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We obtain nontrivial solutions for semilinear elliptic boundary value problems having resonance both at zero and at infinity, when the nonlinear term has asymptotic limits.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a smooth, bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $A$ be a selfadjoint operator on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \subset D:=D\left(|A|^{1 / 2}\right) \subset H^{m, 2}(\Omega) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $m>0$, and $\sigma_{e}(A)=\phi$ with $A$ bounded from below. Let $f(x, t)$ be a Carathéodory function on $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x, t)=a_{0} t+p_{0}(x, t), \quad p_{0}(x, t)=o(t) \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow 0, \\
& f(x, t)=a t+p(x, t), \quad p(x, t)=o(t) \quad \text { as }|t| \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The object of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that there is a $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ such that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0} \leq \lambda \leq a \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \leq \lambda \leq a_{0} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a_{0} \in \sigma(A)$, assume also that there is a $\sigma \in\left(2,2^{*}\right), 2^{*}=2 n /(n-2)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t p_{0}(x, t)}{|t|^{\sigma}} \longrightarrow \alpha_{ \pm} \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow \pm 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y>0} \alpha_{+}|y|^{\sigma}+\int_{y<0} \alpha_{-}|y|^{\sigma}>0, \quad y \in E\left(a_{0}\right) \backslash\{0\}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\lambda \leq a_{0}$ and $<0$ if $a_{0} \leq \lambda$, where $E(b)=\{u \in D:(A-b) u=0\}$. If $a \in \sigma(A)$, assume also that there is a $\tau \in(1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t p(x, t)}{|t|^{\tau}} \longrightarrow \beta_{ \pm} \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow \pm \infty \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y>0} \beta_{+}|y|^{\tau}+\int_{y<0} \beta_{-}|y|^{\tau}>0, \quad y \in E(a) \backslash\{0\} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\lambda \leq a$ and $<0$ if $a \leq \lambda$. Finally assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq C(|t|+1), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u=f(x, u) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a nontrivial solution.
The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be accomplished by means of a series of lemmas given in the next section.

Many authors have studied special cases of problem (1.10) under hypotheses (1.2) beginning with the work of Amann-Zehnder [1], who considered the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=f(u) \text { in } \Omega, \quad u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

They assumed that $f(t) \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(0)<\lambda<f^{\prime}(\infty) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(\infty)<\lambda<f^{\prime}(0) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

They did not allow $f^{\prime}(\infty)$ to be in $\sigma(A)$. Since then many authors have weakened some of these requirements (see $[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17$, $18,19,20,21,22]$, and the references therein). In most cases, $f(x, t)$ is required to be continuously differentiable with respect to $t$, and $a$ and $a_{0}$ are not both allowed to be in $\sigma(A)$. In Theorem 1.1, we only require the continuity of $f(x, t)$ with respect to $t$, allow either or both $a_{0}$ and $a$ to be in $\sigma(A)$ and permit $a=a_{0}=\lambda$.

## 2. Lemmas

Theorem 1.1 will be established via a series of lemmas. In describing them, we let $\Omega$ be a smooth, bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and we let $A$ be a selfadjoint operator on $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \subset D:=D\left(|A|^{1 / 2}\right) \subset H^{m, 2}(\Omega) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $m>0$, and $\sigma_{e}(A) \subset(0, \infty)$. We use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v)=(A u, v), \quad a(u)=a(u, u), \quad u, v \in D . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D$ becomes a Hilbert space if we use the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{D}=(|A| u, v)+\left(P_{0} u, v\right), \quad u, v \in D, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its corresponding norm, where $P_{0}$ is the projection onto $N(A)$. Let $f(x, t)$ be a Carathéodory function on $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq V(x)^{q}\left(|t|^{q-1}+1\right), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x, t)}{V(x)^{q}}=o\left(|t|^{q-1}\right) \quad \text { as }|t| \longrightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q>2$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \leq \frac{2 n}{n-2 m}, \quad 2 m<n, q<\infty, n \leq 2 m \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V(x)>0$ is a function in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V u\|_{q} \leq C\|u\|_{D}, \quad u \in D \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The norm on the left in (2.7) is that of $L^{q}(\Omega)$.)
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\bigoplus_{\lambda<0} N(A-\lambda) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption, $p=\operatorname{dim} N(A)+\operatorname{dim} V<\infty$. Let $W=[V \bigoplus N(A)]^{\perp}$, and let $P_{-}, P_{0}, P_{+}$ be the projections onto $V, N(A), W$, respectively. Let $\underline{\lambda}(\bar{\lambda})$ denote the largest (smallest) point in the negative (positive) spectrum of A. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
(A v, v) \leq \underline{\lambda}\|v\|^{2}, \quad v \in V, \\
(A w, w) \geq \bar{\lambda}\|w\|^{2}, \quad w \in W . \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

