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It is our purpose in this paper to prove two convergents of viscosity approximation scheme to a common fixed point of a family of multivalued nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. Moreover, it is the unique solution in $F$ to a certain variational inequality, where $F:=\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$ stands for the common fixed-point set of the family of multivalued nonexpansive mapping $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a Banach space with dual $X^{*}$, and let $K$ be a nonempty subset of $X$. A gauge function is a continuous strictly increasing function $\varphi: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$such that $\varphi(0)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)=$ $\infty$. The duality mapping $J_{\varphi}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ associated with a gauge function $\varphi$ is defined by $J_{\varphi}(x):=\left\{f \in X^{*}:\langle x, f\rangle=\|x\|\|f\|,\|f\|=\varphi(\|x\|)\right\}, x \in X$, where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. In the particular case $\varphi(t)=t$, the duality map $J=J_{\varphi}$ is called the normalized duality map. We note that $J_{\varphi}(x)=(\varphi(\|x\|) /\|x\|) J(x)$. It is known that if $X$ is smooth, then $J_{\varphi}$ is single valued and norm to weak* continuous (see [1]). When $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$, then $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(x_{n} \rightharpoonup x, x_{n} \neg x\right)$ will denote strong (weak, weak ${ }^{*}$ ) convergence of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to $x$. $s$

Following Browder [2], we say that a Banach space $X$ has the weakly continuous duality mapping if there exists a gauge function $\varphi$ for which the duality map $J_{\varphi}$ is single valued and weak to weak* sequentially continuous, that is, if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ weakly convergent to a point $x$, then the sequence $\left\{J_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges weak ${ }^{*}$ to $J_{\varphi}(x)$. It is known that $l_{p}(1<$ $p<1)$ spaces have a weakly continuous duality mapping $J_{\varphi}$ with a gauge $\varphi(t)=t^{p-1}$. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(\tau) d \tau, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to see that $\Phi(t)$ is a convex function and $J_{\varphi}(x)=\partial \Phi(\|x\|)$, for $x \in X$, where $\partial$ denotes the subdifferential in the sense of convex analysis. We will denote by $2^{X}$ the family of all subsets of $X$, by $C B(X)$ the family of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of $X$, and by $C(X)$ the family of all nonempty compact subsets of $X$. A multivalued mapping $T: K \rightarrow 2^{X}$ is said to be nonexpansive (resp., contractive) if

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(T x, T y) \leq\|x-y\|, \quad x, y \in K \\
(\text { resp., } H(T x, T y) \leq k\|x-y\|, \quad \text { for some } k \in(0,1)) \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Hausdorff metric on $\mathrm{CB}(X)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(A, B):=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in A} \inf _{y \in B}\|x-y\|, \sup _{y \in B} \inf _{x \in A}\|x-y\|\right\}, \quad A, B \in \mathrm{CB}(X) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since Banach's contraction mapping principle was extended nicely to multivalued mappings by Nadler in 1969 (see [3]), many authors have studied the fixed-point theory for multivalued mappings.

In this paper, we construct two viscosity approximation sequences for a family of multivalued nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of Banach space $X$ and let $T_{n}: K \rightarrow C(K), n=1,2 \ldots$ be a family of multivalued nonexpansive mapping, $f: K \rightarrow K$ is a contraction mapping with constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Let $\alpha_{n} \in(0,1), \beta_{n} \in(0,1)$. For any given $x_{0} \in K$, let $y_{0} \in T_{0} x_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\alpha_{0} f\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right) y_{0} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Nadler Theorem (see [3]), we can choose $y_{1} \in T_{1} x_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{0}-y_{1}\right\| \leq H\left(T_{0} x_{0}, T_{1} x_{1}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inductively, we can get the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) y_{n}, \quad \forall n \in N, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for each $n \in N, y_{n} \in T_{n} x_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \leq H\left(T_{n+1} x_{n+1}, T_{n} x_{n}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we also have the following multivalued version of the modified Mann iteration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) y_{n} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $y_{n} \in T_{n} x_{n}$ such that $\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \leq H\left(T_{n+1} x_{n+1}, T_{n} x_{n}\right)$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to satisfy Condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) if for any subsequence $x_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup x$ and $d\left(x_{n+1}, T_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ implies that $x \in F$,
where $F:=\cap_{i=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$ is the common fixed-point set of the family of multivalued mapping $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$. We give an example of a family of multivalued nonexpansive mappings with Condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) as follows.

