

ON INTEGERS n WITH $J_t(n) < J_t(m)$ For $m > n$

J. CHIDAMBARASWAMY
and
P.V. KRISHNAIAH

Department of Mathematics
University of Toledo
Toledo, OH 43606

(Received April 10, 1987)

ABSTRACT. Let F_t be the set of all positive integers n such that $J_t(n) < J_t(m)$ for all $m > n$, $J_t(n)$ being the Jordan totient function of order t . In this paper, it has been proved that (1) every positive integer d divides infinitely many members of F_t (2) if n and n' are consecutive members of F_t , $\frac{n'}{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in F_t (3) every prime p divides n for all sufficiently large $n \in F_t$ and (4) $\log F_t(x) \ll \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x$ where $F_t(x)$ is the number of $n \in F_t$ that $n \leq x$.

KEYS WORDS AND PHRASES. Euler totient function, Jordan totient function of order t .
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 11A,N.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In [1] Masser and Shiu consider the set F of positive integers n such that $\phi(n) < \phi(m)$ for all $m > n$, (ϕ) being the Euler totient function. Calling members of F sparsely totient numbers, they prove, among other results, that (1) every integer divides some member of F (2) every prime divides all sufficiently large members of F (3) the ratio of consecutive members of F approaches 1 and (4) $\log F(x) \ll \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x$ where $F(x)$ is the counting function of F ; that is, the number of members of F which do not exceed x .

In this paper, using similar methods, we extend the above results to the set F_t of all positive integers n such that $J_t(n) < J_t(m)$ for all $m > n$, $J_t(n)$ being the well known Jordan totient function of order t [4]. We recall that $J_t(n)$ is defined as the number of incongruent t -vectors (a_1, \dots, a_t) mod n such that $((a_1, \dots, a_t)_n) = 1$, it being understood that t -vectors (a_1, \dots, a_t) and (b_1, \dots, b_t) are congruent mod n if $a_i \equiv b_i \pmod{n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and that $J_t(n)$ is given by the formula

$$J_t(n) = n^t \prod_{p|n} (1 - p^{-t}) \quad (1.1)$$

Moreover this function $J_t(n)$ coincides with Cohen's [3] generalization $\phi_t(n)$ of the Euler totient function, defines as the number of positive integers $a \leq n^{\frac{1}{t}}$ such that

$(a, n^t)_t = 1$ where $(x, y)_t$ denotes the largest t th power common divisor of x and y . Clearly $J_1(n)$ (and hence $\phi_1(n)$) is the same as $\phi(n)$.

Denoting by $\omega(n)$ the number of distinct prime factors of n , we order these prime factors as $P_1 > P_2 > \dots > P_{\omega(n)}$; thus $P_r = P_r(n)$ is the r th largest prime factor of n . Likewise we order the primes not dividing n as $Q_1 < Q_2 < \dots$. Further we write p_r for the r th prime in the ascending sequence of all primes. For positive integral n , we write n_* to denote the quotient of n by its largest square free divisor. For a positive integer u , we write α_u for the unique positive root of the equation

$$t x^{t+u} + (t+u) x^t - u = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

It may be directly verified that

$$1 - \frac{2u}{t+u} \leq \alpha_u < 1 \tag{1.3}$$

Finally we write $F_t(x)$ for the number of members of F_t that do not exceed x .

2. MAIN RESULTS.

We prove the following results:

THEOREM 2.1. Let $k \geq 2, d \geq 1, \ell \geq 0$ be integers such that

$$d < P_{k+1} - 1 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$d^t (P_{k+\ell}^t - 1) < (d+1)^t (P_k^t - 1). \tag{2.2}$$

Then $d P_1 \dots P_{k-1} P_{k+\ell}$ is a member of F_t .

COROLLARY 2.1. Every positive integer d divides infinitely many members of F_t .