We let

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 G(u)=a(u)-2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u), \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is well known, $G$ is in $C^{1}$ in $D$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G^{\prime}(u), h\right)=a(u, h)-(f(u), h), \quad u, h \in D \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we write $f(u)$ in place of $f(x, u(x))$. Moreover, $u$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u=f(x, u) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(u)=0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our first result we make use of the following assumption:
(A) there is a constant $\sigma \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x, t) t}{|t|^{\sigma}} \longrightarrow \alpha_{ \pm}(x) \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow \pm 0, \text { uniformly in } x \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y>0} \alpha_{+}|y|^{\sigma}+\int_{y<0} \alpha_{-}|y|^{\sigma}>0, \quad y \in N(A) \backslash\{0\} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. If 0 is an isolated solution of (2.13), and (A) holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}(G, 0) \cong \delta_{p k} \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall k \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=\operatorname{dim} V+\operatorname{dim} N(A)$.
Proof. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 J(u)=\left\|P_{+} u\right\|^{2}-\left\|P_{-} u\right\|^{2}-\left\|P_{0} u\right\|^{2}, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{t}(u)=a(u)-2(1-t) \int_{\Omega} F(x, u),  \tag{2.19}\\
& G_{t}(u)=H_{t}(u)+t J(u), \quad t \in[0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

We note that there is a $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{t}^{\prime}(u), J^{\prime}(u)\right)>0, \quad 0<\|u\|_{D} \leq \rho . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For if (2.20) did not hold, there would be a sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset D$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{t}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right) \leq 0, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\rho_{k}=\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{D} \rightarrow 0$. Let $\tilde{u}_{k}=u_{k} / \rho_{k}$, and write $\tilde{u}_{k}=\tilde{v}_{k}+\tilde{y}_{k}+\tilde{w}_{k}, \tilde{v}_{k} \in V, \tilde{y}_{k} \in N(A)$, and $\tilde{w}_{k} \in W$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), h\right)=a\left(u_{k}, h\right)-\left(f\left(u_{k}\right), h\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(H_{t}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)}{\rho_{k}^{2}}=\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|_{D}^{2}+\left\|\tilde{v}_{k}\right\|_{D}^{2}-\frac{(1-t)\left(f\left(u_{k}\right), \hat{u}_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{2}} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Here we take $\hat{u}=w-v-y$.) From this we conclude that (2.21) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{v}_{k}\right\|_{D}+\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|_{D} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|\tilde{u}_{k}\right\|_{D}=1$, we must have a renamed subsequence such that $\tilde{y}_{k} \rightarrow \tilde{y}$ strongly in $D$ with $\|\tilde{y}\|_{D}=1$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(H_{t}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma}} \geq-\frac{(1-t)\left(f\left(u_{k}\right), \hat{u}_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma}} . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\Omega} \frac{f\left(x, u_{k}\right) \tilde{y}_{k}}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma-1}} & =-\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{u_{k} f\left(x, u_{k}\right)}{\left|u_{k}\right|^{\sigma}}\right]\left[\left|\tilde{u}_{k}\right|^{\sigma-2} \tilde{u}_{k} \tilde{y}_{k}\right]  \tag{2.26}\\
& \longrightarrow \int_{\tilde{y}>0} \alpha_{+}|\tilde{y}|^{\sigma}+\int_{\tilde{y}<0} \alpha_{-}|\tilde{y}|^{\sigma}>0
\end{align*}
$$

