

Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 27, No. 2, April 2015, pp.69-84 ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2015 www.i-csrs.org Available free online at http://www.geman.in

Common Fixed Theorem on Intuitionistic

Fuzzy 2-Metric Spaces

Mona S. Bakry

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Shaqra University, El-Dawadmi, K. S. A. E-mail: monabak_1000@yahoo.com; mbakery@su.edu.sa

(Received: 23-2-15 / Accepted: 5-4-15)

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to prove the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point theorem for four mappings in complete intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric spaces

Keywords: Fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy 2-metric spaces, intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, common fixed point, intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric spaces.

1 Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by L. A. Zadeh [24] in 1965, which became active field of research for many researchers. In 1975, Karmosil and Michalek [16] introduced the concept of a fuzzy metric space based on fuzzy sets, this notion was further modified by George and Veermani [11] with the help of t-norms. Many authors made use of the definition of a fuzzy metric space in proving fixed point theorems. In 1976, Jungck [14] established common fixed point theorems for commuting maps generalizing the Banach's fixed point theorem. Sessa [23] defined a generalization of commutativity, which is called weak commutativity. Further Jungck [15] introduced more generalized commutativity, so called compatibility. Mishra et. al. [21] introduced the concept of compatibility in fuzzy metric spaces. Atanassov [1-8] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets to define the notion of intuionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norm and continuous t co-norm as a generalization of fuzzy metric space. Muralisankar and Kalpana [20] proved a common fixed point theorem in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space for pointwise R-weakly commuting mappings using contractive condition of integral type and established a situation in which a collection of maps has a fixed point which is a point of discontinuity. Gahler [10] introduced and studied the concept of 2-metric spaces in a series of his papers. Iseki et. al. [13] investigated, for the first time, contraction type mappings in 2-metric spaces. In 2002 Sharma [18] introduced the concept of fuzzy 2- metric spaces. Mursaleen et. al. [19] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric space. In this paper, we prove the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point theorem for four mappings in complete intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric spaces

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (17) A binary operation $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is called continuous t-norm if * is satisfying the following conditions:

(TN1) * is commutative and associative;

(TN2) * is continuous;

(TN3) a * 1 = a for all $a \in [0, 1]$;

(TN4) $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$ and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Examples of *t*-norms are a * b = ab and $a * b = min\{a, b\}$

Definition 2.2 (16) A binary operation $\diamondsuit : [0,1] \times [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is called continuous t-conorm if \diamondsuit is satisfying the following conditions:

(TCN1) \diamond is commutative and associative;

(TCN2) \diamondsuit is continuous;

(TCN3) $a \diamondsuit 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;

(TCN4) $a \diamondsuit b \le c \diamondsuit d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$ and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3 (16) A fuzzy metric space (shortly, FM-space) is a triple (X, M, *), where X is a nonempty set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions : for all $x, y, z \in X$ and s, t > 0,

(FM1) M(x, y, 0) = 0

- (FM2) M(x, y, t) = 1, for all t > 0 if and only if x = y,
- (FM3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),
- (FM4) $M(x, y, t+s) \ge M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, s),$
- (FM5) $M(x, y, .) : [0, 1) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous.

Note that M(x, y, t) can be thought of as the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t. We identify x = y with M(x, y, t) = 1 for all t > 0 and M(x, y, t) = 0 with ∞ .

Definition 2.4 (9) The 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamondsuit)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (shortly, IFM-space) if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm, \diamondsuit is a continuous t-conorm, and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (IFM1) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \le 1;$
- (IFM2) M(x, y, 0) = 0;
- (IFM3) M(x, y, t) = 1, for all t > 0 if and only if x = y;
- (IFM4) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t);

(IFM5) $M(x, y, t+s) \ge M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and s, t > 0;

- (IFM6) $M(x, y, .) : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous.
- (IFM7) $\lim_{x \to \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (IFM8) N(x, y, 0) = 1;

(IFM9) N(x, y, t) = 0, for all t > 0 if and only if x = y;

(IFM10)
$$N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$$
;

(IFM11) $N(x, z, t+s) \leq N(x, y, t) \Diamond N(y, z, s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and s, t > 0;

(IFM12) $N(x, y, .) : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous.

(IFM13) $\lim_{x \to \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$;

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X.

