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Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying Carathéodory's conditions and $e(t) \in$ $L^{1}[0,1]$. Let $\xi_{i} \in(0,1), a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, m-2,0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m-2}<1$ be given. This paper is concerned with the problem of existence of a solution for the $m$-point boundary value problem $x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+e(t), 0<t<1 ; x(0)=0$, $x^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$. This paper gives conditions for the existence of a solution for this boundary value problem using some new Poincaré type a priori estimates. This problem was studied earlier by Gupta, Ntouyas, and Tsamatos (1994) when all of the $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, m-2$, had the same sign. The results of this paper give considerably better existence conditions even in the case when all of the $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, m-2$, have the same sign. Some examples are given to illustrate this point.

## 1. Introduction

Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying Carathéodory's conditions and $e:[0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a function in $L^{1}[0,1], a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \xi_{i} \in(0,1), i=1,2, \ldots, m-2$, $0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m-2}<1$. We study the problem of existence of solutions for the $m$-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+e(t), \quad 0<t<1, \\
x(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

This problem was studied earlier by Gupta, Ntouyas, and Tsamatos in [1] when all of the $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, m-2$, have the same sign. Gupta, Ntouyas, and Tsamatos have studied problem (1.1) by first studying the three-point boundary value problem, for a given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \neq 1, \eta \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+e(t), \quad 0<t<1,  \tag{1.2}\\
x(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta) .
\end{gather*}
$$
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The purpose of this paper is to obtain conditions for the existence of a solution for the boundary value problem (1.1), using new estimates and inequalities involving a function $x(t)$ and its derivative $x^{\prime}(t)$. These results are motivated by the so-called nonlocal boundary value problem studied by Il'in and Moiseev in [5].

We use the classical spaces $C[0,1], C^{k}[0,1], L^{k}[0,1]$, and $L^{\infty}[0,1]$ of continuous, $k$-times continuously differentiable, measurable real-valued functions whose $k$ th power of the absolute value is Lebesgue integrable on [ 0,1 ], or measurable functions that are essentially bounded on $[0,1]$. We also use the Sobolev spaces $W^{2, k}(0,1), k=1,2$ defined by
$W^{2, k}(0,1)=\left\{x:[0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid x, x^{\prime}\right.$ absolutely continuous on $[0,1]$ with $\left.x^{\prime \prime} \in L^{k}[0,1]\right\}$
with its usual norm. We denote the norm in $L^{k}[0,1]$ by $\|\cdot\|_{k}$, and the norm in $L^{\infty}[0,1]$ by $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.

## 2. A priori estimates

Let $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \xi_{i} \in(0,1), i=1,2, \ldots, m-2,0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m-2}<1$, with $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \neq 1$ be given. Let $x(t) \in W^{2,1}(0,1)$ be such that $x(0)=0, x^{\prime}(1)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ be given. We are interested in obtaining a priori estimates of the form $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1}$. The following theorem gives such an estimate. We recall that for $a \in \mathbb{R}, a_{+}=\max \{a, 0\}, a_{-}=\max \{-a, 0\}$ so that $a=a_{+}-a_{-}$and $|a|=a_{+}+a_{-}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \xi_{i} \in(0,1), i=1,2, \ldots, m-2,0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<$ $\xi_{m-2}<1$, with $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \neq 1$ be given. Then for $x(t) \in W^{2,1}(0,1)$ with $x(0)=$ $0, x^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1-\tau}\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\min \left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{+}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{-}+1}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{-}+1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{+}}\right\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We see that the assumption $x^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(1)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{-} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{+} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus there exist $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{-}\right) x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{+} x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, now, either $x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=0$ or $x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)=0$, then we clearly have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose, now, that $x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \neq 0$ and $x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \neq 0$. Then it follows easily from (2.4) that $x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \neq x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$, in view of the assumption $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \neq 1$. Then it follows from (2.4), the estimate (2.5), and the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+\int_{\lambda_{1}}^{t} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, \quad x^{\prime}(t)=x^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)+\int_{\lambda_{2}}^{t} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1-\tau}\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\min \left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{+}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{-}+1}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{-}+1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{+}}\right\} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Remark 2.2. We note that if $a_{i} \leq 0$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, m-2$, then $\tau=0$ and if $a_{i} \geq 0$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, m-2$ so that $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(a_{i}\right)_{+} \geq 0$, then $\tau=\min \{\alpha, 1 / \alpha\} \in[0,1)$ since $\alpha \neq 1$, by assumption.

