

## Research Article

# Existence of Solutions for Fourth-Order Four-Point Boundary Value Problem on Time Scales

Dandan Yang,<sup>1</sup> Gang Li,<sup>1</sup> and Chuanzhi Bai<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Science, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huaian, Jiangsu 223300, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Chuanzhi Bai, czbai8@sohu.com

Received 11 April 2009; Revised 8 July 2009; Accepted 28 July 2009

Recommended by Irena Rachůnková

We present an existence result for fourth-order four-point boundary value problem on time scales. Our analysis is based on a fixed point theorem due to Krasnoselskii and Zabreiko.

Copyright © 2009 Dandan Yang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Very recently, Karaca [1] investigated the following fourth-order four-point boundary value problem on time scales:

$$\begin{aligned}y^{\Delta^4}(t) - q(t)y^{\Delta^2}(\sigma(t)) &= f(t, y(\sigma(t)), y^{\Delta^2}(t)), \\y(\sigma^4(b)) &= 0, \quad \alpha y(a) - \beta y^{\Delta}(a) = 0, \\ \gamma y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_1) - \delta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_1) &= 0, \quad \zeta y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_2) + \eta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_2) = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

for  $t \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{T}$ ,  $a \leq \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \sigma(b)$ , and  $f \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ . And the author made the following assumptions:

(A<sub>1</sub>)  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \zeta, \eta \geq 0$ , and  $a \leq \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \sigma(b)$ ,

(A<sub>2</sub>)  $q(t) \geq 0$ . If  $q(t) \equiv 0$ , then  $\gamma + \zeta > 0$ .

The following key lemma is provided in [1].

**Lemma 1.1** (see [1, Lemma 2.5]). Assume that conditions  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$  are satisfied. If  $h \in C[a, b]$ , then the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\Delta^4}(t) - q(t)y^{\Delta^2}(\sigma(t)) &= h(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \\ y(\sigma^4(b)) &= 0, \quad \alpha y(a) - \beta y^{\Delta}(a) = 0, \\ \gamma y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_1) - \delta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_1) &= 0, \quad \zeta y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_2) + \eta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_2) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

has a unique solution

$$y(t) = \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} G_2(t, s) h(s) \Delta s \Delta \xi, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$G_1(t, s) = \frac{1}{d} \begin{cases} (\sigma^4(b) - \sigma(s))(\alpha(t - a) + \beta), & t \leq s, \\ (\sigma^4(b) - t)(\alpha(\sigma(s) - a) + \beta), & t \geq \sigma(s), \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

$$G_2(t, s) = \frac{1}{D} \begin{cases} \psi(\sigma(s))\varphi(t), & t \leq s, \\ \varphi(t)\psi(\sigma(s)), & t \geq \sigma(s). \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Here  $D = \zeta\phi(\xi_1) - \eta\psi^{\Delta}(\xi_1) = \delta\varphi(\xi_2) + \gamma\psi(\xi_2)$ ,  $d = \beta + \alpha(\sigma^4(b) - a)$ , and  $\varphi(t), \psi(t)$  are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= \eta + \zeta(t - \xi_1) + \int_{\xi_1}^t \int_{\xi_1}^{\tau} q(s)\psi(\sigma(s))\Delta s \Delta \tau, \\ \psi(t) &= \delta + \gamma(\xi_2 - t) + \int_t^{\xi_2} \int_{\tau}^{\xi_2} q(s)\psi(\sigma(s))\Delta s \Delta \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Unfortunately, this lemma is wrong. Without considering the whole interval  $[a, \sigma(b)]$ , the author only considers  $[\xi_1, \xi_2]$  in the Green's function  $G_2(t, s)$ . Thus, the expression of  $y(t)$  (1.3) which is a solution to BVP (1.2) is incorrect. In fact, if one takes  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $q(t) = 0$ ,  $a = 0$ ,  $\sigma^4(b) = 1$ ,

$\alpha = 1, \beta = 0, f(t, y, y^{\Delta^2}) \equiv f(t, y)$ , then (1.1) reduces to the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} y''''(t) &= f(t, y(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ y(0) &= y(1) = 0, \\ \gamma y''(\xi_1) - \delta y'''(\xi_1) &= 0, \quad \zeta y''(\xi_2) + \eta y'''(\xi_2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

The counterexample is given by [2], from which one can see clearly that [1, Lemma 2.5] is wrong. If one takes  $q(t) = q$ , here  $q > 0$  is a constant, then (1.1) reduces to the following fourth-order four-point boundary value problem on time scales:

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\Delta^4}(t) - qy^{\Delta^2}(\sigma(t)) &= f(t, y(\sigma(t)), y^{\Delta^2}(t)), \quad t \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{T}, \\ y(\sigma^4(b)) &= 0, \quad \alpha y(a) - \beta y^{\Delta}(a) = 0, \\ \gamma y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_1) - \delta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_1) &= 0, \quad \zeta y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_2) + \eta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

The purpose of this paper is to establish some existence criteria of solution for BVP (1.8) which is a special case of (1.1). The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some basic time-scale definitions are presented and several preliminary results are given. In Section 3, by employing a fixed point theorem due to Krasnoselskii and Zabreiko, we establish existence of solutions criteria for BVP (1.8). Section 4 is devoted to an example illustrating our main result.

## 2. Preliminaries

The study of dynamic equations on time scales goes back to its founder Hilger [3] and it is a new area of still fairly theoretical exploration in mathematics. In the recent years boundary value problem on time scales has received considerable attention [4–6]. And an increasing interest in studying the existence of solutions to dynamic equations on time scales is observed, for example, see [7–16].