COROLLARY 2.2. If n and n' are consecutive members of F_t , then $n^{-1} n' \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in F_t .

THEOREM 2.2. Every prime p divides n for all sufficiently large $n \in F_t$.

THEOREM 2.3. Let u be a fixed positive integer. As $n \rightarrow \infty$ in F_t we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \liminf_n P_u(n) \log^{-1} n &= 1 \\ \text{(b)} \quad \limsup_n P_1(n) \log^{-1} n &\geq 2 \\ \text{(c)} \quad \alpha_u &\leq \liminf_n Q_u(n) \log^{-1} n \leq \limsup_n Q_u(n) \log^{-1} n = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\text{(d)} \quad \limsup_n P_{t+u}(n) \log^{-1} n \leq \alpha_u^{-1} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\text{(e)} \quad \limsup_n P_1(n) \log^{-t-1} n \leq t \tag{2.5}$$

THEOREM 2.4. $\log F_t(x) \ll \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x$.

3. FOR THE PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS WE NEED THE FOLLOWING LEMMAS.

LEMMA 3.1. Let r be a positive integer and $x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_r, x$ and y be real numbers satisfying (i) $1 \leq x_i \leq y_i$ and $y \geq x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and (ii) $x_1 \dots x_r x < y_1 \dots y_r y$. Then $(x_1-1) \dots (x_r-1)(x-1) < (y_1-1) \dots (y_r-1)(y-1)$.

This is lemma 3.1 of [6].

For positive integers a and b we write $f(a,b)$ to denote the smallest multiple of b that exceeds a . Clearly

$$a < f(a,b) \leq a + b. \tag{3.1}$$

LEMMA 3.2. If $n \in F_t$ and

$$p_1 \dots p_k \leq n < p_1 \dots p_k p_{k+1} \tag{3.2}$$

then $k-2t < \omega(n) \leq k$.

PROOF. The second inequality in (3.2) implies that $\omega(n) \leq k$. Suppose if possible, that $0 < \omega(n) \leq k - 2t$. It follows from (1.1) that

$$J_t(n)m^{-t} \geq (1 - p_1^{-t}) \dots (1 - p_{k-2t}^{-t}). \tag{3.3}$$

Choosing $m = f(n, p_1 \dots p_{k-t})$, we have, by (3.1) and (3.2),

$$1 < \frac{m}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{p_1 \dots p_{k-t}}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{p_{k-t+1} \dots p_k} < 1 + \frac{1}{p_{k-t}} \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, since p_1, \dots, p_{k-t} divide m , we have, in virtue of (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} J_t(m)m^{-t} &\leq (1-p_1^{-t}) \dots (1-p_{k-t}^{-t}) \\ &\leq J_t(n)n^{-t} (1-p_{k-2t+1}^{-t}) \dots (1-p_{k-t}^{-t}) \\ &\leq J_t(n)n^{-t} (1-p_{k-t}^{-t})^t \end{aligned}$$

so that, (3.4) now yields

$$\frac{J_t(m)}{J_t(n)} < (1 + p_{k-t}^{-t}) (1 - p_{k-t}^{-t})^t < 1$$

contrary to the hypothesis that $n \in F_t$. Hence the lemma follows.

REMARK 1. Since for each n there is a unique k such that (3.2) holds, lemma 3.2 implies that $\omega(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in F_t .

LEMMA 3.3. If u is a positive integer, $n \in F_t$ and $\omega(n) \geq t + u$ then $a_u p_{t+u} < Q_u$.

