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Let $\mathscr{S}^{*}(p, \alpha)$ be the class of functions $f(z)$ which are analytic and $p$-valently starlike of order $\alpha$ in the open unit disk $\mathbb{E}$. The object of the present paper is to derive an interesting condition for $f(z)$ to be in the class $\mathscr{S}^{*}(p, \alpha)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{A}(p)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad(p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{E}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C},|z|<1\}$. A function $f(z) \in \mathscr{A}(p)$ is said to be $p$-valently starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leqq \alpha<p)$ in $\mathbb{E}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathscr{S}^{*}(p, \alpha)$ the subclass of $\mathscr{A}(p)$ consisting of functions which are $p$-valently starlike of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{E}$. We only call a function $f(z) \in \mathscr{S}^{*}(p, 0)$ to be $p$-valently starlike in $\mathbb{E}$. Further, a function $f(z) \in \mathscr{A}(p)$ is said to be $p$-valently convex of order $\alpha(0 \leqq \alpha<p)$ in $\mathbb{E}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathscr{C}(p, \alpha)$ the subclass of $\mathscr{A}(p)$ consisting of all $p$-valently convex functions of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{E}$. We also call a function $f(z) \in \mathscr{C}(p, 0) p$-valently convex function. From the definition, it is trivial that if $f(z)$ is a $p$-valently convex function, then $z f^{\prime}(z)$ is $p$-valently starlike in $\mathbb{E}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. If $M(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+k}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(1 \leqq p$ and $1 \leqq k)$ and $N(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ are analytic in $\mathbb{E}$ and $N(z)$ satisfies $\operatorname{Re}\left(N(z) / z N^{\prime}(z)\right)>\delta(0 \leqq \delta<1 / p)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}(z)}{N^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\beta \quad \text { implies } \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{M(z)}{N(z)}\right)>\frac{2 \beta+k \delta}{2+k \delta} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. This lemma holds to be true for $N(z)$ which is multivalently starlike in $\mathbb{E}$.
We owe the above lemma to Ponnusamy and Karunakaran [1].
Lemma 2.3. Let $f(z) \in \mathscr{S}^{*}(p, 0)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F(z)}{p+1}=\int_{0}^{z} f(t) d t \in S^{*}(p+1,0) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this result can be found in [2].

## 3. Main result

Theorem 3.1. If $f(z) \in \mathscr{A}(p)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{(p)}(z)}{f^{(p-1)}(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha(0 \leqq \alpha<1)$, then $f(z) \in \mathscr{S}^{*}(p, \alpha+p-1)$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>\alpha+p-1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From assumption (3.1), $f^{(p-1)}(z)$ is univalently starlike of order $\alpha$ and it is trivial that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z f^{(p-1)}(z)-f^{(p-2)}(z)\right)^{\prime}=z f^{(p)}(z) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f^{(p-2)}(z)$ is starlike in $\mathbb{E}$ by Lemma 2.3. Therefore, applying Lemma 2.1 and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{(p-1)}(z)-f^{(p-2)}(z)}{f^{(p-2)}(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2.3, $f^{(p-2)}(z)$ is 2 -valently starlike in $\mathbb{E}$. Now then, it is trivial that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z f^{(p-2)}(z)-2 f^{(p-3)}(z)\right)^{\prime}=z f^{(p-1)}(z)-f^{(p-2)}(z) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from Lemma 2.1, 2-valently starlikeness of $f^{(p-2)}(z)$, and (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{(p-2)}(z)-2 f^{(p-3)}(z)}{f^{(p-3)}(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, it is trivial that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z f^{(p-3)}(z)-3 f^{(p-4)}(z)\right)^{\prime}=z f^{(p-2)}(z)-2 f^{(p-3)}(z) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and applying the same method and reason as above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{(p-3)}(z)-3 f^{(p-4)}(z)}{f^{(p-4)}(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{(p-3)}(z)$ is 3 -valently starlike in $\mathbb{E}$. Applying the mathematical induction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)-(p-1) f(z)}{f(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(z)$ is $p$-valently starlike in $\mathbb{E}$. This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>\alpha+p-1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $f(z) \in \mathscr{S}^{*}(p, \alpha+p-1)$.
Our main result shows the following.
Corollary 3.2. Let $f(z) \in \mathscr{A}(p), 2 \leqq p, 0 \leqq \alpha<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{(p)}(z)}{f^{(p-1)}(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and put $f(z)=z^{p-1} f_{1}(z)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(z)=z+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n-p+1} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f_{1}(z)$ is univalently starlike of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{E}$.
Proof. From the definition of $f_{1}(z)$ and Theorem 3.1, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=p-1+\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}>\alpha+p-1 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof.
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Corollary 3.3. Let $f(z) \in \mathscr{A}(p), 2 \leqq p, 0 \leqq \alpha<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{(p+1)}(z)}{f^{(p)}(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>\beta(\alpha)+p-1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\beta(\alpha)= \begin{cases}\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2^{2-2 \alpha}\left[1-2^{2 \alpha-1}\right]} & \text { if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}  \tag{3.16}\\ \frac{1}{2 \log 2} & \text { if } \alpha=\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from assumption (3.14), $g(z)$ is univalently convex of order $\alpha$, and therefore from Wilken-Feng result [3] and Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{(p)}(z)}{f^{(p-1)}(z)}>\beta(\alpha) \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>\beta(\alpha)+p-1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will give here an open problem.
Problem 3.4. Let $f(z) \in \mathscr{S}^{*}(p, \alpha)$ and $0 \leqq \alpha<p$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F(z)}{p+1}=\int_{0}^{z} f(t) d t \in S^{*}(p+1, \beta(p, \alpha)) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

What is the best $\beta(p, \alpha)$ ?
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