

## ON HILL'S EQUATION WITH A DISCONTINUOUS COEFFICIENT

ILKAY YASLAN KARACA

Received 25 February 2002

We research the asymptotic formula for the lengths of the instability intervals of the Hill's equation with coefficients  $q(x)$  and  $r(x)$ , where  $q(x)$  is piecewise continuous and  $r(x)$  has a piecewise continuous second derivative in open intervals  $(0, b)$  and  $(b, a)$  ( $0 < b < a$ ).

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B30, 34L20, 47E05.

**1. Introduction.** We consider the second-order equation

$$y''(x) + \{\lambda r(x) - q(x)\}y(x) = 0, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $q(x)$  and  $r(x)$  are real valued and all have period  $a$ . Also  $q(x)$  is piecewise continuous,  $r''(x)$  is piecewise continuous in  $(0, b)$  and  $(b, a)$  where  $0 < b < a$  and  $r(x) \geq r_0 (> 0)$ .

Those values of complex parameter  $\lambda$ , for which periodic or antiperiodic problem associated with (1.1) and the  $x$ -interval  $(0, a)$  has a nontrivial solution  $y(x, \lambda)$ , are called eigenvalues. An equation giving the eigenvalues of the periodic or the antiperiodic problem can be found. For the purpose, after we use the Liouville transformation

$$t = \int_0^x r^{1/2}(u)du, \quad z(t) = r^{1/4}(x)y(x), \quad (1.2)$$

the periodic boundary value problem becomes the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{z} + \{\lambda - Q(t)\}z(t) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq A, \\ z(A) &= \sigma z(0), \quad \sigma \dot{z}(A) + \rho z(A) = \dot{z}(0) + \tau z(0) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

and the antiperiodic boundary value problem becomes the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{z} + \{\lambda - Q(t)\}z(t) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq A, \\ z(A) &= -\sigma z(0), \quad \sigma \dot{z}(A) + \rho z(A) = -\dot{z}(0) - \tau z(0), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 r(x) &= \begin{cases} r_1(x), & \text{if } 0 \leq x < b, \\ r_2(x), & \text{if } b < x \leq a, \end{cases} \\
 Q(t) &= \begin{cases} \frac{q(x)}{r_1(x)} - r_1^{-3/4}(x) \{r_1^{-1/4}(x)\}'' , & \text{if } 0 \leq t < B, \\ \frac{q(x)}{r_2(x)} - r_2^{-3/4}(x) \{r_2^{-1/4}(x)\}'' , & \text{if } B < t \leq A, \end{cases} \tag{1.5} \\
 A &= \int_0^a r^{1/2}(u) du, \quad B = \int_0^b r^{1/2}(u) du, \quad \sigma = \left\{ \frac{r(a)}{r(0)} \right\}^{1/4}, \\
 \rho &= r^{-1/4}(0) \{r^{-1/4}(x)\}'_{x=a}, \quad \tau = r^{-1/4}(0) \{r^{-1/4}(x)\}'_{x=0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\theta(t, \lambda)$  and  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  denote the solutions of problem (1.3), satisfying the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta(0, \lambda) &= 1, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta(t, \lambda) \Big|_{t=0} = 0; \\
 \varphi(0, \lambda) &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t, \lambda) \Big|_{t=0} = 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Then, we can define the Hill discriminant (see [1]) of (1.1) by the function

$$F(\lambda) = \theta(A, \lambda) + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t, \lambda) \Big|_{t=A} + (\rho\sigma - \tau)\varphi(A, \lambda). \tag{1.7}$$

Thus, the eigenvalues of the periodic boundary value problem coincide with the roots  $\lambda$  of

$$F(\lambda) = 2\sigma \tag{1.8}$$

and also the eigenvalues of the antiperiodic boundary value problem coincide with the roots of

$$F(\lambda) = -2\sigma. \tag{1.9}$$

Each of the periodic and antiperiodic boundary value problems has a countable infinity real eigenvalues with the points accumulate at  $+\infty$ . Denote by

$$\mu_0 < \mu_2^- \leq \mu_2^+ < \dots < \mu_{2n}^- \leq \mu_{2n}^+ \dots \tag{1.10}$$

the eigenvalues of the periodic boundary value problem, and by

$$\mu_1^- \leq \mu_1^+ < \dots < \mu_{2n+1}^- \leq \mu_{2n+1}^+ \dots \tag{1.11}$$

the eigenvalues of the antiperiodic boundary value problem (the equality holds in the case of double eigenvalue). These values occur in the order

$$\mu_0 < \mu_1^- \leq \mu_1^+ < \mu_2^- \leq \mu_2^+ < \dots < \mu_n^- \leq \mu_n^+ < \dots \tag{1.12}$$

