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ABSTRACT. The class $S^{*}(b)$ of starlike functions of complex order $b$ was introduced and studied by M.K. Aouf and M.A. Nasr. The authors using the Ruscheweyh derivatives introduce the class $K(b)$ functions close-to-convex of complex order $b, b \neq 0$ and its generalization, the classes $K_{n}(b)$ where $n$ is a nonnegative integer. Here $S^{*}(b)$ $c K(b)=K_{0}(b)$. Sharp coefficient bounds are determined for $K_{n}(b)$ as well as several sufficient conditions for functions to belong to $K_{n}(b)$. The authors also obtain some distortion and covering theorems for $K_{n}(b)$ and determine the radius of the largest disk in which every $f \varepsilon K_{n}(b)$ belongs to $K_{n}(1)$. All results are sharp.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $A$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ analytic in the unit disk $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$ having the power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{m} z^{m}, z \varepsilon E . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Aouf and Nasr [1] introduced the class $S^{*}(b)$ of starlike functions of order $b$, where $b$ is a nonzero complex number, as follows:

$$
S^{*}(b)=\left\{f: f \varepsilon A \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)\right]>0, z \varepsilon E\right\}
$$

We define the class $K(b)$ of close-to-convex functions of complex order $b$ as follows: $f \in K(b)$ if and only if $f \varepsilon A$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}-1\right)\right\}>0, z \varepsilon E, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some starlike function $g$.

The classes $R_{n}, n \varepsilon N_{0}$ and where $N_{0}$ is the set of nonnegative integers, were introduced by Singh and Singh [2], f $\varepsilon \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ if and only if $f \varepsilon A$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{n} f(z)}>0, z \varepsilon E \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} f(z)=f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (*) stands for the Hadamard product of power series, i.e., if

$$
f(z)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, g(z)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \text { then } f(z) * g(z)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}
$$

The operator $D^{n}$ is referred to in A1-Amiri [3] as the Ruscheweyh derivative of order $n$. Note that $R_{0}$ is the familiar class of starlike functions, $S *$. More, it is known [2] that $R_{n+1} \subset R_{n}, n \in N_{0}$, and consequently $R_{n}$ consists of functions starlike in E.

Let $K_{n}(b)$, $n \varepsilon N_{0}$, $b$ is a nonzero complex number, denote the class of functions f $\varepsilon$ A satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{n} g(z)}-1\right]\right\}>0, z \varepsilon E \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $g \varepsilon R_{n}$. Here $K_{0}(b)=K(b)$.
Many authors have studied various classes of univalent and multivalent functions using the Ruscheweyh derivatives $D^{n}, n \in N_{0}$. In particular one can look at the work of Ruscheweyh [4].

Section 2 determines coefficient estimates of functions in $K_{n}(b), n \in N_{0} . \quad$ In section 3 , we obtain some distortion and covering theorems for $K_{n}(b)$ and several sufficient conditions for functions to be in $K_{n}(b)$. The radius of close-to-convexity for the class of close-to-convex of complex order $b$ is also determined in section 3 .

## 2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES.

In this section, sharp estimates for the coefficients of functions in $K_{n}(b)$ are determined in Theorem 2.1. First, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. For $n \in N_{0}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{1+(2 b-1) z}{(1-z)^{3}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f \varepsilon K_{n}(b)$.
PROOF. Let $g \varepsilon A$ be defined so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} g(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The definition of $R_{n}$ implies $g \varepsilon R_{n}$. A brief computation gives

$$
1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{n} g(z)}-1\right]=\frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \varepsilon E
$$

This proves that $f \in K_{n}(b)$.
REMARK 2.1. The function $f$ as defined in (2.1) has the power series representation in $E$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{n!(M-1)!}{(n+m-1)!}[(m-1) b+1] z^{m} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let $g(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} c_{m} z^{m} \varepsilon R_{n}$ where $n \varepsilon N_{0}$.
Then $\left|c_{m}\right| \leqslant \frac{n!m!}{(n+m-1)!}$.

PROOF. A brief computation gives

$$
D^{n} g(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m-1)!}{n!(m-1)!} c_{m} z^{m}
$$

Since $g \varepsilon R_{n}, D^{n} g(z) \varepsilon S^{*}$. Thus, using the well known coefficient estimates for starlike functions one gets

$$
\frac{(n+m-1)!}{n!(m-1)!}\left|c_{m}\right| \leqslant m, m \geqslant 2
$$

and the proof is complete.
LEMMA 2.3. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{m} z^{m}$. If f $\varepsilon K_{n}(b), n \varepsilon N_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|m a_{m}-c_{m}\right|^{2} & <4\left[\frac{(m-1)!}{(n+m-1)!}\right]^{2}|b| \\
& \cdot\left\{n!^{2}|b|+\sum_{k=2}^{m-1}\left[\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\right]^{2}\left[\left|k a_{k}-c_{k}\right|\left|c_{k}\right|+|b|\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}\right]\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