for a subsequence by hypothesis (A), since $\tilde{y} \neq 0$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{f\left(x, u_{k}\right) \tilde{v}_{k}}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma-1}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{f\left(x, u_{k}\right) \tilde{w}_{k}}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma-1}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts (2.21) and shows that (2.20) holds for $t<1$. It is obvious for $t=1$. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G_{t}^{\prime}(u), J^{\prime}(u)\right)=\left(H_{t}^{\prime}(u), J^{\prime}(u)\right)+t\left(J^{\prime}(u), J^{\prime}(u)\right) \geq t\left\|J^{\prime}(u)\right\|^{2} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ is a critical point of $G_{t}$, then $J^{\prime}(u)=0$, from which it follows that $u=0$. Thus 0 is an isolated critical point of $G_{t}$. Since $2 G_{1}(u)=[a(u)+J(u)]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0)=A+P_{+}-P_{-}-P_{0} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypothesis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A P_{+}>0, \quad A\left(P_{-}+P_{0}\right)<0 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the Morse index of $G_{1}(0)$ is $p$. By the homotopy invariance of critical groups, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}(G, 0) \cong C_{k}\left(G_{1}, 0\right) \cong \delta_{p k} \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the desired conclusion.
In our second result we make use of the following assumption:
(B) there is a constant $\sigma \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x, t) t}{|t|^{\sigma}} \longrightarrow \alpha_{ \pm}(x) \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow \pm 0, \text { uniformly in } x \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y>0} \alpha_{+}|y|^{\sigma}+\int_{y<0} \alpha_{-}|y|^{\sigma}<0, \quad y \in N(A) \backslash\{0\} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. If 0 is an isolated solution of (2.13), and (B) holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}(G, 0) \cong \delta_{p_{1} k} \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall k \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}=\operatorname{dim} V$.
Proof. Now we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 J(u)=\left\|P_{+} u\right\|^{2}-\left\|P_{-} u\right\|^{2}+\left\|P_{0} u\right\|^{2}, \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{t}(u)=a(u)-2(1-t) \int_{\Omega} F(x, u), \quad G_{t}(u)=H_{t}(u)+t J(u), \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that there is a $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{t}^{\prime}(u), J^{\prime}(u)\right)>0, \quad 0<\|u\|_{D} \leq \rho . \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For if (2.37) did not hold, there would be a sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset D$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{t}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right) \leq 0, \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\rho_{k}=\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{D} \rightarrow 0$. Let $\tilde{u}_{k}=u_{k} / \rho_{k}$, and write $\tilde{u}_{k}=\tilde{v}_{k}+\tilde{y}_{k}+\tilde{w}_{k}, \tilde{v}_{k} \in V, \tilde{y}_{k} \in N(A)$, and $\tilde{w}_{k} \in W$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), h\right)=a\left(u_{k}, h\right)-\left(f\left(u_{k}\right), h\right) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(H_{t}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)}{\rho_{k}^{2}}=\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|_{D}^{2}+\left\|\tilde{v}_{k}\right\|_{D}^{2}-\frac{(1-t)\left(f\left(u_{k}\right), \hat{u}_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{2}} . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Here we take $\hat{u}=w-v+y$.) From this we conclude that (2.38) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{v}_{k}\right\|_{D}+\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|_{D} \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|\tilde{u}_{k}\right\|_{D}=1$, we must have a renamed subsequence such that $\tilde{y}_{k} \rightarrow \tilde{y}$ strongly in $D$ with $\|\tilde{y}\|_{D}=1$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(H_{t}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma}} \geq-\frac{(1-t)\left(f\left(u_{k}\right), \hat{u}_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma}} . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{f\left(x, u_{k}\right) \tilde{y}_{k}}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma-1}} & =\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{u_{k} f\left(x, u_{k}\right)}{\left|u_{k}\right|^{\sigma}}\right]\left[\left|\tilde{u}_{k}\right|^{\sigma-2} \tilde{u}_{k} \tilde{y}_{k}\right]  \tag{2.43}\\
& \longrightarrow \int_{\tilde{y}>0} \alpha_{+}|\tilde{y}|^{\sigma}+\int_{\tilde{y}<0} \alpha_{-}|\tilde{y}|^{\sigma}<0
\end{align*}
$$