Example 1.1. Take $X=R$ and $T_{n}=T$ (for all $n \geq 0$ ), where $T$ is defined by

$$
T(x)= \begin{cases}\{0\}, & x \leq 1  \tag{1.9}\\ \left\{x-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-x\right\}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $f: R \rightarrow\{0\}$ and $\alpha_{n}=1 / n, n \geq 2$, then $F=\{0\}$ and the iteration (1.6), reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\frac{1}{n+2}\right) y_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{n} \in T x_{n}$, and it satisfies Condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ). In fact, if $x_{0} \leq 1$, then (for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n>0$ ) $x_{n}=$ 0 and Condition $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is automatically satisfied. If $x_{0}>1$, then there exists an integer $p \geq 2$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in\left(\frac{p(p+1)}{4}-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right], \quad x_{p-1}=\frac{1}{p}\left(x_{0}-\frac{p(p-1)}{4}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $y_{p} \in T x_{p-1}=\{0\}$; hence, $x_{n}=0$ (for all $n \geq p$ ), from which we deduce that Condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) is satisfied.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $K \subset X$ be a closed convex and $Q$ a mapping of $X$ onto $K$, then $Q$ is said to be sunny if $Q(Q(x)+t(x-Q(x)))=Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$. A mapping $Q$ of $X$ into $X$ is said to be a retraction if $Q^{2}=Q$. A subset $K$ of $X$ is said to be a sunny nonexpansive retract of $X$ if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction of $X$ onto $K$, and it is said to be a nonexpansive retract of $X$. If $X=H$, the metric projection $P$ is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $H$ to any closed convex subset of $H$. The following Lemmas will be useful in this paper.

Lemma 2.1 (see [4]). Let $K$ be a nonempty convex subset of a smooth Banach space $X$, let $J: X \rightarrow$ $X^{*}$ be the (normalized) duality mapping of $X$, and let $Q: X \rightarrow K$ be a retraction, then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\langle x-P x, j(y-P x)\rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in K$,
(2) $Q$ is both sunny and nonexpansive.

We note that Lemma 2.1 still holds if the normalized duality map J is replaced with the general duality map $J_{\varphi}$, where $\varphi$ is a gauge function.

