

REMARKS ON THE EXISTENCE AND DECAY OF THE NONLINEAR BEAM EQUATION

JAIME E. MÚÑOZ RIVERA*

National Laboratory For Scientific Computation (LNCC)
Rua Lauro Müller 455, Botafogo 22290-160. RJ - BRASIL
and
IM Federal University of Rio de Janeiro. BRASIL

(Received July 2, 1991 and in revised form April 19, 1993)

Abstract

We will consider a class of nonlinear beam equation and we will prove the existence and decay weak solution

AMS classification code: 35B65, 35A05

Keywords and phrases: nonlinear beam equation, asymptotic behaviour, regularity.

1 Introduction

In this paper we will consider the abstract problem associated with the nonlinear beam equation.

$$K u_{tt} + A^2 u + M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^2) A u + u_t = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0) = u_0, K u_t(0) = K u_1$$

Where A is a selfadjoint positive definite operator in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with domain $D(A)$ dense in \mathcal{H} and the embedding of $D(A^r)$ into $D(A^s)$ is compact for $r > s \geq 0$. We will denote by (\cdot, \cdot) and $\|\cdot\|$ the inner product and the norm of \mathcal{H} respectively. In Pereira [3] the author proves that there exists a weak solution u for equation (1.1) satisfying

$$u \in L^\infty([0, T], D(A)) \quad K u_t \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{H}) \cap L^2([0, T], \mathcal{H})$$

in the sense

$$\frac{d}{dt}(K u_t, w) + (A u, A w) + M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^2) + (u_t, w) = 0 \text{ in } D'(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

when the following hypothesis holds

- (i) $M(\xi) \geq -\beta$, $\forall \xi \geq 0$, and $0 < \beta < \lambda_1$; λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of A
- (ii) K is a symmetric bounded operator in \mathcal{H} such that $(K w, w) \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{H}$,

and in order to obtain the exponential decay, the author considers the additional assumption on K

- (iii) $(K w, w) \geq c\|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{H}$,

*Partially supported by a grant of CNPq-Brasil

where $c > 0$. In this paper we will prove that the lower bound $-\beta$ in item (i) does not depend on the spectral properties of the operator A . That is, there exists a solution u for equation (1.1) when

$$M(\xi) \geq -\beta, \text{ for any fixed } \beta \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.2}$$

and hypotheses (ii) holds, moreover, this solution satisfies

$$u \in C([0, +\infty[; D(A)); \quad Ku_t \in C([0, +\infty[; \mathcal{H}); \quad u_t \in L^2_{loc}([0, +\infty[; \mathcal{H}) \tag{1.3}$$

Finally we prove that the energy associated to system (1.1) has exponential decay when (i) and (ii) holds, that is, hypotheses (iii) is not necessary.

2 Existence results

In order to obtain the existence result we will use the Galerkin method and to show the exponential decay of the energy, we will reason as in Zuazua [4]. Let us denote by w_ν and λ_ν the sequence of eigenvector and eigenvalues of A and by V_m the space generated by the first m eigenvectors of A . Then by Carateodory's theorem there exist a maximal local solution $w_{m,\epsilon}$ defined in $[0, T_{m,\epsilon}]$ satisfying for any w in V_m the following $m\epsilon$ -system:

$$((K + \epsilon I)u_{tt}^{m\epsilon}, w) + (A^2 u^{m\epsilon}, w) + M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{m\epsilon}\|^2)(Au^{m\epsilon}, w) + (u_t^{m\epsilon}, w) = 0$$

$$u_{m\epsilon}(0) = u_{0m} = P_m u_0; \quad u_t^{m\epsilon}(0) = u_{1m} = P_m u_1$$

where

$$P_m v = \sum_{i=1}^m (v, w_i) w_i, \text{ and } u_{m\epsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{m\epsilon}(t) w_i,$$

Since u_0 and u_1 are functions of $D(A)$ and \mathcal{H} , the corresponding sequences u_{0m} , u_{1m} converge strongly in $D(A)$ and \mathcal{H} respectively.