The following theorem gives a better estimate for the three-point boundary value in the case of the $L^{2}$-norm.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \neq 1$, and $\eta \in(0,1)$ be given. Let $x(t) \in W^{2,2}(0,1)$ be such that $x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq C(\alpha, \eta)\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& C(\alpha, \eta)= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\sqrt{F(\alpha, \eta)}, \frac{2}{\pi}\right\} & \text { if } \alpha \leq 0 \\
\sqrt{F(\alpha, \eta)} & \text { if } \alpha>0,\end{cases}  \tag{2.10}\\
& F(\alpha, \eta)=\frac{1}{2(\alpha-1)^{2}}\left[\alpha^{2}(1-\eta)^{2}+\left(\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha\right) \eta^{2}+1\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. If $\alpha \leq 0$, we note from $x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta)$ that there exists an $\xi \in(\eta, 1)$ such that $x^{\prime}(\xi)=0$. It follows from the Wirtinger's inequality (see [4, Theorem 256]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq \frac{2}{\pi}\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we note, again, from $x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{\eta} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s-\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \quad \text { for } 0<t<1 . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Accordingly, we have for $t \in[0, \eta]$

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{\eta} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s-\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}-\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s+\int_{t}^{\eta}\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}-\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s-\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\eta}^{1} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \\
& =-\int_{t}^{\eta} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s-\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\eta}^{1} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $t \in[\eta, 1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{\eta} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s-\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{\eta}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}-\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s+\int_{\eta}^{t}\left(1-\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s-\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{t}^{1} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \\
& =-\int_{\eta}^{t} \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s-\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{t}^{1} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We now define a function $K:[0,1] \times[0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
K(t, s)= \begin{cases}-\chi_{[t, \eta]}(s)-\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \chi_{[\eta, 1]}(s) & \text { for } t \in[0, \eta], s \in[0,1],  \tag{2.15}\\ -\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \chi_{[\eta, t]}(s)-\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \chi_{[t, 1]}(s) & \text { for } t \in[\eta, 1], s \in[0,1] .\end{cases}
$$

Now, we see from (2.13) and (2.14) that

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{0}^{1} K(t, s) x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1],  \tag{2.16}\\
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}(K(t, s))^{2} d s d t\right)\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}(K(t, s))^{2} d s d t=\frac{1}{2(\alpha-1)^{2}}\left[\alpha^{2}(1-\eta)^{2}+\left(\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha\right) \eta^{2}+1\right] . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha \leq 0$ the estimate (2.9) is now immediate from (2.11), (2.17), and (2.18) and for $\alpha>0, \alpha \neq 1$, by (2.17) and (2.18). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2.4. It is easy to see that $C(-0.1, \eta)=2 / \pi$, for all $\eta \in(0,1)$, indeed, $\sqrt{F(-0.1, \eta)} \geq 0.648986183$ and $2 / \pi \approx 0.6366197724$. Also $C(-2,1 / 3)=\sqrt{11 / 54}$ and $C(-2,15 / 16)=2 / \pi$, since $\sqrt{F(-2,15 / 16)}=\sqrt{1030} / 48>2 / \pi$.

## 3. Existence theorems

Definition 3.1. A function $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Carathéodory's conditions if
(i) for each $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, the function $t \in[0,1] \rightarrow f(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ is measurable on $[0,1]$,
(ii) for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$, the function $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow f(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$,
(iii) for each $r>0$, there exists $\alpha_{r}(t) \in L^{1}[0,1]$ such that $|f(t, x, y)| \leq \alpha_{r}(t)$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$ and all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \leq r$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying Carathéodory's conditions. Assume that there exist functions $p(t), q(t)$, and $r(t)$ in $L^{1}(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq p(t)\left|x_{1}\right|+q(t)\left|x_{2}\right|+r(t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$ and all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Also let $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \xi_{i} \in(0,1), i=1,2, \ldots, m-2$, $0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m-2}<1$, with $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \neq 1$ be given. Then the boundary value problem (1.1) has at least one solution in $C^{1}[0,1]$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|t p(t)\|_{1}+\|q(t)\|_{1}+\tau<1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is as defined in Theorem 2.1.
Proof. Let $X$ denote the Banach space $C^{1}[0,1]$ and $Y$ denote the Banach space $L^{1}(0,1)$ with their usual norms. We define a linear mapping $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(L)=\left\{x \in W^{2,1}(0,1) \mid x(0)=0, x^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right\}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x \in D(L)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x=x^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define a nonlinear mapping $N: X \rightarrow Y$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
(N x)(t)=f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $N$ is a bounded mapping from $X$ into $Y$. Next, it is easy to see that the linear mapping $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$, is a one-to-one mapping. Next, the linear mapping $K: Y \rightarrow X$, defined for $y \in Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(K y)(t)=\int_{0}^{t}(t-s) y(s) d s+A t \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \int_{0}^{\xi_{i}} y(s) d s-\int_{0}^{1} y(s) d s, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is such that for $y \in Y, K y \in D(L)$, and $L K y=y$; and for $u \in D(L), K L u=u$. Furthermore, it follows easily using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem that $K N$ maps a bounded subset of $X$ into a relatively compact subset of $X$. Hence $K N: X \rightarrow X$ is a compact mapping.

