# ON REPRESENTATIONS OF LIE ALGEBRAS OF A GENERALIZED TAVIS-CUMMINGS MODEL 

L. A. M. HANNA

Received 13 February 2002 and in revised form 9 July 2002

Consider the Lie algebras $L_{r, t}^{s}:\left[K_{1}, K_{2}\right]=s K_{3},\left[K_{3}, K_{1}\right]=r K_{1},\left[K_{3}, K_{2}\right]=$ $-r K_{2},\left[K_{3}, K_{4}\right]=0,\left[K_{4}, K_{1}\right]=-t K_{1}$, and $\left[K_{4}, K_{2}\right]=t K_{2}$, subject to the physical conditions, $K_{3}$ and $K_{4}$ are real diagonal operators representing energy, $K_{2}=K_{1}^{\dagger}$, and the Hamiltonian $H=\omega_{1} K_{3}+\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) K_{4}+$ $\lambda(t)\left(K_{1} e^{-i \phi}+K_{2} e^{i \phi}\right)$ is a Hermitian operator. Matrix representations are discussed and faithful representations of least degree for $L_{r, t}^{s}$ satisfying the physical requirements are given for appropriate values of $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

## 1. Introduction

Introducing an algebraic method to solve certain types of linear partial differential equations, Steinberg [6] exploited the Lie-algebraic decomposition formulas of Baker, Campbell, Hausdorff, and Zassenhaus (cf. [7]) and their matrix realization. A faithful matrix representation of low degree is required. In $[2,3,4]$, the faithful matrix representations of least degree were discussed for the Lie algebra $L_{r}^{s}$ generated by $K_{+}$, $K_{-}$, and $K_{0}$ satisfying the commutation relations: $\left[K_{0}, K_{ \pm}\right]= \pm r K_{ \pm}$and $\left[K_{+}, K_{-}\right]=s K_{0}$ subject to the physical properties $K_{-}=K_{+}^{\dagger}(\dagger$ for Hermitian conjugation), $K_{0}$ is a real diagonal operator, and ( $K_{+}+K_{-}$) is real. The Lie algebra $L_{r}^{s}$ was introduced as a generalization of the coupled quantized harmonic oscillators [5] namely, the model of light amplifier $L_{1}^{-2}$, and the model of two-level optical atom $L_{1}^{2}$, whose Hamiltonian model $H=K_{0}+\lambda\left(K_{+}+K_{-}\right), \lambda$ is the coupling parameter. Note that, $L_{2}^{1}$ is exactly the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$.

In this paper, $L_{r, t}^{s}$ is considered to be the Lie algebra generated by $K_{1}$, $K_{2}, K_{3}$, and $K_{4}$, satisfying the commutation relations: $\left[K_{1}, K_{2}\right]=s K_{3}$,

[^0]$\left[K_{3}, K_{1}\right]=r K_{1},\left[K_{3}, K_{2}\right]=-r K_{2},\left[K_{3}, K_{4}\right]=0,\left[K_{4}, K_{1}\right]=-t K_{1},\left[K_{4}, K_{2}\right]=$ $t K_{2}$, subject to the physical conditions, $K_{3}$ and $K_{4}$ are real diagonal operators representing energy, $K_{2}=K_{1}^{\dagger}$, and the Hamiltonian $H=\omega_{1} K_{3}+$ $\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) K_{4}+\lambda(t)\left(K_{1} e^{-i \phi}+K_{2} e^{i \phi}\right)$ is a Hermitian operator. The Lie algebra $L_{r, t}^{s}$ is introduced as a generalization of the Tavis-Cummings model namely, $L_{2,1}^{1}$ in [1]. Obviously, the subalgebra of $L_{r, t}^{s}$ generated by $K_{1}$, $K_{2}$, and $K_{3}$ in respective with $K_{+}, K_{-}$, and $K_{0}$ is a generalization of $L_{r}^{s}$, when dropping the physical condition ( $K_{+}+K_{-}$) must be real. That condition forced the representation matrices of $K_{+}$and $K_{-}$to be real, $[2,3,4]$. Faithful matrix representations of least degree are discussed for $L_{r, t}^{s}$ for appropriate values of $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Unless otherwise stated, $I_{m}$ is the identity matrix of degree $m, O$ is the zero matrix of appropriate size, $\mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $A=\left[a_{i j}\right], B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$, $C=\left[\delta_{i j} c_{i j}\right]$, and $D=\left[\delta_{i j} d_{i j}\right]$ are $n \times n$ real matrices, where the matrices $X=A+i B, Y=A^{T}-i B^{T}, C$, and $D$ are representation matrices for $K_{1}$, $K_{2}, K_{3}$, and $K_{4}$, respectively; $i=\sqrt{-1}$. All representations for $L_{r, t}^{s}$ under consideration are supposed to satisfy the above-mentioned physical requirements.

