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An analytic function $f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots$, defined on the unit disk $\triangle=\{z:|z|<1\}$, is in the class $S_{p}$ if $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ is in the parabolic region $\operatorname{Re} w>|w-1|$. This class is closely related to the class of uniformly convex functions. Sufficient conditions for function to be in $S_{p}$ are obtained. In particular, we find condition on $\lambda$ such that the function $f(z)$, satisfying $(1-\alpha)(f(z) / z)^{\mu}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)(f(z) / z)^{\mu-1} \prec 1+\lambda z$, is in $S_{p}$.
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1. Introduction. Let $\mathscr{A}_{n}$ be the family of analytic functions $f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+$ $\cdots$ in the unit disk $\triangle=\{z:|z|<1\}$, and let $\mathscr{A}_{1}=\mathscr{A}$. For $0 \leq \alpha<1$, let $S^{*}(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ denote the subclasses of $\mathscr{A}$ of starlike functions and convex functions of order $\alpha$, respectively; for $\alpha=0, S^{*}(0)=S^{*}$, the class of starlike functions in $\triangle$. The function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is uniformly convex (starlike) if, for every circular arc $\gamma$ contained in $\triangle$ with center $\zeta \in \Delta$, the image arc $f(\gamma)$ is convex (starlike with respect to $f(\zeta)$ ). The class of all uniformly convex functions denoted by UCV was introduced by Goodman [1] in 1991. Rønning [5] and Ma and Minda [2] independently proved that $f \in \mathrm{UCV}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|, \quad z \in \triangle . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, Rønning [5] defined the class $S_{p}$ of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $z \in \triangle$. It can be observed that $f \in \operatorname{UCV}$ if and only if $z f^{\prime} \in S_{p}$. Let $\Omega=\{w:|w-1|<\operatorname{Re} w\}$. It follows that $f \in \mathrm{UCV}$ or $S_{p}$ are equivalent to saying that $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ or $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ are in $\Omega$, respectively. Note that $\Omega$ is a parabolic region symmetric with respect to the real axis and $(1 / 2,0)$ as its vertex. The function $k(z)$, with $k(0)=k^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z k^{\prime \prime}(z)}{k^{\prime}(z)}=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left[\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right]^{2}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an example of function in UCV.

Ponnusamy and Singh [4] obtained bounds on $\lambda$ such that the Alexander transform of $f \in \mathscr{A}$, satisfying $f^{\prime} \prec 1+\lambda z$, is uniformly convex. We extend their result in two directions. Specifically, we find condition on $\lambda$ such that the function $f(z)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1} \prec 1+\lambda z  \tag{1.4}\\
\left|\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<\lambda
\end{gather*}
$$

is in $S_{p}$.
Let $a>1 / 2$ and let $R_{a}=\min \{|w-a|:|w-1|=\operatorname{Re} w\}$. A simple computation gives

$$
R_{a}= \begin{cases}a-\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } \frac{1}{2}<a \leq \frac{3}{2}  \tag{1.5}\\ \sqrt{2 a-2} & \text { if } a \geq \frac{3}{2}\end{cases}
$$

Now, $D\left(a, R_{a}\right)=\left\{w:|w-a|<R_{a}\right\}$ is the largest disk centered at $a$ which lies inside $\Omega$. If we restrict the value of $a$ by $3 / 4<a<3$, then the disk will contain the point 1 .
Lemma 1.1 [6]. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$. If, for any $a, 3 / 4<a<3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a\right|<R_{a}, \quad z \in U \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in S_{p}$.
Also, we need the following result.
Lemma 1.2 [3]. Let $h(z)$ be convex and $\gamma \neq 0, \operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$. If $p(z)=a+p_{n} z^{n}+\cdots$, $n \geq 2$, is analytic in $\triangle$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z), \quad h(0)=p(0), \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec \frac{\gamma}{n} z^{-y / n} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) t^{y / n-1} d t . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Main results. We begin with proving the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\mu>0, \alpha \geq 0$, and $0 \leq \beta<1$. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda \leq \frac{\alpha(\mu+\alpha n)(a-\beta-|1-a|)}{\mu[1+(a-\beta) \alpha+|(a-1) \alpha+1|]+\alpha n} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $a>(1+\beta) / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1} \prec 1+\lambda z \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a\right| \leq \frac{\lambda[\mu+\alpha n+\mu|(a-1) \alpha+1|]+\alpha|1-a|(\mu+\alpha n)}{\alpha(\mu+\alpha n-\lambda \mu)} \leq a-\beta, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f \in S^{*}(\beta)$.