If  $\lambda$  lies in any of the open intervals  $(-\infty, \mu_0)$  and  $(\mu_n^-, \mu_n^+)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), then all nontrivial solutions of (1.1) are unbounded in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . These kind of intervals are called the instability intervals of (1.1). Apart from  $(-\infty, \mu_0)$ , some or all of the instability intervals vanish for the case of double eigenvalues. If  $\lambda$  lies in any of the complementary open intervals  $(\mu_{n-1}^+, \mu_n^-)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), then all solutions of (1.1) are bounded in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , and these intervals are called the stability intervals of (1.1). We are interested in the lengths  $I_n$  of the instability intervals

$$I_{2n} = \mu_{2n}^+ - \mu_{2n}^-, \quad I_{2n+1} = \mu_{2n+1}^+ - \mu_{2n+1}^- \tag{1.13}$$

Eastham [2] has studied (1.1) where the second derivative of  $r(x)$  is piecewise continuous on interval  $(0, a)$ . But we studied (1.1) where the second derivative of  $r(x)$  is piecewise continuous on intervals  $(0, b)$  and  $(b, a)$  ( $0 < b < a$ ). On the other hands, our method is different from his method and is based on using Rouché's theorem about roots of analytic functions.

### 2. An asymptotic formula of the hill discriminant

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $\theta(t, \lambda)$  and  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  be the solutions of problem (1.3) such that  $\theta(0, \lambda) = 1$ ,  $\dot{\theta}(0, \lambda) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(0, \lambda) = 0$ , and  $\dot{\varphi}(0, \lambda) = 1$ . For  $\lambda = s^2$ , these solutions verify the following integral equations:*

$$\theta(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \cos st + \int_0^t \frac{\sin s(t - \xi)}{s} Q_1(\xi) \theta(\xi, \lambda) d\xi, & 0 \leq t < B, \\ k \cos sB \cos s(t - B) - \frac{1}{k} \sin sB \sin s(t - B) \\ + m \frac{\cos sB \sin s(t - B)}{s} \\ + \int_0^B \left\{ k \frac{\cos s(t - B) \sin s(B - \xi)}{s} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\sin s(t - B) \cos s(B - \xi)}{s} \right. \\ \left. + m \frac{\sin s(t - B) \sin s(B - \xi)}{s^2} \right\} Q_1(\xi) \theta(\xi, \lambda) d\xi \\ + \int_B^t \frac{\sin s(t - \xi)}{s} Q_2(\xi) \theta(\xi, \lambda) d\xi, & B < t \leq A, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\varphi(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin st}{s} + \int_0^t \frac{\sin s(t-\xi)}{s} Q_1(\xi) \varphi(\xi, \lambda) d\xi, & 0 \leq t < B, \\ k \frac{\cos s(t-B) \sin sB}{s} + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\sin s(t-B) \cos sB}{s} \\ + m \frac{\sin sB \sin s(t-B)}{s^2} \\ + \int_0^B \left\{ k \frac{\cos s(t-B) \sin s(B-\xi)}{s} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\sin s(t-B) \cos s(B-\xi)}{s} \right. \\ \left. + m \frac{\sin s(t-B) \sin s(B-\xi)}{s^2} \right\} Q_1(\xi) \varphi(\xi, \lambda) d\xi \\ + \int_B^t \frac{\sin s(t-\xi)}{s} Q_2(\xi) \varphi(\xi, \lambda) d\xi, & B < t \leq A, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $Q_1(t) = q(x)/r_1(x) - r_1^{-3/4}(x)\{r_1^{-1/4}(x)\}''$ ,  $Q_2(t) = q(x)/r_2(x) - r_2^{-3/4}(x)\{r_2^{-1/4}(x)\}''$ ,  $k = r^{1/4}(b+0)/r^{1/4}(b-0)$ ,  $m = \{r^{-1/4}(b-0)\}'/r^{1/4}(b+0) - \{r^{-1/4}(b+0)\}'/r^{1/4}(b-0)$ .

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $\theta(t, \lambda)$  and  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  verify the integral equations for  $0 \leq t < B$ .

