ON *Q*-ALGEBRAS

JOSEPH NEGGERS, SUN SHIN AHN, and HEE SIK KIM

(Received 29 January 2001)

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new notion, called a *Q*-algebra, which is a generalization of the idea of *BCH/BCI/BCK*-algebras and we generalize some theorems discussed in *BCI*-algebras. Moreover, we introduce the notion of "quadratic" *Q*-algebra, and show that every quadratic *Q*-algebra (X; *, e), $e \in X$, has a product of the form x * y = x - y + e, where $x, y \in X$ when X is a field with $|X| \ge 3$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35, 03G25.

1. Introduction. Imai and Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras: *BCK*algebras and *BCI*-algebras (see [4, 5]). It is known that the class of *BCK*-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. In [2, 3] Hu and Li introduced a wide class of abstract algebras: BCH-algebras. They have shown that the class of BCI-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCH-algebras. Neggers and Kim (see [8]) introduced the notion of *d*-algebras, that is, (I) x * x = e; (IX) e * x = e; (VI) x * y = e and $\gamma * x = e$ imply $x = \gamma$, which is another useful generalization of *BCK*-algebras, after which they investigated several relations between *d*-algebras and *BCK*-algebras, as well as other relations between *d*-algebras and oriented digraphs. At the same time, Jun, Roh, and Kim [6] introduced a new notion, called a *BH*-algebra, that is, (I) x * x = e; (II) x * e = x; (VI) x * y = e and y * x = e imply x = y, which is a generalization of BCH/BCI/BCK-algebras, and they showed that there is a maximal ideal in bounded BH-algebras. We introduce a new notion, called a Q-algebra, which is a generalization of BCH/BCI/BCK-algebras and generalize some theorems from the theory of BCIalgebras. Moreover, we introduce the notion of "quadratic" Q-algebra, and obtain the result that every quadratic *Q*-algebra $(X; *, e), e \in X$, is of the form x * y = x - y + e, where $x, y \in X$ and X is a field with $|X| \ge 3$, that is, the product is linear in a special way.

2. *Q***-algebras.** A *Q*-*algebra* is a nonempty set *X* with a constant 0 and a binary operation "*" satisfying axioms:

(I) x * x = 0,

(II) x * 0 = x,

(III) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y for all $x, y, z \in X$.

For brevity we also call *X* a *Q*-algebra. In *X* we can define a binary relation \leq by $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0. Recently, Ahn and Kim [1] introduced the notion of *QS*-algebras. A *Q*-algebra *X* is said to be a *QS*-algebra if it satisfies the additional relation:

(IV) (x * y) * (x * z) = z * y, for any $x, y, z \in X$.

JOSEPH NEGGERS ET AL.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of all integers and let $n\mathbb{Z} := \{nz \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(\mathbb{Z}; -, 0)$ and $(n\mathbb{Z}; -, 0)$ are *Q*-algebras, where "-" is the usual subtraction of integers.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0

Then (X; *, 0) is a *Q*-algebra, which is not a *BCH/BCI/BCK*-algebra.

Neggers and Kim [7] introduced the related notion of *B*-algebra, that is, algebras (X; *, 0) which satisfy (I) x * x = 0; (II) x * 0 = x; (V) (x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y)), for any $x, y, z \in X$. It is easy to see that *B*-algebras and *Q*-algebras are different notions. For example, Example 2.2 is a *Q*-algebra, but not a *B*-algebra, since $(3 * 2) * 1 = 0 \neq 3 = 3 * (1 * (0 * 2))$. Consider the following example. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	2	1	3	4	5
1	1	0	2	4	5	3
2	2	1	0	5	3	4
3	3	4	5	0	2	1
4	4	5	3	1	0	2
5	5	3	4	2	1	0

Then (*X*; *, 0) is a *B*-algebra (see [7]), but not a *Q*-algebra, since $(5 * 3) * 4 = 3 \neq 4 = (5 * 4) * 3$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If* (X; *, 0) *is a Q-algebra, then* (VII) (x * (x * y)) * y = 0, *for any* $x, y \in X$.