for a subsequence by hypothesis (B), since $\tilde{y} \neq 0$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{f\left(x, u_{k}\right) \tilde{v}_{k}}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma-1}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{f\left(x, u_{k}\right) \tilde{w}_{k}}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma-1}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts (2.21) and shows that (2.37) holds. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G_{t}^{\prime}(u), J^{\prime}(u)\right)=\left(H_{t}^{\prime}(u), J^{\prime}(u)\right)+t\left(J^{\prime}(u), J^{\prime}(u)\right) \geq t\left\|J^{\prime}(u)\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ is a critical point of $G_{t}$, then $J^{\prime}(u)=0$, from which it follows that $u=0$. Thus 0 is an isolated critical point of $G_{t}$. Since $2 G_{1}(u)=[a(u)+J(u)]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0)=A+P_{+}-P_{-}+P_{0} . \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypothesis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(P_{+}+P_{0}\right)>0, \quad A P_{-}<0 . \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the Morse index of $G_{1}(0)$ is $p_{1}$. By the homotopy invariance of critical groups, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}(G, 0) \cong C_{k}\left(G_{1}, 0\right) \cong \delta_{p_{1} k} \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the desired conclusion.
Lemma 2.3. If $N(A)=\{0\}, 0$ is an isolated solution of (2.13), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x, t)}{t} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (2.34) holds.

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 2.2. In this case $P_{0}=0$, and (2.37) holds because (2.38) implies (2.41), which is now the same as $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{D} \rightarrow 0$. This contradicts the fact that $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{D}=1$. Thus, (2.45) holds. We can now follow the continuation of the proof of Lemma 2.2 keeping in mind that $P_{0}=0$.

Our next result assumes
(C) there is a constant $\sigma \in(1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x, t) t}{|t|^{\sigma}} \longrightarrow \alpha_{ \pm}(x) \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow \pm \infty, \text { uniformly in } x \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y>0} \alpha_{+}|y|^{\sigma}+\int_{y<0} \alpha_{-}|y|^{\sigma}>0, \quad y \in N(A) \backslash\{0\} . \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following lemma.
Lemma 2.4. If (C) holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u) \longrightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as }\|u\|_{D} \longrightarrow \infty, u \in V \oplus N(A) . \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that there is a sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subseteq V \oplus N(A)$ such that $\rho_{k}=\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{D} \rightarrow \infty$ and $G\left(u_{k}\right)$ is bounded from below. Let $\tilde{u}_{k}=u_{k} / \rho_{k}$, and write $\tilde{u}_{k}=\tilde{v}_{k}+\tilde{y}_{k}, \tilde{v}_{k} \in V$, $\tilde{y}_{k} \in N(A)$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G\left(u_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{2}}=-\left\|\tilde{v}_{k}\right\|_{D}^{2}-2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{F\left(x, u_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{2}} d x \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f(x, t) / t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\left\|\tilde{v}_{k}\right\|_{D} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, there is a renamed subsequence such that $\tilde{u}_{k} \rightarrow \tilde{y}$ in $D$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G\left(u_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma}}=\frac{-\left\|v_{k}\right\|_{D}^{2}}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma}}-2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{F\left(x, u_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma}} d x \longrightarrow-\int_{\tilde{y}>0} \alpha_{+}|\tilde{y}|^{\sigma}-\int_{\tilde{y}<0} \alpha_{-}|\tilde{y}|^{\sigma}<0 \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\tilde{y} \neq 0$. This contradicts the assumption that $G\left(u_{k}\right)$ is bounded from below.
Similarly, we have the following lemma.