Let  $B < t \leq A$ . Since  $\theta(t, \lambda)$  and  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  are solutions of problem (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\theta}(t, \lambda) + \{\lambda - Q(t)\}\theta(t, \lambda) &= 0, \\ \ddot{\varphi}(t, \lambda) + \{\lambda - Q(t)\}\varphi(t, \lambda) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

First, we multiply the equalities above by  $\sin s(t-\xi)/s$  and take integral of the obtained equalities from  $B$  to  $t$ . Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t, \lambda) &= \cos s(t-B)\theta(B+0, \lambda) + \frac{\sin s(t-B)}{s} \dot{\theta}(B+0, \lambda) \\ &+ \int_B^t \frac{\sin s(t-\xi)}{s} Q_2(\xi) \theta(\xi, \lambda) d\xi, \\ \varphi(t, \lambda) &= \cos s(t-B)\varphi(B+0, \lambda) + \frac{\sin s(t-B)}{s} \dot{\varphi}(B+0, \lambda) \\ &+ \int_B^t \frac{\sin s(t-\xi)}{s} Q_2(\xi) \varphi(\xi, \lambda) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Using the equalities  $y(b-0) = y(b+0)$  and  $y'(b-0) = y'(b+0)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(B+0, \lambda) &= k\theta(B-0, \lambda), & \varphi(B+0, \lambda) &= k\varphi(B-0, \lambda), \\ \dot{\theta}(B+0, \lambda) &= m\theta(B-0, \lambda) + \frac{1}{k}\dot{\theta}(B-0, \lambda), \\ \dot{\varphi}(B+0, \lambda) &= m\varphi(B-0, \lambda) + \frac{1}{k}\dot{\varphi}(B-0, \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

When these equalities are written in (2.4), the proof is completed. □

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $\theta(t, \lambda)$  and  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  be the solutions of problem (1.3) such that  $\theta(0, \lambda) = 1$ ,  $\dot{\theta}(0, \lambda) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(0, \lambda) = 0$ , and  $\dot{\varphi}(0, \lambda) = 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda) &= k\{\cos s(A-B)\cos sB - \sigma^2 \sin s(A-B)\sin sB\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{k}\{\sigma^2 \cos s(A-B)\cos sB - \sin s(A-B)\sin sB\} + O\left(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}s|A}}{|s|}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where  $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ .

**PROOF.** Using integral equations (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\theta(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \cos st + O\left(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}s|t}}{|s|}\right), & 0 \leq t < B, \\ k \cos sB \cos s(t-B) - \frac{1}{k} \sin sB \sin s(t-B) + O\left(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}s|t}}{|s|}\right), & B < t \leq A, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\varphi(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin st}{s} + O\left(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}s|t}}{|s|^2}\right), & 0 \leq t < B, \\ k \frac{\cos s(t-B)\sin sB}{s} + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\sin s(t-B)\cos sB}{s} + O\left(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}s|t}}{|s|^2}\right), & B < t \leq A, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\dot{\varphi}(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \cos st + O\left(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}s|t}}{|s|}\right), & 0 \leq t < B, \\ -k \sin sB \sin s(t-B) + \frac{1}{k} \cos sB \cos s(t-B) + O\left(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}s|t}}{|s|}\right), & B < t \leq A, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where  $|s|$  goes to positive infinity. When we put  $\theta(A, \lambda)$ ,  $\varphi(A, \lambda)$ , and  $\dot{\varphi}(A, \lambda)$  in (1.7), we get the result. □

### 3. The asymptotic formulas for the lengths of the instability intervals.

First, we research the eigenvalues of the periodic boundary value problem, using Rouché's theorem which is stated as follows.

**THEOREM 3.1** (Rouche’s theorem). *If  $f(w)$  and  $g(w)$  are analytic functions inside and on a simple closed contour  $\Gamma$  and  $|g(w)| < |f(w)|$  at each point on  $\Gamma$ , then  $f(w)$  and  $f(w) + g(w)$  have the same number of zeros, counting multiplicities, inside  $\Gamma$ .*

Let  $\sigma = \{r(a)/r(0)\}^{1/4} = 1$ . Then, define

$$\Phi^+(s) = F(\lambda) - 2 = \frac{k^2 + 1}{k} \cos sA - 2 + O\left(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}s|A}}{|s|}\right). \tag{3.1}$$

Let  $f(s) = ((k^2 + 1)/k) \cos sA - 2$ ,  $g(s) = O(e^{|\operatorname{Im}s|A}/|s|)$  and

$$\Gamma_{2n+1/2} = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re}s| = \frac{1}{A} \left[ \left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi + \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1} \right], \right. \\ \left. |\operatorname{Im}s| = \frac{1}{A} \left[ \left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi + \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1} \right] \right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