PROOF. We write $a = p_1 \dots p_{t+u}$, $b = q_1 \dots q_u$ and $m = na^{-1}f(a,b)$ so that

$$1 < \frac{m}{n} = 1 + \frac{b}{a} = 1 + \frac{Q_u}{a} p_{t+u}^{-t-u}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since q_1, \dots, q_u are prime factors of m but not of n where as the prime factors p_1, \dots, p_{t+u} of n may or may not divide m , we have

$$J_t(m)m^{-1} (1-Q_1^{-t}) \dots (1-Q_u^{-t})(1-p_1^{-t})^{-1} \dots (1-p_{t+n}^{-t})^{-1} J_t(n)n^{-t}$$

so that (3.5) and the hypothesis that $n \in F_t$ together imply

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < J_t(m)(J_t(n))^{-1} &< (1+Q_u^u p_{t+u}^{-t-u}) \prod_{i=1}^u (1-Q_i^{-t}) \prod_{i=1}^{t+u} (1-p_i^{-t})^{-1} \\ &< (1+Q_u^u p_{t+u}^{-t-u})^t (1 - Q_u^{-t})^u (1 - p_{t+u}^{-t})^{-t-u} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $(t + u)$ th roots and employing the well known inequality $x^r \leq 1 + r(x-1)$ for $x > 0, 0 < r < 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - P_{t+u}^{-t} &< (1 - Q_u^{-t})^{u/t+u} (1 + Q_u^u P_{t+u}^{-t-u})^{t/t+u} \\ &< \{1 - uQ_u^{-t}(t+u)^{-1}\} \{1 + tQ_u^u P_{t+u}^{-t-u} (t+u)^{-1}\} \\ &< 1 - uQ_u^{-t}(t+u)^{-1} + tQ_u^u P_{t+u}^{-t-u} (t+u)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling 1 in the above and multiplying by $(t+u)Q_u^t$ we arrive at

$$t(Q_u P_{t+u}^{-1})^{t+u} + (t+u) (Q_u P_{t+u}^{-1})^t - u > 0$$

which, in virtue of (1.2), implies that $Q_u P_{t+u}^{-1} > \alpha_u$.

REMARK 2. When $t = u = 1$ this lemma 4 of [1] and when $t = 1$ this yields a slight improvement of lemma 7 of [1].

LEMMA 3.4. For $n \in F_t$ we have $P_1(n) < t(Q_1(n))^{t+1}$.

PROOF. We write P for $P_1(n)$ and Q for $Q_1(n)$. Suppose $P \geq t Q^{t+1}$ choosing $m = n f(P,Q)P^{-1}$ we see that

$$1 < \frac{m}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{Q}{P} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{tQ^t} \tag{3.6}$$

Arguing as in lemma 3.3, we have, since $P \geq t Q^{t+1}$ by our assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} J_t(m)m^{-t} &\leq (1 - Q^{-t})(1 - P^{-t})^{-1} J_t(n)n^{-t} \\ &\leq (1 - Q^{-t})(1 - Q^{-t(t+1)})^{-1} J_t(n)n^{-t} \\ &= (1 + Q^{-t} + \dots + Q^{-t^2})^{-1} J_t(n)n^{-t} \end{aligned}$$

so that by (3.6)

$$J_t(m)(J_t(n))^{-1} \leq (1 + Q^{-t} + \dots + Q^{-t^2})^{-1} (1 + t^{-1}Q^{-t})^t \leq 1,$$

contrary to the hypothesis. This establishes the lemma.

LEMMA 3.5. For $n \in F_t, n_* < t(Q_1(n))^{t+1}$.

PROOF. Writing $m = n f(n_*, Q)n_*^{-1}$, we note that

$$1 < \frac{m}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{Q}{n_*}$$

so that, since $n \in F_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(0) = 1 < J_t(m)(J_t(n))^{-1} &\leq (1 - Q^{-t})(1 + Qn_*^{-1})^t \\ &< \exp(-Q^{-t})\exp(tQn_*^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows on comparing the exponents.

LEMMA 3.6. Let $A \geq 0, M \geq 3$ and $\pi(A;M)$ be the number of primes p with $A < p \leq A+M$ ($\pi(A;M) = \pi(A+M) - \pi(A)$).

