x^*, \bar{a}^\#) + u(x^*, \bar{b}^\#), n \rangle \\ &= \gamma \langle u(x^*, \bar{a}^\#), n \rangle + \langle u(x^*, \bar{b}^\#), n \rangle \\ &= \gamma \langle x^*, \Gamma(n)(\bar{a}^\#) \rangle + \langle x^*, \Gamma(n)(\bar{b}^\#) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\Gamma(n)$ is \mathbb{C} -linear on $(\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#$. By (4) $\Gamma(n) \in \mathcal{B}((\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#, X^{**})$ since

$$\|\Gamma(n)(a^{**} + \alpha 1)\| \leq \|n\| \|a^{**} + \alpha 1\| \text{ for any } a^{**} + \alpha 1 \in (\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#. \quad (5)$$

Hence Γ is well defined, its linearity is immediate by (3) and it is contractive as follows by (5).

With the above notation let $a^{**} = w^*\text{-}\lim_{j \in J} \chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a_j)$ for some bounded net $\{a_j\}_{j \in J}$ of \mathcal{U} , where $\chi_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{**}$ is the natural immersion of \mathcal{U} into \mathcal{U}^{**} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, u(x^*, a^{**} + \alpha 1) a \rangle &= \langle aT, u(a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rangle \\ &= \langle ((aT) \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*), a^{**} \rangle + \alpha \langle (aT)(1), x^* \rangle \\ &= \lim_{j \in J} \langle a_j, ((aT) \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*) \rangle + \alpha \langle aT(1), x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle (T \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^* a), a^{**} \rangle + \alpha \langle T(1), x^* a \rangle \\ &= \langle T, u(x^* a, a^{**} + \alpha 1) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is arbitrary we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^*, \Gamma(an)(\bar{a}^\#) \rangle &= \langle u(x^*, \bar{a}^\#), an \rangle \\ &= \langle u(x^*, \bar{a}^\#) a, n \rangle \\ &= \langle u(x^* a, \bar{a}^\#), n \rangle \\ &= \langle x^* a, \Gamma(n)(\bar{a}^\#) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^*, a\Gamma(n)(\bar{a}^\#) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^*, (a\Gamma(n))(\bar{a}^\#) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, if $x^* \in X^*$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^*, (T \circ \mathfrak{h})^{**}(\chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a)) \rangle &= \langle (T \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*), \chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, (T \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*) \rangle \\ &= \langle T(\mathfrak{h}(a)), x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle (Ta)(1), x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle x^*, \chi_X((Ta)(1)) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(T \circ \mathfrak{h})^{**} (\chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a)) = \chi_X((Ta)(1)).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, u(x^*, aa^{**} + \alpha\chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a)) \rangle &= \langle (T \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*), aa^{**} + \alpha\chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle (T \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*)a, a^{**} \rangle + \alpha \langle x^*, (T \circ \mathfrak{h})^{**}(\chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a)) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{j \in J} \langle T(\mathfrak{h}(aa_j), x^*) + \alpha \langle x^*, \chi_X((Ta)(1)) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{j \in J} \langle ((Ta) \circ \mathfrak{h})(a_j), x^* \rangle + \alpha \langle (Ta)(1), x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle ((Ta) \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*), a^{**} \rangle + \alpha \langle (Ta)(1), x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle Ta, u(x^*, \bar{a}^{\#}) \rangle \\ &= \langle T, au(x^*, \bar{a}^{\#}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$au(x^*, \bar{a}^{\#}) = u(x^*, aa^{**} + \alpha\chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a)).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^*, \Gamma(na)(\bar{a}^{\#}) \rangle &= \langle u(x^*, \bar{a}^{\#}), na \rangle & (7) \\ &= \langle u(x^*, aa^{**} + \alpha\chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a)), n \rangle \\ &= \langle x^*, \Gamma(n)(aa^{**} + \alpha\chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a)) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^*, \Gamma(n)(a\bar{a}^{\#}) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^*, (\Gamma(n)a)(\bar{a}^{\#}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since (6) and (7) hold for all $x^* \in X^*$ and $\bar{a}^{\#} \in (\mathcal{U}^{**})^{\#}$ then Γ is a homomorphism of Banach \mathcal{U} -bimodules.