The function M(x, y, t) and N(x, y, t) denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x and y with respect to t respectively. **Remark 2.5** Every fuzzy metric (X, M, *) is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form $(X, M, 1 - M, *, \diamondsuit)$ such that t-norm * and t-conorm \diamondsuit are associated [12] i.e., $x \diamondsuit y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y))$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Remark 2.6 In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X, M(x, y, .) is non-decreasing and N(x, y, .) is non-increasing for any $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.7 (10) A 2-metric space is a set X with a real-valued function d on X^3 satisfying the following conditions:

- (2M1) For distinct elements $x, y \in X$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$.
- (2M2) d(x, y, z) = 0 if at least two of x, y and z are equal.
- (2M3) d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, z, x) for all $x, y, z \in X$.
- $(2M4) \ d(x, y, z) \le d(x, y, w) + d(x, w, z) + d(w, y, z) \quad \forall \ x, y, z, w \in X.$

The function d is called a 2-metric for the space X and the pair (X, d) denotes a 2-metric space. It has shown by Gähler [10] that a 2-metric d is non-negative and although d is a continuous function of any one of its three arguments, it need not be continuous in two arguments. A 2-metric d which is continuous in all of its arguments is said to be continuous.

Geometrically a 2-metric d(x, y, z) represents the area of a triangle with vertices x, y and z.

Example 2.8 Let $X = \Re^3$ and let d(x, y, z) is the area of the triangle spanned by x, y and z which may be given explicitly by the formula, $d(x, y, z) = [x_1(y_2z_3 - y_3z_2) - x_2(y_1z_3 - y_3z_1) + x_3(y_1z_2 - y_2z_1)]$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3), z = (z_1, z_2, z_3)$. Then (X, d) is a 2-metric space.

Definition 2.9 (18) The 3-tuple (X, M, N, *) is said to be a fuzzy 2-metric space (shortly, F2M-space) if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm, and M is fuzzy sets on $X^3 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all $x, y, z, u \in X$ and r, s, t > 0.

- (IFM2) M(x, y, z, 0) = 0,
- (IFM3) M(x, y, z, t) = 1, if and only if at least two of the three points are equal,
- (IFM4) M(x, y, z, t) = M(x, z, y, t) = M(y, z, x, t).(Symmetry about first three variables)

- (IFM5) $M(x, y, z, r + s + t) \ge M(x, y, u, r) * M(x, u, z, s) * M(u, y, z, t)$. (This corresponds to tetrahedron inequality in 2-metric space, the function value M(x, y, z, t) may be interpreted as the probability that the area of triangle is less than t.)
- (IFM6) $M(x, y, z, .) : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous.

Definition 2.10 (19) The 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamondsuit)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric space (shortly, IF2M-space) if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm, \diamondsuit is a continuous t-conorm, and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^3 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- for all $x, y, z, w \in X$ and r, s, t > 0.
- (IF2M1) $M(x, y, z, t) + N(x, y, z, t) \le 1$,
- (IF2M2) given distinct elements x, y, z of X there exists an element z of X such that M(x, y, z, 0) = 0,
- (IF2M3) M(x, y, z, t) = 1, if at least two of x, y, z of X are equal,
- (IF2M4) M(x, y, z, t) = M(x, z, y, t) = M(y, z, x, t),

(IF2M5) $M(x, y, z, r + s + t) \ge M(x, y, w, r) * M(x, w, z, s) * M(w, y, z, t)$;

- (IF2M6) $M(x, y, z, .) : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous,
- (IF2M7) N(x, y, z, 0) = 1,

(IF2M8) N(x, y, z, t) = 0, if at least two of x, y, z of X are equal,

- (IF2M9) N(x, y, z, t) = N(x, z, y, t) = N(y, z, x, t),
- (IF2M10) $N(x, y, z, r+s+t) \leq N(x, y, w, r) \Diamond N(x, w, z, s) \Diamond N(w, y, z, t)$;
- (IF2M11) $N(x, y, z, .) : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous,

In this case (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric on X. The function M(x, y, z, t) and N(y, x, z, t) denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x, y and z with respect to t, respectively.

