Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2012, Article ID 750403, 9 pages
doi:10.1155/2012/750403

Research Article

A Unique Common Triple Fixed Point Theorem for Hybrid Pair of Maps

K. P. R. Rao,[1] G. N. V. Kishore,[2] and Kenan Tas[3]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur 522510, India*
[2] *Department of Mathematics, Swarnandhra Institute of Engineering and Technology, Seetharampuram, Narspur 534 280, India*
[3] *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Cankaya University, 06810 Ankara, Turkey*

Correspondence should be addressed to Kenan Tas, kenan@cankaya.edu.tr

Received 25 June 2012; Accepted 29 August 2012

Academic Editor: Nikolaos Papageorgiou

We obtain a unique common triple fixed point theorem for hybrid pair of mappings in metric spaces. Our result extends the recent results of B. Samet and C. Vetro (2011). We also introduced a suitable example supporting our result.

1. Introduction

The study of fixed points for multivalued contraction mappings using the Hausdorff metric was initiated by Nadler [1].

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We denote $CB(X)$ the family of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X and $CL(X)$ the set of all nonempty closed subsets of X. For $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $x \in X$, we denote $D(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$. Let H be the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric d on X, that is,

$$H(A, B) = \max\left\{\sup_{x\in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y\in B} d(y, A)\right\}, \tag{1.1}$$

for every $A, B \in CB(X)$.

It is clear that for $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $a \in A$, we have $d(a, B) \le H(A, B)$.

Definition 1.1. An element $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of a set-valued mapping $T : X \to CB(X)$ if and only if $x \in Tx$.

In 1969, Nadler [1] extended the famous Banach contraction principle [2] from single-valued mapping to multivalued mapping and proved the following fixed point theorem for the multivalued contraction.

Theorem 1.2 (see, Nadler [1]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a mapping from X into $CB(X)$. Assume that there exists $c \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \le cd(x, y), \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, T has a fixed point.

Lemma 1.3 (see, Nadler [1]). *Let $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $\alpha > 1$. Then for every $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \le \alpha H(A, B)$.*

Lemma 1.4 (see, Nadler [1]). *Let $\alpha > 0$. If $A, B \in CB(X)$ with $H(A, B) \le \alpha$, then for each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \le \alpha$.*

Lemma 1.5 (see, Nadler [1]). *Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence in $CB(X)$ with $\lim_{n\to+\infty} H(A_n, A) = 0$, for $A \in CB(X)$. If $x_n \in A_n$ and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$, then $x \in A$.*

The existence of fixed points for various multivalued contractive mappings has been studied by many authors under different conditions. For details, we refer the reader to [1, 3–11] and the references therein.

The concept of coupled fixed point for multivalued mapping was introduced by Samet and Vetro [12], and later several authors, namely, Hussain and Alotaibi [13], Aydi et al. [14], and Abbas et al. [15], proved coupled coincidence point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces.

Definition 1.6 (see, Samet and Vetro [12]). Let $F : X \times X \to CL(X)$ be a given mapping. We say that $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is a coupled fixed point of F if and only if

$$x \in F(x, y), \quad y \in F(y, x). \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.7 (see, Hussain and Alotaibi [13]). Let the mappings $F : X \times X \to CB(X)$ and $g : X \to X$ be given. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called

(1) a coupled coincidence point of a pair $\{F, g\}$ if $gx \in F(x, y)$ and $gy \in F(y, x)$;

(2) a coupled common fixed point of a pair $\{F, g\}$ if $x = gx \in F(x, y)$ and $y = gy \in F(y, x)$.

Berinde and Borcut [16] introduced the concept of triple fixed points and obtained a tripled fixed point theorem for single valued map.

Now we give the following.

Definition 1.8. Let X be a nonempty set, $T : X \times X \times X \to 2^X$ (collection of all nonempty subsets of X). $f : X \to X$.

