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We give a necessary condition for a set in $L_{p}(\Omega)$ spaces $(1<p<\infty)$ to be self-extremal that partially extends our previous results to the case of $L_{p}$ spaces. Examples of self-extremal sets in $L_{p}(\Omega)(1<p<\infty)$ are also given.

In $[4,5]$, we introduced the notion of (self-) extremal sets of a Banach space $(X,\|\cdot\|)$. For a nonempty bounded subset $A$ of $X$, we denote by $d(A)$ its diameter and by $r(A)$ the relative Chebyshev radius of $A$ with respect to the closed convex hull $\overline{\operatorname{co}} A$ of $A$, that is, $r(A):=\inf _{y \in \overline{c o} A} \sup _{x \in A}\|x-y\|$. The self-Jung constant of $X$ is defined by $J_{s}(X):=$ $\sup \{r(A): A \subset X$, with $d(A)=1\}$. If in this definition we replace $r(A)$ by the relative Chebyshev radius $r_{X}(A)$ of $A$ with respect to the whole $X$, we get the Jung constant $J(X)$ of $X$. Recall that a bounded subset $A$ of $X$ consisting of at least two points is said to be extremal (resp., self-extremal) if $r_{X}(A)=J(X) d(A)$ (resp., $r(A)=J_{s}(X) d(A)$ ).

Throughout the note, unless otherwise mentioned, we will work with the following assumption: $(\Omega, \mu)$ is a $\sigma$-finite measure space such that $L_{p}(\Omega)$ is infinite-dimensional. The Jung and self-Jung constants of $L_{p}(\Omega)(1 \leq p<\infty)$ were determined in [1, 3, 6, 7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(L_{p}(\Omega)\right)=J_{s}\left(L_{p}(\Omega)\right)=\max \left\{2^{1 / p-1}, 2^{-1 / p}\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. If $1<p<\infty$ and $A$ is self-extremal in $L_{p}(\Omega)$, then $\kappa(A)=d(A)$.
Here $\kappa(A):=\inf \{\varepsilon>0: A$ can be covered by finitely many sets of diameter $\leq \varepsilon\}$-the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness of $A$ (for our convenience we use the notation $\kappa(A)$ in this note).

Before proving our theorem, we need the following results which for convenience we reformulate in the form of Lemmas 2 and 3.

Lemma 2 (see [1], Theorem 1.1). Let $X$ be a reflexive strictly convex Banach space and A a finite subset of $X$. Then there exists a subset $B \subset A$ such that
(i) $r(B) \geq r(A)$;
(ii) $\|x-b\|=r(B)$ for every $x \in B$, where $b$ is the relative Chebyshev center of $B$, that is, $b \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} B$ and $\sup _{x \in B}\|x-b\|=r(B)$.

Lemma 3 (see [8], Theorem 15.1). Let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure space, $1<p<\infty$, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ vectors in $L_{p}(\Omega)$, and $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ nonnegative numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}=1$. The following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}\left\|x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} x_{j}\right\|^{\alpha} \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} t_{i} t_{j}\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{\alpha}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha= \begin{cases}\frac{p}{p-1} & \text { if } 1<p<2,  \tag{3}\\ p & \text { if } p \geq 2 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $r(A)$ and $d(A)$ remain the same with replacing $A$ by $\overline{\operatorname{co}} A$, we may assume that $A$ is closed convex and $r(A)=1$. For each integer $n \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{x \in A} B\left(x, 1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \cap A=\varnothing \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(x, r)$ denotes the closed ball centered at $x$ with radius $r$ which is weakly compact since $L_{p}(\Omega)$ is reflexive. Hence there exist $x_{q_{n-1}+1}, x_{q_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, x_{q_{n}}$ in $A$ (with convention $\left.q_{1}=0\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{i=q_{n-1}+1}^{q_{n}} B\left(x_{i}, 1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \cap A=\varnothing . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $A_{n}:=\left\{x_{q_{n-1}+1}, x_{q_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, x_{q_{n}}\right\}$. By Lemma 2, there exists a subset $B_{n}=\left\{y_{s_{n-1}+1}\right.$, $\left.y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, y_{s_{n}}\right\}$ of $A_{n}$ satisfying properties (i)-(ii) of the lemma. Let us denote the relative Chebyshev center of $B_{n}$ by $b_{n}$, and let $r_{n}:=r\left(B_{n}\right)$. By what we said above, we have $r_{n}>1-1 / n$ and $\left\|y_{i}-b_{n}\right\|=r_{n}$ for every $i \in I_{n}:=\left\{s_{n-1}+1, s_{n-1}+2, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$. Since $B_{n}$ is a finite set, there exist non-negative numbers $t_{s_{n-1}+1}, t_{s_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, t_{s_{n}}$ with $\sum_{i \in I_{n}} t_{i}=1$ such that $b_{n}=\sum_{i \in I_{n}} t_{i} y_{i}$. Applying Lemma 3, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 r_{n}^{\alpha}=2 \sum_{i \in I_{n}} t_{i}\left\|y_{i}-\sum_{j \in I_{n}} t_{j} y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha} \leq \sum_{i, j \in I_{n}} t_{i} t_{j}\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is as in (3).
Setting $B_{\infty}:=\left\{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, y_{s_{n}}\right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$, we claim that $\kappa\left(B_{\infty}\right)=d(A)$. Evidently $\kappa\left(B_{\infty}\right) \leq$ $d(A)$ by definition. If $\mathcal{\kappa}\left(A_{\infty}\right)<d(A)$, so there exist $\varepsilon_{0} \in(0, d(A))$ satisfying $\kappa\left(B_{\infty}\right) \leq d(A)-$ $\varepsilon_{0}$, and subsets $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{m}$ of $L_{p}(\Omega)$ with $d\left(D_{i}\right) \leq d(A)-\varepsilon_{0}$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, m$
such that $B_{\infty} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} D_{i}$. Then one can find at least one set among $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{m}$, say $D_{1}$, with the property that there are infinitely many $n$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in J_{n}} t_{i} \geq \frac{1}{m}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}:=\left\{i \in I_{n}: y_{i} \in D_{1}\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1), it follows that $(d(A))^{\alpha}=\left(1 / J_{s}\left(L_{p}(\Omega)\right)\right)^{\alpha}=2$. In view of (6), we have, for all $n$ satisfying (7),

