

Research Article

A Note on Geodesically Bounded \mathbb{R} -Trees

W. A. Kirk

Department of Mathematics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to W. A. Kirk, kirk@math.uiowa.edu

Received 4 March 2010; Accepted 10 May 2010

Academic Editor: Mohamed Amine Khamsi

Copyright © 2010 W. A. Kirk. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

It is proved that a complete geodesically bounded \mathbb{R} -tree is the closed convex hull of the set of its extreme points. It is also noted that if X is a closed convex geodesically bounded subset of a complete \mathbb{R} -tree Y , and if a nonexpansive mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $\inf\{d(x, T(x)) : x \in X\} = 0$, then T has a fixed point. The latter result fails if T is only continuous.

1. Introduction

Recall that for a metric space (X, d) , a geodesic path (or metric segment) joining x and y in X is a mapping c of a closed interval $[0, l]$ into X such that $c(0) = x$, $c(l) = y$, and $d(c(t), c(t')) = |t - t'|$ for each $t, t' \in [0, l]$. Thus c is an isometry and $d(x, y) = l$. An \mathbb{R} -tree (or metric tree) is a metric space X such that:

- (i) there is a unique geodesic path (denoted by $[x, y]$) joining each pair of points $x, y \in X$;
- (ii) if $[y, x] \cap [x, z] = \{x\}$, then $[y, x] \cup [x, z] = [y, z]$.
From (i) and (ii), it is easy to deduce that
- (iii) if $x, y, z \in X$, then $[x, y] \cap [x, z] = [x, w]$ for some $w \in X$.

The concept of an \mathbb{R} -tree goes back to a 1977 article of Tits [1]. Complete \mathbb{R} -trees possess fascinating geometric and topological properties. Standard examples of \mathbb{R} -trees include the “radial” and “river” metrics on \mathbb{R}^2 . For the radial metric, consider all rays emanating from the origin in \mathbb{R}^2 . Define the radial distance d_r between $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ to be the usual distance if they are on the same ray; otherwise take

$$d_r(x, y) = d(x, 0) + d(0, y). \quad (1.1)$$

(Here d denotes the usual Euclidean distance and 0 denotes the origin.) For the river metric ρ on \mathbb{R}^2 , if two points x , and y are on the same vertical line, define $\rho(x, y) = d(x, y)$. Otherwise define $\rho(x, y) = |x_2| + |y_2| + |x_1 - y_1|$, where $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$. More subtle examples of \mathbb{R} -trees also exist, for example, the real tree of Dress and Terhalle [2].

It is shown in [3] that \mathbb{R} -trees complete are hyperconvex metric spaces (a fact that also follows from Theorem B of [4] and the characterization of [5]). They are also CAT(0) spaces in the sense of Gromov (see, e.g., [6, page 167]). Moreover, complete and geodesically bounded \mathbb{R} -trees have the fixed point property for continuous maps. This fact is a consequences of a result of Young [7] (see also [8]), and it suggests that complete geodesically bounded \mathbb{R} -trees have properties that one often associates with compactness. The two observations below serve to affirm this.

2. A Krein-Milman Theorem

In [9] Niculescu proved that a nonempty compact convex subset X of a complete CAT(0) space (called a global NPC space in [9]) is the convex hull of the set of all its extreme points. Subsequently, in [10], Borkowski et al. proved (among other things) that compactness is not needed in the special case when X is a complete and bounded \mathbb{R} -tree. Here we show that in complete \mathbb{R} -trees even the boundedness assumption may be relaxed.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a complete and geodesically bounded \mathbb{R} -tree. Then X is the convex hull of its set E of extreme points.*

Proof. Let $x \in E$, and let $z \in X \setminus E$. We will show that z lies on a segment joining x to some other element of E . We proceed by transfinite induction. Let Ω denote the set of all countable ordinals, let $z_0 = z$, let $\alpha \in \Omega$, and assume that for all $\beta \in \Omega$ with $\beta < \alpha$, z_β has been defined so that the following condition holds:

$$(i) \mu < \gamma < \alpha \Rightarrow z_\mu \in [x, z_\gamma], \text{ and } z_\gamma \notin E \Rightarrow z_\mu \neq z_\gamma.$$

There are two cases.