In order to apply Rouché’s theorem to our case, we need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *There is a positive number  $C$  such that*

$$|f(s)| \geq C e^{A|\operatorname{Im}s|}, \quad s \in \Gamma_{2n+1/2}, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $C$  does not depend on  $s$  and  $n$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $s = u + iv$ . Then

$$|f(s)|^2 = \left| \frac{k^2 + 1}{k} \cos sA - 2 \right|^2 \\ = 4 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{k^2 + 1}{k} \right)^2 [e^{2vA} + e^{-2vA} + 2 \cos 2uA] \\ - 2 \frac{k^2 + 1}{k} (e^{vA} + e^{-vA}) \cos uA. \tag{3.4}$$

On the vertical edge of square contour  $\Gamma_{2n+1/2}$ , take

$$u = -\left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{A} - \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1}, \\ u = \left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1}. \tag{3.5}$$

When the value of  $u$  is written in (3.4), we have

$$|f(s)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{k^2 + 1}{k} \right)^2 e^{2|v|A} \tag{3.6}$$

and hence

$$|f(s)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{k^2+1}{k} \right) e^{|v|A}. \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, we take that

$$\begin{aligned} v &= -\left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{A} - \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1}, \\ v &= \left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

on the horizontal edge of square contour  $\Gamma_{2n+1/2}$ . Since the function  $|f(s)|^2$  has minimum values at the points  $u = p\pi/A$  where  $p$  is even, we have

$$|f(s)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{16} \left( \frac{k^2+1}{k} \right)^2 e^{2|v|A}. \tag{3.9}$$

This completes the proof. □

From Lemma 3.2, we have a positive number  $C'$  such that

$$\left| \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \right| \leq \frac{C'}{|s|}. \tag{3.10}$$

For all  $s \in \Gamma_{2n+1/2}$ ,

$$|s| \geq \left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} \tag{3.11}$$

and there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that, for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$C' < \left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1}. \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore, for all  $s \in \Gamma_{2n+1/2}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\left| \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} \right| < 1. \tag{3.13}$$

Moreover,  $f(s)$  and  $g(s)$  are analytic on the square contour  $\Gamma_{2n+1/2}$  and on the region bounded by the square contour. The number of roots of function  $f(s)$  is  $4n + 2$  inside the square contour  $\Gamma_{2n+1/2}$ . By Rouché's theorem,  $\Phi^+(s)$  has  $4n + 2$  roots inside the square contour  $\Gamma_{2n+1/2}$ . Denote these roots by

$$-s_{2n}^+, -s_{2n}^-, \dots, -s_2^+, -s_2^-, -s_0^+, s_0^+, s_2^-, s_2^+, \dots, s_{2n}^-, s_{2n}^+. \tag{3.14}$$

Similarly,  $\Phi^+(s)$  must have  $4n - 2$  roots inside the square contour  $\Gamma_{2n-1/2}$ . Therefore, there are 4 roots between  $\Gamma_{2n+1/2}$  and  $\Gamma_{2n-1/2}$ . Two of these roots belong to region

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_n = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} : \left( 2n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1} < \operatorname{Re} s \right. \\
 < \left. \left( 2n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1}, \right. \\
 \left. |\operatorname{Im} s| \leq \left( 2n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1} \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Consider circles  $|s - 2n\pi/A \pm (1/A) \arccos(2k/(k^2 + 1))| = \rho$ , where  $\rho$  is any number such that  $0 < \rho < \pi/2A$ . Then functions  $f(s)$  and  $g(s)$  are analytic on these circles and region bounded by these circles.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Consider circles  $|s - 2n\pi/A \pm (1/A) \arccos(2k/(k^2 + 1))| = \rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \pi/2A$ ). Then, for all  $s$  on these circles, there is a positive number  $K$  such that*

$$|f(s)| \geq K\rho^2 e^{A|\operatorname{Im} s|}, \tag{3.16}$$

where  $K$  does not depend on  $s$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $s = u + iv$  and consider  $|s - 2n\pi/A \pm (1/A) \arccos(2k/(k^2 + 1))| = \rho$ . Then

$$u = \frac{2n\pi}{A} \mp \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1} \mp \sqrt{\rho^2 - v^2}. \tag{3.17}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(s)| &= \left( \frac{k^2 + 1}{2k} \right) \left[ e^{vA} + e^{-vA} - \frac{4k}{k^2 + 1} \cos uA \right] \\
 &\times \left[ 1 - \frac{4(k^2 - 1)^2}{(k^2 + 1)^2} \cdot \frac{\sin^2 uA}{(e^{vA} + e^{-vA} - (4k/(k^2 + 1)) \cos uA)^2} \right]^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Let

$$F(u, v) = \frac{\sin uA}{e^{vA} + e^{-vA} - (4k/(k^2 + 1)) \cos uA}. \tag{3.19}$$