Lemma 1.1. The Lie algebra $L_{r, t}^{s}$ can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K_{1}, K_{2}\right]=s K_{3}, \quad\left[K_{3}, K_{1}\right]=r K_{1}, \quad\left[K_{4}, K_{1}\right]=-t K_{1}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{3}$ and $K_{4}$ are real diagonal operators and $K_{2}=K_{1}^{\dagger}$.
Proof. Indeed $-r K_{2}=-\left(r K_{1}\right)^{\dagger}=-\left[K_{3}, K_{1}\right]^{\dagger}=\left[K_{3}, K_{2}\right]$ and similarly, for the relation $\left[K_{4}, K_{2}\right]=t K_{2}$. Since $K_{3}$ and $K_{4}$ are diagonal, they commute. The Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian follows since $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \lambda(t) \in \mathbb{R}$.

As a necessity of Lemma 1.1 we have the following lemma.
Lemma 1.2. The matrices $A, B, C$, and $D$ satisfy the following:
(i) $\left[A, B^{T}\right]$ is a symmetric matrix,
(ii) $\left[A, A^{T}\right]+\left[B, B^{T}\right]=s C$,
(iii) $[C, A]=r A,[C, B]=r B$,
(iv) $[D, A]=-t A,[D, B]=-t B$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $L, M$, and $K$ be $n \times n$ matrices such that $[L, M]=a K, a \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{trace}(K)=0$.

Lemma 1.4. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sigma=(p q)$ be a transposition. The representation obtained by applying $\sigma$ to the rows as well as to the columns of $X, Y, C$, and $D$ is a conjugate representation for $L_{r, t}^{s}$ and satisfies the physical requirements.

Proof. Let $P$ be the elementary matrix obtained by applying $\sigma$ to the rows of $I_{n}$. Since $P=P^{-1}=P^{T}=P^{\dagger}$, then the proof of the lemma follows.

Since $[C, X]=r X$, then for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}\left(c_{i i}-c_{j j}-r\right)=0, \quad b_{i j}\left(c_{i i}-c_{j j}-r\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, from Lemma 1.2(iv),

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}\left(d_{i i}-d_{j j}+t\right)=0, \quad b_{i j}\left(d_{i i}-d_{j j}+t\right)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{i j} \neq 0$, then from (1.2) and (1.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i i}-c_{j j}=r, \quad d_{j j}-d_{i i}=t \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $[X, Y]=s C$, then for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s c_{i i}=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\left|x_{i l}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{l i}\right|^{2}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(a_{i l}^{2}-a_{l i}^{2}+b_{i l}^{2}-b_{l i}^{2}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.5. If $t^{2}+r^{2} \neq 0$, then
(1) $x_{i i}=0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(2) if $x_{i j} \neq 0$ then $x_{j i}=0$, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. If $r \neq 0$, then from (1.2) we have, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, that $x_{i i}=0$. Also, if $x_{i j} \neq 0$, then $c_{j j}-c_{i i}-r=-2 r$, thus $x_{j i}=0$. Similarly, when $t \neq 0$.

