

## ON SIMULTANEOUS APPROXIMATION FOR SOME MODIFIED BERNSTEIN-TYPE OPERATORS

VIJAY GUPTA and NURHAYAT ISPIR

Received 25 December 2003

We study the simultaneous approximation for a certain variant of Bernstein-type operators.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A25, 41A30.

**1. Introduction.** To approximate Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval  $I \equiv [0, 1]$ , the modified Bernstein operators are defined by

$$M_{n,\alpha,\beta}(f, x) = (n - \alpha + 1) \sum_{k=\beta}^{n-\alpha+\beta} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n-\alpha,k-\beta}(t) f(t) dt + \sum_{k \in I_n} p_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \quad (1.2)$$

for  $n \geq \alpha$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are integers satisfying  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq 0$  and  $I_n \subseteq \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a certain index set. For  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ ,  $I_n = \{0\}$ , this definition reduces to the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators, which were first studied by Derriennic [3]. Also if  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ ,  $I_n = \{0\}$ , we obtain the recently introduced sequence of Gupta and Maheshwari [4], that is,  $M_{n,1,1}(f, x) \equiv P_n(f, x)$  which is defined as

$$P_n(f, x) = \int_0^1 W_n(x, t) f(t) dt + n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t) f(t) dt + (1-x)^n f(0), \quad x \in I \equiv [0, 1], \quad (1.3)$$

where  $W_n(x, t) = n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) p_{n-1,k-1}(t) + (1-x)^n \delta(t)$ ,  $\delta(t)$  being a Dirac Delta function.

In [4] Gupta and Maheshwari have estimated the rate of convergence for functions of bounded variation for the operators  $P_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The approximation properties for different values of  $\alpha, \beta$  were studied by several researchers. Recently Abel [1] obtained the complete asymptotic expansion for the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators ( $\alpha = \beta = 0$ ,  $I_n = \{0\}$ ) in a concise form in simultaneous approximation. The operators  $M_{n,\alpha,\beta}(f, x)$  are

linear positive operators but their approximation properties are different with different values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . In the present paper, we study the pointwise convergence and asymptotic formula in simultaneous approximation for the operators  $M_{n,1,1}(f, x) \equiv P_n(f, x)$ . In the end we give a remark that similar results can be obtained for different values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , for example, we mention the asymptotic formula for another particular case, namely,  $M_{n,0,1}(f, x) \equiv B_n(f, x)$ . Our main theorems can be stated as follows.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $f \in C[0, 1]$  and let  $f^{(r)}$  exist at a point  $x \in (0, 1)$ , then*

$$P_n^{(r)}(f, x) = f^{(r)}(x) + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $f \in C[0, 1]$ . If  $f^{(r+2)}$  exists at a point  $x \in (0, 1)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n [P_n^{(r)}(f, x) - f^{(r)}(x)] &= x(1-x)f^{(r+2)}(x) \\ &+ [r-x(1+2r)]f^{(r+1)}(x) - r^2f^{(r)}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

**2. Auxiliary results.** In this section, we mention some results which are necessary to prove the main theorem.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *For  $m \in \mathbb{N}^0$  (the set of nonnegative integers), if the following definition holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} P_n((t-x)^m, x) \equiv \mu_{n,m}(x) &= n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt \\ &+ (-x)^m(1-x)^n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$\mu_{n,0}(x) = 1, \quad \mu_{n,1}(x) = \frac{-x}{(n+1)}, \quad \mu_{n,2}(x) = \frac{x(1-x)(2n+1) - (1-3x)x}{(n+1)(n+2)} \tag{2.2}$$

and for  $m \geq 1$  there holds the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} [n+m+1]\mu_{n,m+1}(x) &= x(1-x)[\mu_{n,m}^{(1)}(x) + 2m\mu_{n,m-1}(x)] \\ &+ [m(1-2x)-x]\mu_{n,m}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