(i) The point $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ is called a tripled fixed point of T if

$$x \in T(x, y, z), \quad y \in T(y, x, y), \quad z \in T(z, y, x). \tag{1.4}$$

(ii) The point $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ is called a tripled coincident point of T and f if

$$fx \in T(x, y, z), \quad fy \in T(y, x, y), \quad fz \in T(z, y, x). \tag{1.5}$$

(iii) The point $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ is called a tripled common fixed point of T and f if

$$x = fx \in T(x, y, z), \quad y = fy \in T(y, x, y), \quad z = fz \in T(z, y, x). \tag{1.6}$$

Definition 1.9. Let $T : X \times X \times X \to 2^X$ be a multivalued map and f be a self map on X. The Hybrid pair $\{T, f\}$ is called w-compatible if $f(T(x, y, z)) \subseteq T(fx, fy, fz)$ whenever (x, y, z) is a tripled coincidence point of T and f.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $T : X \times X \times X \to CB(X)$ and $f : X \to X$ mappings satisfying*

(2.1.1) $H(T(x, y, z), T(u, v, w)) \leq jd(fx, fy) + kd(fy, fv) + ld(fz, fw)$, *for all* $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ *and* $j, k, l \in [0, 1)$ *with* $j + k + l \leq h < 1$, *where* h *is a fixed number,*

(2.1.2) $T(X \times X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$ *and* $f(X)$ *is a complete subspace of* X.

Then the maps T and f have a tripled coincidence point.

Further, T and f have a tripled common fixed point if one of the following conditions holds.

(2.1.3) *(a)* $\{T, f\}$ *is* w*-compatible, there exist* $u, v, w \in X$ *such that* $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n x = u$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n y = v$ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n z = w$, *whenever* (x, y, z) *is a tripled coincidence point of* $\{T, f\}$ *and* f *is continuous at* u, v, w.

(b) There exist $u, v, w \in X$ *such that* $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n u = x$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n v = y$ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n w = z$ *whenever* (x, y, z) *is a tripled coincidence point of* $\{T, f\}$ *and* f *is continuous at* x, y, *and* z.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$. From (2.1.2), there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, and $\{z_n\}$ in X such that $fx_{n+1} \in T(x_n, y_n, z_n)$, $fy_{n+1} \in T(y_n, x_n, y_n)$ and $fz_{n+1} \in T(z_n, y_n, x_n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$.

For simplification, denote

$$d_n^x = d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n), \qquad d_n^y = d(fy_{n-1}, fy_n), \qquad d_n^z = d(fz_{n-1}, fz_n). \tag{2.1}$$