- (1) $\alpha = \beta + 1$. If $z_\beta \in E$, there is nothing to prove because $z = z_0 \in [x, z_\beta]$. Otherwise, there are elements $a, b \in X$ such that z_β lies on the segment $[a, b]$ and $a \neq z_\beta \neq b$. At least one of these points, say a , does not lie on the segment $[z_\beta, x]$. Set $z_\alpha = a$, and observe that z_β lies on the segment $[z_\alpha, x]$.
- (2) α is a limit ordinal. Since X is geodesically bounded, it must be the case that $\sum_{\beta < \alpha} d(z_\beta, z_{\beta+1}) < \infty$. This implies that $(z_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ is a Cauchy net. Since X is complete, it must converge to some $z_\alpha \in X$.

Therefore, z_α is defined for all $\alpha \in \Omega$. Since X is geodesically bounded, $\sum_{\beta \in \Omega} d(z_\beta, z_{\beta+1}) < \infty$. But since Ω is uncountable, it is not possible that $d(z_\beta, z_{\beta+1}) > 0$ for each β . Hence this transfinite process must terminate, and $z_\beta = z_{\beta+1}$ for some $\beta \in \Omega$. It now follows from (i) that $z_\beta \in E$ and z lies on the segment $[z_\beta, x]$. \square

Remark 2.2. The above proof shows that in fact each point of X is on a segment joining any given extreme point to some other extreme point.

3. A Fixed Point Theorem

It is known that if K is a bounded closed convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space Y , and if $f : K \rightarrow Y$ is a nonexpansive mapping for which

$$\inf\{d(x, f(x)) : x \in K\} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

then f has a fixed point (see [11, Theorem 21]; also [12, Corollary 3.8]). This fact carries over to \mathbb{R} -trees since \mathbb{R} -trees are also CAT(0) spaces. However, we note here that if Y is an \mathbb{R} -tree, then again boundedness of K can be replaced by the assumption that K is merely geodesically bounded. In fact, we prove the following. (In the following theorem, we assume T is nonexpansive relative to the Hausdorff metric on the bounded nonempty closed subsets of Y .)

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose X is a closed convex and geodesically bounded subset of a complete \mathbb{R} -tree Y , and suppose $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonexpansive mapping taking values in the family of nonempty bounded closed convex subsets of Y . Suppose also that $\inf\{\text{dist}(x, T(x)) : x \in X\} = 0$. Then there is a point $x \in X$ for which $x \in T(x)$.*

We will need the following result in the proof of Theorem 3.1. (See [13, 14] for more general set-valued versions of this theorem.)

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose X is a closed convex geodesically bounded subset of a complete \mathbb{R} -tree Y and suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. Then either f has a fixed point or there exists a point $z \in X$ such that*

$$0 < d(z, f(z)) = \inf\{d(x, f(z)) : x \in X\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since complete \mathbb{R} -trees are hyperconvex, by Corollary 1 of [15] the selection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by taking $f(x)$ to be the point of $T(x)$ which is nearest to x for each $x \in X$ is a nonexpansive single-valued mapping. Now assume f does not have a fixed point. Then by Theorem 3.2 there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$0 < d(z, f(z)) = \inf\{d(x, f(z)) : x \in X\}. \quad (3.3)$$

We assert that $d(x, f(x)) \geq d(z, f(z))$ for each $x \in X$. Indeed let $x \in X$. By (iii) there exists $w \in Y$ such that $[z, f(z)] \cap [z, x] = [z, w]$. But since X is convex $[z, x] \subseteq X$, so $w \in [z, x]$ implies $w \in X$. Also $w \in [z, f(z)]$, so it follows from (3.3) that $w = z$. Thus $[z, f(z)] \cap [z, x] = \{z\}$, and the segment $[x, f(z)]$ must pass through z . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) + d(z, f(z)) &= d(x, f(z)) \\ &\leq d(x, f(x)) + d(f(x), f(z)) \\ &\leq d(x, f(x)) + d(x, z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Thus $\inf\{d(x, f(x)) : x \in X\} \geq d(z, f(z)) > 0$ – a contradiction. Therefore, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x = f(x) \in T(x)$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose X is a closed convex and geodesically bounded subset of a complete \mathbb{R} -tree Y , and suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a nonexpansive mapping for which $\inf\{d(x, f(x)) : x \in X\} = 0$. Then f has a fixed point.*