**PROOF.** The values of  $\mu_{n,0}(x)$  and  $\mu_{n,1}(x)$  can easily follow from the definition. We prove the recurrence relation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x(1-x)\mu_{n,m}^{(1)}(x) &= n \sum_{k=1}^n x(1-x)p_{n,k}^{(1)}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt \\ &- mn \sum_{k=1}^n x(1-x)p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t)(t-x)^{m-1} dt \\ &- \{n(-x)^m(1-x)^{n-1} + m(-x)^{m-1}(1-x)^n\}x(1-x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now using the identity  $x(1-x)p_{n,k}^{(1)}(x) = (k-nx)p_{n,k}(x)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x(1-x)[\mu_{n,m}^{(1)}(x) + m\mu_{n,m-1}(x)] \\
 &= n \sum_{k=1}^n (k-nx)p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt + n(-x)^{m+1}(1-x)^n \\
 &= n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 [k-1-(n-1)t + (n-1)(t-x) \\
 &\quad + (1-x)]p_{n-1,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt \\
 &\quad + n(-x)^{m+1}(1-x)^n \\
 &= n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 t(1-t)p_{n-1,k-1}^{(1)}(t)(t-x)^m dt \\
 &\quad + (n-1)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) + (1-x)\mu_{n,m}(x) - (-x)^m(1-x)^n \\
 &= n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 [(1-2x)(t-x) + (t-x)^2 + x(1-x)] \\
 &\quad \times p_{n-1,k-1}^{(1)}(t)(t-x)^m dt \tag{2.5} \\
 &\quad + (n-1)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) + (1-x)\mu_{n,m}(x) - (-x)^m(1-x)^n \\
 &= -(m+1)(1-2x)[\mu_{n,m}(x) - (-x)^m(1-x)^n] \\
 &\quad + (m+2)[\mu_{n,m+1}(x) - (-x)^{m+1}(1-x)^n] \\
 &\quad - x(1-x)m[\mu_{n,m-1}(x) - (-x)^{m-1}(1-x)^n] + (n-1)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) \\
 &\quad + (1-x)\mu_{n,m}(x) - (-x)^m(1-x)^n \\
 &= [(1-x) - (m+1)(1-2x)]\mu_{n,m}(x) + (n+m+1)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) \\
 &\quad - mx(1-x)\mu_{n,m-1}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the recurrence relation. □

The value of  $\mu_{n,2}(x)$  can be easily obtained from the above recurrence relation.

**REMARK 1.** For each fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$ , it follows from the above lemma that

$$P_n(\psi_x^s, x) = O(n^{-[(s+1)/2]}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\psi_x = t - x$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** For  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , if the  $m$ th-order moment is defined as

$$U_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{v}{n} - x\right)^m, \tag{2.7}$$

then  $U_{n,0}(x) = 1$ ,  $U_{n,1}(x) = 0$ , and

$$nU_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1-x)[U_{n,m}^{(1)}(x) + mU_{n,m-1}(x)]. \tag{2.8}$$

Consequently,

$$U_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-(m+1)/2}). \tag{2.9}$$

**LEMMA 2.3** [5]. There exist the polynomials  $Q_{i,j,r}(x)$  independent of  $n$  and  $v$  such that

$$\{x(1-x)\}^r D^r [p_{n,k}(x)] = \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i (k-nx)^j Q_{i,j,r}(x) p_{n,k}(x), \quad D \equiv \frac{d}{dx}. \tag{2.10}$$

### 3. Proofs of theorems

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** By Taylor’s expansion of  $f$ , we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} (t-x)^i + \varepsilon(t,x)(t-x)^r, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\varepsilon(t,x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^{(r)}(f,x) &= \int_0^1 W_n^{(r)}(t,x) f(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \int_0^1 W_n^{(r)}(t,x) (t-x)^i dt + \int_0^1 W_n^{(r)}(t,x) \varepsilon(t,x) (t-x)^r dt \\ &= R_1 + R_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