From (2.1.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_2^x &= d(fx_1, fx_2) \\
&\le H(T(x_0, y_0, z_0), T(x_1, y_1, z_1)) + h \\
&\le jd(fx_0, fx_1) + kd(fy_0, fy_1) + ld(fz_0, fz_1) + h \\
&= jd_1^x + kd_1^y + ld_1^z + h,
\end{aligned} \tag{i}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_2^y &= d(fy_1, fy_2) \\
&\le H(T(y_0, x_0, y_0), T(y_1, x_1, y_1)) + h \\
&\le jd(fy_0, fy_1) + kd(fx_0, fx_1) + ld(fy_0, fy_1) + h \\
&= kd_1^x + (j + l)d_1^y + h,
\end{aligned} \tag{ii}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_2^z &= d(fz_1, fz_2) \\
&\le H(T(z_0, y_0, x_0), T(z_1, y_1, x_1)) + h \\
&\le jd(fz_0, fz_1) + kd(fy_0, fy_1) + ld(fx_0, fx_1) + h \\
&= ld_1^x + kd_1^y + jd_1^z + h,
\end{aligned} \tag{iii}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_3^x &= d(fx_2, fx_3) \\
&\le H(T(x_1, y_1, z_1), T(x_2, y_2, z_2)) + h^2 \\
&\le jd(fx_1, fx_2) + kd(fy_1, fy_2) + ld(fz_1, fz_2) + h^2 \\
&= jd_2^x + kd_2^y + ld_2^z + h^2 \\
&\le j\left(jd_1^x + kd_1^y + ld_1^z + h\right) + k\left(kd_1^x + (j + l)d_1^y + h\right) \\
&\quad + l\left(ld_1^x + kd_1^y + jd_1^z + h\right) + h^2 \\
&= \left(j^2 + k^2 + l^2\right)d_1^x + (2jk + 2lk)d_1^y + (2jl)d_1^z + h^2 + (j + k + l)h \\
&= \left(j^2 + k^2 + l^2\right)d_1^x + (2jk + 2lk)d_1^y + (2jl)d_1^z + 2h^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{iv}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_3^y &= d(fy_2, fy_3) \\
&\le H(T(y_1, x_1, y_1), T(y_2, x_2, y_2)) + h^2 \\
&\le jd(fy_1, fy_2) + kd(fx_1, fx_2) + ld(fy_1, fy_2) + h^2 \\
&= kd_2^x + (j + l)d_2^y + h^2 \\
&\le k\left(jd_1^x + kd_1^y + ld_1^z + h\right) + (j + l)\left(kd_1^x + (j + l)d_1^y + h\right) + h^2 \\
&= (2jk + lk)d_1^x + \left[(j + l)^2 + k^2\right]d_1^y + kld_1^z + (j + k + l)h + h^2 \\
&\le (2jk + lk)d_1^x + \left[(j + l)^2 + k^2\right]d_1^y + kld_1^z + 2h^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{v}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_3^z &= d(fz_2, fz_3) \\
&\le H(T(z_1, y_1, x_1), T(z_2, y_2, x_2)) + h^2 \\
&\le jd(fz_1, fz_2) + kd(fy_1, fy_2) + ld(fx_1, fx_2) + h^2 \\
&= jd_2^z + kd_2^y + ld_2^x + h^2 = ld_2^x + kd_2^y + jd_2^z + h^2 \\
&\le l\left(jd_1^x + kd_1^y + ld_1^z + h\right) + k\left(kd_1^x + (j+l)d_1^y + h\right) \\
&\quad + j\left(ld_1^x + kd_1^y + jd_1^z + h\right) + h^2 \\
&= \left(2jl + k^2\right)d_1^x + 2[jk + lk]d_1^y + \left(j^2 + l^2\right)d_1^z + (j+k+l)h + h^2 \\
&\le \left(2jl + k^2\right)d_1^x + 2[jk + lk]d_1^y + \left(j^2 + l^2\right)d_1^z + 2h^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{vi}
$$

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} j & k & l \\ k & j+l & 0 \\ l & k & j \end{bmatrix}$ denoted by $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ d_1 & e_1 & f_1 \\ g_1 & b_1 & h_1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Clearly, $a_1 + b_1 + c_1 = d_1 + e_1 + f_1 = g_1 + b_1 + h_1 = (j + k + l) \le h < 1$.

Then,

$$
A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} j^2 + k^2 + l^2 & 2jk + 2lk & 2jl \\ 2jk + lk & (j+l)^2 + k^2 & kl \\ 2jl + k^2 & 2jk + 2lk & j^2 + l^2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ denote } A^2 \text{ by } \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ d_2 & e_2 & f_2 \\ g_2 & b_2 & h_2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.2}
$$

It is clear that $a_2 + b_2 + c_2 = d_2 + e_2 + f_2 = g_2 + b_2 + h_2 = (j + k + l)^2 \le h^2 < 1$.

Now we prove by induction that

$$
A^n = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n \\ d_n & e_n & f_n \\ g_n & b_n & h_n \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.3}
$$

where

$$
a_n + b_n + c_n = d_n + e_n + f_n = g_n + b_n + h_n = (j + k + l)^n \le h^n < 1. \tag{2.4}
$$

Equation (2.3) is true for $n = 1, 2$.