PROOF. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{m} z^{m}$ be in $K_{n}(b)$. Then (1.5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{n} g(z)}-1\right]=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}, z \varepsilon E \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $g \varepsilon R_{n}$ and where $w \in A$ such that $w(0)=0, w(z) \neq 1$ and $|w(z)|$ < 1 for
$z \varepsilon$ E. Let $g(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} c_{m} z^{m}$. Then (2.5) and the Definition 1.4 imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(z)\left\{n!2 b z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\left[k a_{k}+(2 b-1) c_{k}\right] z^{k}\right\} \\
&=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\left(k a_{k}-c_{k}\right) z^{k} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Clunie's method, that is to examine the bracketed quantity of the left-hand side in (2.6) and keep only those terms that involve $z^{k}$ for $k \leqslant m-1$ for some fixed $m$, moving the other terms to the right side, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(z)\left\{n!2 b z+\sum_{k=2}^{m-1}\right. & \left.\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\left[k a_{k}+(2 b-1) c_{k}\right] z^{k}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\left(k a_{k}-c_{k}\right) z^{k}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} A_{k} z^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(z) & =w(z)\left\{n!2 b z+\sum_{k=2}^{m-1} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\left[k a_{k}+(2 b-1) c_{k}\right] z^{k}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\left(k a_{k}-c_{k}\right) z^{k}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} A_{k} z^{k} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $z=\mathrm{re}^{i \theta}, 0<r<1$. Computing $\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \varphi(z) \overline{\varphi(z)}$ dz for both expressions of $\varphi(z)$
in (2.7) and using $|w(z)|<1$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2}^{m}\left[\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\right]^{2}\left|k a_{k}-c_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} \\
& \left.\quad\left\langle n!^{2} 4\right| b\right|^{2} r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m-1}\left[\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\right]^{2}\left|k a_{k}+(2 b-1) c_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Upon letting $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$and after some easy computations we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|m a_{m}-c_{m}\right|^{2}<\left[\frac{(m-1)!}{(n+m-1)!}\right]^{2} 4|b|\left\{n!^{2}|b|+\sum_{k=2}^{m-1}\left[\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\right]^{2}\right. \\
\left.\cdot\left[\left|k a_{k}-c_{k}\right|\left|c_{k}\right|+|b|\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}\right]\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, when $m=2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2 a_{2}-c_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{n+1} 2|b| . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the lemma is complete.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{m} z^{m}$. If f $\varepsilon K_{n}(b)$ where $n \varepsilon N_{0}$,
then

$$
\left|a_{m}\right| \leqslant \frac{n!(m-1)!}{(n+m-1)!}[(m-1)|b|+1]
$$

This result is sharp. An extremal function is given by (2.3).

PROOF. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{m} z^{m}$ be in $K_{n}(b)$. Let the associate function of $f$, $g(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} c_{m} z^{m}$. We claim that for $m \geqslant 2$ and $n \varepsilon N_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m a_{m}-c_{m}\right| \leqslant \frac{n!(m-1)!}{(n+m-1)} 2|b|\left[1+\sum_{k=2}^{m-1} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\left|c_{k}\right|\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the second principle of finite induction on $m$ to prove (2.9).
For $m=2,\left|2 a_{2}-c_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} \cdot 2|b|=\frac{2(b)}{(n+1)}$ is true as shown in (2.8). Now assume (2.9) is true for all $m<p$. Taking $m=p+1$ in (2.4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(p+1) a_{p+1}-c_{p+1}\right|^{2} & \leqslant 4\left[\frac{p!}{(n+p)!}\right]^{2}|b| \\
& \cdot\left\{n!^{2}|b|+\left[\sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\right]^{2}\left|k a_{k}-c_{k}\right|\left|c_{k}\right|+|b|\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}\right\} \\
& =4\left[\frac{p!}{(n+p)!}\right]^{2}|b|\left\{n!^{2}|b|+\sum_{k=2}^{p}\left[\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\right]^{2}\left|k a_{k}-c_{k}\right|\left|c_{k}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+|b| \sum_{k=2}^{p}\left[\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\right]^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using (2.9) since $k \leqslant p$, the above yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(p+1) a_{p+1}-c_{p+1}\right|^{2} \leqslant 4\left[\frac{n!p!}{(n+p)!}\right]^{2}|b|^{2}\left\{1+2 \sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\left|c_{k}\right|\right. \\
& \left.\left[1+\sum_{\ell=2}^{k-1} \frac{(n+\ell-1)!}{n!(\ell-1)!}\left|c_{\ell}\right|\right]+\sum_{k=2}^{p}\left[\frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\right]^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}\right\} \\
& =4\left[\frac{n!p!}{(n+p)!}\right]^{2}|b|^{2}\left\{1+2 \sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\left|c_{k}\right|\right. \\
& +2 \sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\left[\left|c_{k}\right| \sum_{\ell=2}^{k-1} \frac{(n+\ell-1)!}{n!(\ell-1)!}\left|c_{\ell}\right|\right] \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=2}^{p}\left[\frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\right]^{2}\left|c_{k}^{2}\right|\right\} \quad .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the principle of mathematical induction on $p$, it is easily seen that the sum of the last two terms appearing in the bracketed expression in the right hand side of the above is equal to $\left[\sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\left|c_{k}\right|\right]^{2}$. Consequently
it follows that