This function has a maximum value and a minimum value at the points

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{2n\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1}, 0 \right), \\ & \left( \frac{2n\pi}{A} - \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1}, 0 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

respectively. So, for all  $u$  and  $v$  on the circles, we have

$$2|F(u, v)| < \frac{k^2 + 1}{|k^2 - 1|} \tag{3.21}$$

and hence

$$0 < 1 - \left[ 2 \cdot \frac{k^2 - 1}{k^2 + 1} \cdot F(u, v) \right]^2. \tag{3.22}$$

Therefore, there is a positive number  $\epsilon_0$  not depending on  $\rho$  and  $n$  such that

$$0 < \epsilon_0 \leq 1 - \left[ 2 \cdot \frac{k^2 - 1}{k^2 + 1} \cdot F(u, v) \right]^2. \tag{3.23}$$

Thus, for all  $s$  on the circles, we get

$$|f(s)| \geq L \left[ e^{vA} + e^{-vA} - \frac{4k}{k^2 + 1} \cos uA \right], \tag{3.24}$$

where  $L = ((k^2 + 1)/2k)\sqrt{\epsilon_0}$ . Let  $G(u, v) = e^{vA} + e^{-vA} - (4k/(k^2 + 1)) \cos uA$ .

**CASE 1.** Let  $u = 2n\pi/A + (1/A) \arccos(2k/(k^2 + 1)) + \sqrt{\rho^2 - v^2}$  and  $u = 2n\pi/A - (1/A) \arccos(2k/(k^2 + 1)) - \sqrt{\rho^2 - v^2}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, v) &= e^{vA} + e^{-vA} - \frac{4k}{k^2 + 1} \cos uA \\ &\geq e^{vA} + e^{-vA} - \frac{8k^2}{(k^2 + 1)^2} \cos(A\sqrt{\rho^2 - v^2}) \\ &\geq e^{vA} + e^{-vA} - 2 \cos(A\sqrt{\rho^2 - v^2}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Let  $g(v) = e^{vA} + e^{-vA} - 2 \cos(A\sqrt{\rho^2 - v^2})$ . So, we can work on interval  $[0, \rho]$  because the function  $g$  is even for all  $v \in [-\rho, \rho]$ . First, take the derivative of  $g$  on the variable  $v$  and we get

$$g'(v) \geq A[e^{vA} - e^{-vA} - 2Av] > 0. \tag{3.26}$$

So,  $g$  is increasing and then  $g(0) \leq g(v)$  for  $0 \leq v \leq \rho$ . Moreover,

$$0 \leq A\rho \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \implies \frac{\sqrt{2}A\rho}{\pi} \leq \sin \frac{A\rho}{2} \tag{3.27}$$

and hence

$$8\left(\frac{A\rho}{\pi}\right)^2 \leq 4\sin^2 \frac{A\rho}{2} \leq g(v). \tag{3.28}$$

Since  $|f(s)| \geq 8A^2\rho^2L/\pi^2$ , we have

$$\frac{e^{A|v|}}{|f(s)|} \leq \frac{e^{A|v|}}{8A^2\rho^2L/\pi^2} \leq \frac{1}{K\rho^2}, \tag{3.29}$$

where  $K = 8A^2\rho^2L/\pi^2e^{\pi/2}$ . Hence,  $|f(s)| \geq K\rho^2e^{A|v|}$ .

**CASE 2.** Similarly, when we take

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{2n\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} - \sqrt{\rho^2 - v^2}, \\ u &= \frac{2n\pi}{A} - \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + \sqrt{\rho^2 - v^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

the inequality is verified. □

From [Lemma 3.3](#) and definition of  $g(s)$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \right| \leq \frac{C_1(e^{A|y|}/|s|)}{K\rho^2e^{A|y|}}. \tag{3.31}$$

We can choose  $\rho = \sqrt{2C_2/((2n-1/2)\pi/A + (1/A)\arccos(2k/(k^2+1)))}$ , where  $C_2 = C_1/K$  because there is a positive number  $m$  such that for all  $n \geq m$ ,  $\rho < \pi/2A$ . Moreover, for all  $s$  on these circles, we have

$$|s| \geq \left(2n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1}. \tag{3.32}$$