For convenience, we first recall some definitions and calculus on time scales, so that the paper is self-contained. For the further details concerning the time scales, please see [17–19] which are excellent works for the calculus of time scales.

A time scale  $\mathbb{T}$  is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ . The operators  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  from  $\mathbb{T}$  to  $\mathbb{T}$

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{\tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau > t\}, \quad \rho(t) = \sup\{\tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau < t\} \quad (2.1)$$

are called the forward jump operator and the backward jump operator, respectively.

For all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ , we assume throughout that  $\mathbb{T}$  has the topology that it inherits from the standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The notations  $[a, b]$ ,  $[a, b)$ , and so on, will denote time-scale intervals

$$[a, b] = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : a \leq t \leq b\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $a < \rho(b)$ .

*Definition 2.1.* Fix  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Let  $y : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then we define  $y^\Delta(t)$  to be the number (if it exists) with the property that given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $t$  with

$$\left| [y(\sigma(t)) - y(s)] - y^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s] \right| < \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s| \quad \forall s \in U. \quad (2.3)$$

Then  $y^\Delta$  is called derivative of  $y(t)$ .

*Definition 2.2.* If  $F^\Delta(t) = f(t)$  then we define the integral by

$$\int_a^t f(\tau) \Delta\tau = F(t) - F(a). \quad (2.4)$$

We say that a function  $p : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is regressive provided

$$1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$ , which is called graininess function. If  $p$  is a regressive function, then the generalized exponential function  $e_p$  is defined by

$$e_p(t, s) = \exp\left(\int_s^t \xi_{\mu(\tau)}(p(\tau)) \Delta\tau\right), \quad (2.6)$$

for  $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\xi_h(z)$  is the cylinder transformation, which is defined by

$$\xi_h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\log(1 + hz)}{h}, & h \neq 0, \\ z, & h = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Let  $p, q$  be two regressive functions, then define

$$p \oplus q = p + q + \mu pq, \quad \ominus q = -\frac{q}{1 + \mu q}, \quad p \ominus q = p \oplus (\ominus q) = \frac{p - q}{1 + \mu q}. \quad (2.8)$$

The generalized function  $e_p$  has then the following properties.

**Lemma 2.3** (see [18]). *Assume that  $p, q$  are two regressive functions, then*

- (i)  $e_0(t, s) \equiv 1$  and  $e_p(t, t) \equiv 1$ ;
- (ii)  $e_p(\sigma(t), s) = (1 + \mu(t)p(t))e_p(t, s)$ ;
- (iii)  $e_p(t, s)e_p(s, r) = e_p(t, r)$ ;
- (iv)  $1/e_p(t, s) = e_{\ominus p}(t, s)$ ;
- (v)  $e_p(t, s) = 1/e_p(s, t) = e_{\ominus p}(s, t)$ ;
- (vi)  $e_p(t, s)e_q(t, s) = e_{p\oplus q}(t, s)$ ;
- (vii)  $e_p(t, s)/e_q(t, s) = e_{p\ominus q}(t, s)$ .

The following well-known fixed point theorem will play a very important role in proving our main result.

**Theorem 2.4** (see [20]). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be completely continuous. Assume that  $A : X \rightarrow X$  is a bounded linear operator such that 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$  and*

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|F(x) - A(x)\|}{\|x\|} = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

*Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .*

Throughout this paper, let  $E = C^2[a, b]$  be endowed with the norm by

$$\|y\|_0 = \max\{\|y\|, \|y^{\Delta^2}\|\}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\|y\| = \max_{t \in [a, b]} |y(t)|$ . And we make the following assumptions:

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \zeta, \eta \geq 0$ , and  $a \leq \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \sigma(b)$ ,
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $q > 0$ , and  $r_1 = \sqrt{q}, r_2 = -\sqrt{q}$ ,
- (H<sub>3</sub>)  $d = \beta + \alpha(\sigma^4(b) - a) > 0$ .

Set

$$-r_2 \ominus -r_1 := p_1, \quad \ominus -r_2 = \ominus r_1 := p_2. \quad (2.11)$$

For convenience, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_a^t e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s = l(t, a), \\
 & A = \left[ (\gamma - \delta p_2(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s - \delta p_2(\sigma(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_1), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_1, a) \right] \\
 & \quad \times (\zeta + \eta p_2(\xi_2)) e_{p_2}(\xi_2, a) - (\gamma - \delta p_2(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) \\
 & \quad \times \left[ (\zeta + \eta p_2(\xi_2)) e_{p_2}(\xi_2, a) \int_a^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s + \eta p_2(\sigma(\xi_2)) e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_2), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_2, a) \right], \\
 & A_{11} = \delta e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_1), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_1, a) (\zeta + \eta p_2(\xi_2)) e_{p_2}(\xi_2, a) \\
 & \quad + (\gamma - \delta p_2(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) \eta e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_2), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_2, a), \\
 & A_{12} = (\gamma - \delta p_2(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) (\zeta + \eta p_2(\xi_2)) e_{p_2}(\xi_2, a), \\
 & A_{13} = (\gamma - \delta p_2(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) \eta e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_2), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_2, a), \\
 & B_{11} = \left[ (\zeta + \eta p_2(\xi_2)) e_{p_2}(\xi_2, a) \int_a^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s + \eta p_2(\sigma(\xi_2)) e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_2), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_2, a) \right] \\
 & \quad \times (\delta p_2(\xi_1) - \gamma) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) \\
 & \quad + \left[ (\gamma - \delta p_2(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s - \delta p_2(\sigma(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_1), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_1, a) \right] \\
 & \quad \times (\eta p_2(\xi_2) + \zeta) e_{p_2}(\xi_2, a), \\
 & B_{12} = \left[ (\zeta + \eta p_2(\xi_2)) e_{p_2}(\xi_2, a) \int_a^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s + \eta p_2(\sigma(\xi_2)) e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_2), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_2, a) \right] \\
 & \quad \times \delta e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_1), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_1, a) \\
 & \quad + \left[ (\gamma - \delta p_2(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s - \delta p_2(\sigma(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_1), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_1, a) \right] \\
 & \quad \times \eta e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_2), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_2, a), \\
 & B_{13} = \left[ (\gamma - \delta p_2(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s - \delta p_2(\sigma(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_1), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_1, a) \right] \\
 & \quad \times (\eta p_2(\xi_2) + \zeta) e_{p_2}(\xi_2, a), \\
 & B_{14} = \left[ (\gamma - \delta p_2(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\xi_1, a) \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s - \delta p_2(\sigma(\xi_1)) e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_1), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_1, a) \right] \\
 & \quad \times \eta e_{p_2}(\sigma(\xi_2), a) e_{p_1}(\xi_2, a).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