First to estimate  $R_1$ , using binomial expansion of  $(t-x)^m$  and **Lemma 2.1**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \sum_{v=0}^i \binom{i}{v} (-x)^{i-v} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} \int_0^1 W_n(t,x) t^v dt \\ &= \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} \int_0^1 W_n(t,x) t^r dt = f^{(r)}(x) + o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Next using Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |R_2| &\leq n \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i \frac{|Q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{\{x(1-x)\}^r} \sum_{k=1}^n |k-nx|^j p_{n,k}(x) \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t) |\varepsilon(t,x)| (t-x)^r dt + \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} (1-x)^{n-r} |\varepsilon(0,x)| x^r \\
 &= R_3 + R_4.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.4}$$

Since  $\varepsilon(t,x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow x$  for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\varepsilon(t,x)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $0 < |t-x| < \delta$ . Thus for some  $M_1 > 0$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_3 &\leq nM_1 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) |k-nx|^j \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \varepsilon \int_{|t-x| < \delta} p_{n-1,k-1}(t) |t-x|^r \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} p_{n-1,k-1}(t) M_2 |t-x|^r dt \right\} = R_5 + R_6,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$M_1 = \sup_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \frac{|Q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{\{x(1-x)\}^r}.
 \tag{3.6}$$

and  $M_2$  is independent of  $t$ . Applying the Schwarz inequality for integration and summation respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_5 &\leq \varepsilon \cdot M_1 n \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) |k-nx|^j \left( \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \left( \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2r} dt \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \cdot M_1 n \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) (k-nx)^{2j} \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2r} dt \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.7}$$

Using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.1, we get

$$R_5 \leq \varepsilon \cdot M_1 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i O(n^{j/2}) O(n^{-r/2}) = O(1).
 \tag{3.8}$$

Again using the Schwarz inequality and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_6 &\leq nM_2 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) |k-nx|^j \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} p_{n-1,k-1}(t) |t-x|^r dt \\
 &\leq nM_2 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{k=1}^k p_{n,k}(x) |k-nx|^j \left( \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} p_{n-1,k-1}(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \left( \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} p_{n-1,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2r} dt \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq nM_2 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) (k-nx)^{2j} \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n-1,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2r} dt \right)^{1/2} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i O(n^{j/2}) O(n^{-r/2}) = O(n^{(j-r)/2}) = O(1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, due to arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows that  $R_3 = o(1)$ . Also  $R_4 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $R_2 = o(1)$ . Collecting the estimates of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , we get the required result.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** Using Taylor’s expansion of  $f$ , we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+2} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} (t-x)^i + \varepsilon(t,x)(t-x)^{r+2}, \tag{3.10}$$

where  $\varepsilon(t,x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow x$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 n[P_n^{(r)}(f(t),x) - f^{(r)}(x)] &= n \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{r+2} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \int_0^1 W_n^{(r)}(t,x) (t-x)^i dt - f^{(r)}(x) \right] \\
 &\quad + \left[ n \int_0^1 W_n^{(r)}(t,x) \varepsilon(t,x) (t-x)^{r+2} dt \right] \\
 &= E_1 + E_2, \\
 E_1 &= n \sum_{i=0}^{r+2} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (-x)^{i-j} \int_0^1 W_n^{(r)}(t,x) t^j dt - n f^{(r)}(x) \\
 &= \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} n [P_n^{(r)}(t^r, x) - (r!)] + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \\
 &\quad \times n [ (r+1)(-x) P_n^{(r)}(t^r, x) + P_n^{(r)}(t^{r+1}, x) ] \\
 &\quad + \frac{f^{(r+2)}(x)}{(r+2)!} n \left[ \frac{(r+2)(r+1)}{2} x^2 P_n^{(r)}(t^r, x) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (r+2)(-x) P_n^{(r)}(t^{r+1}, x) + P_n^{(r)}(t^{r+2}, x) \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