Then

$$\pi(A;M) \leq 2M(\log M)^{-1} \{1 + O(\log \log M \log^{-1} M)\}$$

and the 0-constant is independent of A .

This is Theorem 4.5, Chapter 19 of [2].

REMARK 3. Lemma 3.6 implies that $\pi(A;M) \leq 3M \log^{-1} M$ for all $A \geq 0$ and sufficiently large M .

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Let $n = d p_1 \dots p_{k-1} p_{k+l}$ where d, k, l satisfy (2.1) and (2.2). From (1.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_t(n) &\leq d^t (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_{k-1}^t)(p_{k+l}^t - 1) \\ &< (d+t)^t (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_{k-1}^t)(p_k^{t-1}) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Let $m > n$. There is a unique s such that $p_1 \dots p_s \leq m < p_1 \dots p_{s+1}$ and the last inequality implies that $\omega(m) \leq s$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_t(m) &\geq m^t (1-p_1^{-t}) \dots (1-p_s^{-t}) \\ &\geq (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_s^{t-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Case (1) $s \geq k+1$. We have, by (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} J_t(m) &\geq (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_k^{t-1})(p_{k+1}^t - 1) \\ &> (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_k^{t-1})(d+1)^t && \text{by (2.1)} \\ &\geq J_t(n) && \text{by (4.1)} \end{aligned}$$

Case (2) $s \leq k$, $\omega(m) \leq k-1$. In this case

$$J_t(m)m^{-t} \geq (1-p_1^{-t}) \dots (1-p_{k-1}^{-t})$$

where as

$$\begin{aligned} J_t(n)n^{-s} &\leq (1-p_1^{-t}) \dots (1-p_{k-1}^{-t})(1-p_{k+l}^{-t}) \\ &< (1-p_1^{-t}) \dots (1-p_{k-1}^{-t}) \end{aligned}$$

so that $J_t(m) > J_t(n)$.

Case (3) $s \leq k = \omega(m)$ and $m_* \geq d+1$. In this case $s = k = \omega(m)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J_t(m) &= m_*^t \prod_{p|m} (p^{t-1}) \\ &\geq m_*^t (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_k^{t-1}) \\ &\geq (d+1)^t (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_k^{t-1}) \\ &> J_t(n) \end{aligned}$$

in virtue of (4.1).

Case (4) $s \leq k = \omega(m)$ and $m_* \leq d$. Let $m = m_* q_1 \dots q_k$, q_i 's being the distinct prime factors of m in ascending order. Then $q_i \geq p_i$ and since $m > n$ we have

$$q_1 \dots q_{k-1} q_k > p_1 \dots p_{k-1} (d p_{k+l} m_*^{-1})$$

Taking $r = k-1$, $y_1 = q_1^t$, $y = q_k^t$, $x_1 = p_1^t$ and $x = d^t p_{k+l}^t m_*^{-t}$ in lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$(q_1^{t-1}) \dots (q_{k-1}^t)(q_k^{t-1}) > (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_{k-1}^t)(d^t p_{k+l}^t m_*^{-t-1})$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} J_t(m) &= m_*^t (q_1^{t-1}) \dots (q_k^{t-1}) > (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_{k-1}^t)(d^t p_{k+l}^t m_*^{-t}) \\ &> d^t (p_1^{t-1}) \dots (p_{k-1}^t)(p_{k+l}^t) \\ &\geq J_t(n) \end{aligned}$$

by (4.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.1. Let d be any positive integer. For each $k \geq 2$ such that (2.1) holds, we can take $l = 0$ so that (2.2) holds. Thus $d p_1 \dots p_k \in F_t$ for each $k \geq 2$ such that $p_{k+1} > d+1$.