Thus,

$$\left| \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \right| \leq \frac{C_2}{\rho^2[(2n-1/2)\pi/A + (1/A)\arccos(2k/(k^2+1))]} = \frac{1}{2} < 1. \tag{3.33}$$

By Rouché's theorem, the function  $\Phi^+(s)$  has one root inside the circle

$$\left| s - \frac{2n\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} \right| = \rho \tag{3.34}$$

and one root inside the circle

$$\left| s - \frac{2n\pi}{A} - \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} \right| = \rho. \tag{3.35}$$

Denote these roots by  $s_{2n}^-$  and  $s_{2n}^+$ , respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned} s_{2n}^- &= \frac{2n\pi}{A} - \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + \frac{r_{2n}^-}{\sqrt{n}}, \\ s_{2n}^+ &= \frac{2n\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + \frac{r_{2n}^+}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Since  $|r_{2n}^\pm| < \sqrt{2nC_2 / ((2n-1/2)\pi/A + (1/A) \arccos(2k/(k^2+1)))}$ , we have  $\text{Sup} r_{2n}^\pm < \infty$ . Hence, for periodic boundary value problem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{2n}^- &= \frac{2n\pi}{A} - \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \\ s_{2n}^+ &= \frac{2n\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Similarly, for antiperiodic boundary value problem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{2n+1}^- &= \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{A} - \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \\ s_{2n+1}^+ &= \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Combining these two results, we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^- &= \frac{n\pi}{A} - \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \\ s_n^+ &= \frac{n\pi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $r'(0) \neq r'(a)$ . If the second derivative of function  $r(x)$  in (1.1) is piecewise continuous on open intervals  $(0, b)$  and  $(b, a)$ , then*

$$I_n = \frac{4n\pi}{A^2} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1} + O(1). \quad (3.40)$$

**PROOF.** We know that eigenvalues of periodic and antiperiodic boundary value problems are real and go to infinity. So, it is sufficient to take positive values of parameters  $\lambda$ . By (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\theta(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \cos st + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right), & \text{if } 0 \leq t < B, \\ k \cos s(t-B) \cos sB - \frac{1}{k} \sin s(t-B) \sin sB + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right), & \text{if } B < t \leq A, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin st}{s} + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^2}\right), & \text{if } 0 \leq t < B, \\ k \frac{\cos s(t-B) \sin sB}{s} + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\sin s(t-B) \cos sB}{s} + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^2}\right), & \text{if } B < t \leq A. \end{cases} \quad (3.41)$$

When the values  $\theta(t, \lambda)$  and  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  are written in (2.1) and in derivative of (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(A, \lambda) &= k \cos s(A-B) \cos sB - \frac{1}{k} \sin s(A-B) \sin sB + m \frac{\cos sB \sin s(A-B)}{s} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4s} k \int_0^B [\sin sA - \sin s(A-2B) + \sin s(A-2\xi) - \sin s(A-2B+2\xi)] \\ &\quad \times Q_1(\xi) d\xi \\ &+ \frac{1}{4s} \frac{1}{k} \int_0^B [\sin sA + \sin s(A-2B) + \sin s(A-2\xi) + \sin s(A-2B+2\xi)] \\ &\quad \times Q_1(\xi) d\xi \\ &+ \frac{1}{4s} k \int_B^A [\sin sA + \sin s(A-2B) + \sin s(A-2\xi) + \sin s(A+2B-2\xi)] \\ &\quad \times Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\ &+ \frac{1}{4s} \frac{1}{k} \int_B^A [\sin sA - \sin s(A-2B) + \sin s(A-2\xi) - \sin s(A+2B-2\xi)] \\ &\quad \times Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{\varphi}(A, \lambda) = & -k \sin s(A - B) \sin sB + \frac{1}{k} \cos s(A - B) \cos sB + m \frac{\sin sB \cos s(A - B)}{s} \\
 & + \frac{1}{4s} k \int_0^B [\sin sA + \sin s(A - 2B) - \sin s(A - 2\xi) - \sin s(A - 2B + 2\xi)] \\
 & \quad \times Q_1(\xi) d\xi \\
 & + \frac{1}{4s} \frac{1}{k} \int_0^B [\sin sA - \sin s(A - 2B) - \sin s(A - 2\xi) + \sin s(A - 2B + 2\xi)] \\
 & \quad \times Q_1(\xi) d\xi \\
 & + \frac{1}{4s} k \int_B^A [\sin sA - \sin s(A - 2B) - \sin s(A - 2\xi) + \sin s(A + 2B - 2\xi)] \\
 & \quad \times Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\
 & + \frac{1}{4s} \frac{1}{k} \int_B^A [\sin sA + \sin s(A - 2B) - \sin s(A - 2\xi) - \sin s(A + 2B - 2\xi)] \\
 & \quad \times Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\
 & + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^2}\right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.42}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\varphi(A, \lambda) = k \frac{\cos s(A - B) \sin sB}{s} + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\sin s(A - B) \cos sB}{s} + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^2}\right).
 \tag{3.43}$$