Example 2.11 Let (X, d) be a 2-metric space. Denote a * b = ab and $a \diamondsuit b = min\{1, a + b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and M_d and N_d be fuzzy sets on $X^3 \times [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$M_d(x, y, z, t) = \frac{ht^n}{ht^n + md(x, y, z)}, N_d(x, y, z, t) = \frac{d(x, y, z)}{kt^n + md(x, y, z)}$$

for all $h, k, m, n \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $(X, M_d, N_d, *, \diamondsuit)$ is IF2M-space.

Definition 2.12 Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an IF2M-space.

- (a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in IF2M-space X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ (denoted by $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \to x$) if for any $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and t > 0, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$ and $a \in X$, $M(x_n, x, a, t) > 1 \lambda$ and $N(x_n, x, a, t) < \lambda$. That is $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x, a, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} N(x_n, x, a, t) = 0$, for $a \in X$ and t > 0.
- (b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in IF2M-space X is called a Cauchy sequence, if for any $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and t > 0, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $m, n \ge n_0$ and $a \in X$, $M(x_m, x_n, a, t) > 1 \lambda$ and $N(x_m, x_n, a, t) < \lambda$. That is $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} M(x_m, x_n, a, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} N(x_m, x_n, a, t) = 0$, for $a \in X$ and t > 0.
- (c) The IF2M-space X is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 2.13 Self mappings A and B of an IF2M-space $(X, M, N, *, \diamondsuit)$ is said be be compatible, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ABx_n, BAx_n, a, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} N(ABx_n, BAx_n, a, t) = 0$ for all $a \in X$ and t > 0, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$

3 Main Results

Lemma 3.1 Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an IF2M-space. Then M(x, y, z, t) is non-decreasing and N(x, y, z, t) is non-increasing for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Proof: Let s, t > 0 be any points such that t > s. $t = s + \frac{t-s}{2} + \frac{t-s}{2}$. Hence we have

$$N(x, y, z, t) = N(x, y, z, s + \frac{t - s}{2} + \frac{t - s}{2})$$

$$\leq N(x, y, z, s) \Diamond N(x, z, z, \frac{t - s}{2}) \Diamond N(z, y, z, \frac{t - s}{2})$$

$$= N(x, y, z, s)$$

Thus N(x, y, z, t) < N(x, y, z, s). Similarly, M(x, y, z, t) > M(x, y, z, s). Therefore, M(x, y, z, t) is non-decreasing and N(x, y, z, t) is non-increasing.

From Lemma 3.1, let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an IF2M-space with the following conditions:

$$lim_{_{t\to\infty}}M(x,y,z,t)=1, \quad lim_{_{t\to\infty}}N(x,y,z,t)=0$$

Lemma 3.2 Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamondsuit)$ be an *IF2M*-space. If there exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x, y, z, qt + 0) \ge M(x, y, z, t)$ and $N(x, y, z, qt + 0) \le N(x, y, z, t)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $z \ne x, z \ne y$ and t > 0. Then x = y.

Proof: Since

$$\begin{split} M(x,y,z,t) &\geq M(x,y,z,qt+0) \geq M(x,y,z,t), \quad \text{ and} \\ N(x,y,z,t) &\leq N(x,y,z,qt+0) \leq N(x,y,z,t) \end{split}$$

for all t > 0, M(x, y, z, .) and N(x, y, z, .) are constant. Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} M(x, y, z, t) = 1$, $\lim_{t \to \infty} N(x, y, z, t) = 0$. Then M(x, y, z, t) = 1 and N(x, y, z, t) = 0. Consequently, for all t > 0. Hence x = y because $z \neq x, z \neq y$.

Lemma 3.3 Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an IF2M-space and let $\lim_{t\to\infty} x_n = x, \lim_{t\to\infty} y_n = y$. Then the following are satisfied for all $a \in X$ and $t \ge 0$

- (1) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf M(x_n, y_n, a, t) \ge M(x, y, a, t)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup N(x_n, y_n, a, t) \le N(x, y, a, t)$
- (2) $M(x, y, a, t+0) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} sup M(x_n, y_n, a, t)$ and $N(x, y, a, t+0) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} inf N(x_n, y_n, a, t)$