Theorem 2.1 *Let us suppose that (i) and (1.2) holds, then for any u_0 in $D(A)$ and u_1 in \mathcal{H} , there exists a weak solution for system (1.1) satisfying (1.3).*

Proof.- Taking $w = u_t^{m\epsilon}$ in the approximates $m\epsilon$ -system we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \|(K + \epsilon I)^{\frac{1}{2}} u_t^{m\epsilon}\|^2 + \hat{M}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{m\epsilon}\|^2) + \|Au^{m\epsilon}\|^2 \right\} + 2\|u_t^{m\epsilon}\|^2 = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

Integration from 0 to $t < T_{m\epsilon}$ using (1.2) and identity $\frac{d}{dt} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{m\epsilon}\|^2 = 2(Au^{m\epsilon}, u_t^{m\epsilon})$ we have

$$\|(K + \epsilon I)^{\frac{1}{2}} u_t^{m\epsilon}\|^2 + \|Au_t^{m\epsilon}\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|u_t^{m\epsilon}\|^2 d\tau \leq c_m + \beta \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_{0m}\|^2 + \beta^2 \int_0^t \|Au^{m\epsilon}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau$$

By Gronwall's inequality we conclude that

$$(u^{m\epsilon}, u_t^{m\epsilon}) \text{ are bounded in } L^\infty([0, T], D(A)) \times L^2_{loc}([0, +\infty[, \mathcal{H}) \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } \forall T > 0.$$

Then so is

$$\|P_m \left\{ \|(K + \epsilon I)^{\frac{1}{2}} u_{tt}^{m\epsilon} \right\}\|^2 + \|Au_t^{m\epsilon}\|^2$$

in $L^2(0, T; V_m)$, (by a constant which we will denote in the same way) and a function u^m satisfying

$$A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{m\epsilon} \rightarrow A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^m \text{ strongly in } C(0, T; V_m)$$

$$P_m \{(K + \epsilon I)u_t^{m\epsilon}\} \rightarrow P_m \{Ku_t^m\} \text{ strongly in } C(0, T; V_m)$$

$$u_t^{m\epsilon} \rightarrow u_t^m \text{ weak in } L^2(0, T; V_m)$$

Moreover u^m satisfies the following m -approximated system

$$(K u_{tt}^m, w) + (A^2 u^m(t), w) + M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^m(t)\|^2) (A u^m(t), w) + (u_t^m(t), w) = 0$$

$$u^m(0) = u_{0m}; \quad P_m \{K u_t^m(0)\} = P_m \{K u_{0m}\}$$

Taking $w = u_t^m$ in the above equation we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{ \|K^{\frac{1}{2}} u_t^m\|^2 + \|A u^m\|^2 + M \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^m\|^2 \} + \|u_t^m\|^2 = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

and using the same above reasoning we conclude that

$$u_t^m \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{H}) \tag{2.3}$$

$$u^m \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty([0, T], D(A)) \tag{2.4}$$

By Lions-Aubin theorem, there exists a subsequence (which we still denoting on the same way) and a function u satisfying

$$u^m \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^\infty([0, T], D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}))$$

moreover we can obtain other subsequence for which we have

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^m(t)\|^2 \rightarrow \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^2 \text{ a. e. in } [0, T]$$

From Lebesgues's dominated convergence Theorem follows that $M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^m(t)\|^2)$ defines a Cauchy's sequence in $L^2(0, T)$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive number N such that for $m, \mu \geq N$ we have

$$\int_0^T |M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^m(\sigma)\|^2) - M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\mu(\sigma)\|^2)| d\sigma \leq \epsilon \tag{2.5}$$

Putting $U = u^m - u_\mu$, with $m > \mu$ and $g_t^\mu = 0$ for $\mu < i \leq m$, follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (K U_{tt}(t), w) + (A^2 U(t), w) + (U_t(t), w) = \\ & \{M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^m\|^2) - M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\mu\|^2)\} (A u^m(t), w) + M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\mu\|^2) (A U(t), w) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $w = U_t$ and applying (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \{ \|K^{\frac{1}{2}} U_t\|^2 + \|A U\|^2 \} + \|U_t\|^2 \leq \\ & C \{ \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^m(t)\|^2 - M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\mu(t)\|^2) \}^2 + C \|A U(t)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the last expression from 0, to t by (2.5) and Gronwall's inequality we conclude that u^m, u_t^m and $K^{\frac{1}{2}} u_t^m$ are Cauchy's sequences. Then we have that

$$u^m \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } C(0, T; D(A))$$

$$K^{\frac{1}{2}} u_t^m \rightarrow K^{\frac{1}{2}} u_t \text{ strongly in } C(0, T; \mathcal{H})$$

$$u_t^m \rightarrow u_t \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H})$$