After putting the values  $\theta(A, \lambda)$ ,  $\varphi(A, \lambda)$ , and  $\dot{\varphi}(A, \lambda)$  in equality

$$\theta(A, \lambda) + \dot{\varphi}(A, \lambda) + (\rho - \tau)\varphi(A, \lambda) = 2,
 \tag{3.44}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \cos s_{2n}^{\mp} A + \frac{1}{s_{2n}^{\mp}} \cdot \frac{km}{k^2 + 1} \sin s_{2n}^{\mp} A \\
 + \frac{1}{2s_{2n}^{\mp}} \sin s_{2n}^{\mp} A \int_0^B Q_1(\xi) d\xi + \frac{1}{2s_{2n}^{\mp}} \sin s_{2n}^{\mp} A \int_B^A Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\
 - \frac{1}{2s_{2n}^{\mp}} \cdot \frac{k^2 - 1}{k^2 + 1} \int_0^B \sin s_{2n}^{\mp} (A - 2B + 2\xi) Q_1(\xi) d\xi \\
 + \frac{1}{2s_{2n}^{\mp}} \cdot \frac{k^2 - 1}{k^2 + 1} \int_B^A \sin s_{2n}^{\mp} (A + 2B - 2\xi) Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\
 + \frac{\rho - \tau}{2s_{2n}^{\mp}} \sin s_{2n}^{\mp} A - \frac{\rho - \tau}{2s_{2n}^{\mp}} \cdot \frac{k^2 - 1}{k^2 + 1} \sin s_{2n}^{\mp} (A - 2B) \\
 - \frac{2k}{k^2 + 1} + O\left(\frac{1}{|s_{2n}^{\mp}|^2}\right) = 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.45}$$

Let  $w = \arccos(2k/(k^2 + 1))$  and  $\delta_{2n}^{\mp} = O(1/\sqrt{n})$ . Then

$$s_{2n}^{\mp} A = 2n\pi \mp \omega + \delta_{2n}^{\mp}.
 \tag{3.46}$$

Since  $1/s_{2n}^\mp = O(1/n)$ ,  $1/(s_{2n}^\mp)^2 = O(1/n^2)$ ,  $\int_0^B Q_1(\xi)d\xi < \infty$ , and  $\int_B^A Q_2(\xi)d\xi < \infty$ , (3.45) becomes

$$\mp \delta_{2n}^\mp \sin w = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \tag{3.47}$$

it is clear that  $\sin w \neq 0$ . Hence, we have  $\delta_{2n}^\mp = O(1/n)$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2n} &= \mu_{2n}^+ - \mu_{2n}^- = \frac{8n\pi}{A^2} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + O(1), \\ I_{2n+1} &= \mu_{2n+1}^+ - \mu_{2n+1}^- = \frac{4(2n+1)\pi}{A^2} \arccos \frac{2k}{k^2+1} + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

This completes the proof. □

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Under hypotheses of Theorem 3.4, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= 4n\pi\omega A^{-2} + A^{-1} \frac{k^2-1}{k^2+1} \\ &\cdot \frac{2}{\sin \omega} \left\{ \int_0^B \cos \left[ \frac{2\omega}{A}(B-\xi) - \omega \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \frac{2n\pi}{A}(B-\xi) \right] Q_1(\xi) d\xi \right. \\ &\quad + \int_B^A \cos \left[ \frac{2\omega}{A}(B-\xi) + \omega \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \frac{2n\pi}{A}(B-\xi) \right] Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad \left. + (\rho - \tau) \cos \left[ \frac{2B\omega}{A} - \omega \right] \cdot \sin \left[ 2n\pi \frac{B}{A} \right] \right\} \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