First, we present two lemmas about the calculus on Green functions which are crucial in our main results.

**Lemma 2.5.** Assume that  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  are satisfied. If  $h \in C[a, b]$ , then  $u \in C^2[a, b]$  is a solution of the following boundary value problem (BVP):

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\Delta^2} t - qy(\sigma(t)) &= h(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \\ \gamma y(\xi_1) - \delta y^{\Delta}(\xi_1) &= 0, \quad \zeta y(\xi_2) + \eta y^{\Delta}(\xi_2) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

if and only if

$$y(t) = \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s) h(s) \Delta s, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (2.14)$$

where the Green's function of (2.13) is as follows:

$$G(t, s) = e_{p_2}(t, a) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{-B_{11}}{A} \int_s^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi \\ + \left[ \frac{A_{12}l(t, a) - B_{13}}{A} \right] \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi \\ + \left[ \frac{A_{11}l(t, a) - B_{12}}{A} \right] e_{-r_1}(s, a) \\ + \int_s^t e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi, \quad a \leq \sigma(s) \leq \min\{t, \xi_1\}, \\ \frac{-B_{11}}{A} \int_s^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi \\ + \left[ \frac{A_{12}l(t, a) - B_{13}}{A} \right] \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi \\ + \left[ \frac{A_{11}l(t, a) - B_{12}}{A} \right] e_{-r_1}(s, a), \quad a \leq t \leq s \leq \xi_1, \\ \left[ \frac{A_{12}l(t, a) - B_{13}}{A} \right] \int_s^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi \\ + \frac{-B_{14}}{A} e_{-r_1}(s, a) + \frac{A_{13}}{A} l(t, a) e_{-r_2}(s, a) \\ + \int_s^t e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi, \quad \xi_1 \leq \sigma(s) \leq \min\{t, \xi_2\}, \\ \left[ \frac{A_{12}l(t, a) - B_{13}}{A} \right] \int_s^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi \\ + \frac{-B_{14}}{A} e_{-r_1}(s, a) + \frac{A_{13}}{A} l(t, a) e_{-r_2}(s, a), \quad \max\{\xi_1, t\} \leq s \leq \xi_2, \\ \int_s^t e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi, \quad \xi_2 \leq \sigma(s) \leq t \leq b, \\ 0, \quad \max\{t, \xi_2\} \leq s \leq b, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.15)$$

where  $l(t, a)$ ,  $A$ ,  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{13}$ ,  $B_{11}$ ,  $B_{12}$ ,  $B_{13}$ ,  $B_{14}$  are given as (2.12), respectively.

*Proof.* If  $y \in C^2[a, b]$  is a solution of (2.13), setting

$$u(s) = y^\Delta(s) - r_2 y(\sigma(s)), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (2.16)$$

then it follows from the first equation of (2.13) that

$$u^\Delta(s) - r_1 u(\sigma(s)) = h(s), \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (2.17)$$

Multiplying (2.17) by  $e_{-r_1}(s, a)$  and integrating from  $a$  to  $t$ , we get

$$u(t) = e_{\ominus-r_1}(t, a) \left[ u(a) + \int_a^t e_{-r_1}(s, a) h(s) \Delta s \right], \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (2.18)$$

Similarly, by (2.18), we have

$$y(t) = e_{\ominus-r_2}(t, a) \left[ y(a) + \int_a^t e_{-r_2}(s, a) u(s) \Delta s \right], \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (2.19)$$

Then substituting (2.18) into (2.19), we get for each  $t \in [a, b]$  that

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= e_{p_2}(t, a) y(a) + e_{p_2}(t, a) u(a) \int_a^t e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s \\ &\quad + e_{p_2}(t, a) \int_a^t e_{p_1}(s, a) \int_a^s e_{-r_1}(\xi, a) h(\xi) \Delta \xi \Delta s. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Substituting this expression for  $y(t)$  into the boundary conditions of (2.13). By some calculations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(a) &= \frac{1}{A} \left[ A_{11} \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{-r_1}(s, a) h(s) \Delta s + A_{12} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(s, a) \int_a^s e_{-r_1}(\xi, a) h(\xi) \Delta \xi \Delta s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A_{13} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{-r_2}(s, a) h(s) \Delta s \right], \\ y(a) &= -\frac{1}{A} \left[ B_{11} \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(s, a) \int_a^s e_{-r_1}(\xi, a) h(\xi) \Delta \xi \Delta s + B_{12} \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{-r_1}(s, a) h(s) \Delta s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + B_{13} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(s, a) \int_a^s e_{-r_1}(\xi, a) h(\xi) \Delta \xi \Delta s + B_{14} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{-r_1}(s, a) h(s) \Delta s \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$