Proof: (1) For all $a \in X$ and $t \ge 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, y_n, a, t) &\geq M(x_n, y_n, x, t_1) * M(x_n, x, a, t_2) * M(x, y_n, a, t), t_1 + t_2 &= 0 \\ &\geq M(x_n, y_n, x, t_1) * M(x_n, x, a, t_2) * M(x, y_n, y, t_3) \\ &\quad * M(x, y, a, t_4) * M(y, y_n, a, t), t_3 + t_4 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} inf M(x_n, y_n, a, t) \ge 1 * 1 * 1 * M(x, y, a, t) * 1 = M(x, y, a, t)$ Also,

$$N(x_n, y_n, a, t) \leq N(x_n, y_n, x, t_1) \Diamond N(x_n, x, a, t_2) \Diamond N(x, y_n, a, t), t_1 + t_2 = 0$$

$$\leq N(x_n, y_n, x, t_1) \Diamond N(x_n, x, a, t_2) \Diamond N(x, y_n, y, t_3)$$

$$\Diamond N(x, y, a, t_4) \Diamond N(y, y_n, a, t), t_3 + t_4 = 0$$

which implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} supN(x_n, y_n, a, t) \le 0 \diamondsuit 0 \diamondsuit 0 \diamondsuit N(x, y, a, t) \diamondsuit 0 = N(x, y, a, t)$

(2) Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. For all $a \in x$ and t > 0 we have

$$M(x, y, a, t+2\epsilon) \geq M(x, y, x_n, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) * M(x, x_n, a, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) * M(x_n, y, a, t+\epsilon)$$

$$\geq M(x, y, x_n, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) * M(x, x_n, a, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) * M(x_n, y, y_n, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$$

$$* M(x_n, y_n, a, t) * M(y_n, y, a, \frac{\epsilon}{2}).$$

Consequently,

$$M(x, y, a, t+2\epsilon) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \quad sup M(x_n, y_n, a, t).$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we have

$$M(x, y, a, t+0) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} sup M(x_n, y_n, a, t).$$

Also, we have

$$N(x, y, a, t+2\epsilon) \leq N(x, y, x_n, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \Diamond N(x, x_n, a, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \Diamond N(x_n, y, a, t+\epsilon)$$

$$\leq N(x, y, x_n, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \Diamond N(x, x_n, a, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \Diamond N(x_n, y, y_n, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$$

$$\Diamond N(x_n, y_n, a, t) \Diamond N(y_n, y, a, \frac{\epsilon}{2}).$$

Consequently,

$$N(x, y, a, t+2\epsilon) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} inf N(x_n, y_n, a, t).$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we have

$$N(x, y, a, t+0) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \quad \inf N(x_n, y_n, a, t).$$

Lemma 3.4 Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamondsuit)$ be an IF2M-space and let A and B be continuous self mappings of X and [A, B] are compatible. Let x_n be a sequence in X such that $Ax_n \to z$ and $Bx_n \to z$. Then $ABx_n \to Bz$.

Proof: Since A, B are continuous maps, $ABx_n \to Az, BAx_n \to Bz$ and so, $M(ABx_n, Az, a, \frac{t}{3}) \to 1$ and $M(BAx_n, Bz, a, \frac{t}{3}) \to 1$ for all $a \in X$ and t > 0.

Since the pair [A, B] is compatible, $M(BAx_n, ABx_n, a, \frac{t}{3}) \to 1$ for all or all $a \in X$ and t > 0. Thus

$$M(ABx_n, Bz, a, t) \geq M(ABx_n, Bz, BAx_n, \frac{t}{3}) * M(ABx_n, BAx_n, a, \frac{t}{3}) * M(BAx_n, Bz, a, \frac{t}{3}) \geq M(BAx_n, Bz, ABx_n, \frac{t}{3}) * M(BAx_n, ABx_n, a, \frac{t}{3}) * M(BAx_n, Bz, a, \frac{t}{3}) \rightarrow 1$$

Also we have

$$N(ABx_n, Bz, a, t) \leq N(ABx_n, Bz, BAx_n, \frac{t}{3}) \Diamond N(ABx_n, BAx_n, a, \frac{t}{3})$$

$$\Diamond N(BAx_n, Bz, a, \frac{t}{3})$$

$$\leq N(BAx_n, Bz, ABx_n, \frac{t}{3}) \Diamond N(BAx_n, ABx_n, a, \frac{t}{3})$$

$$\Diamond N(BAx_n, Bz, a, \frac{t}{3})$$

$$\rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in X$ and t > 0. Hence $ABx_n \to Bz$.