$$
\left|(p+1) a_{p+1}-c_{p+1}\right|^{2}<4\left[\frac{n!p!}{(n+p)!}\right]^{2}|b|^{2}\left[1+\sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\left|c_{k}\right|\right]^{2} .
$$

This shows that (2.9) is valid for $m=p+1$. Hence, by the second principle of finite induction, the claim is correct. From Lemma 2.2 and 2.9 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m a_{m}-c_{m}\right| \leqslant \frac{n!m!(m-1)}{(n+m-1)!}|b|, m \geqslant 2 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally from Lemma 2.2 and 2.10 we deduce that

$$
\left|a_{m}\right| \leqslant \frac{n!(m-1)!}{(n+m-1)!}[(m-1)|b|+1], m \geqslant 2
$$

Hence the proof of the Theorem 2.1 is complete.
Putting $n=0$ in Theorem 2.1 we have the following corollary.
COROLLARY 2.1. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{m} z^{m}$ is a close-to-convex function of complex
order $b$, then $\left|a_{m}\right| \leqslant(m-1)|b|+1$. This result is sharp.
REMARK 2.2. For $b=1$, Corollary 2.1 is reduced to the well known coefficient bounds for the close-to-convex functions due to Reade [5].

Next we have two theorems that provide sufficient conditions for a function to be in $K_{n}(b)$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $f \varepsilon A$ and $n \varepsilon N_{0}$. If any of the following conditions is satisfied in $E$, then $f \varepsilon K_{n}(b)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-1\right]\right\}>0 \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left[(1-z)\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-1\right]\right\}>0$, $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\left(1-z^{2}\right)\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-1\right]\right\}>0$,
(iv) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left[(1-z)^{2}\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-1\right]\right\}>0$.

PROOF. The proofs follow by choosing $g$ as below:
(i) $\quad g(z)=z$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{n!(m-1)!}{(n+m-1)!} z^{m}, \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii)

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{n!(2 m-2)!}{(n+2 m-2)!} z^{2 m-1}, \text { and }
$$

(iv) $\quad g(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{n!m!}{(n+m-1)!} z^{m}$ respectively.

THEOREM 2.3. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{m} z^{m}$. For $n \varepsilon N_{0}$, each of the following conditions is sufficient for $f$ to be in $K_{n}(b)$.
(i)

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m-1)!}{n!(m-1)!}\left|a_{m}\right| \leqslant|b|
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m-1)!}{n!(m-1)!}\left|m a_{m}-\frac{(n+m)(m+1)}{m} a_{m+1}\right| \leqslant|b| \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.2(n+1)\left|a_{2}\right|+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m-2)!}{n!(m-2)!} \right\rvert\,(m-1) a_{m-1}-\frac{(n+m)(n+m-1)(m+1)}{m(m-1)} \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$ $a_{m+1}\left|<|b|\right.$, where $a_{1}=1$,

(iv) $\left.\quad 2\left|(n+1) a_{2}-a_{1}\right|+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m-2)!}{n!(m-2)!} \right\rvert\,(m-1) a_{m-1}-\frac{2(n+m-1)}{m-1} a_{m}$ $+\frac{(n+m)(n+m-1)(m+1)}{m(m-1)} a_{m+1}\left|\leqslant|b|\right.$, where $a_{1}=1$.

PROOF. We prove the sufficiency of part (i) since the proofs of the remaining parts are similar to the proof of (i).

From (i) of Theorem 2.2, f $\varepsilon K_{n}(b)$ if $f$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-1\right]\right\}>0, z \varepsilon E \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (2.11) would be satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{b}\left[\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-1\right]-1\right|<2, z \varepsilon E \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true. However upon substituting

$$
\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=1+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{m(n+m-1)}{n!(m-1)!} a_{m} z^{m-1}
$$

in (2.12) one needs only show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{b} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{m(n+m-1)!}{n!(m-1)!} a_{m} z^{m-1}-1\right|<2, z \varepsilon E \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming (i) of this theorem we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{b} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{m(n+m-1)!}{n!(m-1)!} a_{m} z^{m-1}-1\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{|b|} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{m(n+m-1)!}{n!(m-1)!}\left|a_{m}\right|+1<2
$$

Thus (2.13) is established and the proof of the sufficiency of part (i) is complete.
REMARK 2.3. For $n=0$ and $b=1$, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 are reduced to theorems of Ozaki [6].
3. DISTORTION THEOREMS.

