

Research Article

A Best Possible Double Inequality for Power Mean

Yong-Min Li,¹ Bo-Yong Long,² and Yu-Ming Chu¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Huzhou Teachers College, Huzhou 313000, China

² School of Mathematics Science, Anhui University, Hefei 230039, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yu-Ming Chu, chuyuming2005@yahoo.com.cn

Received 29 February 2012; Accepted 9 September 2012

Academic Editor: Huijun Gao

Copyright © 2012 Yong-Min Li et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We answer the question: for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$ and $p \neq -q$, what are the greatest value $\lambda = \lambda(p, q)$ and the least value $\mu = \mu(p, q)$, such that the double inequality $M_\lambda(a, b) < \sqrt{M_p(a, b)M_q(a, b)} < M_\mu(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$? Where $M_p(a, b)$ is the p th power mean of two positive numbers a and b .

1. Introduction

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the p th power mean $M_p(a, b)$ of two positive numbers a and b is defined by

$$M_p(a, b) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2} \right)^{1/p}, & p \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{ab}, & p = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

It is well known that $M_p(a, b)$ is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. Many classical means are special case of the power mean, for example, $M_{-1}(a, b) = H(a, b) = 2ab/(a + b)$, $M_0(a, b) = G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$, and $M_1(a, b) = A(a, b) = (a + b)/2$ are the harmonic, geometric, and arithmetic means of a and b , respectively. Recently, the power mean has been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities and properties for the power mean can be found in literature [1–15].

Let $L(a, b) = (a - b)/(\log a - \log b)$ and $I(a, b) = 1/e(a^a/b^b)^{1/(a-b)}$ be the logarithmic and identric means of two positive numbers a and b with $a \neq b$, respectively. Then it is well known that

$$H(a, b) = M_{-1}(a, b) < G(a, b) = M_0(a, b) < L(a, b) < I(a, b) < A(a, b) = M_1(a, b) \quad (1.2)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

In [16–22], the authors presented the sharp power mean bounds for $L, I, (IL)^{1/2}$, and $(L + I)/2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_0(a, b) < L(a, b) < M_{1/3}(a, b), \quad M_{2/3}(a, b) < I(a, b) < M_{\log 2}(a, b), \\ M_0(a, b) < \sqrt{L(a, b)I(a, b)} < M_{1/2}(a, b), \quad \frac{1}{2}(L(a, b) + I(a, b)) < M_{1/2}(a, b) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Alzer and Qiu [12] proved that the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2}(L(a, b) + I(a, b)) > M_p(a, b) \quad (1.4)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq \log 2/(1 + \log 2) = 0.40938\dots$

The following sharp bounds for the sum $\alpha A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)L(a, b)$, and the products $A^\alpha(a, b)L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)$ and $G^\alpha(a, b)L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)$ in terms of power means were proved in [5, 8] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\log 2/(\log 2 - \log \alpha)}(a, b) < \alpha A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)L(a, b) < M_{(1+2\alpha)/3}(a, b), \\ M_0(a, b) < A^\alpha(a, b)L^{1-\alpha}(a, b) < M_{(1+2\alpha)/3}(a, b), \\ M_0(a, b) < G^\alpha(a, b)L^{1-\alpha}(a, b) < M_{(1-\alpha)/3}(a, b) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

In [2, 7], the authors answered the question: for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, what are the greatest values $p_1 = p_1(\alpha)$, $p_2 = p_2(\alpha)$, $p_3 = p_3(\alpha)$, and $p_4 = p_4(\alpha)$, and the least values $q_1 = q_1(\alpha)$, $q_2 = q_2(\alpha)$, $q_3 = q_3(\alpha)$, and $q_4 = q_4(\alpha)$, such that the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} M_{p_1}(a, b) < P^\alpha(a, b)L^{1-\alpha}(a, b) < M_{q_1}(a, b), \\ M_{p_2}(a, b) < A^\alpha(a, b)G^{1-\alpha}(a, b) < M_{q_2}(a, b), \\ M_{p_3}(a, b) < G^\alpha(a, b)H^{1-\alpha}(a, b) < M_{q_3}(a, b), \\ M_{p_4}(a, b) < A^\alpha(a, b)H^{1-\alpha}(a, b) < M_{q_4}(a, b) \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$?