Lemma 1.6. If $s \neq 0$, then
(1) $\operatorname{trace}(C)=0$,
(2) if $x_{i j} \neq 0$ then, for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{1}{s}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\left|x_{i l}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{l i}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{j l}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{l j}\right|^{2}\right)\right] \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $[X, Y]=s C$ then from Lemma 1.3, trace $(C)=0$. The proof of (2), follows from (1.4) and (1.5).

We build the representation matrices starting with $C$.
Remark 1.7. Using Lemma 1.4, $C$ can be rearranged into $k$ diagonal blocks, the $i$ th diagonal block consists of the $k_{i}$ scalar matrices, $\left\{c_{i} I_{m_{i, 0}}\left(c_{i}-\right.\right.$ $r) I_{\left.m_{i, 1}, \ldots,\left[c_{i}-r\left(k_{i}-1\right)\right] I_{m_{i,\left(k_{i}-1\right)}}\right\} \text {, where } m_{i, j} \text { is the repetitions of }\left(c_{i}-r j\right) ~}^{\text {a }}$
in the diagonal of $C$; for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $j=0,1, \ldots, k_{i}-1$. Thus,

$$
\begin{gather*}
C=\operatorname{diag}\left\{c_{1} I_{m_{1,0}}\left(c_{1}-r\right) I_{m_{1,1}} \ldots,\left[c_{1}-r\left(k_{1}-1\right)\right] I_{m_{1,\left(k_{1}-1\right)}}, \ldots,\right. \\
c_{i} I_{m_{i, 0}}\left(c_{i}-r\right) I_{m_{i, 1}, \ldots,},\left[c_{i}-r\left(k_{i}-1\right)\right] I_{m_{i,\left(k_{i}-1\right)}, \ldots,},  \tag{1.7}\\
\left.c_{k} I_{m_{k, 0}}\left(c_{k}-r\right) I_{m_{k, 1}}, \ldots,\left[c_{k}-r\left(k_{k}-1\right)\right] I_{\left.m_{k,\left(k_{k}-1\right)}\right\}}\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{i} \neq c_{j}, \quad \text { whenever } i \neq j, \quad \text { for } i, j=1,2, \ldots, k,  \tag{1.8}\\
{\left[c_{i}-r j\right]-c_{i+1} \neq r, \quad \text { for } j=0, \ldots, k_{i}-1 ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1 .} \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $i$ th diagonal block of $C$ is called the $c_{i}$-block and $k_{i}$ is its length. Any diagonal entry $c$ of $C$ such that $c=c_{i}-r l$, for $l \geq 0$ then $0 \leq l \leq k_{i}-$ 1 for some $i=1, \ldots, k$, that is, $c$ belongs to the $c_{i}$-block. If $c_{i}-l_{1} r=c_{j}-$ $l_{2} r, 0 \leq l_{1} \leq k_{i}-1,0 \leq l_{2} \leq k_{j}-1$, then $c_{i}$ and $c_{j}$ are in the same block, violating (1.9).

We use the notations given in Remark 1.7.

## 2. Faithful representations for $L_{r, t}^{s}$ where $r s \neq 0$

Lemma 2.1. The matrices $A$ and $B$ can be partitioned into submatrices of the same size corresponding to those of $C$. The nonzero submatrices of $A$ and $B$ are all off-diagonal submatrices.