**PROOF.** Since  $s_{2n}^\mp A = 2n\pi \mp \omega + \delta_{2n}^\mp$  and  $\delta_{2n}^\mp = O(1/n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \cos s_{2n}^\mp A &= \cos \omega \pm \delta_{2n}^\mp \sin \omega + O\left((\delta_{2n}^\mp)^2\right), \quad \sin s_{2n}^\mp A = \mp \sin \omega + O(\delta_{2n}^\mp), \\ \sin s_{2n}^\mp (A - 2B + 2\xi) &= \sin \left[ \frac{2}{A}(-B + \xi)(2n\pi \mp \omega) \mp \omega \right] + O(\delta_{2n}^\mp), \\ \sin s_{2n}^\mp (A + 2B - 2\xi) &= \sin \left[ \frac{2}{A}(B - \xi)(2n\pi \mp \omega) \mp \omega \right] + O(\delta_{2n}^\mp), \\ \sin s_{2n}^\mp (A - 2B) &= -\sin \left[ \frac{2B}{A}(2n\pi \mp \omega) \pm \omega \right] + O(\delta_{2n}^\mp), \\ \frac{1}{s_{2n}^\mp} &= \frac{A}{2n\pi} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

Using these equalities in (3.45), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_{2n}^{\mp} &= \frac{A}{2n\pi} \cdot \frac{km}{k^2+1} + \frac{A}{4n\pi} \int_0^B Q_1(\xi) d\xi \\
 &\mp \frac{A}{4n\pi} \cdot \frac{k^2-1}{k^2+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin \omega} \int_0^B \sin \left[ \frac{2}{A}(B-\xi)(2n\pi \mp \omega) \pm \omega \right] Q_1(\xi) d\xi \\
 &\mp \frac{A}{4n\pi} \cdot \frac{k^2-1}{k^2+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin \omega} \int_B^A \sin \left[ \frac{2}{A}(B-\xi)(2n\pi \mp \omega) \mp \omega \right] Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\
 &+ \frac{A}{4n\pi} \int_B^A Q_2(\xi) d\xi \mp \frac{A}{4n\pi} (\rho - \tau) \cdot \frac{k^2-1}{k^2+1} \cdot \frac{\sin[(2B/A)(2n\pi \mp \omega) \pm \omega]}{\sin \omega} \\
 &+ \frac{A}{4n\pi} (\rho - \tau) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.51}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{2n} &= 8n\pi\omega A^{-2} + A^{-1} \frac{k^2-1}{k^2+1} \\
 &\cdot \frac{2}{\sin \omega} \left\{ \int_0^B \cos \left[ \frac{2\omega}{A}(B-\xi) - \omega \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \frac{4n\pi}{A}(B-\xi) \right] Q_1(\xi) d\xi \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_B^A \cos \left[ \frac{2\omega}{A}(B-\xi) + \omega \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \frac{4n\pi}{A}(B-\xi) \right] Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\
 &\quad \left. + (\rho - \tau) \cos \left[ \frac{2B\omega}{A} - \omega \right] \cdot \sin \left[ 4n\pi \frac{B}{A} \right] \right\} \\
 &+ O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.52}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{2n+1} &= 4(2n+1)\pi\omega A^{-2} + A^{-1} \frac{k^2-1}{k^2+1} \\
 &\cdot \frac{2}{\sin \omega} \left\{ \int_0^B \cos \left[ \frac{2\omega}{A}(B-\xi) - \omega \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \frac{(4n+2)\pi}{A}(B-\xi) \right] Q_1(\xi) d\xi \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_B^A \cos \left[ \frac{2\omega}{A}(B-\xi) + \omega \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \frac{(4n+2)\pi}{A}(B-\xi) \right] Q_2(\xi) d\xi \\
 &\quad \left. + (\rho - \tau) \cos \left[ \frac{2B\omega}{A} - \omega \right] \cdot \sin \left[ (4n+2)\pi \frac{B}{A} \right] \right\} \\
 &+ O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.53}$$

This completes the proof. □

**REFERENCES**

- [1] M. S. P. Eastham, *The Spectral Theory of Periodic Differential Equations*, Scottish Academic Press, Edinburgh, 1973.
- [2] ———, *Results and problems in the spectral theory of periodic differential equations*, Spectral Theory and Differential Equations (Proc. Sympos., Dundee, 1974, Dedicated to Konrad Jörgens), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 448, Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 126–135.

Ilkay Yaslan Karaca: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ege University, Izmir 35100, Turkey

*E-mail address:* [yaslan@sci.ege.edu.tr](mailto:yaslan@sci.ege.edu.tr)