Then substituting (2.21) into (2.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) = & -\frac{e_{p_2}(t, a)}{A} \left[ B_{11} \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(s, a) \int_a^s e_{-r_1}(\xi, a) h(\xi) \Delta \xi \Delta s + B_{12} \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{-r_1}(s, a) h(s) \Delta s \right. \\
 & \left. + B_{13} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(s, a) \int_a^s e_{-r_1}(\xi, a) h(\xi) \Delta \xi \Delta s + B_{14} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{-r_1}(s, a) h(s) \Delta s \right] \\
 & + \frac{e_{p_2}(t, a)}{A} \int_a^t e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s \left[ A_{11} \int_a^{\xi_1} e_{-r_1}(s, a) h(s) \Delta s + A_{12} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(s, a) \int_a^s e_{-r_1}(\xi, a) h(\xi) \Delta \xi \Delta s \right. \\
 & \left. + A_{13} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{-r_2}(s, a) h(s) \Delta s \right] \\
 & + e_{p_2}(t, a) \int_a^t e_{p_1}(s, a) \int_a^s e_{-r_1}(\xi, a) h(\xi) \Delta \xi \Delta s.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

By interchanging the order of integration and some rearrangement of (2.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) = & e_{p_2}(t, a) \\
 & \times \left( \int_a^{\xi_1} \left( \frac{-B_{11}}{A} \int_s^{\xi_1} e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi + \left[ \frac{A_{12}l(t, a) - B_{13}}{A} \right] \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + e_{-r_1}(s, a) \left[ \frac{A_{11}l(t, a) - B_{12}}{A} \right] \right) h(s) \Delta s \right. \\
 & + \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \left( \left[ \frac{A_{12}l(t, a) - B_{13}}{A} \right] \int_s^{\xi_2} e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi + \frac{-B_{14}}{A} e_{-r_1}(s, a) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{A_{13}}{A} l(t, a) e_{-r_2}(s, a) \right) h(s) \Delta s + \int_a^t \left( \int_s^t e_{p_1}(\xi, a) e_{-r_1}(s, a) \Delta \xi \right) h(s) \Delta s \Big).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Thus, we obtain (2.14) consequently.

On the other hand, if  $y$  satisfies (2.14), then direct differentiation of (2.14) yields

$$y^{\Delta^2}(t) - qy^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)) = h(t), \quad t \in [a, b]. \tag{2.24}$$

And it is easy to know that  $y \in C^2[a, b]$  and  $y$  satisfies (2.13).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *If  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , then BVP (2.13) reduces to the following problem:*

$$\begin{aligned} y''(t) - qy(t) &= h(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \\ \gamma y(\xi_1) - \delta y'(\xi_1) &= 0, \quad \zeta y(\xi_2) + \eta y'(\xi_2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

From Lemma 2.5, BVP (2.25) has a unique solution

$$y(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)h(s)ds, \quad (2.26)$$

where the Green's function of (2.25) is as follows:

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{r_1 - r_2} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta_1} (e^{r_1(t-a)} M_1(s) - e^{r_2(t-a)} M_2(s)) + e^{r_1(t-s)} - e^{r_2(t-s)}, & a \leq s \leq \min\{t, \xi_1\}, \\ \frac{1}{\Delta_1} (e^{r_1(t-a)} M_1(s) - e^{r_2(t-a)} M_2(s)), & a \leq t \leq s \leq \xi_1, \\ \frac{1}{\Delta_1} (e^{r_1(t-a)} M_3(s) - e^{r_2(t-a)} M_4(s)) + e^{r_1(t-s)} - e^{r_2(t-s)}, & \xi_1 \leq s \leq \min\{t, \xi_2\}, \\ \frac{1}{\Delta_1} (e^{r_1(t-a)} M_3(s) - e^{r_2(t-a)} M_4(s)), & \max\{\xi_1, t\} \leq s \leq \xi_2, \\ e^{r_1(t-s)} - e^{r_2(t-s)}, & \xi_2 \leq s \leq t \leq b, \\ 0, & \max\{t, \xi_2\} \leq s \leq b, \end{cases} \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = (\gamma - \delta r_2)(\zeta + \eta r_1) e^{r_1(\xi_2 - a) + r_2(\xi_1 - a)} - (\gamma - \delta r_1)(\zeta + \eta r_2) e^{r_1(\xi_1 - a) + r_2(\xi_2 - a)}, \quad (2.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(s) &= (\delta r_2 - \gamma)(\eta r_1 + \zeta) e^{r_1(\xi_2 - s) + r_2(\xi_1 - a)} - (\delta r_1 - \gamma)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_2 - a) + r_1(\xi_1 - s)}, \\ M_2(s) &= (\gamma - \delta r_1)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_2 - s) + r_1(\xi_1 - a)} + (\delta r_2 - \gamma)(\eta r_1 + \zeta) e^{r_1(\xi_2 - a) + r_2(\xi_1 - s)}, \\ M_3(s) &= (\gamma - \delta r_2)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_2 - s) + r_2(\xi_1 - a)} - (\gamma - \delta r_2)(\eta r_1 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_1 - a) + r_1(\xi_2 - s)}, \\ M_4(s) &= (\gamma - \delta r_1)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_2 - s) + r_1(\xi_1 - a)} - (\gamma - \delta r_1)(\eta r_1 + \zeta) e^{r_1(\xi_1 - a) + r_1(\xi_2 - s)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