As the proof of Corollary 2.2 is essentially the same as that of the Corollary given in section 3 of [1], we omit it.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2. Let p be a given prime. Choosing r such that $p_r > p \alpha_1^{-1}$, we see that $\omega(n) \geq r + t + 1$ for all n in F_t such that $n \geq p_1 \dots p_{r+3t}$ in virtue of lemma 2. For such n we have

$$p_{t+1} \geq p_r > p \alpha_1^{-1}$$

so that

$$q_1 > \alpha_1 p_{t+1} > p$$

in virtue of lemma 3.3 ($u = 1$), yielding that $p|n$.

REMARK 1. Though this theorem follows immediately from Theorem 2.3 a direct proof seems desirable.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3. (a) For any $n \in F_t$, there is a unique integer k satisfying

$$p_1 \dots p_k \leq n < p_1 \dots p_{k+1} \tag{4.3}$$

By lemma 3.2, $\omega = \omega(n) \geq k - 2t + 1$ so that, for a fixed integer u ,

$p_u(n) \geq p_{\omega-u+1} \geq p_{k-2t-u+2} \sim \log n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in F_t since, by the prime numbers theorem ($\theta(x) \sim x$),

$$p_k \sim \log(p_1 \dots p_k) \sim \log n$$

and

$$p_{k-2t-u+2} \sim p_k \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ (hence as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } F_t).$$

Thus $\liminf_n \frac{P_u(n)}{\log n} \geq 1$. On the other hand, considering members n of F_t of the form

$p_1 \dots p_k$ (take $d = 1, \ell = 0$ in theorem 2.1), we have

$$P_u(n) \leq P_1(n) = p_k \sim \log n$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through such members of F_t . Hence

$$\liminf_n \frac{P_u(n)}{\log n} = 1.$$

(b) For $k \geq 2, \ell \geq 0$ theorem 2.1 (with $d = 1$) says that

$$p_{k+\ell}^t < 2^t (p_k^{t-1}) + 1 \Rightarrow p_1 \dots p_{k-1} p_{k+\ell} \in F_t.$$

choosing ℓ to be the largest subject to the above condition we have

$$p_{k+\ell+1}^t \geq 2^t (p_k^{t-1}) + 1 > p_{k+\ell}^t \tag{4.4}$$

so that

$$p_{k+\ell+1} < 2(p_k-1) \sim 2 \log n$$

where $n = p_1 \dots p_{k-1} p_{k+\ell}$, since n satisfies (4.3) in virtue of (4.4).

Now $P_1(n) = p_{k+\ell} \sim p_{k+\ell+1}$ and this yields

$$\limsup_n \frac{P_1(n)}{\log n} \geq 2.$$

(c) Since, by lemma 3.3, $Q_u > \alpha_u P_{t+u}$, we have, from (a)

$$\liminf_n \frac{Q_u(n)}{\log n} \geq \alpha_u.$$

On the other hand, choosing k as in (4.3) we see that $Q_1 \leq p_{k+1}$ and hence $Q_u \leq p_{k+u}$ for all n in F_t , where as, for members of F_t of the form $p_1 \dots p_k$ we have $Q_u = p_{k+u}$.

Since $p_{k+u} \sim p_k \sim \log n$ we have

$$\limsup_n \frac{Q_u(n)}{\log n} = 1.$$

(d) and (e) now follow by applying lemmas 3 and 4 respectively.

PROOF. OF THEOREM 2.4. We write $G(x) = F_t(x) - F_t(\frac{x}{2}) =$ number of members n of F_t satisfying $\frac{x}{2} < n \leq x$ and show that $\log G(x) \ll \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x$ from which the theorem follows easily. Throughout the proof we assume that x is a sufficiently large positive real number. We write

$$u = [\log^{\frac{1}{2}} x (\log \log x)^{-1}] - t \tag{4.5}$$

and note that $t+u \geq 2^t + 2t$. For $n \in F_t, n > \frac{x}{2}$ we have $Q_1(n) \geq \frac{4}{5} \alpha_1 \log n$ by (2.3)