In [4], the authors presented the greatest value $p = p(\alpha, \beta)$ and the least value $q = q(\alpha, \beta)$ such that the double inequality

$$M_p(a, b) < A^\alpha(a, b)G^\beta(a, b)H^{1-\alpha-\beta}(a, b) < M_q(a, b) \quad (1.7)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$.

It is the aim of this paper to answer the question: for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$ and $p \neq -q$, what are the greatest value $\lambda = \lambda(p, q)$ and the least value $\mu = \mu(p, q)$, such that the double inequality

$$M_\lambda(a, b) < \sqrt{M_p(a, b)M_q(a, b)} < M_\mu(a, b) \quad (1.8)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$?

2. Main Result

In order to establish our main result, we need a lemma which we present in this section.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p, q \neq 0$, $p \neq q$ and $x > 1$. Then*

$$M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1) < M_{(p+q)/2}^2(x, 1) \quad (2.1)$$

for $p + q > 0$, and

$$M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1) > M_{(p+q)/2}^2(x, 1) \quad (2.2)$$

for $p + q < 0$.

Proof. From (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \log[M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)] - \log M_{(p+q)/2}^2(x, 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^p}{2} + \frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^q}{2} - \frac{4}{p+q} \log \frac{1+x^{(p+q)/2}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^p}{2} + \frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^q}{2} - \frac{4}{p+q} \log \frac{1+x^{(p+q)/2}}{2}, \quad (2.4)$$

then simple computations lead to

$$f(1) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$f'(x) = \frac{(1-x^{(p+q)/2})(x^{p/2}-x^{q/2})^2}{x(1+x^p)(1+x^q)(1+x^{(p+q)/2})}. \quad (2.6)$$

Equation (2.6) implies that

$$f'(x) < 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for $p + q > 0$, and

$$f'(x) > 0 \quad (2.8)$$

for $p + q < 0$.

Therefore, inequality (2.1) follows from (2.3)–(2.5) and inequality (2.7), and inequality (2.2) follows from (2.3)–(2.5) and inequality (2.8).

Let

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p = q\}, & E'_0 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p = -q\}, \\ E_1 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p, q > 0, p > q\}, & E'_1 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p, q > 0, p < q\}, \\ E_2 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p, q < 0, p > q\}, & E'_2 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p, q < 0, p < q\}, \\ E_3 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p > 0, q = 0\}, & E'_3 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p = 0, q > 0\}, \\ E_4 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p > 0, q < 0, p + q > 0\}, & E'_4 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p < 0, q > 0, p + q > 0\}, \\ E_5 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p = 0, q < 0\}, & E'_5 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p < 0, q = 0\}, \\ E_6 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p > 0, q < 0, p + q < 0\}, & E'_6 &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p < 0, q > 0, p + q < 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Then we clearly see that $\mathbb{R}^2 = \bigcup_{i=0}^6 E_i \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^6 E'_i$, and it is not difficult to verify that the identity $\sqrt{M_p(a, b)M_q(a, b)} = M_{(p+q)/2}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b > 0$ if $(p, q) \in E_0 \cup E'_0$. Let

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{2pq}{(p+q)}, & (p, q) \in E_1 \cup E'_1, \\ \frac{(p+q)}{2}, & (p, q) \in E_2 \cup E'_2 \cup E_5 \cup E'_5 \cup E_6 \cup E'_6, \\ 0, & (p, q) \in E_3 \cup E'_3 \cup E_4 \cup E'_4, \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\mu = \begin{cases} \frac{2pq}{(p+q)}, & (p, q) \in E_2 \cup E'_2, \\ \frac{(p+q)}{2}, & (p, q) \in E_1 \cup E'_1 \cup E_3 \cup E'_3 \cup E_4 \cup E'_4, \\ 0, & (p, q) \in E_5 \cup E'_5 \cup E_6 \cup E'_6. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Then we have Theorem 2.2 as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *The double inequality*

$$M_\lambda(a, b) < \sqrt{M_p(a, b)M_q(a, b)} < M_\mu(a, b) \quad (2.11)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, and $M_\lambda(a, b)$ and $M_\mu(a, b)$ are the best possible lower and upper power mean bounds for the geometric mean of $M_p(a, b)$ and $M_q(a, b)$.