PROOF. By (I) and (III), (x * (x * y)) * y = (x * y) * (x * y) = 0.

We now investigate some relations between *Q*-algebras and *BCH*-algebras (also *BCK/BCI*-algebras). The following theorems are easily proven, and we omit their proofs.

THEOREM 2.4. Every BCH-algebra X is a Q-algebra. Every Q-algebra X satisfying condition (VI) is a BCH-algebra.

THEOREM 2.5. Every *Q*-algebra satisfying condition (IV) and (VI) is a BCI-algebra.

ON Q-ALGEBRAS

THEOREM 2.6. Every *Q*-algebra *X* satisfying conditions (V), (VI), and (VIII) (x * y) * x = 0 for any $x, y \in X$, is a BCK-algebra.

THEOREM 2.7. Every *Q*-algebra *X* satisfying x * (x * y) = x * y for all $x, y, z \in X$, is a trivial algebra.

PROOF. Putting x = y in the equation x * (x * y) = x * y, we obtain x * 0 = 0. By (II) x = 0. Hence *X* is a trivial algebra.

The following example shows that a *Q*-algebra may not satisfy the associative law. **EXAMPLE 2.8.** (a) Let $X := \{0, 1, 2\}$ with the table as follows:

*	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

Then *X* is a *Q*-algebra, but associativity does not hold, since $(0 * 1) * 2 = 0 \neq 1 = 0 * (1 * 2)$.

(b) Let \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{R} be the set of all integers and real numbers, respectively. Then $(\mathbb{Z}; -, 0)$ and $(\mathbb{R}; \div, 1)$ are nonassociative *Q*-algebras where "-" is the usual subtraction and " \div " is the usual division.

THEOREM 2.9. Every *Q*-algebra (X; *, 0) satisfying the associative law is a group under the operation "*".

PROOF. Putting x = y = z in the associative law (x * y) * z = x * (y * z) and using (I) and (II), we obtain 0 * x = x * 0 = x. This means that 0 is the zero element of *X*. By (I), every element *x* of *X* has as its inverse the element *x* itself. Therefore (X; *) is a group.

3. The *G*-part of *Q*-algebras. In this section, we investigate the properties of the *G*-part in *Q*-algebras.

LEMMA 3.1. If (X; *, 0) is a *Q*-algebra and a * b = a * c, $a, b, c \in X$, then 0 * b = 0 * c.

PROOF. By (I) and (II) (a * b) * a = (a * a) * b = 0 * b and (a * c) * a = (a * a) * c = 0 * c. Since a * b = a * c, 0 * b = 0 * c.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let (X; *, 0) be a *Q*-algebra. For any nonempty subset *S* of *X*, we define

$$G(S) := \{ x \in S \mid 0 * x = x \}.$$
(3.1)

In particular, if S = X then we say that G(X) is the *G*-part of *X*.

COROLLARY 3.3. A left cancellation law holds in G(X).

PROOF. Let $a, b, c \in G(X)$ with a * b = a * c. By Lemma 3.1, 0 * b = 0 * c. Since $b, c \in G(X)$, we obtain b = c.

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let (X; *, 0) be a *Q*-algebra. Then $x \in G(X)$ if and only if $0 * x \in G(X)$.

PROOF. If $x \in G(X)$, then 0 * x = x and 0 * (0 * x) = 0 * x. Hence $0 * x \in G(X)$.

Conversely, if $0 * x \in G(x)$, then 0 * (0 * x) = 0 * x. By applying Corollary 3.3, we obtain 0 * x = x. Therefore $x \in G(X)$.

For any *Q*-algebra (X; *, 0), the set

$$B(X) := \{ x \in X \mid 0 * x = 0 \}$$
(3.2)

is called the *p*-radical of *X*. If $B(X) = \{0\}$, then we say that *X* is a *p*-semisimple *Q*-algebra. The following property is obvious.