Proof. Define the functions $Q(z)$ and $w(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}, \quad w(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a, \quad z \in \triangle \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $Q(z)$ and $w(z)$ are analytic in $\triangle$, and $w(0)=1-a$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1-\alpha) Q(z)+\alpha[w(z)+a] Q(z)=(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec 1+\lambda z \\
\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}+1=w(z)+a \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z Q^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\lambda z \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z) \prec 1+\frac{\lambda \mu}{\mu+\alpha n} z, \quad z \in \triangle \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \leq \frac{\alpha(\mu+\alpha n)(a-\beta-|1-a|)}{\mu[1+(a-\beta) \alpha+|(a-1) \alpha+1|]+\alpha n} \leq \frac{\mu+\alpha n}{\mu} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $a \geq(1+\beta) / 2$, we see that $\mu+\alpha n-\lambda \mu>0$.
Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a=w(z) \\
& \quad=\frac{[(1-\alpha) Q(z)+\alpha Q(z)(w(z)+a)-1]-(Q(z)-1)[(a-1) \alpha+1]+\alpha(1-a)}{\alpha Q(z)} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a\right| & \leq \frac{\lambda+(\lambda \mu /(\mu+\alpha n))|(a-1) \alpha+1|+\alpha|1-a|}{\alpha(1-\lambda \mu /(\mu+\alpha n))} \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda[\mu+\alpha n+\mu|(a-1) \alpha+1|]+\alpha|1-a|(\mu+\alpha n)}{\alpha(\mu+\alpha n-\lambda \mu)}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \leq a-\beta
\end{align*}
$$

provided condition (2.1) is satisfied. This shows that $\operatorname{Re} z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)>\beta$ and $f(z)$ is starlike of order $\beta$.

Note that to prove (2.10) it is enough to assume that $0<\lambda \leq(\mu+\alpha n) / \mu$.

COROLLARY 2.2. If $f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots$ is analytic in $\triangle$ and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}-1\right|<\lambda, \quad z \in \triangle \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for $a>1 / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a\right| \leq \frac{\lambda[\mu(a+1)+n]+(\mu+n)|1-a|}{\mu+n-\lambda \mu} \leq a \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $\mu>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda \leq \frac{(\mu+n)(a-|1-a|)}{\mu(1+2 a)+n} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\mu=1$, Corollary 2.2 reduces to the result by Ponnusamy and Singh [4].
Theorem 2.3. Let $\lambda$ be defined by

$$
\lambda= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha(\mu+\alpha n)(4 a-3)}{\mu[2+(2 a-1) \alpha+2|(a-1) \alpha+1|]+2 \alpha n} & \left(\frac{3}{4}<a \leq 1\right),  \tag{2.14}\\ \frac{\alpha(\mu+\alpha n)}{\mu[\alpha(4 a-1)+2]+2 \alpha n} & \left(1 \leq a \leq \frac{3}{2}\right), \\ \frac{\alpha(\mu+\alpha n)(1-a+\sqrt{2 a-2})}{\alpha n+\mu[2+\alpha(a-1)+\alpha \sqrt{2 a-2}]} & \left(\frac{3}{2} \leq a<3\right) .\end{cases}
$$

If $f \in \mathscr{A}_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1} \prec 1+\lambda z \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in S_{p}$.
It should be noted that if $3 / 4<a \leq 3 / 2$, then the condition on $\lambda$ in Theorem 2.3 reduces to the condition in Theorem 2.1. Hence, with the same condition as in Theorem 2.1 (with $\beta=1 / 2$ ), we get a stronger conclusion that $f \in S_{p}$.