Assume that (2.3) is true for some n. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{n+1} = A^n \cdot A &= \begin{bmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n \\ d_n & e_n & f_n \\ g_n & b_n & h_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j & k & l \\ k & j+l & 0 \\ l & k & j \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} ja_n + kb_n + lc_n & ka_n + (j+l)b_n + kc_n & la_n + jc_n \\ jd_n + ke_n + lf_n & kd_n + (j+l)e_n + kf_n & ld_n + jf_n \\ jg_n + kb_n + lh_n & kg_n + (j+l)b_n + kh_n & lg_n + jh_n \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

We have

$$a_{n+1} + b_{n+1} + c_{n+1} = (j+k+l)(a_n + b_n + c_n) = (j+k+l)^{n+1} \le h^{n+1} < 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly, we have

$$d_{n+1} + e_{n+1} + f_{n+1} = g_{n+1} + b_{n+1} + h_{n+1} = (j+k+l)^{n+1} \le h^{n+1} < 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus (2.3) is true for all $+^{\text{ve}}$ integer values of n.

Now from (i)–(vi) and continuing this process, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} d^x_{n+1} \\ d^y_{n+1} \\ d^z_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n \\ d_n & e_n & f_n \\ g_n & b_n & h_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d^x_1 \\ d^y_1 \\ d^z_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} nh^n \\ nh^n \\ nh^n \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} d^x_{n+1} &\le a_n d^x_1 + b_n d^y_1 + c_n d^z_1 + nh^n, \\ d^y_{n+1} &\le d_n d^x_1 + e_n d^y_1 + f_n d^z_1 + nh^n, \\ d^z_{n+1} &\le g_n d^x_1 + b_n d^y_1 + h_n d^z_1 + nh^n, \\ &\qquad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

For $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_m, fx_n) &\le d(fx_m, fx_{m-1}) + d(fx_{m-1}, fx_{m-2}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + d(fx_{n+2}, fx_{n+1}) + d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n) \\ &= d^x_m + d^x_{m-1} + \cdots + d^x_{n+2} + d^x_{n+1} \\ &\le a_{m-1} d^x_1 + b_{m-1} d^y_1 + c_{m-1} d^z_1 + (m-1)h^{m-1} \\ &\quad + a_{m-2} d^x_1 + b_{m-2} d^y_1 + c_{m-2} d^z_1 + (m-2)h^{m-2} \\ &\quad + \cdots + a_{n+1} d^x_1 + b_{n+1} d^y_1 + c_{n+1} d^z_1 + (n+1)h^{n+1} \\ &\quad + a_n d^x_1 + b_n d^y_1 + c_n d^z_1 + nh^n \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq (a_{m-1} + a_{m-2} + \cdots + a_{n+1} + a_n) d_1^x \\
&\quad + (b_{m-1} + b_{m-2} + \cdots + b_{n+1} + b_n) d_1^y \\
&\quad + (c_{m-1} + c_{m-2} + \cdots + c_{n+1} + c_n) d_1^z \\
&\quad + \left[(m-1) h^{m-1} + (m-2) h^{m-2} + \cdots + (n+1) h^{n+1} + n h^n \right] \\
&\leq \left(h^{m-1} + h^{m-2} + \cdots + h^{n+1} + h^n \right) \left(d_1^x + d_1^y + d_1^z \right) + \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} j h^j \\
&\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} \left(d_1^x + d_1^y + d_1^z \right) + \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} j h^j \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \\
&\quad \text{because } 0 \leq h < 1.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.10}
$$

Hence $\{fx_n\}$ is a Cauchy. Similarly, we can show that $\{fy_n\}$ and $\{fz_n\}$ are Cauchy.

Suppose $f(X)$ is complete, the sequences $\{fx_n\}$, $\{fy_n\}$, and $\{fz_n\}$ are convergent to some α, β, γ in $f(X)$, respectively. There exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that $\alpha = fx$, $\beta = fy$, and $\gamma = fz$.

Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(T(x,y,z),\alpha) &\leq d(T(x,y,z), fx_{n+1}) + d(fx_{n+1}, \alpha) \\
&\leq H(T(x,y,z), T(x_n,y_n,z_n)) + d(fx_{n+1}, \alpha) \\
&\leq jd(fx, fx_n) + kd(fy, fy_n) + ld(fz, fz_n) + d(fx_{n+1}, \alpha) \\
&= jd(\alpha, fx_n) + kd(\beta, fy_n) + ld(\gamma, fz_n) + d(fx_{n+1}, \alpha).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get $d(T(x,y,z),\alpha) \leq 0$ so that $\alpha \in T(x,y,z)$. That is, $fx \in T(x,y,z)$. Similarly, we can show that $fy \in T(y,x,y)$ and $fz \in T(z,y,x)$. Thus (x,y,z) is a tripled coincidence point of T and f. Suppose (2.1.3) (a) holds.

Since (x,y,z) is a tripled coincidence point of T and f, there exist $u, v, w \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n x = u$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n y = v$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n z = w$.

Since f is continuous at u, v and w, we have $fu = u$, $fv = v$ and $fw = w$.

Since $fx \in T(x,y,z)$, we have $f^2 x \in f(T(x,y,z)) \subseteq T(fx, fy, fz)$.

Since $fy \in T(y,x,y)$, we have $f^2 y \in f(T(y,x,y)) \subseteq T(fy, fx, fy)$.

Since $fz \in T(z,y,x)$, we have $f^2 z \in f(T(z,y,x)) \subseteq T(fz, fy, fx)$.

Then (fx, fy, fz) is tripled coincidence point of T and f.

Similarly, we can show that $(f^n x, f^n y, f^n z)$ is a tripled coincidence point of T and f.

Also it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^n x &\in T\left(f^{n-1}x, f^{n-1}y, f^{n-1}z\right), \\
f^n y &\in T\left(f^{n-1}y, f^{n-1}x, f^{n-1}y\right), \\
f^n z &\in T\left(f^{n-1}z, f^{n-1}y, f^{n-1}x\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.12}
$$

From (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, T(u,v,w)) &\le d(fu, f^n x) + d(f^n x, T(u,v,w)) \\ &\le d(fu, f^n x) + H\Big(T\Big(f^{n-1}x, f^{n-1}y, f^{n-1}z\Big), T(u,v,w)\Big) \\ &\le d(fu, f^n x) + jd(f^n x, fu) + kd(f^n y, fv) + ld(f^n z, fw). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$d(fu, T(u,v,w)) \le 0, \tag{2.14}$$

which implies that

$$fu \in T(u,v,w). \tag{2.15}$$

Thus $u = fu \in T(u,v,w)$. Similarly, we can show that $v = fv \in T(v,u,v)$ and $w = fw \in T(w,v,u)$. Thus (u,v,w) is a tripled common fixed point of T and f. Suppose (2.1.3) (b) holds.

Since (x,y,z) is a tripled coincidence point of $\{T,f\}$, there exist $u,v,w \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n u = x$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n v = y$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n w = z$.

Since f is continuous at x,y and z, we have $fx = x$, $fy = y$ and $fz = z$. Thus $x = fx \in T(x,y,z)$, $y = fy \in T(y,x,y)$ and $z = fz \in T(z,y,x)$. Hence (x,y,z) is a tripled common fixed point of $\{T,f\}$.