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \cdot r_{n}^{\alpha} & \leq \sum_{i, j \in I_{n}} t_{i} t_{j}\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha} \\
& \leq\left(d(A)-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot\left(\sum_{i, j \in J_{n}} t_{i} t_{j}\right)+(d(A))^{\alpha} \cdot\left(1-\sum_{i, j \in J_{n}} t_{i} t_{j}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& \leq 2-\left[(d(A))^{\alpha}-\left(d(A)-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{\alpha}\right] \cdot \frac{1}{m^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, obviously $1-1 / n<r_{n} \leq 1$, therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=1$. We get a contradiction with (9) since there are infinitely many $n$ satisfying (7).

One concludes that $\kappa\left(B_{\infty}\right)=d(A)$, and hence $\kappa(A)=d(A)$.
The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.
Observe that no relatively compact set $A$ in $L_{p}(\Omega)(1<p<\infty)$ is self-extremal by Theorem 1. Hence we obtain an immediate extension of Gulevich's result for $L_{p}(\Omega)$ spaces.

Corollary 4 (cf. [2]). Suppose that $1<p<\infty$ and that $A$ is a relatively compact set in $L_{p}(\Omega)$ with $d(A)>0$. Then $r(A)<(1 / \sqrt[\alpha]{2}) d(A)$, where $\alpha$ is as in (3).

The following theorem gives a necessary condition for a set in $L_{p}(\Omega)(1<p<\infty)$ to be self-extremal.

Theorem 5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for every $\varepsilon \in(0, d(A))$, every positive integer $m$, there exists an $m$-simplex $\Delta(\varepsilon, m)$ with vertices in $A$ such that each edge of $\Delta(\varepsilon, m)$ has length not less than $d(A)-\varepsilon$.

Proof. We will assume $A$ is closed convex and $r(A)=1$. From the proof of Theorem 1, we derived a sequence $\left\{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, y_{s_{n}}\right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ in $A$ and a sequence of positive numbers $\left\{t_{s_{n-1}+1}, t_{s_{n 1}+2}, \ldots, t_{s_{n}}\right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ (with convention $s_{1}=0$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot r_{n}^{\alpha} \leq \sum_{i, j \in I_{n}} t_{i} t_{j}\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha}, \quad \sum_{i \in I_{n}} t_{i}=1, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{n} \in(1-1 / n, 1], \alpha$ is as in (3), and $I_{n}:=\left\{s_{n-1}+1, s_{n-1}+2, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$.

We denote

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n j} & :=\sum_{i \in I_{n}} t_{i}\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha}, \\
S_{n} & :=\left\{j \in I_{n}: T_{n j} \geq 2 \cdot r_{n}^{\alpha} \cdot\left(1-\sqrt{1-r_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)\right\}, \\
S_{n}\left(y_{j}\right) & :=\left\{i \in I_{n}:\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha} \geq 2 \cdot\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right)\right\}, \quad j \in S_{n},  \tag{11}\\
\hat{S}_{n}\left(y_{j}\right) & :=\left\{y_{i}: i \in S_{n}\left(y_{j}\right)\right\}, \quad j \in S_{n}, \\
\lambda_{n} & :=\sum_{i \in I_{n} \backslash S_{n}} t_{i}=1-\sum_{i \in S_{n}} t_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