Proof. From (1.2), the diagonal submatrices of $A$ and $B$ are square zero submatrices of orders $m_{1,0}, \ldots, m_{k,\left(k_{k}-1\right)}$, in respective to those of $C$. Let $c_{i i}, c_{j j}$, and $c_{l l} ; i, j, l \in \mathbb{N}$, be from different diagonal submatrices of $C$, and suppose that $a_{i j} \neq 0$ and $a_{i l} \neq 0$, then from (1.2), $c_{l l}=c_{j j}$ contradicting (1.8). Similarly, if $a_{j i}$ and $a_{l i}$ are from different submatrices in $A$ they cannot be both nonzero. In view of (1.2), only the off-diagonal submatrices of $A$ may be nonzero. Thus we have, $A=\left[A_{i j}\right]$ where $A_{i j}=O$, for $j \neq i+1$. And similarly for $B$.

Lemma 2.2. For $k>1$, if $k_{i}=1$, for some $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, then $L_{r, t}^{s}$ has a representation of degree $n-m_{i, 0}$. Moreover, if the entries in the ith row and the $i$ th column of $X$ are all zeros, then $L_{r, t}^{s}$ has a representation of degree $n-1$.

Proof. We use Lemma 1.4 so that the $c_{i}$-block becomes the first block of the main diagonal of $C$. Since for all $j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m_{1,0},\left|c_{i i}-c_{j j}\right| \neq r$, otherwise $k_{i}>1$, then from (1.2) the representation is fully reducible since, $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & A^{\prime}\end{array}\right], B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & B^{\prime}\end{array}\right], C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}C_{1}^{\prime} & 0 \\ 0 & C_{2}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$, and $D=\left[\begin{array}{cc}D_{1}^{\prime} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{2}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$. The matrices
$X^{\prime}=A^{\prime}+i B^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}=X^{\prime \dagger}, C_{2}^{\prime}$, and $D_{2}^{\prime}$ are all of degree $n-m_{i, 0}$ and satisfy the lemma. Similar argument holds when the entries in the $i$ th row and the $i$ th column of $X$ are all zeros.

So, it can be assumed that if $k>1$ then $k_{i}>1 ; i=1, \ldots, k$. And for $X \neq$ $O$, if the entries of the $i$ th row of $X$ are all zeros, then those of the $i$ th column are not all zeros, and vice versa, in such cases, we get from (1.5) that $s c_{i i} \neq 0$.

Theorem 2.3. If $r s<0$, then $X=Y=C=O$.
Proof. If $k=1$ and $k_{1}=1$, then from (1.2) $X=Y=O$. If $X=O$, then from (1.5) $C=O$. Suppose that $X \neq O$, there are only two cases to consider namely, the case where $k=1$ and $k_{1}>1$, and the case where $k>1$. In both cases $k_{1}>1$, from Lemma 2.1 the first $m_{1,0}$ columns of $X$ are zero columns, and from Lemma 2.2 there must be an $x_{1, j} \neq 0$ for some $m_{1,0}<$ $j \leq\left(m_{1,0}+m_{1,1}\right)$. Thus from (1.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
s c_{11}=s c_{1}=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\left|x_{1 l}\right|^{2}-0\right)>0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha=m_{1,0}+m_{1,1}+\cdots+m_{1,\left(k_{1}-2\right)}$. If $k>1$, we get from (1.9), [ $c_{1}-r\left(k_{1}-\right.$ 1)] $-c_{2} \neq r$, thus from (1.2), the rows $\alpha+1, \alpha+2, \ldots, \alpha+m_{1,\left(k_{1}-1\right)}$ are zero rows of $X$. If $k=1$ and $k_{1}>1$, we get from Lemma 2.1 that the mentioned rows are zero rows of $X$, being the last rows of $X$. In both cases, from Lemma 2.2 there must be an $x_{i, \alpha+1} \neq 0$ for some $\left[\alpha-m_{1,\left(k_{1}-2\right)}\right]<i \leq \alpha$. From (1.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
s c_{\alpha+1, \alpha+1}=s\left[c_{1}-r\left(k_{1}-1\right)\right]=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(0-\left|x_{l, \alpha+1}\right|^{2}\right)<0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s>0$, then $c_{1}>0$ by (2.1), since $r<0$, then $\left[c_{1}-r\left(k_{1}-1\right)\right]>0$, violating (2.2). Similarly, if $s<0$, we get from (2.1), $\left[c_{1}-r\left(k_{1}-1\right)\right]<0$, violating (2.2).