We, next, note that $x \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is a solution of the boundary value problem (1.2) if and only if $x$ is a solution to the operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x=N x+e \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the operator equation $L x=N x+e$ is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=K N x+K e . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem (cf. [6, Corollary IV.7]) to obtain the existence of a solution for $x=K N x+K e$ or equivalently to the boundary value problem (1.2).

To do this, it suffices to verify that the set of all possible solutions of the family of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lambda f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+\lambda e(t), \quad 0<t<1, \\
x(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

is, a priori, bounded in $C^{1}[0,1]$ by a constant independent of $\lambda \in[0,1]$.
We observe that if $x \in W^{2,1}(0,1)$, with $x(0)=0, x^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$, then $x(t)=\int_{0}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s$. Hence, $|x(t)| \leq t\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq(1 /(1-\tau))\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1}$, where $\tau$ is as defined in Theorem 2.1.

Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (3.10) for some $\lambda \in[0,1]$, so that $x \in W^{2,1}(0,1)$ with $x(0)=0, x^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$. We then get from the equation in (3.10) and Theorem 2.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} & \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\tau}\left\|f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+e(t)\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\tau}\left(\left\|p(t)|x(t)|+q(t)\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|+r(t)\right\|_{1}+\|e(t)\|_{1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\tau}\left(\|t p(t)\| x^{\prime}\left\|_{\infty}+q(t)\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|+r(t)\right\|_{1}+\|e(t)\|_{1}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\tau}\left(\|t p(t)\|_{1}+\|q(t)\|_{1}\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\frac{1}{1-\tau}\left(\|r(t)\|_{1}+\|e(t)\|_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from assumption (3.2) that there is a constant $c$, independent of $\lambda \in[0,1]$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now immediate that the set of solutions of the family of equations (3.10) is, a priori, bounded in $C^{1}[0,1]$ by a constant, independent of $\lambda \in[0,1]$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying Carathéodory's conditions. Assume that there exist functions $p(t), q(t)$, and $r(t)$ in $L^{2}(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq p(t)\left|x_{1}\right|+q(t)\left|x_{2}\right|+r(t) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$ and all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Also let $\alpha \neq 1$, and $\eta \in(0,1)$ be given. Then for any given $e(t)$ in $L^{2}(0,1)$ the boundary value problem (1.2) has at least one solution in $C^{1}[0,1]$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\alpha, \eta)\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\|p\|_{2}+\|q\|_{2}\right)<1 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(\alpha, \eta)$ is as in Theorem 2.3.
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2 it suffices to prove that the set of all possible solutions of the family of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lambda f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+\lambda e(t), \quad 0<t<1,  \tag{3.15}\\
x(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta),
\end{gather*}
$$

is, a priori, bounded in $C^{1}[0,1]$ by a constant independent of $\lambda \in[0,1]$. For $x \in$ $W^{2,2}(0,1)$, with $x(0)=0$, and $x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta)$ we use the Wirtinger's inequality (see [4, Theorem 256]) and Theorem 2.3, above, to note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{2} \leq \frac{2}{\pi}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq C(\alpha, \eta)\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for a solution $x$ of the family of equations (3.15) for some $\lambda \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} & \leq \lambda\left\|f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+e(t)\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq\left\|p(t)|x(t)|+q(t)\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|+r(t)\right\|_{2}+\|e\|_{2} \\
& \leq\|p\|_{2}\|x\|_{2}+\|q\|_{2}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\|r\|_{2}+\|e\|_{2} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\|p\|_{2}+\|q\|_{2}\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\|r(t)\|_{2}+\|e\|_{2}  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq C(\alpha, \eta)\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\|p\|_{2}+\|q\|_{2}\right)\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2}+\|r(t)\|_{2}+\|e\|_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

in view of estimate (3.16), for a solution $x$ of the family of equations (3.15) for some $\lambda \in[0,1]$. It then follows from (3.14) that there is a constant $c$ independent of $\lambda \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} \leq c, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a solution $x$ of the family of equations (3.15) for some $\lambda \in[0,1]$. Finally, we see, using Theorem 2.1 that $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq(1 /(1-\tau))\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1} \leq(1 /(1-\tau))\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2}$ and accordingly, the set of solutions of the family of equations (3.15) is, a priori, bounded in $C^{1}[0,1]$ by a constant independent of $\lambda \in[0,1]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

We next give an existence condition independent of $\alpha$ and $\eta$ for the three-point boundary value problem (1.2).