One can proceed furthermore as follows. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 r_{n}^{\alpha} & \leq \sum_{i, j \in I_{n}} t_{i} t_{j}\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha} \\
& =\sum_{j \in S_{n}} t_{j} \sum_{i \in I_{n}} t_{i}\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha}+\sum_{j \in I_{n} \backslash S_{n}} t_{j} \sum_{i \in I_{n}} t_{i}\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha} \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{j \in S_{n}} t_{j}+2 r_{n}^{\alpha}\left(1-\sqrt{1-r_{n}^{\alpha}}\right) \sum_{j \in I_{n} \backslash S_{n}} t_{j}  \tag{12}\\
& =2-2 \lambda_{n}\left(1-r_{n}^{\alpha}+r_{n}^{\alpha} \sqrt{1-r_{n}^{\alpha}}\right) \\
& \leq 2-2 \lambda_{n} \sqrt{1-r_{n}^{\alpha}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\lambda_{n} \leq \sqrt{1-r_{n}^{\alpha}} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{i \in S_{n}} t_{i}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right)=1$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 r_{n}^{\alpha} \leq \sum_{i, j \in I_{n}} t_{i} t_{j}\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{\alpha} \leq 2\left(1-\left(\sum_{i \in I_{n}} t_{i}^{2}\right)\right) \leq 2\left(1-t_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i \in I_{n}$. Therefore $t_{i} \leq \sqrt{1-r_{n}^{\alpha}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. One concludes that the cardinality $\left|S_{n}\right|$ of $S_{n}$ tends to $\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In a similar manner (cf. [5, the proof of Theorem 3.4]), for every $\varepsilon \in(0, d(A))$ and a given positive integer $m$, we choose $n$ sufficiently large satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{n}\right|>m, \quad \frac{2 \alpha m}{\sqrt[4]{n}}<1, \quad 2\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right) \geq(d(A)-\varepsilon)^{\alpha} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that for every $1 \leq k \leq m$ and every choice of $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k} \in S_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{\nu=1}^{k} \hat{S}_{n}\left(y_{i_{\nu}}\right) \neq \varnothing . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $m$ and $n$ as above and a fixed $j \in S_{n}$, setting $z_{1}:=y_{j}$, we take consecutively $z_{2} \in$ $\hat{S}_{n}\left(z_{1}\right), z_{3} \in \hat{S}_{n}\left(z_{1}\right) \cap \hat{S}_{n}\left(z_{2}\right), \ldots, z_{m+1} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \hat{S}_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)$. One sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|^{\alpha} \geq 2\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right) \geq(d(A)-\varepsilon)^{\alpha} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \neq j$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, m+1\}$, with $n$ sufficiently large. We obtain an $m$-simplex formed by $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{m+1}$, whose edges have length not less than $d(A)-\varepsilon$, as claimed.

The proof of Theorem 5 is complete.
Remark 6. (i) Since for $L_{p}(\Omega)$ spaces $J_{s}=J$, the extremal sets in $L_{p}(\Omega)$ are also self-extremal. Thus we obtain a similar result for extremal sets in $L_{p}(\Omega)$ via Theorem 5 above.
(ii) In particular, $\Omega=\mathbb{N}, \mu(A):=\operatorname{card}(A), A \subset \mathbb{N}$ leads to the $\ell_{p}$ space case [5, Theorem 3.4].

Example 7. (i) Let $p \geq 2$, consider a sequence $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ consisting of measurable subsets of $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mu\left(\Omega_{i}\right)<\infty, \quad i=1,2, \ldots ; \quad \Omega_{i} \cap \Omega_{j}=\varnothing \quad \forall i \neq j ; \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{i}=\Omega \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\chi_{\Omega_{i}}$ denote the characteristic function of $\Omega_{i}$, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \quad f_{i}:=\frac{\chi_{\Omega_{i}}}{\left[\mu\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right]^{1 / p}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can check easily that $r(A)=1, d(A)=2^{1 / p}$, hence $A$ is a self-extremal set in $L_{p}(\Omega)$.
(ii) In the case $1<p<2$, we set $B:=\left\{r_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, where $\left\{r_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of Rademacher functions in $L_{p}[0,1]$. If $r \in \operatorname{co}\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right\}$ and $k \geq n+1$, then it is easy to see that $d(B)=2^{1-1 / p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|r-r_{k}\right\|_{p}:=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|r-r_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p} \geq\left|\int_{0}^{1}\left(r-r_{k}\right) r_{k} d \mu\right|=1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $r(B)=1$. Thus $B$ is a self-extremal set in $L_{p}[0,1]$ with $1<p<2$. This is in contrast to the $\ell_{p}$ case [5], where we conjectured that there are no (self)-extremal sets in $\ell_{p}$ spaces with $1<p<2$.
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