We conclude this section by introducing the $2 \times 2$ representation matrices $X, Y, C$, and $D$ of $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$, and $K_{4}$, respectively, for $r s>0, t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a \pm i \sqrt{r s / 2-a^{2}} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad Y=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
a \mp i \sqrt{r s / 2-a^{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right],  \tag{2.3}\\
& C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r / 2 & 0 \\
0 & -r / 2
\end{array}\right], \quad D=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
b & 0 \\
0 & b+t
\end{array}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|a| \leq \sqrt{r s / 2}$ and for the linear independency of $C$ and $D$, take $b \neq-t / 2$. These representations are faithful. The $2 \times 2$ representation matrices $X, Y, C$, and $D$ generalize those given in [1].

Clearly, the vector space spanned by $X, Y$, and $C$ is $\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C})$, as a vector space. The representation matrices of $L_{r}^{S}$, in [2], are for the special cases, $a^{2}=r s / 2$.

## 3. Faithful representations for $L_{r, t}^{S}$ where $r s t=0$

The case where $r s \neq 0$ and $t=0$ was considered in the previous section. So, if $s \neq 0$ we only need to consider the case where $r=0$ and $t$ is any real number.

### 3.1. For $s \neq 0, r=0$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$

Since $r=0$ then any $c_{i}$-block of the matrix $C$ has length $k_{i}=1$. So, we have $C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1} I_{m_{1}}, \ldots, c_{k} I_{m_{k}}\right)$ where $c_{i} \neq c_{j}$ whenever $i \neq j ; i, j=1, \ldots, k$.

Remark 3.1. If $X$ commutes with $Y=X^{\dagger}$, then $X$ is a normal matrix, and there exists a unitary matrix $U$ such that $X=U^{\dagger} Z U$ for some complex diagonal matrix $Z$. If $U$ commutes with $C$ and $D$, then the diagonal matrices $Z, \bar{Z}, C$, and $D$ are representation matrices for $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$, and $K_{4}$, respectively, and satisfy the physical requirements. We take $U=I_{n}$ when $X$ is diagonal.

Lemma 3.2. If $C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1} I_{m_{1}}, \ldots, c_{k} I_{m_{k}}\right)$ for different $c_{i}$ 's, then the representation is fully reducible into representations of degrees $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$.

Proof. The matrix $D$ is diagonal and from (1.2), $x_{i j}=x_{j i}=y_{i j}=y_{j i}=0$, whenever $c_{i i} \neq c_{j j} ; i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $K=\left[K_{i j}\right]$ be a partitioned matrix which is normal whose diagonal blocks are $k$ square matrices. If $K_{i j}=O$ whenever $j \neq i+1$ (or $j \neq i-1$ ); $i, j=1, \ldots, k$. Then $K=O$.

Proof. Let $K=\left[k_{i j}\right]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix, then for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left|k_{i l}\right|^{2}=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left|k_{l i}\right|^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the diagonal blocks of $K$ be of degrees $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$, respectively. If $K_{i j}=O$ whenever $j \neq i+1 ; i, j=1, \ldots, k$, then the first $i_{1}$ rows of $K$ are zeros, thus from (3.1) the first $i_{1}$ columns of $K$ are zeros. Continuing like that in less
than $k$ steps, it can be shown that $K=O$. Hence the proof of the lemma follows.

Theorem 3.4. The matrix $C=O$, in any representation of $L_{0, t}^{s}$. If $s t \neq 0$, then $X=Y=O$.