Proof. From (1.1), we clearly see that $M_p(a, b)$ is symmetric and homogenous of degree 1. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b = 1$, $a = x > 1$ and $p > q$. We divide the proof of inequality (2.11) into three cases.

Case 1. $(p, q) \in E_1 \cup E_2$. Then from Lemma 2.1, we clearly see that

$$\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} < M_{(p+q)/2}(x, 1) \quad (2.12)$$

for $(p, q) \in E_1$, and

$$\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} > M_{(p+q)/2}(x, 1) \quad (2.13)$$

for $(p, q) \in E_2$.

From (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \log[M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)] - \log M_{2pq/(p+q)}^2(x, 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^p}{2} + \frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^q}{2} - \frac{p+q}{pq} \log \frac{1+x^{2pq/(p+q)}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Let

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^p}{2} + \frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^q}{2} - \frac{p+q}{pq} \log \frac{1+x^{2pq/(p+q)}}{2}, \quad (2.15)$$

then simple computations lead to

$$F(1) = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

$$F'(x) = \frac{x^q G(x)}{x(1+x^p)(1+x^q)(1+x^{2pq/(p+q)}),} \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$G(x) = x^{p-q} - x^{(2pq+p^2-q^2)/(p+q)} + 2x^p - x^{2pq/(p+q)} - 2x^{q(p-q)/(p+q)} + 1, \quad (2.18)$$

$$G(1) = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

$$G'(x) = x^{(pq-q^2-p-q)/(p+q)} H(x), \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$H(x) = (p-q)x^{p(p-q)/(p+q)} - \frac{2pq + p^2 - q^2}{p+q}x^p + 2px^{(p^2+q^2)/(p+q)} - \frac{2pq}{p+q}x^q - \frac{2q(p-q)}{p+q}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$H(1) = \frac{2(p-q)^2}{p+q}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$H'(x) = \frac{p}{p+q}x^{p-1}I(x), \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$I(x) = (p-q)^2x^{-2pq/(p+q)} + 2(p^2+q^2)x^{-q(p-q)/(p+q)} - 2q^2x^{-(p-q)} - 2pq - p^2 + q^2, \quad (2.24)$$

$$I(1) = 2(p-q)^2, \quad (2.25)$$

$$I'(x) = \frac{2q(p-q)}{p+q}x^{(q^2-pq-p-q)/(p+q)}J(x), \quad (2.26)$$

where

$$J(x) = -p(p-q)x^{-q} + q(p+q)x^{-p(p-q)/(p+q)} - p^2 - q^2, \quad (2.27)$$

$$J(1) = -2p(p-q), \quad (2.28)$$

$$J'(x) = pq(p-q)x^{-q-1}\left(1 - x^{(q^2-p^2+2pq)/(p+q)}\right). \quad (2.29)$$

If $(p, q) \in E_1$, then (2.15), (2.18), (2.21), (2.22), (2.24), (2.25), (2.27), and (2.28) lead to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x) = 0, \quad (2.30)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} G(x) = -\infty, \quad (2.31)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} H(x) = -\infty, \quad (2.32)$$

$$H(1) > 0, \quad (2.33)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} I(x) = -2pq - p^2 + q^2 < 0, \quad (2.34)$$

$$I(1) > 0, \quad (2.35)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} J(x) = -(p^2 + q^2) < 0, \quad (2.36)$$

$$J(1) < 0. \quad (2.37)$$

We divide the discussion into two subcases.

Subcase 1.1. $(p, q) \in E_1$. Then (2.26) and (2.29) together with inequalities (2.36) and (2.37) imply that $I(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $[1, +\infty)$. In fact, if $(q^2 - p^2 + 2pq)/(p + q) \geq 0$, then (2.29) and inequality (2.37) imply that $J(x) < 0$ for $x \in [1, +\infty)$. If $(q^2 - p^2 + 2pq)/(p + q) < 0$, then (2.29) and inequality (2.36) lead to the conclusion that $J(x) < 0$ for $x \in [1, +\infty)$.

From inequalities (2.34) and (2.35) together with the monotonicity of $I(x)$, we know that there exists $\lambda_1 > 1$ such that $I(x) > 0$ for $x \in [1, \lambda_1)$ and $I(x) < 0$ for $x \in (\lambda_1, +\infty)$. Then (2.23) leads to the conclusion that $H(x)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, \lambda_1]$ and strictly decreasing in $[\lambda_1, +\infty)$.