Remark 3.2 Let $\mathfrak{K} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^{\#}, X)$, $\mathfrak{K}(x)(a^{\#}) = xa^{\#}$ if $x \in X$, $a^{\#} \in \mathcal{U}^{\#}$. It is worth mentioning that a bounded linear operator $t : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ is a derivation if and only if $\mathfrak{K} \circ t = \delta_{t^{\#}}$, where $t^{\#} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^{\#}, X)$ is the extension of t to $\mathcal{U}^{\#}$ so that $t^{\#}(1) = 0$ and

$$\delta_{t^{\#}} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^{\#}, X), \delta_{t^{\#}}(a) = t^{\#}a - at^{\#} \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{U},$$

is the inner derivation implemented by $t^{\#}$. (cf. [3], Prop. 1.1).

Theorem 3.3 (i) Let $\mathfrak{K} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^{\#}, X)$ so that $\mathfrak{K}(x)(a^{\#}) = xa^{\#}$ if $x \in X$ and $a^{\#} \in \mathcal{U}^{\#}$. Then \mathfrak{K} is an isometric homomorphism of Banach \mathcal{U} -bimodules and

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{K}^{**}(x^{**}))(\bar{a}^{\#}) = x^{**}\bar{a}^{\#} \text{ if } x^{**} \in X^{**}, \bar{a}^{\#} \in (\mathcal{U}^{**})^{\#}. \quad (8)$$

(ii) If $d \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}, X)$ then $d^{**} \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}^{**}, X^{**})$.

(iii) If Γ is injective then

$$\{t \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}, X) : t^{**} \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}^{**}, X^{**})\} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}, X).$$

Proof:

(i) The first claim is immediate. Let $\bar{a}^\# = a^{**} + \alpha 1$ in $(\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#$, $x^{**} \in X^{**}$, say $a^{**} = w^*\text{-}\lim_{j \in J} \chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a_j)$ and $x^{**} = w^*\text{-}\lim_{i \in I} \chi_X(x_i)$ for some bounded nets $\{a_j\}_{j \in J}$ in \mathcal{U} and $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ in X . For $x^* \in X^*$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^*, \Gamma(\mathfrak{K}^{**}(x^{**}))(\bar{a}^\#) \rangle &= \langle u(x^*, \bar{a}^\#), \mathfrak{K}^{**}(x^{**}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{K}^*(u(x^*, \bar{a}^\#)), x^{**} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{i \in I} \langle x_i, \mathfrak{K}^*(u(x^*, \bar{a}^\#)) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{i \in I} \langle \mathfrak{K}(x_i), u(x^*, \bar{a}^\#) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{i \in I} [\langle (\mathfrak{K}(x_i) \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*), a^{**} \rangle + \alpha \langle \mathfrak{K}(x_i)(1), x^* \rangle] \\ &= \lim_{i \in I} \left[\lim_{j \in J} \langle a_j, (\mathfrak{K}(x_i) \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*) \rangle + \alpha \langle x_i, x^* \rangle \right] \\ &= \lim_{i \in I} \lim_{j \in J} \langle x_i a_j, x^* \rangle + \alpha \langle x^*, x^{**} \rangle \\ &= \langle x^*, x^{**} \bar{a}^\# \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If we write $\bar{\mathfrak{K}} = \Gamma \circ \mathfrak{K}$ given $d \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}, X)$ by (i) and Remark 3.2 we have

$$\bar{\mathfrak{K}} \circ d^{**} = (\Gamma \circ \mathfrak{K}^{**}) \circ d^{**} = \Gamma \circ (\mathfrak{K}^{**} \circ d^{**}) = \Gamma \circ (\mathfrak{K} \circ d)^{**} = \Gamma \circ \delta_{d^\#}^{**}.$$

Thus the result will follow once we prove that

$$\Gamma \circ \delta_{d^\#}^{**} = \delta_{(d^{**})^\#}. \quad (9)$$

For, let $a^{**} \in \mathcal{U}^{**}$, $\bar{b}^\# \in (\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#$, $\bar{b}^\# = b^{**} + \beta 1$. Further, let

$$a^{**} = w^*\text{-}\lim_{i \in I} \chi_{\mathcal{U}}(a_i) \quad \text{and} \quad b^{**} = w^*\text{-}\lim_{j \in J} \chi_{\mathcal{U}}(b_j)$$