## Lemma 2.5. Assume

(D) there is a constant $\sigma \in(1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x, t) t}{|t|^{\sigma}} \longrightarrow \alpha_{ \pm}(x) \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow \pm \infty, \text { uniformly in } x \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y>0} \alpha_{+}|y|^{\sigma}+\int_{y<0} \alpha_{-}|y|^{\sigma}<0, \quad y \in N(A) \backslash\{0\} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u) \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as }\|u\|_{D} \longrightarrow \infty, u \in W \oplus N(A) \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x, t)}{t} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|t| \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(u) \longrightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as }\|u\|_{D} \longrightarrow \infty, u \in V,  \tag{2.59}\\
G(u) \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as }\|u\|_{D} \longrightarrow \infty, u \in W \tag{2.60}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Assume $\left\{v_{k}\right\} \subset V, \rho_{k}=\left\|v_{k}\right\|_{D} \rightarrow \infty$, and $G\left(v_{k}\right) \rightarrow m>-\infty$. Let $\tilde{v}_{k}=v_{k} / \rho_{k}$. Then $\left\|\tilde{v}_{k}\right\|=1$, and there is a renamed subsequence such that $\tilde{v}_{k} \rightarrow \tilde{v}$ in $D$ and a.e. in $\Omega$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 G\left(v_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{2}}=-\left\|\tilde{v}_{k}\right\|_{D}^{2}-\frac{2 \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, v_{k}\right) d x}{\rho_{k}^{2}} \longrightarrow-\|\tilde{v}\|_{D}<0 \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves (2.59). Similarly, if $\left\{w_{k}\right\} \subset W$, and $\rho_{k}=\left\|w_{k}\right\|_{D} \rightarrow \infty$, let $\tilde{w}_{k}=w_{k} / \rho_{k}$. Then $\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|=1$, and there is a renamed subsequence such that $\tilde{w}_{k} \rightarrow \tilde{w}$ weakly in $D$, strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, and a.e. in $\Omega$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 G\left(w_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{2}}=\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|_{D}^{2}-\frac{2 \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{k}\right) d x}{\rho_{k}^{2}} \geq 1-\frac{2 \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{k}\right) d x}{\rho_{k}^{2}} \longrightarrow 1 \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves (2.60).
Lemma 2.7. Assume (2.58). If $N(A) \neq\{0\}$, assume also that there is a constant $\sigma \in(1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x, t) t}{|t|^{\sigma}} \longrightarrow \alpha_{ \pm}(x) \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow \pm \infty, \text { uniformly in } x \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y>0} \alpha_{+}|y|^{\sigma}+\int_{y<0} \alpha_{-}|y|^{\sigma} \neq 0, \quad y \in N(A) \backslash\{0\} . \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $G$ satisfies the PS condition.