*Proof.* If  $y \in C^2[a, b]$  is a solution of (2.25), take  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $p_1 = r_1 - r_2, p_2 = r_2$ . Hence, from (2.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) &= e_{p_2}(t, a)y(a) + e_{p_2}(t, a)u(a) \int_a^t e_{p_1}(s, a) \Delta s \\
 &\quad + e_{p_2}(t, a) \int_a^t e_{p_1}(s, a) \int_a^s e_{-r_1}(\xi, a) h(\xi) \Delta \xi \Delta s \\
 &= e^{r_2(t-a)} y(a) + e^{r_2(t-a)} u(a) \int_a^t e^{(r_1-r_2)(s-a)} ds \\
 &\quad + e^{r_2(t-a)} \int_a^t \int_a^s e^{(r_1-r_2)(s-a)} e^{-r_1(\xi-a)} h(\xi) d\xi ds \\
 &= e^{r_2(t-a)} y(a) + \frac{u(a)}{r_1 - r_2} \left( e^{r_1(t-a)} - e^{r_2(t-a)} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r_1 - r_2} \int_a^t \left( e^{r_1(t-s)} - e^{r_2(t-s)} \right) h(s) ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Substituting this expression for  $y(t)$  into the boundary conditions of (2.25). By some calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(a) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \left[ \int_a^{\xi_1} \left( (\delta r_2 - \gamma)(\eta r_1 + \zeta) e^{r_1(\xi_2-s)+r_2(\xi_1-a)} - (\delta r_1 - \gamma)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_2-a)+r_1(\xi_1-s)} \right) h(s) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \left( (\gamma - \delta r_2)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_2-s)+r_2(\xi_1-a)} - (\gamma - \delta r_2)(\eta r_1 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_1-a)+r_1(\xi_2-s)} \right) h(s) ds \right], \\
 y(a) &= -\frac{1}{(r_1 - r_2)\Delta_1} \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \int_a^{\xi_1} \left( (\gamma - \delta r_1)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_1(\xi_1-a)+r_2(\xi_2-s)} + (\zeta + \eta r_1)(\delta r_2 - \gamma) e^{r_1(\xi_2-a)+r_2(\xi_1-s)} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + (\gamma - \delta r_2)(\eta r_1 + \zeta) e^{r_1(\xi_2-s)+r_2(\xi_1-a)} + (\delta r_1 - \gamma)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_2-a)+r_1(\xi_1-s)} \right) h(s) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \left( (\gamma - \delta r_1)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_2-s)+r_1(\xi_1-a)} - (\gamma - \delta r_1)(\eta r_1 + \zeta) e^{r_1(\xi_1-a)+r_1(\xi_2-s)} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - (\gamma - r_2\delta)(\eta r_2 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_1-a)+r_2(\xi_2-s)} + (\gamma - r_2\delta)(\eta r_1 + \zeta) e^{r_2(\xi_1-a)+r_1(\xi_2-s)} \right) h(s) ds \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

where  $\Delta_1$  is given as (2.28). Then substituting (2.31) into (2.30), we get

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{r_1 - r_2} \left[ \int_a^{\xi_1} \left( \frac{e^{r_1(t-a)}}{\Delta_1} M_1(s) - \frac{e^{r_2(t-a)}}{\Delta_1} M_2(s) \right) h(s) ds + \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \left( \frac{e^{r_1(t-a)}}{\Delta_1} M_3(s) - \frac{e^{r_2(t-a)}}{\Delta_1} M_4(s) \right) h(s) ds + \int_a^t \left( e^{r_1(t-s)} - e^{r_2(t-s)} \right) h(s) ds \right], \quad (2.32)$$

where  $M_i(s)$  ( $i = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ) are as in (2.29), respectively. By some rearrangement of (2.32), we obtain (2.26) consequently.  $\square$

From the proof of Corollary 2.6, if  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , take  $\gamma = \zeta = 1$ ,  $\delta = \eta = 0$ ,  $a = \xi_1 = 0$ ,  $b = \xi_2 = 1$ , we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.7.** *The following boundary value problem:*

$$\begin{aligned} -y''(t) + qy(t) &= h(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ y(0) &= y(1) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

has a unique solution

$$y(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) h(s) ds, \quad (2.34)$$

where the Green's function of (2.33) is as follows:

$$G(t, s) = \frac{-1}{r_1 - r_2} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta_1} (e^{r_1 t} M_3(s) - e^{r_2 t} M_4(s)) + e^{r_1(t-s)} - e^{r_2(t-s)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\Delta_1} (e^{r_1 t} M_3(s) - e^{r_2 t} M_4(s)), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.35)$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = e^{r_1} - e^{r_2}, \quad M_3(s) = M_4(s) = e^{r_2(1-s)} - e^{r_1(1-s)}. \quad (2.36)$$

After some rearrangement of (2.35), one obtains

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sinh r_1 t \sinh r_1 (1-s)}{r_1 \sinh r_1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ \frac{\sinh r_1 s \sinh r_1 (1-t)}{r_1 \sinh r_1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.37)$$

*Remark 2.8.* Green function (2.37) associated with BVP (2.33) which is a special case of (2.13) is coincident with part of [21, Lemma 1].

**Lemma 2.9.** *Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$  are satisfied. If  $h \in C[a, b]$ , then boundary value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\Delta^4}(t) - qy^{\Delta^2}(\sigma(t)) &= h(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \\ y(\sigma^4(b)) &= 0, \quad \alpha y(a) - \beta y^\Delta(a) = 0, \\ \gamma y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_1) - \delta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_1) &= 0, \quad \zeta y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_2) + \eta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_2) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

has a unique solution

$$y(t) = \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) h(s) \Delta s \Delta \xi, \quad (2.39)$$

where

$$G_1(t, s) = \frac{1}{d} \begin{cases} (\sigma^4(b) - \sigma(s))(\alpha(t - a) + \beta), & t \leq s, \\ (\sigma^4(b) - t)(\alpha(\sigma(s) - a) + \beta), & t \geq \sigma(s), \end{cases} \quad (2.40)$$

and  $G(t, s)$  is given in Lemma 2.5.