(IX) $G(X) \cap B(X) = \{0\}.$

PROPOSITION 3.5. *If* (X; *, 0) *is a Q-algebra and* $x, y \in X$ *, then*

$$y \in B(X) \iff (x * y) * x = 0.$$
 (3.3)

PROOF. By (I) and (III) (x * y) * x = (x * x) * y = 0 * y = 0 if and only if $y \in B(X \square$

DEFINITION 3.6. Let (X; *, 0) be a *Q*-algebra and $I(\neq \emptyset) \subseteq X$. The set *I* is called an *ideal* of *X* if for any $x, y, z \in X$,

(1) $0 \in I$,

(2) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

Obviously, {0} and *X* are ideals of *X*. We call {0} and *X* the *zero ideal* and the *trivial ideal* of *X*, respectively. An ideal *I* is said to be *proper* if $I \neq X$.

In Example 2.2 the set $I := \{0, 1, 2\}$ is an ideal of *X*.

PROPOSITION 3.7. Let (X; *, 0) be a *Q*-algebra. Then B(X) is an ideal of *X*.

PROOF. Since (0 * 0) * 0 = 0, by Proposition 3.5, $0 \in B(X)$. Let $x * y \in B(X)$ and $y \in B(X)$. Then by Proposition 3.5, ((x * y) * x) * (x * y) = 0. By (III), ((x * y) * (x * y)) * x = 0 * x = 0. Hence $x \in B(X)$. Therefore B(X) is an ideal of X.

PROPOSITION 3.8. If S is a subalgebra of a Q-algebra (X; *, 0), then $G(X) \cap S = G(S)$.

PROOF. It is obvious that $G(X) \cap S \subseteq G(S)$. If $x \in G(S)$, then 0 * x = x and $x \in S \subseteq X$. Then $x \in G(X)$ and so $x \in G(X) \cap S$, which proves the proposition.

THEOREM 3.9. Let (X; *, 0) be a *Q*-algebra. If G(X) = X, then *X* is *p*-semisimple.

PROOF. Assume that G(X) = X. By (X), $\{0\} = G(X) \cap B(X) = X \cap B(X) = B(X)$. Hence X is *p*-semisimple.

THEOREM 3.10. If (X; *, 0) is a Q-algebra of order 3, then $|G(X)| \neq 3$, that is, $G(X) \neq X$.

PROOF. For the sake of convenience, let $X = \{0, a, b\}$ be a *Q*-algebra. Assume that |G(X)| = 3, that is, G(X) = X. Then 0 * 0 = 0, 0 * a = a, and 0 * b = b. From x * x = 0 and x * 0 = x, it follows that a * a = 0, b * b = 0, a * 0 = a, and b * 0 = b. Now let a * b = 0. Then 0, *a*, and *b* are candidates of the computation. If b * a = 0, then

ON Q-ALGEBRAS

a * b = 0 = b * a and so (a * b) * a = (b * a) * a. By (III), (a * a) * b = (b * a) * a. Hence 0 * b = 0 * a. By the cancellation law in G(X), b = a, a contradiction. If b * a = a, then a = b * a = (0 * b) * a = (0 * a) * b = a * b = 0, a contradiction. For the case b * a = b, we have b = b * a = (0 * b) * a = (0 * a) * b = a * b = 0, which is also a contradiction. Next, if a * b = a, then $(a * (a * b)) * b = (a * a) * b = 0 * b = b \neq 0$. This leads to the conclusion that Proposition 2.3 does not hold, a contradiction. Finally, let a * b = b. If b * a = a, b = a * b = (0 * a) * b = (0 * b) * a = b * a = 0, a contradiction. If b * a = a, b = a * b = (0 * a) * b = (0 * b) * a = b * a = 0, a contradiction. For the case b * a = b, we have a = 0 * a = (b * b) * a = (b * a) * b = b * b = 0, which is again a contradiction. This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.11. If (X; *, 0) is a *Q*-algebra of order 2, then in every case the *G*-part G(X) of X is an ideal of X.

PROOF. Let |X| = 2. Then either $G(X) = \{0\}$ or G(X) = X. In either case, G(X) is an ideal of *X*.

THEOREM 3.12. Let (X; *, 0) be a *Q*-algebra of order 3. Then G(X) is an ideal of *X* if and only if |G(X)| = 1.