It follows from (2.32) and (2.33) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $H(x)$ that there exists $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1 > 1$ such that $H(x) > 0$ for $[1, \lambda_2]$ and $H(x) < 0$ for $(\lambda_2, +\infty)$. Then (2.20) leads to the conclusion that $G(x)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, \lambda_2]$ and strictly decreasing in $[\lambda_2, +\infty)$.

From (2.17), (2.19) and (2.31) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $G(x)$, we clearly see that there exists $\lambda_3 > \lambda_2 > 1$ such that $F(x)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, \lambda_3]$ and strictly decreasing in $[\lambda_3, +\infty)$.

Therefore, $\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} > M_{2pq/(p+q)}(x, 1)$ follows from (2.14)–(2.16) and (2.30) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $F(x)$.

Subcase 1.2. $(p, q) \in E_2$. Then (2.30) and (2.35) again hold, and (2.18), (2.21), (2.22), and (2.28) lead to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} G(x) = +\infty, \quad (2.38)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} H(x) = +\infty, \quad (2.39)$$

$$H(1) < 0, \quad (2.40)$$

$$J(1) > 0. \quad (2.41)$$

It follows from (2.29) and inequalities $(q^2 - p^2 + 2pq)/(p + q) < 0$ and (2.41) that $J(x) > 0$ for $x \in [1, +\infty)$. Then (2.26) and inequality (2.35) lead to the conclusion that $I(x) > 0$ for $x \in [1, +\infty)$. Therefore, $H(x)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, +\infty)$ follows from (2.23).

It follows from (2.20) and (2.39) together with inequality (2.40) and the monotonicity of $H(x)$ that there exists $\mu_1 > 1$ such that $G(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $[1, \mu_1]$ and strictly increasing in $[\mu_1, +\infty)$.

From (2.17), (2.19) and (2.38) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $G(x)$, we clearly see that there exists $\mu_2 > \mu_1 > 1$ such that $F(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $[1, \mu_2]$ and strictly increasing in $[\mu_2, +\infty)$.

Therefore, $\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} < M_{2pq/(p+q)}(x, 1)$ follows from (2.14)–(2.16) and (2.30) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $F(x)$.

Case 2. $(p, q) \in E_3 \cup E_5$. Clearly, we have $M_0(x, 1) < \sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)}$ for $(p, q) \in E_3$ and $M_0(x, 1) > \sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)}$ for $(p, q) \in E_5$. Therefore, we need only to prove that

$$\sqrt{M_0(x, 1)M_r(x, 1)} < M_{r/2}(x, 1) \quad (2.42)$$

for $r > 0$, and

$$\sqrt{M_0(x, 1)M_r(x, 1)} > M_{r/2}(x, 1) \quad (2.43)$$

for $r < 0$.

From (1.1), one has

$$\log[M_0(x, 1)M_r(x, 1)] - \log M_{r/2}^2(x, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \log x + \frac{1}{r} \log \frac{1+x^r}{2} - \frac{4}{r} \log \frac{1+x^{r/2}}{2}. \quad (2.44)$$

Let

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log x + \frac{1}{r} \log \frac{1+x^r}{2} - \frac{4}{r} \log \frac{1+x^{r/2}}{2}, \quad (2.45)$$

then simple computations lead to

$$f(1) = 0, \quad (2.46)$$

$$f'(x) = -\frac{(x^{r/2} - 1)^3}{2x(1+x^r)(1+x^{r/2})}. \quad (2.47)$$

If $r > 0$ (or $r < 0$, resp.), then (2.47) leads to the conclusion that $f(x)$ is strictly decreasing (or increasing, resp.) in $[1, +\infty)$. Therefore, inequalities (2.42) and (2.43) follow from (2.44)–(2.46) and the monotonicity of $f(x)$.

Case 3. $(p, q) \in E_4 \cup E_6$. Then from Lemma 2.1, we clearly see that $M_{(p+q)/2}(x, 1) > \sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)}$ for $(p, q) \in E_4$ and $\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} > M_{(p+q)/2}(x, 1)$ for $(p, q) \in E_6$. Therefore, we need only to prove that

$$\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} > M_0(x, 1) \quad (2.48)$$

for $(p, q) \in E_4$, and

$$\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} < M_0(x, 1) \quad (2.49)$$

for $(p, q) \in E_6$.