## Proof. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(u_{k}\right) \longrightarrow c, \quad G^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume that $\rho_{k}=\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{D} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\tilde{u}_{k}=u_{k} / \rho_{k}$, and write $\tilde{u}_{k}=\tilde{v}_{k}+\tilde{y}_{k}+\tilde{w}_{k}, \tilde{v}_{k} \in V$, $\tilde{y}_{k} \in N(A)$, and $\tilde{w}_{k} \in W$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), h\right)=a\left(u_{k}, h\right)-\left(f\left(u_{k}\right), h\right)=o\left(\|h\|_{D}\right) \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $h=\tilde{w}_{k},-\tilde{v}_{k}$, respectively, and dividing by $\rho_{k}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{v}_{k}\right\|_{D}+\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|_{D} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|\tilde{u}_{k}\right\|_{D}=1$, we must have a renamed subsequence such that $\tilde{y}_{k} \rightarrow \tilde{y}$ strongly in $D$ with $\|\tilde{y}\|_{D}=1$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{G^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma-1}}, \tilde{y}_{k}\right)=-\left(\frac{f\left(u_{k}\right)}{\rho^{\sigma-1}}, \tilde{y}_{k}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{f\left(x, u_{k}\right) \tilde{y}_{k}}{\rho_{k}^{\sigma-1}}=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{u_{k} f\left(x, u_{k}\right)}{\left|u_{k}\right|^{\sigma}}\right]\left[\frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{k}\right|^{\sigma}}{\tilde{u}_{k}}\right] \tilde{y}_{k} \longrightarrow \int_{\tilde{y}>0} \alpha_{+}|\tilde{y}|^{\sigma}+\int_{\tilde{y}<0} \alpha_{-}|\tilde{y}|^{\sigma} \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any subsequence. By hypothesis, this cannot vanish, since $\tilde{y} \neq 0$. This contradiction shows that $\rho_{k} \leq C$, and the usual methods obtain a convergent subsequence of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ (cf. [20]).

The following lemma is well known (cf. [2]).
Lemma 2.8. If $E=V \oplus W, k=\operatorname{dim} V<\infty, G \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the PS condition, $u_{0}$ is the only critical point of $G$, (2.59) holds, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{W} G>-\infty \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}\left(G, u_{0}\right) \neq 0 \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The final proof

We can now give the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof. Assume that 0 is the only solution of (1.10) and that (1.3) holds. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=\bigoplus_{\mu<a_{0}} N(A-\mu), \quad W_{0}=V_{0}^{\perp} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a_{0} \notin \sigma(A)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}(G, 0) \cong \delta_{p_{0} k} \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall k \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0}=\operatorname{dim} V_{0}$ by Lemma 2.3. If $a_{0} \in \sigma(A)$, then (3.2) holds by Lemma 2.2. On the other hand, if $a \notin \sigma(A)$, then (2.59) and (2.60) hold by Lemma 2.6, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\bigoplus_{\mu \leq a} N(A-\mu), \quad W=V^{\perp} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies (2.70). For if

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(w_{k}\right) \longrightarrow-\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we must have $\left\|w_{k}\right\|_{D} \leq C$ by (2.60). Then there is a renamed subsequence such that $w_{k} \rightarrow w$ weakly in $D$, strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and a.e. in $\Omega$. It then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(w_{k}\right) \geq-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{k}\right) d x \longrightarrow-\int_{\Omega} F(x, w) d x>-\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [20]). Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p}(G, 0) \neq 0, \quad p=\operatorname{dim} V \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a \in \sigma(A)$, then (2.59) holds by Lemma 2.4, while (2.60) holds as before. Thus, (3.6) holds in this case as well. Now we note that $p_{0}<p$, since $E(\lambda) \subset V$, while $E(\lambda) \not \subset V_{0}$.

This contradiction proves the theorem when (1.3) holds. Assume next that (1.4) holds. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& V=\bigoplus_{\mu<a} N(A-\mu), \quad W=V^{\perp},  \tag{3.7}\\
& V_{0}=\bigoplus_{\mu \leq a_{0}} N(A-\mu), \quad W_{0}=V_{0}^{\perp} .
\end{align*}
$$

If $a_{0} \notin \sigma(A)$, then (3.2) holds by Lemma 2.3. If $a_{0} \in \sigma(A)$, then (3.2) holds by Lemma 2.4. However, if $a \notin \sigma(A)$, then (2.59) and (2.60) hold by Lemma 2.6. Hence, (2.70) holds as before. This implies (3.6). If $a \in \sigma(A)$, then (2.59) and (2.60) hold again by Lemma 2.6, implying (3.6) in this case as well. Since $E(\lambda) \subset V_{0}, E(\lambda) \not \subset V$, we have $p<p_{0}$, providing the necessary contradiction. This completes the proof.
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