Example 3.4. In view of the fact that continuous self-maps of $X \rightarrow X$ have fixed points, it is natural to ask whether Corollary 3.3 holds for continuous mappings. The answer is no, even when X is bounded. Let Y be the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 with the radial metric. Let $\{e_n\}$ be a sequence of distinct points on the unit circle, and let $X = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} [e_n, 0]$. We now define a continuous fixed-point free map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ for which $\inf\{d(x, f(x)) : x \in X\} = 0$. First move each point of the segment $[0, e_1]$ to the right onto a segment $[e_1, b]$ where $b \neq e_1$ and $[e_1, b]$ is on the ray which extends $[0, e_1]$. (Thus $f([0, e_1]) = [e_1, b]$.) For each $n \geq 2$, let a_n denote the point on the segment $[e_n, 0]$ which has distance $1/n$ from e_n . It is now clearly possible to construct a continuous (even lipschitzian) fixed point-free map f (a shift) of the segment $[e_n, 0]$ onto the segment $[a_n, e_1]$, $n \geq 2$, for which $f(e_n) = a_n$. Thus $d(e_n, f(e_n)) = 1/n$ for all n .

Remark 3.5. Corollary 3.3 for bounded X is also a consequence of Theorem 6 of [15].

References

- [1] J. Tits, "A "theorem of Lie-Kolchin" for trees," in *Contributions to Algebra*, pp. 377–388, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1977.
- [2] A. W. M. Dress and W. F. Terhalle, "The real tree," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 120, no. 2, pp. 283–301, 1996.
- [3] W. A. Kirk, "Hyperconvexity of \mathbb{R} -trees," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 156, no. 1, pp. 67–72, 1998.
- [4] U. Lang and V. Schroeder, "Kirszbraun's theorem and metric spaces of bounded curvature," *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, vol. 7, pp. 535–560, 1997.
- [5] N. Aronszajn and P. Panitchpakdi, "Extensions of uniformly continuous transformations and hyperconvex metric spaces," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 6, pp. 405–439, 1956.
- [6] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, vol. 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1999.
- [7] G. S. Young Jr., "The introduction of local connectivity by change of topology," *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 68, pp. 479–494, 1946.
- [8] W. A. Kirk, "Fixed point theorems in CAT(0) spaces and \mathbb{R} -trees," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2004, no. 4, pp. 309–316, 2004.
- [9] C. P. Niculescu, "The Krein-Milman theorem in global NPC spaces," *Bulletin Mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie*, vol. 50, pp. 343–346, 2007.
- [10] M. Borkowski, D. Bugajewski, and D. Phulara, "On some properties of hyperconvex spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 213812, 19 pages, 2010.
- [11] W. A. Kirk, "Geodesic geometry and fixed point theory," in *Seminar of Mathematical Analysis (Malaga/Seville, 2002/2003)*, vol. 64 of *Coleccion Abierta*, pp. 195–225, Seville University Publications, Seville, Spain, 2003.
- [12] W. A. Kirk and B. Panyanak, "A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 3689–3696, 2008.
- [13] B. Piątek, "Best approximation of coincidence points in metric trees," *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska. Sectio A*, vol. 62, pp. 113–121, 2008.
- [14] W. A. Kirk and B. Panyanak, "Remarks on best approximation in \mathbb{R} -trees," *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska. Sectio A*, vol. 63, pp. 133–138, 2009.
- [15] M. A. Khamsi, W. A. Kirk, and C. Martínez Yañez, "Fixed point and selection theorems in hyperconvex spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 128, no. 11, pp. 3275–3283, 2000.