Theorem 3.5 Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamondsuit)$ be a complete IF2M-space with continuous t-norm * and continuous t-conorm \diamondsuit . Let S and T be continuous self mappings of X. Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X if and only if there exists two self mappings A, B of X satisfying

- (1) $AX \subset TX, BX \subset SX,$
- (2) the pair $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are compatible,
- (3) there exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $x, y, a \in X$ and t > 0 $M(Ax_n, By, a, qt) \ge min\{M(Sx, Ty, a, t), M(Ax, Sx, a, t), M(By, Ty, a, t),$ $M(Ax, Ty, a, t)\}.$ $N(Ax_n, By, a, qt) \le max\{N(Sx, Ty, a, t), N(Ax, Sx, a, t), N(By, Ty, a, t),$ $N(Ax, Ty, a, t)\}.$ Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: Suppose that S and T have a (unique) common fixed point say $z \in X$. Define $A : X \to X$ be Ax = z for all $x \in X$, and $B : X \to X$ be Bx = z for all $x \in X$.

Then one can see that (1)-(3) are satisfied.

Conversely, assume that there exist two self mappings A, B of X satisfying condition (1)-(3). From condition (1) we can construct two sequences x_n and y_n of X such that $y_{2n-1} = Tx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2}$ and $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ Putting $x = x_{2n}$ and $x = x_{2n+1}$ in condition (3), we have that for all $a \in X$ and t > 0

$$M(yx_{2n+1}, yx_{2n+2}, a, qt) = M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, a, qt)$$

$$\geq min\{M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, a, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, a, t)\}$$

Mona S. Bakry

$$M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, a, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, a, t)\} \\ \geq \min\{M(yx_{2n}, yx_{2n+1}, a, qt), M(yx_{2n+1}, yx_{2n+1}, a, qt)\}$$

and

$$N(yx_{2n+1}, yx_{2n+2}, a, qt) = N(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, a, qt)$$

$$\leq max\{N(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, a, t), N(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, a, t)$$

$$N(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, a, t), N(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, a, t)\}$$

$$\leq max\{N(yx_{2n}, yx_{2n+1}, a, qt), N(yx_{2n+1}, yx_{2n+1}, a, qt)\}$$

which implies $M(yx_{2n+1}, yx_{2n+2}, a, qt) \ge M(yx_{2n+1}, yx_{2n+1}, a, qt)$ and $N(yx_{2n+1}, yx_{2n+2}, a, qt) \le N(yx_{2n+1}, yx_{2n+1}, a, qt)$, by Lemma 3.1, Also, letting $x = x_{2n+2}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in condition (3), we have that $M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, a, qt) \ge M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, a, t)$ and $N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, a, qt) \le N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, a, t)$, for all $a \in X$ and t > 0.

In general we obtain that for all $a \in X$ and t > 0 and n = 1, 2, ...

 $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, a, qt) \ge M(y_{n-1}, y_n, a, t)$ and $N(y_n, y_{n+1}, a, qt) \le N(y_{n-1}, y_n, a, t)$. Thus, for all $a \in X$ and t > 0 and n = 1, 2, ...

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, a, t) \ge M(y_0, y_1, a, \frac{t}{q^n})$$
(3.1)

and

$$N(y_n, y_{n+1}, a, t) \le N(y_0, y_1, a, \frac{t}{q^n})$$
(3.2)

We now show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Let m > n. Then for all $a \in X$ and t > 0 we have

$$M(y_m, y_n, a, t) \geq M(y_m, y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{3}) * M(y_{n+1}, y_n, a, \frac{t}{3}) * M(y_m, y_{n+1}, a, \frac{t}{3}) \geq M(y_m, y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{3}) * M(y_{n+1}, y_n, a, \frac{t}{3}) * M(y_m, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{3^2}) * M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a, \frac{t}{3^2}) M(y_m, y_{n+2}, a, \frac{t}{3^2})$$

. .

$$M(y_m, y_{m-n}, a, \frac{t}{3^{m-n}})$$

and

.
$$N(y_m, y_{m-n}, a, \frac{t}{3^{m-n}})$$

letting $m, n \to \infty$ we have

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(y_m, y_n, a, t) = 1, \lim_{n\to\infty} N(y_m, y_n, a, t) = 0.$ Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

It follows from completeness of X that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = z$. Hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_{2n-2} = z$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_{2n-1} = z$. From Lemma 3.4, $ASx_{2n+1} = Sz$ and $BTx_{2n+1} = Tz$ (3.3)