For any $T > 0$. By standard methods we can proof that u is a weak solution of system (1.1) (see [1]) and the proof is now complete.

Q. E. D.

Theorem 2.2 *With the hypothesis (v) and (u) we have*

$$\|K^{\frac{1}{2}}u_t(t)\|^2 + \|Au(t)\|^2 \leq C e^{-\gamma t}$$

Proof.- Denoting by

$$E_m(t) = \|K^{\frac{1}{2}}u_t^m\|^2 + M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u^m\|^2) + \|Au^m\|^2.$$

From (2.2) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_m(t) = -2\|u_t^m\|^2 \tag{2.6}$$

Taking $w = u^m$ in the approximated m -system we conclude that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (K u_t^m, u^m) = -\|Au^m\|^2 - M(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u^m\|^2)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 - (u_t^m, u^m) + (K u_t^m u^m)$$

By hypothesis (i) there exists $\delta > 0$ ($\delta < 1$) such that $\frac{\beta}{\lambda_1} - 1 < -\delta$. Using $(u, u_t) \leq \delta\|Au\|^{\frac{1}{2}} + c(\delta)\|u_t\|^2$ and taking $c_0 = (1 - \delta - \frac{\beta}{\lambda_1})$ we obtain from (2.6) and the last identity that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{E_m(t) + \epsilon(K u_t^m, u^m)\} \leq -\epsilon c_0 \{ \|u_t^m\|^2 + \|Au^m\|^2 \} \tag{2.7}$$

for ϵ satisfying $2 - \{ \|K\| + C(\delta) \} \epsilon \geq \epsilon c_0$, where by $\|K\|$ we are denoting the norm of the operator K . On the other hand we have that

$$E_m(t) + \epsilon(K u_t^m, u^m) \leq C \{ \|u_t^m\|^2 + \|Au^m\|^2 \}. \tag{2.8}$$

Multiplying inequalities (2.7) and (2.8) by C and $c_0\epsilon$ respectively and adding the inequalities result we have

$$c_0\epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \{E_m(t) + \epsilon(K u_t^m, u^m)\} + C \{E_m(t) + \epsilon(K u_t^m, u^m)\} \leq 0.$$

Multiplying by $e^{\gamma t}$ for $\gamma = \frac{C}{c_0\epsilon}$ and integrating from 0 to t we get

$$E_m(t) + \epsilon(K u_t^m, u^m) \leq E_m(0) + \epsilon(K u_{0m}, u_{0m}).$$

Since

$$\{E_m(t) + \epsilon(K u_t^m, u^m)\} \geq \delta \{ \|K^{\frac{1}{2}}u_t^m\|^2 + \|Au_m\|^2 \}$$

for ϵ such that $1 - c(\delta)\epsilon > \delta$, then we have

$$\|K^{\frac{1}{2}}u_t^m(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \{E_m(0) + \epsilon(K u_{1m}, u_{0m})\} e^{-\gamma t}$$

Finally from the uniformly convergence of u^m the result follows.

Q.E.D.

References

- [1] J. L. Lions, *Quelques Méthodes de resolution de problèmes aux limites non lineares*. Dunod Gauthier Villars. Paris 1969
- [2] Medeiros L. A. On a new class of nonlinear wave equations. *J. Applic. Analysis* 69, 252-262 (1979)
- [3] Pereira D. C.; Existence, Uniqueness and Asymptotic behaviour for solutions of the nonlinear beam equation. *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol 14, No. 8, pp613-623, 1990.
- [4] E. Zuazua. Stability and Decay for a class of nonlinear hyperbolic problems. *Asymptotic Analysis 1 (1988) pp 161-185*.