Let $p(t), q(t)$ be given functions in $L^{1}(0,1)$. For, a given measurable function $x(t)$ on $[0,1]$, we define for $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(t)=\int_{t}^{1} p(u) d u, \quad(V x)(t)=\int_{t}^{1} q(s) x(s) d s  \tag{3.19}\\
(S x)(t)=P(t) \int_{0}^{t} x(u) d u+\int_{t}^{1} P(u) x(u) d u
\end{gather*}
$$

provided that the integrals in (3.19) exist. We, further, suppose that the operator $M$ : $L^{2}(0,1) \mapsto L^{2}(0,1)$ defined for $x(t) \in L^{2}(0,1)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M x)(t)=(S x)(t)+(V x)(t), \quad 0<t<1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

maps $L^{2}(0,1)$ into itself and is continuous.
Theorem 3.4. Let $p(t), q(t)$, and $M$ be as above. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a given function satisfying Carathéodory conditions. Suppose that $p(t), q(t) \in L^{1}(0,1)$ and $r(t) \in L^{2}(0,1)$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, x, y)| \leq p(t)|x|+q(t)|y|+r(t) \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1], x, y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \leq 0$, and $\eta \in(0,1)$, the three-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<1, \\
x(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta) \tag{3.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

has at least one solution if the spectral radius, $r(M)$ of the operator $M$ is less than one.
Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of the boundary value problem (3.22), so that $x(0)=$ $0, x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta)$. It is then easy to see that there exists a $\mu \in(0,1)$ such that $x^{\prime}(\mu)=0$. The rest of the proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 5 of [2] and is omitted.

Corollary 3.5. Let $p(t), q(t)$ in Theorem 3.4 be such that $p(t), q^{2}(t) \in L^{1}(\sigma, 1)$ for every $\sigma>0$, and $\sqrt{t} \int_{t}^{1} q^{2}(s) d s \in L^{2}(0,1)$. Suppose, further, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sqrt{2 t} P(t)\|_{2}+\left\|\sqrt{2 t} \int_{t}^{1} q^{2}(s) d s\right\|_{2}^{1 / 2}<1 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \leq 0$, and $\eta \in(0,1)$, the boundary value problem (3.22) has at least one solution.

The proof of the corollary is identical to the proof of Theorem 3 of [3] and is omitted.

Example 3.6. Let $\alpha \leq 0$ and $\eta \in(0,1)$ be given and $A \in \mathbb{R}$. For $e(t) \in L^{1}(0,1)$, we consider the three-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=t^{-1 / 2}|x(t)|+A t\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|+e(t), \quad 0<t<1, \\
x(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta) . \tag{3.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

We apply Theorem 3.2 to obtain a condition for the existence of a solution for the three-point boundary value problem (3.24). Here $p(t)=t^{-1 / 2}, q(t)=A t$, and $\tau=0$. Now, $\|t p(t)\|_{1}=2 / 3$ and $\|q(t)\|_{1}=(1 / 2)|A|$. Now, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}|A|<1, \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|<\frac{2}{3} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Theorem 3.2 implies the existence of a solution for the three-point boundary value problem (3.24).

Example 3.7. Let $\alpha=-2, \eta=1 / 3$, and $A \in \mathbb{R}$. For $e(t) \in L^{2}(0,1)$, we, next, consider the three-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=t^{-1 / 4}|x(t)|+A t^{-1 / 4}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|+e(t), \quad 0<t<1, \\
x(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta) . \tag{3.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