Mean while, for all $a \in X$ with $a \neq Sz$ and $a \neq Tz$ and t > 0.

$$M(ASx_{2n+1}, BTx_{2n+1}, a, qt) \geq min\{M(SSx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), M(ASx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n+1}, a, t), M(BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), M(ASx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), M(ASx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t)\}$$

and

$$N(ASx_{2n+1}, BTx_{2n+1}, a, qt) \leq max\{N(SSx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), N(ASx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n+1}, a, t), N(BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), N(ASx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), N(ASx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t)\}.$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ and using (3.3), we have for all $a \in X$ with $a \neq Sz$ and $a \neq Tz$ and t > 0.

$$\begin{array}{ll} M(Sz,Tz,a,qt+0) & \geq & \min\{M(Sz,Tz,a,t),M(Sz,Sz,a,t), \\ & & M(Tz,Tz,a,t),M(Sz,Tz,a,t)\} \\ & & M(Sz,Tz,a,t) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} N(Sz,Tz,a,qt+0) & \leq & max\{N(Sz,Tz,a,t),N(Sz,Sz,a,t),\\ & & N(Tz,Tz,a,t),N(Sz,Tz,a,t)\}\\ & & N(Sz,Tz,a,t) \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have S z = T z (3.4) From condition (3), we get for all $a \in X$ with $a \neq Az$, $a \neq Tz$ and t > 0

$$M(Az, BTx_{2n+1}, a, qt) \geq min\{M(Sz, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), M(Az, Sz, a, t), M(BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), M(Az, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t)\}$$

and

$$N(Az, BTx_{2n+1}, a, qt) \leq max\{N(Sz, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), N(Az, Sz, a, t), N(BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t), N(Az, TTx_{2n+1}, a, t)\}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ and using condition (3), and Lemma 3.3, we have for all $a \in X$

$$\begin{array}{ll} M(Az,Tz,a,qt+0) &\geq & \min\{M(Sz,Tz,a,t),M(Az,Sz,a,t),\\ && M(Tz,Tz,a,t),M(Az,Tz,a,t)\}\\ && M(Az,Tz,a,t)\end{array}$$

and

$$N(Az, Tz, a, qt + 0) \leq max\{N(Sz, Tz, a, t), N(Az, Sz, a, t), N(Tz, Tz, a, t), N(Az, Tz, a, t), N(Az, Tz, a, t)\}$$
$$N(Az, Tz, a, t)$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have, Az = Tz (3.5) And for all $a \in X$ with $a \neq Az$ and $a \neq Bz$, and t > 0.

$$\begin{array}{lll} M(Az,Bz,a,qt) &\geq & \min\{M(Sz,Tz,a,t),M(Az,Sz,a,t),\\ && M(Bz,Tz,a,t),M(Az,Tz,a,t)\}\\ &\geq & \min\{M(Tz,Tz,a,t),M(Tz,Tz,a,t),\\ && M(Bz,Az,a,t),M(Tz,Tz,a,t)\}\\ && M(Az,Bz,a,t) \end{array}$$

and

$$N(Az, Bz, a, qt) \leq \min\{N(Sz, Tz, a, t), N(Az, Sz, a, t), \\N(Bz, Tz, a, t), N(Az, Tz, a, t)\} \\ \leq \max\{N(Tz, Tz, a, t), N(Tz, Tz, a, t), \\N(Bz, Az, a, t), N(Tz, Tz, a, t)\} \\N(Az, Bz, a, t)$$

By Lemma 3.2, Az = Bz (3.6) It follows that Az = Bz = Sz = Tz. For all $a \in X$ with $a \neq Bz$ and $a \neq z$, and t > 0.

$$M(Ax_{2n}, Bz, a, qt) \geq min\{M(Sx_{2n}, Tz, a, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, a, t), M(Bz, Tz, a, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tz, a, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tz, a, t)\}$$

and

$$N(Ax_{2n}, Bz, a, qt) \leq max\{N(Sx_{2n}, Tz, a, t), N(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, a, t), N(Bz, Tz, a, t), N(Ax_{2n}, Tz, a, t)\}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ and using (3.3) and Lemma 3.3, we have for all $a \in X$ with $a \neq Bz$, $a \neq z$ and t > 0.