PROOF. Let $X := \{0, a, b\}$ be a *Q*-algebra. If |G(X)| = 1, then $G(X) = \{0\}$ is the trivial ideal of *X*.

Conversely, assume that G(X) is an ideal of X. By Theorem 3.10, we know that either |G(X)| = 1 or |G(X)| = 2. Suppose that |G(X)| = 2. Then either $G(X) = \{0, a\}$ or $G(X) = \{0, b\}$. If $G(X) = \{0, a\}$, then $b * a \notin G(X)$ because G(X) is an ideal of X. Hence b * a = b. Then a = 0 * a = (b * b) * a = (b * a) * b = b * b = 0, which is a contradiction. Similarly, $G(X) = \{0, b\}$ leads to a contradiction. Therefore $|G(X)| \neq 2$ and so |G(X)| = 1.

DEFINITION 3.13. An ideal *I* of a *Q*-algebra (*X*; *,0) is said to be *implicative* if $(x * y) * z \in I$ and $y * z \in I$, then $x * z \in I$, for any $x, y, z \in X$.

THEOREM 3.14. Let (X; *, 0) be a *Q*-algebra and let *I* be an implicative ideal of *X*. Then *I* contains the *G*-part G(X) of *X*.

PROOF. If $x \in G(X)$, then $(0 * x) * x = x * x = 0 \in I$ and $x * x = 0 \in I$. Since *I* is implicative, it follows that $x = 0 * x \in I$. Hence $G(X) \subseteq I$.

DEFINITION 3.15. Let *X* and *Y* be *Q*-algebras. A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is called a *homomorphism* if

$$f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$
(3.4)

A homomorphism f is called a *monomorphism* (resp., *epimorphism*) if it is injective (resp., surjective). A bijective homomorphism is called an *isomorphism*. Two Q-algebras X and Y are said to be *isomorphic*, written by $X \cong Y$, if there exists an isomorphism $f : X \to Y$. For any homomorphism $f : X \to Y$, the set $\{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}$ is called the *kernel* of f, denoted by Ker(f) and the set $\{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ is called the *image* of f, denoted by Im(f). We denote by Hom(X, Y) the set of all homomorphisms of Q-algebras from X to Y.

PROPOSITION 3.16. Suppose that $f : X \to X'$ is a homomorphism of *Q*-algebras. Then (1) f(0) = 0',

(2) *f* is isotone, that is, if x * y = 0, $x, y \in X$, then f(x) * f(y) = 0'.

PROOF. Since f(0) = f(0 * 0) = f(0) * f(0) = 0', (1) holds. If $x, y \in X$ and $x \le y$, that is, x * y = 0, then by (1), f(x) * f(y) = f(x * y) = f(0) = 0'. Hence $f(x) \le f(y)$, proving (2).

THEOREM 3.17. Let (X; *, 0) and (X; *', 0') be *Q*-algebras and let *B* be an ideal of *Y*. Then for any $f \in \text{Hom}(X, Y)$, $f^{-1}(B)$ is an ideal of *X*.

PROOF. By Proposition 3.16(1), $0 \in f^{-1}(B)$. Assume that $x * y \in f^{-1}(B)$ and $y \in f^{-1}(B)$. Then $f(x) * f(y) = f(x * y) \in B$. It follows from the fact that *B* is an ideal of *Y* that $f(x) \in B$, that is, $x \in f^{-1}(B)$. This means that $f^{-1}(B)$ is an ideal of *X*. The proof is complete.

Since $\{0'\}$ is an ideal of X', $\text{Ker}(f) = f^{-1}(\{0'\})$ for any $f \in \text{Hom}(X, Y)$. Hence we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.18. The kernel Ker(f) is an ideal of X.

4. The quadratic *Q*-algebras. Let *X* be a field with $|X| \ge 3$. An algebra (X; *) is said to be *quadratic* if x * y is defined by $x * y := a_1x^2 + a_2xy + a_3y^2 + a_4x + a_5y + a_6$, where $a_1, \ldots, a_6 \in X$, for any $x, y \in X$. A quadratic algebra (X; *) is said to be *quadratic Q*-algebra (resp., *QS*-algebra) if it satisfies conditions (I), (II), and (III) (resp., (IV)).