The objective of this section is to obtain some distortion theorems for the class $K_{n}(b)$. The radius of the largest disk $E(r)=\{z /|z|<r\}, 0<r<1$ such that if $f \varepsilon K_{n}(b)$ then $f \varepsilon K_{n}(1)$ can be determined as a consequence of one of those results. THEOREM 3.1. Let $f \varepsilon K_{n}(b), n \varepsilon N_{0}$. Then for $|z|=r<1$, and $|2 b-1| \leqslant 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|2 b-1| r}{(1+r)^{3}}<\left|\left(D^{n} f(z)\right) \cdot\right| \leqslant \frac{1+|2 b-1| r}{(1-r)^{3}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is sharp. An extremal function $f$ is given by (2.1).
PROOF. Let $f \in K_{n}(b)$. Then (1.5) implies for some $g \in R_{n}$

$$
\frac{z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{n} g(z)}=\frac{1+(2 b-1) w(z)}{1-w(z)}, z \varepsilon E
$$

where $w \in A$ and $|w(z)| \leqslant|z|$ in E. This gives for $|z|=r<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|2 b-1| r}{1+r} \leqslant\left|\frac{z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)}{D^{n} g(z)}\right|<\frac{1+|2 b-1| r}{1-r} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The definition of $R_{n}$ implies $D^{n} g(z)$ is a starlike function. Hence by the well known bounds on functions which are starlike in $E$, we get for $|z|=r<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{(1+r)^{2}} \leqslant\left|D^{n} g(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{r}{(1-r)^{2}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.2) together with (3.3) one can get (3.1) and the proof of the Theorem 3.1 is complete.

Taking (i) $n=0$, and (ii) $n=0, b=1$ in Theorem 3.1, one can immediately obtain the following corollaries, respectively.

COROLLARY 3.1. If $f$ is a close-to-convex function of complex order b where $|2 b-1|<1$, then for $|z|=r<1$

$$
\frac{1-|2 b-1| r}{(1+r)^{3}} \leqslant\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{1+|2 b-1| r}{(1-r)^{3}}
$$

COROLLARY 3.2. If $f$ is a close-to-convex function then for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\frac{1-r}{(1+r)^{3}} \leqslant\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{1+r}{(1-r)^{3}} .
$$

For the proof of Theorem 3.2, we need the following well known result [7; p. 84] concerning the class $P$ of functions $p(z)$ which are regular in $E$ such that $p(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0, z \varepsilon E$.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $p \in P$. Then for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z})-\frac{1+\mathrm{r}^{2}}{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}\right| \leqslant \frac{2 \mathrm{r}}{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is sharp.
THEOREM 3.2. Let $f \varepsilon K_{n}(b), n \varepsilon N_{0}$. Then for some $g \varepsilon R_{n}$ and for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{n} g(z)}-\frac{1+(2 b-1) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right|<\frac{2|b| r}{1-r^{2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is sharp. An extremal function is given in (2.1).
PROOF. f $\varepsilon K_{n}(b)$ implies that for some $g \varepsilon R_{n}$

$$
1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{n} g(z)}-1\right]=p(z), \quad z \varepsilon E,
$$

where $p \in P$. Hence (3.5) can be obtained by substituting $p(z)$ in (3.4).
It is interesting to note that the result in Theorem 3.2 does not depend on the value of $n$. Also, it can be used to solve the problem concerning the radii of $K_{n}$ (b) in $K_{n}(1)$.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $n \varepsilon N_{0}$. If $f \varepsilon K_{n}(b)$, then $f \varepsilon K_{n}(1)$ for $|z|<r^{\prime}$ where

$$
r^{\prime}=\frac{1}{|b|+\sqrt{|b|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re} b+1}} .
$$

This result is also sharp. An extremal function is given in (2.1).
PROOF. Let $f \varepsilon K_{n}(b)$. Then according to Theorem 3.2 there is some $g \varepsilon R_{n}$ such that for $|z|=r<1, \frac{z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{n} g(z)}$ ifes in the closed disk with center at $\frac{1+(2 b-1) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}$ and radius $\frac{2|b| r}{1-r^{2}}$. It can be shown that this disk 1 ies in the right half plane if $r<r^{\prime}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

REMARK 3.1. Taking $n=0$ in Theorem 3.3, one can see that, $r$ ' is the sharp radius of close-to-convexity for close-to-convex functions of complex order b.
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