Lemma 2.2 (see [5]). Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) a_{n}+\beta_{n}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ is a real number sequence such that
(i) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}=\infty$,
(ii) either $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\beta_{n} / \gamma_{n}\right) \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\beta_{n}\right|<\infty$,
then $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ converges to zero, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Lemma 2.3 (see [1]). Let $X$ be a real Banach space, then for all $x, y \in X$, one gets that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\|x+y\|) \leq \Phi(\|x\|)+\left\langle y, j_{\varphi}(x+y)\right\rangle, \quad \forall j_{\varphi} \in J_{\varphi} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4 (see [6]). Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=r_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-r_{n}\right) y_{n}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n}<1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\limsup \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|-\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \leq 0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0$.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space with weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J_{\varphi}$ for some gauge $\varphi$, let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$, and let $T_{n}: K \rightarrow C(K), n=$ $0,1,2 \ldots$, be a family of multivalued nonexpansive mappings with $F \neq \emptyset$ which is sunny nonexpansive retract of $K$ with $Q$ a nonexpansive retraction. Furthermore, $T_{n}(p)=\{p\}$ for any fixed-point $p \in F$, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by (1.6), and $\alpha_{n} \in(0,1)$ satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
(2) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
(3) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfies Condition $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed-point $\bar{x}=Q(f(\bar{x}))$ of a family $T_{n}, n=0,1,2 \ldots$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\bar{x}$ is the unique solution in $F$ to the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}(y-\bar{x})\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in F \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, we show the uniqueness of the solution to the variational inequality (3.1) in $X$. In fact, let $\bar{y} \in F$ be another solution of (3.1) in $F$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}(\bar{y}-\bar{x})\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad\left\langle f(\bar{y})-\bar{y}, j_{\varphi}(\bar{x}-\bar{y})\right\rangle \leq 0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \varphi(\|\bar{x}-\bar{y}\|)\|\bar{x}-\bar{y}\| \leq 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must have $\bar{x}=\bar{y}$ and the uniqueness is proved. Let $p \in F$, then, from iteration (1.6), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-\alpha_{n} f(p)-\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) p\right\|+\left\|\alpha_{n} f(p)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) p-p\right\| \\
& =\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(p)\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-p\right)\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\|  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq \alpha_{n} \alpha\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) H\left(T_{n} x_{n}, T_{n} p\right)+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\| \\
& \leq\left(1-(1-\alpha) \alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Using an induction, we obtain $\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{0}-p\right\|,(1 /(1-\alpha))\|f(p)-p\|\right\}$, for all integers $n$, thus, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and so are $\left\{T_{n} x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{n+1}, T_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|=\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X$ is reflexive and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, we may assume that $x_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup q$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle=\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n_{k}}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5) and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfying Condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ), we obtain that $q \in F$. On the other hand, we notice that the assumption that the duality mapping $J_{\varphi}$ is weakly continuous implies that $X$ is smooth; from Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle & =\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n_{k}}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}(q-\bar{x})\right\rangle  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\left\langle Q(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}(q-\bar{x})\right\rangle \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we will show that $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From iteration (1.6) and Lemma 2.3, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi\left(\left\|x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right\|\right) & \leq \Phi\left(\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(\bar{x})\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-\bar{x}\right)\right\|\right)+\alpha_{n}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq \Phi\left(\alpha_{n} \alpha\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) H\left(T_{n} x_{n}, T_{n} \bar{x}\right)\right)+\alpha_{n}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\alpha)\right) \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|\right)+\alpha_{n}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 gives that $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\bar{x}$ satisfying the variational inequality follows from the property of $Q$.

Let $f \equiv u \in K$ in iteration (1.6) be a constant mapping, then $\bar{x}=Q u$. In fact, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $T_{n}$ be as in Theorem 3.1, $f \equiv u \in K$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed-point $\bar{x}=Q(u)$ of a family $T_{n}, n=0,1,2 \ldots$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\bar{x}$ is the unique solution in $F$ to the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u-Q(u), j_{\varphi}(y-Q(u))\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in F . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X=H$, then the condition that $F$ is a sunny nonexpansive retract of $K$ in Theorem 3.1 is not necessary, and one has the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space with weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J_{\varphi}$ for some gauge $\varphi$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $T_{n}$ be as in Theorem 3.1, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed-point $\bar{x}=P_{F} f(\bar{x})$ of a family of $T_{n}, n=0,1,2 \ldots$, where $P_{F}$ is the metric projection from $K$ onto $F$.