THEOREM 4.1. Let X be a field with $|X| \ge 3$. Then every quadratic Q-algebra (X; *, e), $e \in X$, has the form x * y = x - y + e where $x, y \in X$.

PROOF. Define

$$x * y := Ax^{2} + Bxy + Cy^{2} + Dx + Ey + F.$$
(4.1)

Consider (I).

$$e = x * x = (A + B + C)x^{2} + (D + E)x + F.$$
(4.2)

Let x := 0 in (4.2). Then we obtain F = e. Hence (4.1) turns out to be

$$x * y = Ax^{2} + Bxy + Cy^{2} + Dx + Ey + e.$$
 (4.3)

If y := x in (4.3), then

$$e = x * x = (A + B + C)x^{2} + (D + E)x + e,$$
(4.4)

for any $x \in X$, and hence we obtain A + B + C = 0 = D + E, that is, E = -D and B = -A - C. Hence (4.3) turns out to be

$$x * y = (x - y)(Ax - Cy + D) + e.$$
(4.5)

Let y := e in (4.5). Then by (II) we have

$$x = x * e = (x - e)(Ax - Ce + D) + e,$$
(4.6)

754

that is, (Ax - Ce + D - 1)(x - e) = 0. Since *X* is a field, either x - e = 0 or Ax - Ce + D - 1 = 0. Since $|X| \ge 3$, we have Ax - Ce + D - 1 = 0, for any $x \ne e$ in *X*. This means that A = 0, 1 - D + Ce = 0. Thus (4.5) turns out to be

$$x * y = (x - y) + C(x - y)(e - y) + e.$$
(4.7)

To satisfy condition (III) we consider (x * y) * z and (x * z) * y.

$$(x * y) * z = (x * y - z) + C(x * y - z)(e - z) + e$$

= $(x - y - z) + C(x - y)(e - z) + 2e$
+ $C[(x - y) + C(x - y)(e - y) + (e - z)](e - z)$ (4.8)
= $(x - y - z) + C(x - y)(2e - y - z) + 2e$
+ $C^{2}(x - y)(e - y)(e - z) + C(e - z)^{2}$.

Interchange y with z in (4.8). Then

$$(x * z) * y = (x - z - y) + C(x - z)(2e - z - y) + 2e + C^{2}(x - z)(e - z)(e - y) + C(e - y)^{2}.$$
(4.9)

By (4.8) and (4.9) we obtain

$$0 = (x * y) * z - (x * z) * y = C^{2}(e - y)(e - z)(z - y).$$
(4.10)

Since *X* is a field with $|X| \ge 3$, we obtain C = 0. This means that every quadratic *Q*-algebra (*X*; *, *e*), has the form x * y = x - y + e where $x, y \in X$, completing the proof.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let \mathbb{R} be the set of all real numbers. Define $x * y := x - y + \sqrt{2}$. Then $(\mathbb{R}; *, \sqrt{2})$ is a quadratic *Q*-algebra.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Let $\mathcal{K} := GF(p^n)$ be a Galois field. Define x * y := x - y + e, $e \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $(\mathcal{K}; *, e)$ is a quadratic *Q*-algebra.

THEOREM 4.4. Let X be a field with $|X| \ge 3$. Then every quadratic Q-algebra on X is a (quadratic) QS-algebra.

PROOF. Let (X; *, e) be a quadratic *Q*-algebra. Then x * y = x - y + e for any $x, y \in X$, and hence

$$(x * y) * (x * z) = (x - y + e) * (x - z + e)$$

= (x - y + e) - (x - z + e) + e (4.11)
= z - y + e = z * y,

completing the proof.

REMARK 4.5. Usually a nonquadratic *Q*-algebra need not be a *QS*-algebra. See the following example.

EXAMPLE 4.6. Consider the *Q*-algebra (*X*; *,0) in Example 2.2. This algebra is not a *QS*-algebra, since $(3 * 1) * (3 * 2) = 3 \neq 0 = 2 * 1$.