Proof. Suppose $C \neq O$, we use Lemma 1.4 so that $c_{1} \neq 0$, from (1.5) and Lemma 3.2, $m_{1} s c_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}} s c_{i i}=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}}\left(\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{l i}\right|^{2}\right)=0$, but $m_{1} s c_{1} \neq 0$. Then $C=O$. Thus from Lemma 1.1, $X$ is a normal matrix. If $t \neq 0$, we use Lemma 1.4, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
D=\operatorname{diag}\{ & d_{1} I_{m_{1,0}^{\prime}}\left(d_{1}+t\right) I_{m_{1,1}^{\prime}}, \ldots,\left[d_{1}+t\left(k_{1}^{\prime}-1\right)\right] I_{m_{1,\left(k_{1}^{\prime}-1\right)}^{\prime}}, \ldots, d_{i} I_{m_{i, 0}^{\prime}} \\
& \left(d_{i}+t\right) I_{m_{i, 1}^{\prime}}, \ldots,\left[d_{i}+t\left(k_{i}^{\prime}-1\right)\right] I_{m_{i,\left(k_{i}^{\prime}-1\right)}^{\prime}}, \ldots, d_{k^{\prime}} I_{m_{k^{\prime}, 0}^{\prime}}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left.\left(d_{k^{\prime}}+t\right) I_{m_{k^{\prime}, 1}^{\prime}}, \ldots,\left[d_{k^{\prime}}+t\left(k_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}-1\right)\right] I_{m_{k^{\prime},\left(k_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}-1\right)}^{\prime}}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{i, j}^{\prime}$ is the repetitions of $\left(d_{i}+t j\right)$ in the diagonal of $D$; for $i=1, \ldots, k^{\prime}$ and $j=0, \ldots, k_{i}^{\prime}-1$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{i} \neq d_{j}, \quad \text { whenever } i \neq j, \quad \text { for } i, j=1,2, \ldots, k^{\prime} \\
d_{i+1}-\left[d_{i}+t j\right] \neq t, \quad \text { for } j=0, \ldots, k_{i}^{\prime}-1 ; i=1,2, \ldots, k^{\prime}-1 \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (1.3), $X$ can be partitioned into submatrices of the same sizes corresponding to those of $D$, whose nonzero submatrices are off-diagonal submatrices. Then by Lemma 3.3 $X=Y=O$.

If $t=0$ then from Lemma 1.1, the generators commute and such a case can be considered as a special case of $L_{0,0}^{0}$ of Section 3.3, with $C=O$.

### 3.2. For $s=0$ and $r^{2}+t^{2} \neq 0$

From (1.5) as $s=0$, then (3.1) holds. If the $i$ th row (or column) of $X$ consists entirely of zeros, the $i$ th column (or row) also, consists entirely of zeros and both can be omitted by the following lemma whose proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.2. So, if $X \neq O$, it can be considered that $X$ has no zero row or zero column.

Lemma 3.5. If $X$ has $m$ zero rows (or columns), where $0 \leq m<n$, then $L_{r, t}^{s}$ has a representation of degree $n-m$.

Theorem 3.6. If $s=0$ and $r^{2}+t^{2} \neq 0, L_{r, t}^{s}$ has no faithful representations. In any representation, $X=Y=O$.

Proof. If $r \neq 0$, arrange $C$ as in Remark 1.7 otherwise, let $D$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.4. In view of Lemma 1.5, $X$ can be partitioned into submatrices of the same sizes corresponding to those of $C$ when $r \neq 0$ or to those of $D$ otherwise. The nonzero submatrices of $X$ are all off diagonal submatrices. As $s=0$ then $X$ is normal and from Lemma 3.3, we get $X=Y=O$.

### 3.3. For $s=r=t=0$

Although physically is not applicable, but for the sake of completeness, we consider the case when $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$, and $K_{4}$ are commutant operators.

Theorem 3.7. The representations of $L_{0,0}^{0}$ are conjugate to representations where $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$, and $K_{4}$ are represented by diagonal matrices.