Putting $Q_1 = p_{\ell+1}$ and noting that $\ell + 1 \geq \frac{3}{4} \frac{Q_1(n)}{\log Q_1(n)}$ by the prime number theorem we conclude that

$$\omega(n) \geq \ell \geq \frac{\alpha_1 \log n}{2 \log \log n} \geq \frac{\log^{\frac{1}{2}} x}{\log \log x} \geq t + u \quad 2^t + 2t.$$

Each n is specified uniquely when n_* and the prime factors of n are given. We estimate an upperbound for $G(x)$ by estimating upper bounds for the number of choices, consistent with $n \in F_t \left(\frac{x}{2}, x\right]$, for each of (a) n_* (b) the largest $t+u$ prime factors of n , namely P_1, \dots, P_{t+u} (c) the prime factors of n that are less than $\alpha_u P_{t+u}$ and (d) the prime factors of n that lie in $[\alpha_u P_{t+u}, P_{t+u})$.

(a) By lemma 3.5 and (2.3) the number of choices for n_* does not exceed $t (2 \log x)^{t+1}$ and hence does not exceed $(2t \log x)^{t+1}$.

(b) $P_i \leq P_1 \leq 2t (\log x)^{t+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t+u$ in virtue of (1.8) so that the number of choices for P_1, \dots, P_{t+u} does not exceed $(2t \log x)^{(t+1)(t+u)}$.

(c) Each choice of Q_1, \dots, Q_u gives rise to exactly one choice of the prime factors of n that are $< \alpha_u P_{t+u}$ and each choice of these prime factors gives rise to at least one choice for Q_1, \dots, Q_u since $\alpha_u P_{t+u} < Q_u$ and all primes in $(1, \alpha_u P_{t+u}) \setminus \{Q_1, \dots, Q_u\}$ divide n . If $P_1 = p_r$ then $r < p_r = P_1 \leq 2t \log^{t+1} x$, $Q_1 \leq p_{r+1}, \dots$ and $Q_u \leq p_{r+u}$. Hence $Q_1 \leq p_{r+u} < (r+u)^2 (3t \log^{t+1} x)^2$ so that the number of choices for Q_1, \dots, Q_u and hence for the prime factors of n in $(1, \alpha_u P_{t+u})$ does not exceed $(3t \log^{t+1} x)^{2u}$.

(d) Let $M = P_{t+u} - \alpha_u P_{t+u}$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} M &= (1 - \alpha_u) P_{t+u} \leq 2t(t+u)^{-1} P_{t+u} \\ &\leq 3t(t+u)^{-1} \alpha_u^{-1} \log x \\ &< 4t \alpha_u^{-1} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x \log \log x \end{aligned}$$

in virtue of (1.3), (2.4) and (4.5) respectively. Hence, by remark 3 following lemma 3.6, the number of primes in $[\alpha_u P_{t+u}, P_{t+u})$ does not exceed $24t \alpha_u^{-1} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x$ and consequently the number of choices for the prime factors of n that lie in this interval does not exceed $2^{24t\alpha_u^{-1} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x}$.

Combining the estimates (a) through (d) we obtain $G(x) \leq (3t \log x)^{3(t+u)(t+1)} \exp(24t \alpha_u^{-1} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x \log 2)$ from which the desired order estimate follows in virtue of (4.5).

It would be interesting to investigate whether results of this nature are available for more general totients; e.g. totients with respect to a polynomial [3] and with respect to a set of polynomials [4], introduced by the first author.

REFERENCES

1. MASSER, D.W. and SHIU, P. On sparsely totient numbers, Pacific J. of Math. 121 (1986), 407-426.
2. HUA, L.K. Introduction to Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1982.
3. CHIDAMBARASAMY, J. Totients with respect to a polynomial, Indian J. of Pure & Applied Math. 5 (1974), 601-608.
4. _____, Totients and unitary totients with respect to a set of polynomials, Ibid. 10 (1979), 287-302.