COROLLARY 4.7. Let X be a field with $|X| \ge 3$. Then every quadratic Q-algebra on X is a BCI-algebra.

PROOF. It is an immediate consequences of Theorems 2.5 and 4.4. \Box

THEOREM 4.8. Let X be a field with $|X| \ge 3$. Then every quadratic Q-algebra (X; *, e) is *p*-semisimple. Furthermore, if char $(X) \ne 2$, then G(X) = B(X).

PROOF. Notice that $B(X) = \{x \in X \mid e * x = e\} = \{x \in X \mid e - x + e = e\} = \{x \in X \mid e - x = 0\} = \{e\}$, that is, (X; *, e) is *p*-semisimple. Also, if $char(X) \neq 2$, then 2 is invertible in *X* and $G(X) = \{x \in X \mid e * x = x\} = \{x \in X \mid e - x + e = x\} = \{x \in X \mid 2e = 2x\} = \{x \in X \mid e = x\} = \{e\}$. Of course, if char(X) = 2, then 2e = 2x = 0 for all $x \in X$, whence G(X) = X.

This shows that there is a large class of examples of p-semisimple QS-algebras obtained as quadratic Q-algebras.

THEOREM 4.9. Let X be a field with $|X| \ge 3$. Then every quadratic Q-algebra on X is isomorphic to every other such algebra defined on X.

PROOF. Let $x * y := x - y + e_1$ and $x *' y := x - y + e_2$, where $e_1, e_2 \in X$. Let $\pi(x) := x + (e_2 - e_1)$, for all $x \in X$. Then $\pi(x * y) = [(x - y) + e_1] + (e_2 - e_1) = (x - y) + e_2 = (x + (e_2 - e_1)) + (y + (e_2 - e_1)) + e_2 = \pi(x) *' \pi(y)$, whence the fact that $\pi^{-1}(x) = x + (e_1 - e_2)$ yields the conclusion that π is an isomorphism of *Q*-algebras.

THEOREM 4.10. Let X be a field with $|X| \ge 3$. Then every quadratic Q-algebra (X; *, e) determines the abelian group (X, +) via the definition x + y = x * (e - y).

PROOF. Note that x * (e - y) = x - (e - y) + e = x + y returns the additive operation of the field *X*, which is an abelian group.

Not every quadratic *Q*-algebra (*X*; *, *e*), $e \in X$, on a field *X* with $|X| \ge 3$ need be a *BCK*-algebra, since $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = e + (y - z) \neq e$ in general.

PROBLEM 4.11. Construct a cubic Q-algebra which is not quadratic. Verify that among such cubic Q-algebras there are examples which are not QS-algebras. Furthermore, the question whether there are non-p-semisimple cubic Q-algebras is also of interest.

References

- [1] S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim, On QS-algebras, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. 12 (1999), 33-41.
- Q. P. Hu and X. Li, On BCH-algebras, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 11 (1983), no. 2, part 2, 313–320. MR 86a:06016. Zbl 579.03047.
- [3] _____, *On proper BCH-algebras*, Math. Japon. **30** (1985), no. 4, 659–661. MR 87d:06042. Zbl 583.03050.
- [4] K. Iséki, On BCI-algebras, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 8 (1980), no. 1, 125–130. MR 81k:06018a. Zbl 0434.03049.

756

- K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. 23 (1978), no. 1, 1-26. MR 80a:03081. Zbl 385.03051.
- Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh, and H. S. Kim, On BH-algebras, Sci. Math. 1 (1998), no. 3, 347–354. MR 2000d:06026. Zbl 928.06013.
- [7] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, On B-algebras, in preparation.
- [8] _____, On d-algebras, Math. Slovaca 49 (1999), no. 1, 19–26. CMP 1 804 469.
 Zbl 943.06012.

JOSEPH NEGGERS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, TUSCALOOSA, AL 35487-0350, USA

E-mail address: jneggers@gp.as.ua.edu

SUN SHIN AHN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, DONGGUK UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 100-715, KOREA

E-mail address: sunshine@dgu.ac.kr

HEE SIK KIM: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANYANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 133-791, KOREA

E-mail address: heekim@hanyang.ac.kr