Proof. Let $X=U^{\dagger} Z U$ for a unitary matrix $U$ and a complex diagonal matrix $Z$. We claim that $U$ commutes with $C$ and $D$, then the theorem holds by using Remark 3.1. We induce on $n$, the degree of the representation and prove the cases when $X$ is not diagonal.

For $n=2$ : if $X$ is not diagonal then from (1.4), both $C$ and $D$ are scalar matrices and both commute with $U$.

For $n=3$ : if the diagonal elements of $C$ (or $D$ ) are all different, then $X$ must be diagonal. If $X$ has two nonzero elements $x_{i j}$ and $x_{l m}$, from (1.4), both are nondiagonal elements where $x_{l m}$ is not the $x_{j i}$, then $C$ and $D$ are scalar matrices and both commute with $U$. Otherwise, we use Lemma 1.4, so that $X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}X^{\prime} & O \\ O & g\end{array}\right]$, thus from (1.2) and (1.3) $C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}{ }_{c}^{I_{2}} & O \\ O & a\end{array}\right]$ and $D=$ $\left[\begin{array}{cc}d I_{2} & O \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]$, for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} ; g \in \mathbb{C}$, where $X^{\prime}$ is not a diagonal matrix. That requires $X^{\prime}$ to be a normal matrix. So, there exists a unitary matrix $U^{\prime}$ such that $X^{\prime}=U^{\prime \dagger} M U^{\prime}$, for some complex diagonal matrix $M$. Obviously, $U^{\prime}$ commutes with $c I_{2}$ and $d I_{2}$. Let $U=\left[\begin{array}{cc}U^{\prime} & O \\ O & 1\end{array}\right]$, and $Z=\operatorname{diag}(M, g)$ then $U$ commutes with $C$ and $D$.

Assume that the theorem is true for $n<m$.
For $n=m$ : if both $C$ and $D$ are scalar matrices, then $U$ commutes with $C$ and $D$. If either $C$ or $D$ is not a scalar matrix, $C$ say, then we use Lemma 1.4 to rearrange $C$ so that $C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1} I_{m_{1}}, \ldots, c_{k} I_{m_{k}}\right)$ for different $c_{i}^{\prime} s$, from (1.2) $X=\operatorname{diag}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$ where $X_{i}$ is a square matrix of order $m_{i}<m$. Also, $D$ can be considered as $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right)$ where $D_{i}$ is a diagonal matrix of degree $m_{i}$. Hence, the representation is fully reducible into representations of degrees $m_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$. Since $X$ is normal then $X_{i}$ is normal for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Thus there exists a unitary matrix $U_{i}$ such that
$X_{i}=U_{i}^{\dagger} Z_{i} U_{i}$ for some complex diagonal matrix $Z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$. From the induction $U_{i}$ commutes with $c_{i} I_{m_{i}}$ and $D_{i}$. Let $U=\operatorname{diag}\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k}\right)$ and $Z=\operatorname{diag}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right)$, then $U$ commutes with $C$ and $D$.

Theorem 3.8. The Lie algebra $L_{0,0}^{0}$ has faithful representations of degree 4 as the least degree.

Proof. Any linearly independent diagonal matrices $Z, \bar{Z}, C$, and $D$, of degree 4 , with $C$ and $D$ are real, are representation matrices for $K_{1}, K_{2}$, $K_{3}$, and $K_{4}$, respectively, of a faithful representation.

We conclude the paper by mentioning the cases where $L_{r, t}^{s}$ has faithful matrix representations satisfying the physical requirements.

Summary 3.9. It is assumed that all representations of $L_{r, t}^{s}$ must satisfy the physical requirements.
(1) For $r s>0, t \in \mathbb{R}, L_{r, t}^{s}$ has faithful representations of degree 2 as the least degree.
(2) For $r=s=t=0, L_{0,0}^{0}$ has faithful representation of degree 4 as the least degree where the representation matrices are linearly independent diagonal matrices, with $C$ and $D$ are real matrices.
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