*Proof.* Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\Delta^2}(t) - qy^\Delta(\sigma(t)) &= \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s) h(s) \Delta s, \quad t \in [a, \sigma^2(b)], \\ y(\sigma^4(b)) &= 0, \quad \alpha y(a) - \beta y^\Delta(a) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

The Green's function associated with the BVP (2.41) is  $G_1(t, s)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 2.10.* In [1, Lemma 2.5], the solution of (1.2) is defined as

$$y(t) = \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} G_2(\xi, s) h(s) \Delta s \Delta \xi, \quad (2.42)$$

where  $G_1(t, s)$  and  $G_2(t, s)$  are given as (1.4) and (1.5), respectively. In fact,  $y(t)$  is incorrect. Thus, we give the right form of  $y(t)$  as the special case  $q(t) = q$  in our Lemma 2.9.

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$  are satisfied. Moreover, suppose that the following condition is satisfied:*

*$(H_4)$   $f(t, y(\sigma(t)), y^{\Delta^2}(t)) = m(t)g(u) + n(t)h(v)$ , where  $g, h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous,  $m(t), n(t) \in C[a, b]$ , with*

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u)}{u} = \lambda, \quad \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(v)}{v} = \mu, \quad (3.1)$$

and there exists a continuous nonnegative function  $w : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $|m(s)| + |n(s)| \leq w(s)$ ,  $s \in [a, b]$ . If

$$\max\{|\lambda|, |\mu|\} < \min\left\{\frac{1}{L_1}, \frac{1}{L_2}\right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \left( \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)| w(s) \Delta s \Delta \xi \right), \\ L_2 &= \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(t, s)| w(s) \Delta s, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

then BVP (1.8) has a solution  $y \in C^2[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Define an operator  $F : C^2[a, b] \rightarrow C^2[a, b]$  by

$$Fy(t) = \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)g(y(s)) + n(s)h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right] \Delta s \Delta \xi, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $G_1(t, s)$  is given by (2.40). Then by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.9, it is clear that the fixed points of  $F$  are the solutions to the boundary value problem (1.8). First of all, we claim that  $F$  is a completely continuous operator, which is divided into 3 steps.

Step 1 ( $F : C^2[a, b] \rightarrow C^2[a, b]$  is continuous). Let  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(Fy_n)(t) - (Fy)(t)| \\
 &= \left| \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)g(y_n(s)) + n(s)h(y_n^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right] \Delta s \Delta \xi \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)g(y(s)) + n(s)h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right] \Delta s \Delta \xi \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)(g(y_n(s)) - g(y(s))) + n(s)(h(y_n^{\Delta^2}(s)) - h(y^{\Delta^2}(s))) \right] \Delta s \Delta \xi \right| \\
 &\leq \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} |G_1(t, \xi)| \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)m(s)| |g(y_n(s)) - g(y(s))| \Delta \xi \\
 &\quad + \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} |G_1(t, \xi)| \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)m(s)| \left| h(y_n^{\Delta^2}(s)) - h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right| \Delta \xi, \\
 & |(Fy_n)^{\Delta^2}(t) - (Fy)^{\Delta^2}(t)| \\
 &= \left| \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)g(y_n(s)) + n(s)h(y_n^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right] \Delta s \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)g(y(s)) + n(s)h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right] \Delta s \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)(g(y_n(s)) - g(y(s))) + n(s)(h(y_n^{\Delta^2}(s)) - h(y^{\Delta^2}(s))) \right] \Delta s \right| \\
 &\leq \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)m(s)| |g(y_n(s)) - g(y(s))| \Delta s + \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)m(s)| \left| h(y_n^{\Delta^2}(s)) - h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right| \Delta s.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $g, h$  are continuous, we have  $|(Fy_n)(t) - (Fy)(t)| \rightarrow 0$ , which yields  $\|Fy_n - Fy\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). That is,  $F : C^2[a, b] \rightarrow C^2[a, b]$  is continuous.

*Step 2* ( $F$  maps bounded sets into bounded sets in  $C^2[a, b]$ ). Let  $\Omega \subset C^2[a, b]$  be a bounded set. Then, for  $t \in [a, b]$  and any  $y \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Fy(t)| &= \left| \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)g(y(s)) + n(s)h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right] \Delta s \Delta \xi \right| \\ &\leq \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} |G_1(t, \xi)| \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)| \left( |m(s)g(y(s))| + |n(s)h(y^{\Delta^2}(s))| \right) \Delta s \Delta \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By virtue of the continuity of  $g$  and  $h$ , we conclude that  $Fu$  is bounded uniformly, and so  $F(\Omega)$  is a bounded set.

*Step 3* ( $F$  maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of  $C^2[a, b]$ ). Let  $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b], y \in \Omega$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &|(Fy)(t_1) - (Fy)(t_2)| \\ &= \left| \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} (G_1(t_1, \xi) - G_1(t_2, \xi)) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)g(y(s)) + n(s)h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right] \Delta s \Delta \xi \right| \\ &\leq \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} |G_1(t_1, \xi) - G_1(t_2, \xi)| \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s) \left[ m(s)g(y(s)) + n(s)h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) \right]| \Delta s \Delta \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

The right hand side tends to uniformly zero as  $t_1 - t_2 \rightarrow 0$ . Consequently, Steps 1–3 together with the Arzela-Ascoli theorem show that  $F$  is completely continuous.