Proof. It is well known that $H$ is reflexive; by Propositions 2.3 and 2.6(ii) of [7], we get that $F$ is closed and convex, and hence the projection mapping $P_{F}$ is sunny nonexpansive retraction mapping, and the result follows from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.4. Let $X$ be a real smooth Banach space, let $K$ be a nonempty compact subset of $X$, and let $T_{n}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be as in Theorem 3.1, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed-point $\bar{x}=Q(f(\bar{x}))$ of a family of $T_{n}, n=0,1,2 \ldots$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\bar{x}$ is the unique solution in $F$ to the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}(y-\bar{x})\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in F \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Following the method of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{n+1}, T_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|=\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K$ is compact and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, we can assume that there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{k}} \rightarrow q \in K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n_{k}}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.12) and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfying Condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ), we obtain that $q \in F$. On the other hand, from the fact that $X$ is smooth, the duality being norm to weak* continuous, and the standard characterization of retraction on $F$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n_{k}}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}(q-\bar{x})\right\rangle  \tag{3.15}\\
& =\left\langle Q(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}(q-\bar{x})\right\rangle \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Now, following the method of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get the required result.
Theorem 3.5. Let X be a reflexive Banach space with weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J_{\varphi}$ for some gauge $\varphi$, let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$, and let $T_{n}: K \rightarrow C(K), n=$ $0,1,2 \ldots$, be a family of multivalued nonexpansive mappings with $F \neq \emptyset$ which is sunny nonexpansive retract of $K$ with $Q$ a nonexpansive retraction. $H\left(T_{n+1} x, T_{n} y\right) \leq\|x-y\|$ for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, $T_{n}(p)=\{p\}$ for any fixed-point $p \in F .\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by (1.8) and $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n}=\infty$,
(iii) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n} \leq \limsup \operatorname{sum}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}<1$.

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfies Condition $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed-point $\bar{x}=Q(f(\bar{x}))$ of a family of $T_{n}, n=0,1,2 \ldots$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\bar{x}$ is the unique solution in $F$ to the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}(y-\bar{x})\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in F \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first show that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (1.8) is bounded. In fact, take $p \in F$, noting that $T_{n}(p)=\{p\}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| & =\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\| \\
& =\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-T_{n} p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) H\left(T_{n} x_{n}, T_{n} p\right)+\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}\left(\alpha\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\|f(p)-p\|\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+(\alpha-1) \beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}(1-\alpha) \frac{\|f(p)-p\|}{1-\alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{0}-p\right\|, \frac{\|f(p)-p\|}{1-\alpha}\right\} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

so are $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$. Thus, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n+1}, T_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{n}=\beta_{n} /\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)$ and $z_{n}=\lambda_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) y_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=0, \quad x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have for some appropriate constant $M>0$ that the following inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\| & =\left\|\lambda_{n+1} f\left(x_{n+1}\right)+\left(1-\lambda_{n+1}\right) y_{n+1}-\left(\lambda_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) y_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|+\lambda_{n}\left\|y_{n}\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}\left\|y_{n+1}\right\|  \tag{3.22}\\
& \leq\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+H\left(T_{n+1} x_{n+1}, T_{n} x_{n}\right)+\left(\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{n+1}\right) M \\
& \leq\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left(\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{n+1}\right) M
\end{align*}
$$

holds. Thus, $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\|-\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\right.$ $\left.\left(\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{n+1}\right) M\right)=0$. By Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0  \tag{3.23}\\
\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|+\lambda_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{n+1}, T_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \leq \beta_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.20) and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfying Condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ), we can use the same argumentation as Theorem 3.1 proves that $\bar{x} \in F$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we show that $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, from iteration (1.8) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi\left(\left\|x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right\|\right)= & \Phi\left(\left\|\beta_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) y_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|\right) \\
= & \Phi\left(\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-\bar{x}\right)+\beta_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(\bar{x})\right)+\beta_{n}(f(\bar{x})-\bar{x})\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \Phi\left(\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right)\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) H\left(T_{n} x_{n}, T_{n} \bar{x}\right)+\alpha \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|\right) \\
& +\beta_{n}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & {\left[1-(1-\alpha) \beta_{n}\right] \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|\right)+\beta_{n}\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle . } \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

From (ii) and (3.25), it then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-\alpha) \beta_{n}=\infty, \quad \limsup _{n} \frac{\left\langle f(\bar{x})-\bar{x}, j_{\varphi}\left(x_{n+1}-\bar{x}\right)\right\rangle}{1-\alpha} \leq 0 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apply Lemma 2.2 to conclude that $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$.
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