We apply Theorem 3.3 to obtain a condition for the existence of a solution for the three-point boundary value problem (3.27). Here $p(t)=t^{-1 / 4}, q(t)=A t^{-1 / 4}$. Now, $\|p(t)\|_{2}=\sqrt{2}$ and $\|q(t)\|_{2}=\sqrt{2}|A|$. Now the existence condition required to apply Theorem 3.3 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\alpha, \eta)\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}+\sqrt{2}|A|\right)<1 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have $C(-2,1 / 3)=\sqrt{11 / 54}$, we get from (3.28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \sqrt{22}}{\sqrt{54} \pi}+\sqrt{\frac{22}{54}}|A|<1 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, we see from Theorem 3.3 that a solution for the three-point boundary value problem (3.27) exists if $|A|<\sqrt{54 / 22}(1-2 \sqrt{22} /(\sqrt{54} \pi))=0.930079132$. Next, we apply Corollary 3.5 to the three-point boundary value problem (3.27). Now, we see that $P(t)=\int_{t}^{1} u^{-1 / 4} d u=4 / 3-4 / 3(\sqrt[4]{t})^{3}$, so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\sqrt{2 t} P(t)\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sqrt{2 t}\left(\frac{4}{3}-\frac{4}{3}(\sqrt[4]{t})^{3}\right)\right)^{2} d t=0.20779 \\
\left\|\sqrt{2 t} \int_{t}^{1} q^{2}(s) d s\right\|_{2}^{2}=8 A^{4} \int_{0}^{1} t(1-\sqrt{t})^{2} d t=\frac{4}{15} A^{4} \tag{3.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

so that a solution to the three-point boundary value problem (3.27) exists if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{0.20779}+\left(\frac{4}{15}\right)^{0.25}|A|<1 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently, if $|A|<(15 / 4)^{0.25}(1-\sqrt{0.20779})=0.7572417038$ for every $\eta \in$ $(0,1)$. So we see that Corollary 3.5 does not give a better result than Theorem 3.3. On the other hand, if we apply Theorem 3.3 when $\alpha=-0.1, \eta \in(0,1)$ so that $C(-0.1, \eta)=2 / \pi$ we see that a solution to the three-point boundary value problem (3.27) exists if $|A|<0.4741009622$, which is not as good as that given by Corollary 3.5.

Example 3.8. Let $\alpha=-2, \eta=1 / 3$, and $A \in \mathbb{R}$. For $e(t) \in L^{2}(0,1)$, we, next, consider the three-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=t^{-15 / 32}|x(t)|+A t\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|+e(t), \quad 0<t<1,  \tag{3.32}\\
x(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(1)=\alpha x^{\prime}(\eta) .
\end{gather*}
$$

We apply Theorem 3.3 to obtain a condition for the existence of a solution for the three-point boundary value problem (3.32). Here $p(t)=t^{-15 / 32}, q(t)=A t$. Now, $\|p(t)\|_{2}=4$ and $\|q(t)\|_{2}=(1 / \sqrt{3})|A|$. Now the existence condition required to apply Theorem 3.3 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\alpha, \eta)\left(\frac{8}{\pi}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|A|\right)<1 . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, $C(-2,1 / 3)=\sqrt{11 / 54}$ and we get from (3.33)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{8 \sqrt{11}}{\sqrt{54} \pi}+\sqrt{\frac{11}{162}}|A|<1, \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is impossible. Now, to apply Theorem 3.2 we see that $\|t p(t)\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} t^{17 / 32} d t=$ $32 / 49$ and $\|q(t)\|_{1}=(1 / 2)|A|$. Accordingly, we see using Theorem 3.2 a solution for the three-point boundary value problem (3.32) exists if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{32}{49}+\frac{1}{2}|A|<1, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|<2\left(1-\frac{32}{49}\right)=\frac{34}{49}=0.69387751 \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we apply Corollary 3.5 to the three-point boundary value problem (3.32). Now, we see that $P(t)=\int_{t}^{1} u^{-15 / 32} d u=32 / 17-(32 / 17)(\sqrt[32]{t})^{17}$, so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\sqrt{2 t} P(t)\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sqrt{2 t}\left(\frac{32}{17}-\frac{32}{17}(\sqrt[32]{t})^{17}\right)\right)^{2} d t=0.258 \\
\left\|\sqrt{2 t} \int_{t}^{1} q^{2}(s) d s\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{2 A^{4}}{9} \int_{0}^{1} t\left(1-t^{3}\right)^{2} d t=\frac{1}{20} A^{4} \tag{3.37}
\end{gather*}
$$

so that a solution to the three-point boundary value problem (3.32) exists if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{0.258}+\left(\frac{1}{20}\right)^{0.25}|A|<1 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently, if $|A|<(20)^{0.25}(1-\sqrt{0.258})=1.040586544$. Clearly, Corollary 3.5 gives a better result than Theorem 3.2.
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