Now we consider the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\Delta^4}(t) - qy^{\Delta^2}(\sigma(t)) &= \lambda m(t)y(t) + \mu n(t)y^{\Delta^2}(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \\ y(\sigma^4(b)) &= 0, \quad \alpha y(a) - \beta y^{\Delta}(a) = 0, \\ \gamma y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_1) - \delta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_1) &= 0, \quad \zeta y^{\Delta^2}(\xi_2) + \eta y^{\Delta^3}(\xi_2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Define

$$Ay(t) = \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left[ \lambda m(s)y(s) + \mu n(s)y^{\Delta^2}(s) \right] \Delta s \Delta \xi. \quad (3.9)$$

Obviously,  $A$  is a completely continuous bounded linear operator. Moreover, the fixed point of  $A$  is a solution of the BVP (3.8) and conversely.

We are now in the position to claim that 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

If  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mu = 0$ , then (3.8) has no nontrivial solution.



If  $\lambda \neq 0$  or  $\mu \neq 0$ , suppose that the BVP (3.8) has a nontrivial solution  $y$  and  $\|y\|_0 > 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Ay(t)| &\leq \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s) [\lambda m(s)y(s) + \mu n(s)y^{\Delta^2}(s)]| \Delta s \Delta \xi \\
 &\leq \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)| [|\lambda| |m(s)| |y(s)| + |\mu| |n(s)| |y^{\Delta^2}(s)|] \Delta s \Delta \xi \\
 &\leq \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \left( \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)| [|\lambda| |m(s)| + |\mu| |n(s)|] \|y\|_0 \Delta s \Delta \xi \right) \\
 &\leq \max\{|\lambda|, |\mu|\} \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \left( \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)| w(s) \Delta s \Delta \xi \right) \|y\|_0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

which yields

$$|Ay(t)| \leq \max\{|\lambda|, |\mu|\} L_1 \|y\|_0 = \|y\|_0. \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Ay)^{\Delta^2}(t)| &\leq \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(t, s) \lambda m(s)y(s) + \mu n(s)y^{\Delta^2}(s)| \Delta s \\
 &\leq \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(t, s)| [|\lambda| |m(s)| + |\mu| |n(s)|] \|y\|_0 \Delta s \\
 &\leq \max\{|\lambda|, |\mu|\} \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(t, s)| w(s) \Delta s \|y\|_0 \\
 &\leq \max\{|\lambda|, |\mu|\} L_2 \|y\|_0 < \frac{1}{L_2} L_2 \|y\|_0 = \|y\|_0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

From the above discussion (3.11) and (3.12), we have  $\|Ay\|_0 < \|y\|_0$ . This contradiction implies that boundary value problem (3.8) has no trivial solution. Hence, 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

At last, we show that

$$\lim_{\|x\|_0 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|F(x) - A(x)\|_0}{\|x\|_0} = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

By  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} (g(u)/u) = \lambda$ ,  $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} (h(v)/v) = \mu$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a  $R > 0$  such that

$$|g(u) - \lambda u| < \varepsilon |u|, \quad |h(v) - \mu v| < \varepsilon |v|, \quad |u|, |v| > R. \tag{3.14}$$

Set  $R^* = \max\{\max_{|\mu| \leq R} |g(u)|, \max_{|v| \leq R} |h(v)|\}$  and select  $M > 0$  such that  $R^* + \max\{|\lambda|, |\mu|\} R < \varepsilon M$ .

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \{t \in [a, b] : |u(t)| \leq R, |v(t)| > R\}, & E_2 &= \{t \in [a, b] : |u(t)| \leq R, |v(t)| > R\}, \\ E_3 &= \{t \in [a, b] : |u(t)|, |v(t)| \leq R\}, & E_4 &= \{t \in [a, b] : |u(t)|, |v(t)| > R\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Thus for any  $y \in E$  and  $\|y\|_0 > M$ , when  $t \in E_1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(u(t)) - \lambda u(t)| &\leq |g(u(t))| + |\lambda| |u(t)| \leq R^* + |\lambda|R < \varepsilon M < \varepsilon \|u\|_0, \\ |h(v(t)) - \mu v(t)| &< \varepsilon |v(t)| \leq \varepsilon \|v\|_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

In a similar way, we also conclude that for any  $t \in E_i$ , ( $i = 2, 3, 4$ ),

$$|g(u(t)) - \lambda u(t)| < \varepsilon \|u\|_0, \quad |h(v(t)) - \mu v(t)| < \varepsilon \|v\|_0. \quad (3.17)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &|Fy(t) - Ay(t)| \\ &= \left| \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\xi, s) \left( m(s)[g(y(s)) - \lambda y(s)] + n(s)[h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) - \mu y^{\Delta^2}(s)] \right) \Delta s \Delta \xi \right| \\ &\leq \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \left( \int_a^{\sigma^4(b)} G_1(t, \xi) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(\xi, s)| w(s) \Delta s \Delta \xi \right) \varepsilon \|y\|_0 = \varepsilon L_1 \|y\|_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(Fy - Ay)^{\Delta^2}(t)| &\leq \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(t, s) \left( m(s)[g(y(s)) - \lambda y(s)] + n(s)[h(y^{\Delta^2}(s)) - \mu y^{\Delta^2}(s)] \right)| \Delta s \\ &\leq \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \left( \int_a^{\sigma(b)} |G(t, s)| w(s) \Delta s \right) \varepsilon \|y\|_0 \\ &= \varepsilon L_2 \|y\|_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Combining (3.18) with (3.19), we have

$$\lim_{\|x\|_0 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|F(x) - A(x)\|_0}{\|x\|_0} = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Theorem 2.4 guarantees that boundary value problem (1.8) has a solution  $y^* \in C^2[a, b]$ . It is obvious that  $y^* \neq 0$  when  $m(t_0)g(0) + n(t_0)h(0) \neq 0$  for some  $t_0 \in [a, b]$ . In fact,

if  $m(t_0)g(0) + n(t_0)h(0) \neq 0$ , then  $(0)^{\Delta^4} - q(0)^{\Delta^2} = m(t_0)g(0) + n(t_0)h(0) \neq 0$  will lead to a contradiction, which completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Application

We give an example to illustrate our result.