The following example illustrates Theorem 2.1. □

Example 2.2. Let $X = [0,1]$, $T : X \times X \times X \to CB(X)$ and $f : X \to X$ defined as $T(x,y,z) = [0, (1/8)\sin x + (1/4)\sin y + (1/3)\sin z]$ and $fx = (7/8)x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H(T(x,y,z), T(u,v,w)) &= \left|\left(\frac{1}{8}\sin x + \frac{1}{4}\sin y + \frac{1}{3}\sin z\right)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. -\left(\frac{1}{8}\sin u + \frac{1}{4}\sin v + \frac{1}{3}\sin w\right)\right| \\ &\le \frac{1}{8}|\sin x - \sin u| + \frac{1}{4}|\sin y - \sin v| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}|\sin z - \sin w| \\ &\le \frac{1}{8}|x-u| + \frac{1}{4}|y-v| + \frac{1}{3}|z-w| \\ &= \frac{1}{7}\left|\frac{7}{8}x - \frac{7}{8}u\right| + \frac{2}{7}\left|\frac{7}{8}y - \frac{7}{8}v\right| + \frac{8}{21}\left|\frac{7}{8}z - \frac{7}{8}w\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{7}d(fx,fu) + \frac{2}{7}d(fy,fv) + \frac{8}{21}d(fz,fw). \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

It is clear that all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and $(0,0,0)$ is the tripled common fixed point of T and f.

The following example shows that T and f have no tripled common fixed point if (2.1.3) (a) or (2.1.3) (b) is not satisfied.

Example 2.3. Let $X = [0,4]$, $T(x,y,z) = [1.5,2]$ and $fx = 2-(1/2)x$. Then $(0,1/2,1)$ is a tripled coincidence point of T and f. Clearly T and f have no tripled common fixed point.

References

[1] S. B. Nadler, Jr., "Multi-valued contraction mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, pp. 475–488, 1969.

[2] S. Banach, "Surles operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations, integrals," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 3, pp. 133–181, 1922.

[3] B. E. Rhoades, "A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 226, pp. 257–290, 1977.

[4] B. E. Rhoades, "A fixed point theorem for a multivalued non-self-mapping," *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 401–404, 1996.

[5] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 227–238, 1998.

[6] H. G. Li, "*s*-coincidence and *s*-common fixed point theorems for two pairs of set-valued noncompatible mappings in metric space," *Journal of Nonlinear Science and its Applications*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 55–62, 2010.

[7] I. Altun, "A common fixed point theorem for multivalued Ćirić type mappings with new type compatibility," *Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Ovidius Constanta*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 19–26, 2009.

[8] L. Ćirić, "Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractions in complete metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 348, no. 1, pp. 499–507, 2008.

[9] L. Ćirić, "Multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 71, no. 7-8, pp. 2716–2723, 2009.

[10] L. B. Ćirić and J. S. Ume, "Common fixed point theorems for multi-valued non-self mappings," *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, vol. 60, no. 3-4, pp. 359–371, 2002.

[11] W.-S. Du, "Some generalizations of Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem," *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 25-28, pp. 1283–1288, 2008.

[12] B. Samet and C. Vetro, "Coupled fixed point theorems for multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings in partially ordered metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 74, no. 12, pp. 4260–4268, 2011.

[13] N. Hussain and A. Alotaibi, "Coupled coincidences for multi-valued contractions in partially ordered metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, article 82, 2011.

[14] H. Aydi, M. Abbas, and M. Postolache, "Coupled coincidence points for hybrid pair of mappings via mixed monotone property," *Journal of Advanced Mathematical Studies*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 118–126, 2012.

[15] M. Abbas, L. Ćirić, B. Damjanović, and M. A. Khan, "Coupled coincidence and common fixed point theorems for hybrid pair of mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 4, 2012.

[16] V. Berinde and M. Borcut, "Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 74, no. 15, pp. 4889–4897, 2011.


Advances in Operations Research
Advances in Decision Sciences
Mathematical Problems in Engineering
Algebra
Journal of Probability and Statistics
The Scientific World Journal
International Journal of Differential Equations
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of Combinatorics
Advances in Mathematical Physics
Journal of Complex Analysis
Journal of Mathematics
International Journal of Stochastic Analysis
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society
International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
Journal of Discrete Mathematics
Journal of Function Spaces
Journal of Applied Mathematics
Journal of Optimization