Proof. We first verify that $\lambda$ defined in Theorem 2.3 satisfies the condition $0<$ $\lambda \leq(\mu+\alpha n) / \mu$. This condition is equivalent to

$$
0 \leq \begin{cases}\mu[\alpha(2 a-1)+1] & \left(1 \leq a \leq \frac{3}{2}\right),  \tag{2.16}\\ 2 \mu+\alpha n & \left(\frac{3}{4} \leq a \leq 1,(a-1) \alpha+1 \geq 0\right), \\ 2 \mu \alpha(1-a)+\alpha n & \left(\frac{3}{4} \leq a \leq 1,(a-1) \alpha+1 \leq 0\right), \\ \alpha n+2 \mu[\alpha(a-1)+1] & \left(\frac{3}{2} \leq a<3\right) .\end{cases}
$$

The above inequality is obviously correct. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a}=\frac{\lambda[\mu+\alpha n+\mu|(a-1) \alpha+1|]+\alpha|1-a|(\mu+\alpha n)}{\alpha(\mu+\alpha n-\lambda \mu)} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, a computation shows that

$$
R_{a}= \begin{cases}a-\frac{1}{2} & \left(\frac{3}{4}<a \leq \frac{3}{2}\right),  \tag{2.18}\\ \sqrt{2 a-2} & \left(\frac{3}{2} \leq a<3\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Then, from the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a\right| \leq R_{a} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 1.1, we have the desired result.
This result for $\mu=1$ and $\alpha=1$ is obtained in Ponnusamy and Singh [4].
Theorem 2.4. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, a>1 / 2$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda \leq \frac{|1+n \alpha|(\mu+n)(a-|1-a|)}{\mu(1+2 a)+n} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}\left\{\alpha(\mu-1) \frac{z\left[f^{\prime}(z)\right]^{2}}{f(z)}+\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+(1+(1-\mu) \alpha) f^{\prime}(z)\right\} \prec 1+\lambda z, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a\right| \leq \frac{\lambda_{1}[n+\mu(a+1)]+(\mu+n)|1-a|}{\mu\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right)+n} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=\lambda /|1+n \alpha|$.
Proof. Let $p(z)=f^{\prime}(z)(f(z) / z)^{\mu-1}, z \in \triangle$. Then, $p(z)$ is analytic in $\triangle$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z p^{\prime}(z)=\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}\left\{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+(\mu-1)\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right) f^{\prime}(z)\right\} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\lambda z, \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, by Lemma 1.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec 1+\frac{\lambda}{1+n \alpha} z \prec 1+\frac{\lambda}{|1+n \alpha|} z . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result now follows from Theorem 2.1 where $\lambda_{1}=\lambda /|1+n \alpha|$.
Corollary 2.5. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, a>1 / 2$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda \leq \frac{|1+n \alpha|(1+n)(a-|1-a|)}{1+2 a+n} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<\lambda, \quad z \in \triangle, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a\right| \leq \frac{\lambda_{1}[n+a+1]+(1+n)|1-a|}{1-\lambda_{1}+n}, \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=\lambda /|1+n \alpha|$.

The result follows from Theorem 2.4 when $a=n=1$ and is obtained in [4].
Theorem 2.6. Let $\lambda$ be defined by

$$
\lambda= \begin{cases}\frac{|1+n \alpha|(1+n)(4 a-3)}{4 a+2 n+1} & \left(\frac{3}{4}<a \leq 1\right),  \tag{2.29}\\ \frac{|1+n \alpha|(1+n)}{4 a+2 n+1} & \left(1<a \leq \frac{3}{2}\right), \\ \frac{|1+n \alpha|(1+n)(\sqrt{2 a-2}+1-a)}{n+1+a+\sqrt{2 a-2}} & \left(\frac{3}{2} \leq a \leq 3\right) .\end{cases}
$$

If $\left|\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<\lambda$, then $f \in S_{p}$.
Proof. From the definition of $\lambda$, it is clear that

$$
\frac{\lambda_{1}[n+a+1]+(1+n)|1-a|}{1-\lambda_{1}+n}= \begin{cases}a-\frac{1}{2} & \left(\frac{3}{4}<a \leq \frac{3}{2}\right),  \tag{2.30}\\ \sqrt{2 a-2} & \left(\frac{3}{2} \leq a<3\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=\lambda /|1+n \alpha|$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda \leq \frac{|1+n \alpha|(1+n)(a-|1-a|)}{2 a+n+1}, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

the result follows from Corollary 2.5.
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