*Example 4.1.* Consider the fourth-order four-point boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\Delta^4}(t) - \frac{1}{4}y''(t) &= \frac{t \sin 2\pi t}{t^2 + 1}y(t) - \frac{1}{2}te^{\cos t} \cos y''(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ y(0) &= y(1) = 0, \\ y''\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - y'''\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) &= 0, \quad y''\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + y'''\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Notice that  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ . To show that (4.1) has at least one nontrivial solution we apply Theorem 3.1 with  $m(t) = t \sin 2\pi t / (t^2 + 1)$ ,  $n(t) = (1/2)te^{\cos t}$ ,  $g(u) = u$ ,  $h(u) = \cos u$ ,  $\alpha = \gamma = \delta = \eta = \zeta = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $q = 1/4$ ,  $\xi_1 = 1/3$ , and  $\xi_2 = 2/3$ . Obviously,  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$  are satisfied. And

$$m(t_0)g(0) + n(t_0)h(0) = \frac{1}{2}t_0e^{\cos t_0} \neq 0, \quad t_0 \in (0, 1]. \quad (4.2)$$

Since  $|m(s)| + |n(s)| \leq ((1/2)e + 1)s := w(s)$ , for each  $s \in [0, 1]$ , we have the following.

By simple calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left( \int_0^1 G_1(t, \xi) \int_0^1 |G(\xi, s)|w(s)ds d\xi \right) \approx 0.05 < 1, \\ L_2 &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 |G(t, s)|w(s)ds \approx 0.82 < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, we notice that

$$\lambda = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u)}{u} = 1, \quad \mu = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(u)}{u} = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Hence,

$$\max\{\lambda, \mu\} < 1 < \min\left\{\frac{1}{L_1}, \frac{1}{L_2}\right\}. \quad (4.5)$$

That is,  $(H_4)$  is satisfied. Thus, Theorem 3.1 guarantees that (4.1) has at least one nontrivial solution  $u \in C^2[0, 1]$ .

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10771212) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Education Office (06KJB110010).

## References

- [1] I. Y. Karaca, "Fourth-order four-point boundary value problem on time scales," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 1057–1063, 2008.
- [2] C. Bai, D. Yang, and H. Zhu, "Existence of solutions for fourth order differential equation with four-point boundary conditions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 1131–1136, 2007.
- [3] S. Hilger, "Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 1-2, pp. 18–56, 1990.
- [4] F. M. Atici and G. Sh. Guseinov, "On Green's functions and positive solutions for boundary value problems on time scales," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 75–99, 2002.
- [5] M. Benchohra, S. K. Ntouyas, and A. Ouahab, "Existence results for second order boundary value problem of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 296, no. 1, pp. 65–73, 2004.
- [6] K. L. Boey and P. J. Y. Wong, "Positive solutions of two-point right focal boundary value problems on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 52, no. 3-4, pp. 555–576, 2006.
- [7] P. Stehlík, "Periodic boundary value problems on time scales," *Advances in Difference Equations*, no. 1, pp. 81–92, 2005.
- [8] J.-P. Sun, "Existence of solution and positive solution of BVP for nonlinear third-order dynamic equation," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 629–636, 2006.
- [9] H.-R. Sun and W.-T. Li, "Existence theory for positive solutions to one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian boundary value problems on time scales," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 240, no. 2, pp. 217–248, 2007.
- [10] H. Su and M. Zhang, "Solutions for higher-order dynamic equations on time scales," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 413–428, 2008.
- [11] D.-B. Wang and J.-P. Sun, "Existence of a solution and a positive solution of a boundary value problem for a nonlinear fourth-order dynamic equation," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 5-6, pp. 1817–1823, 2008.
- [12] İ. Yaslan, "Existence results for an even-order boundary value problem on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 483–491, 2009.
- [13] Y.-H. Su, "Multiple positive pseudo-symmetric solutions of  $p$ -Laplacian dynamic equations on time scales," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 49, no. 7-8, pp. 1664–1681, 2009.
- [14] J. Henderson, C. C. Tisdell, and W. K. C. Yin, "Uniqueness implies existence for three-point boundary value problems for dynamic equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 1391–1395, 2004.
- [15] Y. Tian and W. Ge, "Existence and uniqueness results for nonlinear first-order three-point boundary value problems on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 2833–2842, 2008.
- [16] D. R. Anderson and G. Smyrlis, "Solvability for a third-order three-point BVP on time scales," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 49, no. 9-10, pp. 1994–2001, 2009.
- [17] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner, D. O'Regan, and A. Peterson, "Dynamic equations on time scales: a survey," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 1–26, 2002.
- [18] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [19] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.
- [20] M. A. Krasnoselskiĭ and P. P. Zabreĭko, *Geometrical Methods of Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 263 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1984.
- [21] G. Chai, "Existence of positive solutions for second-order boundary value problem with one parameter," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 330, no. 1, pp. 541–549, 2007.