It is easily verified from [Lemma 2.1](#) that for each  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$P_n(t^v, x) = \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-v)!(n+v)!} x^{v+v(v-1)} \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-v+1)!(n+v)!} x^{v-1} + O(n^{-2}). \quad (3.12)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 = & n f^{(r)}(x) \left[ \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r)!(n+r)!} - 1 \right] \\ & + n \frac{f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \left[ (r+1)(-x)(r!) \left\{ \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r)!(n+r)!} \right\} \right. \\ & \quad + \left\{ \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r-1)!(n+r+1)!} (r+1)! x \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. + r(r+1) \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r)!(n+r+1)!} (r!) \right\} \right] \\ & + n \frac{f^{(r+2)}(x)}{(r+2)!} \left[ \frac{(r+2)(r+1)x^2}{2} (r!) \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r)!(n+r)!} \right. \\ & \quad + (r+2)(-x) \left\{ \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r-1)!(n+r+1)!} (r+1)! x \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. + r(r+1) \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r)!(n+r+1)!} (r!) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad + \left\{ \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r-2)!(n+r+2)!} \right\} \frac{(r+2)!}{2} x^2 \\ & \quad + (r+1)(r+2) \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r-1)!(n+r+2)!} \\ & \quad \left. \times (r+1)! x + O(n^{-2}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

In order to complete the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to show that  $\{x(1+x)\}^r E_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which can easily be proved along the lines of the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) and by using [Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3](#). □

**REMARK 2.** Just like the operators in (1.3), very recently [Abel and Gupta \[2\]](#) considered the following operators:

$$B_n(f, x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(t) f(t) dt + (1-x)^n f(0), \quad x \in I \equiv [0, 1], \quad (3.14)$$

where  $p_{n,k}(x)$  is as defined by (1.3). These operators are  $M_{n,0,1}(f, x) \equiv B_n(f, x)$ .

For these operators, we can easily verify the following: for  $m \in \mathbb{N}^0$  (the set of nonnegative integers), if we define

$$B_n((t-x)^m, x) \equiv \phi_{n,m}(x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt + (-x)^m(1-x)^n, \quad (3.15)$$

then for  $m \geq 1$  there holds the recurrence relation

$$[n+m+2]\phi_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1-x)[\phi_{n,m}^{(1)}(x) + 2m\phi_{n,m-1}(x)] + [m(1-2x) - 2x]\phi_{n,m}(x). \quad (3.16)$$

Also, it is easily verified that

$$B_n(t^v, x) = \frac{n!(n+1)!}{(n-v)!(n+v+1)!} x^v + v(v-1) \frac{n!(n+1)!}{(n-v+1)!(n+v+1)!} x^{v-1} + O(n^{-2}). \quad (3.17)$$

Thus we have the following asymptotic formula for the operators  $B_n$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $f \in C[0, 1]$ . If  $f^{(r+2)}$  exists at a point  $x \in (0, 1)$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n[B_n^{(r)}(f, x) - f^{(r)}(x)] = x(1-x)f^{(r+2)}(x) + [r-2x(1+r)]f^{(r+1)}(x) - r(r+1)f^{(r)}(x). \quad (3.18)$$

The proof of [Theorem 3.1](#) is parallel to that of [Theorem 1.2](#); we just have to use the above estimates for the operators.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** The authors are extremely grateful to the referee for making valuable suggestions, leading to better presentation of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] U. Abel, *Asymptotic approximation by Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators and their derivatives*, Approx. Theory Appl. (N.S.) **16** (2000), no. 2, 1-12.
- [2] U. Abel and V. Gupta, *On the approximation of bounded variation functions by a variant of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators*, submitted to Math. Comput. Modelling.
- [3] M. M. Derriennic, *Sur l'approximation de fonctions intégrables sur  $[0, 1]$  par des polynômes de Bernstein modifiés*, J. Approx. Theory **31** (1981), no. 4, 325-343 (French).
- [4] V. Gupta and P. Maheshwari, *Bézier variant of a new Durrmeyer type operators*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (7) **2** (2003), 9-21.
- [5] G. G. Lorentz, *Bernstein Polynomials*, Mathematical Expositions, vol. 8, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.

Vijay Gupta: School of Applied Sciences, Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology, Azad Hind Fauj Marg, Sector 3, Dwarka, New Delhi-110045, India  
E-mail address: [vijay@nsit.ac.in](mailto:vijay@nsit.ac.in)

Nurhayat Ispir: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Gazi University, 06500 Teknikokullar, Ankara, Turkey  
E-mail address: [nispir@gazi.edu.tr](mailto:nispir@gazi.edu.tr)