$$M(z, Bz, a, qt + 0) \geq \min\{M(z, Tz, a, t), M(z, z, a, t), M(Bz, Bz, a, t), M(z, Tz, a, t)\}$$

$$\geq M(z, Tz, a, t) \geq M(z, Bz, a, t)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} N(z,Bz,a,qt+0) &\leq & max\{N(z,Tz,a,t),N(z,z,a,t),\\ && N(Bz,Bz,a,t),N(z,Tz,a,t)\}\\ &\leq & N(z,Tz,a,t) \leq N(z,Bz,a,t), \end{array}$$

and so we have, $M(z, Bz, a, qt) \ge M(z, Bz, a, t)$ and $N(z, Bz, a, qt) \le N(z, Bz, a, t)$, and hence Bz = z. Thus, z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz, and so z is a common fixed point of A, B, C and T.

For uniqueness, let w be another common fixed point of A, B, S, T. Then, for all $a \in X$ with $a \neq z$, $a \neq w$ and t > 0.

$$M(z, w, a, qt) = M(Az, Bw, a, qt)$$

$$\geq \min\{M(Sz, Tw, a, t), M(Az, Sz, a, t), \\ M(Bw, Tw, a, t), M(Az, Tw, a, t)\} \\ \geq \min\{M(z, w, a, t), M(z, z, a, t), \\ M(w, w, a, t), M(z, w, a, t)\} \\ \geq M(z, w, a, t).$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lll} N(z,w,a,qt) &=& N(Az,Bw,a,qt) \\ &\leq& max\{N(Sz,Tw,a,t),N(Az,Sz,a,t), \\ && N(Bw,Tw,a,t),N(Az,Tw,a,t)\} \\ &\leq& max\{N(z,w,a,t),N(z,z,a,t), \\ && N(w,w,a,t),N(z,w,a,t)\} \\ &\leq& N(z,w,a,t). \end{array}$$

which implies that $M(z, w, a, qt) \ge M(z, w, a, t)$ and $N(z, w, a, qt) \ge N(z, w, a, t)$, hence z = w. This complete the proof of.

References

- K. Atanassov and S. Stoeva, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Polish Symp. on Interval and Fuzzy Mathematics, Poznan Proc., August(1983), 23-26.
- [2] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets Systems, 20(1986), 87-96.
- [3] K. Atanassov, Remarks on the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 51(1) (1992), 117-118.
- [4] K. Atanassov and Ch. Georgiev, Intuitionistic fuzzy prolog, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 53(1) (1993), 121-128.
- [5] K. Atanassov, New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets Systems*, 61(1994), 137-42.
- [6] K. Atanassov, An equality between intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 79(2) (1996), 257-258.
- [7] K. Atanassov, Two theorems for intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 110(2) (2000), 267-269.
- [8] K. Atanassov, A new intuitionistic fuzzy modal operator, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, 9(2) (2003), 56-60.

- [9] A. Cihangir, T. Duran and Y. Cemil, Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Soliton and Fractals*, 29(2006), 1073-1078.
- [10] S. Gahler, 2-Metrische Raume and ihre topologische structure, Math. Nachr., 26(1983), 115-148.
- [11] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Systems*, 64(1994), 395-9.
- [12] O. Hadzic and E. Pap, *Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (2001).
- [13] K. Iseki, P.L. Sharma and B.K. Sharma, Contrative type mappings on 2-metric space, *Math. Japonica*, 21(1976), 67-70.
- [14] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83(1976), 261-263.
- [15] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 9(4) (1986), 771-779.
- [16] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, 11(1975), 326-334.
- [17] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 10(1) (1960), 313-334.
- [18] S. Sharma, On fuzzy metric spaces, Southeast Asian Bull. of Math, 26(1) (2002), 133-145.
- [19] M. Mursaleen and Q.M. Danishlohani, Baire's and Cantor's theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 42(4) (2009), 2254-2259.
- [20] S. Muralisankar and G. Kalpana, Common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using general contractive condition of integral type, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 4(11) (2009), 505-518.
- [21] S.N. Mishra, N. Sharma and S.L. Singh, Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 17(2) (1994), 253-258.
- [22] J.H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solutions and Fractals, 22(2004), 1039-1046.
- [23] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point consideration, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 32(1982), 149-153.

[24] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform Control, 189(1965), 338-353.