for some bounded nets $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{b_j\}_{j \in J}$ of \mathcal{U} . If $x^* \in X^*$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^*, \Gamma(\delta_{d^\#}^{**}(a^{**}))(\bar{b}^\#) \rangle &= \langle u(x^*, \bar{b}^\#), \delta_{d^\#}^{**}(a^{**}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{i \in I} \langle a_i, \delta_{d^\#}^* [u(x^*, \bar{b}^\#)] \rangle \\ &= \lim_{i \in I} \langle \delta_{d^\#}(a_i), u(x^*, \bar{b}^\#) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{i \in I} [\langle (\delta_{d^\#}(a_i) \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*), b^{**} \rangle + \beta \langle \delta_{d^\#}(a_i)(1), x^* \rangle] \\
&= \lim_{i \in I} \left[\lim_{j \in J} \langle b_j, (\delta_{d^\#}(a_i) \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*) \rangle + \beta \langle d(a_i), x^* \rangle \right] \\
&= \lim_{i \in I} \lim_{j \in J} \langle d(a_i b_j) - a_i d(b_j), x^* \rangle + \beta \langle d^*(x^*), a^{**} \rangle \\
&= \lim_{i \in I} \lim_{j \in J} \langle b_j, d^*(x^*) a_i - d^*(x^* a_i) \rangle + \beta \langle d^*(x^*), a^{**} \rangle \\
&= \lim_{i \in I} \langle d^*(x^*) a_i - d^*(x^* a_i), b^{**} \rangle + \beta \langle d^*(x^*), a^{**} \rangle \\
&= \langle b^{**} d^*(x^*) - d^{**}(b^{**}) x^*, a^{**} \rangle + \beta \langle d^*(x^*), a^{**} \rangle \\
&= \langle d^*(x^*), a^{**} \square b^{**} \rangle + \langle \beta d^*(x^*) - d^{**}(b^{**}) x^*, a^{**} \rangle \\
&= \langle x^*, d^{**}(a^{**} \square b^{**} + \beta a^{**}) - a^{**} d^{**}(b^{**}) \rangle \\
&= \left\langle x^*, \left[(d^{**})^\# a^{**} - a^{**} (d^{**})^\# \right] (\bar{b}^\#) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle x^*, \delta_{(d^{**})^\#}(a^{**}) (\bar{b}^\#) \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and (9) holds.

(iii) Let $t \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}, X)$ so that $t^{**} \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}^{**}, X^{**})$. For $a \in \mathcal{U}$ let us write $T_a = \delta_{t^\#}(a) - \mathfrak{K}(t(a))$. Given $\bar{b}^\# \in (\mathcal{U}^{**})^\#$ as above and $x^* \in X^*$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x^*, \Gamma(\chi_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)}(T_a))(\bar{b}^\#) \right\rangle &= \left\langle u(x^*, \bar{b}^\#), \chi_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)}(T_a) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle T_a, u(x^*, \bar{b}^\#) \right\rangle \\
&= \langle (T_a \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*), b^{**} \rangle \\
&= \lim_{j \in J} \langle b_j, (T_a \circ \mathfrak{h})^*(x^*) \rangle \\
&= \lim_{j \in J} \langle t(ab_j) - at(b_j) - t(a)b_j, x^* \rangle \\
&= \langle t^*(x^*)a - t^*(x^*a) - x^*t(a), b^{**} \rangle \\
&= \langle x^*, t^{**}(ab^{**}) - at^{**}(b^{**}) \rangle - \langle x^*t(a), b^{**} \rangle \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Gamma(\chi_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)}(T_a)) = 0$ and as Γ and $\chi_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}^\#, X)}$ are injective our claim follows by Remark 3.2.

References

- [1] H.G. Dales, F. Ghahramani and N. Grømbæk, Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras, *Studia Mathematica*, 128(1998), 19-54.

- [2] D.W. Dean, The equation $L(E, X^{**}) = L(E, X)^{**}$ and the principle of local reflexivity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 40(1) (1973), 146-148
- [3] N. Grønbæk, A characterization of weakly amenable Banach algebras, *Studia Mathematica*, T. XCIV(1989), 149-162.
- [4] A. Grothendieck, Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques, *Bull. Soc. Mat. São Paulo*, 8(1953), 1-79.
- [5] R. Schatten, A theory of cross spaces, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, Princeton University Press. Princeton, N.J., 26(1950), 40-41.