From (1.1), we get

$$\log[M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)] - \log M_0^2(x, 1) = \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^p}{2} + \frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^q}{2} - \log x. \quad (2.50)$$

Let

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^p}{2} + \frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^q}{2} - \log x, \quad (2.51)$$

then simple computations lead to

$$f(1) = 0, \quad (2.52)$$

$$f'(x) = \frac{x^{p+q} - 1}{x(1+x^p)(1+x^q)}. \quad (2.53)$$

If $(p, q) \in E_4$ (or E_6 , resp.), then (2.53) implies that $f(x)$ is strictly increasing (or decreasing, resp.) in $[1, +\infty)$. Therefore, inequalities (2.48) and (2.49) follow from (2.50)–(2.52) and the monotonicity of $f(x)$.

Next, we prove that $M_\lambda(a, b)$ and $M_\mu(a, b)$ are the best possible lower and upper power mean bounds for the geometric mean of $M_p(a, b)$ and $M_q(a, b)$. We divide the proof into six cases.

Case A. $(p, q) \in E_1$. Then for any $\epsilon \in (0, (p+q)/2)$ and $x > 0$, from (1.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & M_p(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1) - M_{(p+q)/2-\epsilon}^2(1+x, 1) \\ &= \left[\frac{1+(1+x)^p}{2} \right]^{1/p} \left[\frac{1+(1+x)^q}{2} \right]^{1/q} - \left[\frac{1+(1+x)^{(p+q)/2-\epsilon}}{2} \right]^{4/(p+q-2\epsilon)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M_{2pq/(p+q)+\epsilon}^2(x, 1)}{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} = 2^{\epsilon(p+q)^2/pq[2pq+\epsilon(p+q)]} > 1. \quad (2.55)$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ and making use of Taylor expansion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{1+(1+x)^p}{2} \right]^{1/p} \left[\frac{1+(1+x)^q}{2} \right]^{1/q} - \left[\frac{1+(1+x)^{(p+q)/2-\epsilon}}{2} \right]^{4/(p+q-2\epsilon)} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{4}x^2 + o(x^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

Equations (2.54) and (2.56) together with inequality (2.55) imply that for any $\epsilon \in (0, (p+q)/2)$, there exist $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\epsilon) > 0$ and $X_1 = X_1(p, q, \epsilon) > 1$ such that $\sqrt{M_p(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1)} > M_{(p+q)/2-\epsilon}(1+x, 1)$ for $x \in (0, \delta_1)$ and $\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} < M_{2pq/(p+q)+\epsilon}(x, 1)$ for $x \in (X_1, +\infty)$.

Case B. $(p, q) \in E_2$. Then for $\epsilon \in (0, -(p+q)/2)$ and $x > 0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, we have

$$M_{(p+q)/2+\epsilon}^2(1+x, 1) - M_p(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1) = \frac{\epsilon}{4}x^2 + o(x^2) \quad (x \rightarrow 0), \quad (2.57)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)}{M_{2pq/(p+q)-\epsilon}^2(x, 1)} = 2^{\epsilon(p+q)^2/pq[2pq-\epsilon(p+q)]} > 1. \quad (2.58)$$

Equation (2.57) and inequality (2.58) imply that for any $\epsilon \in (0, -(p+q)/2)$, there exist $\delta_2 = \delta_2(\epsilon) > 0$ and $X_2 = X_2(p, q, \epsilon) > 1$ such that $M_{(p+q)/2+\epsilon}(1+x, 1) > \sqrt{M_p(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1)}$ for $x \in (0, \delta_2)$ and $\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} > M_{2pq/(p+q)-\epsilon}(x, 1)$ for $x \in (X_2, +\infty)$.

Case C. $(p, q) \in E_3$. Then for $\epsilon \in (0, p/2)$ and $x > 0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, we have

$$M_p(1+x, 1)M_0(1+x, 1) - M_{p/2-\epsilon}^2(1+x, 1) = \frac{\epsilon}{4}x^2 + o(x^2) \quad (x \rightarrow 0),$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M_\epsilon^2(x, 1)}{M_p(x, 1)M_0(x, 1)} = +\infty. \quad (2.59)$$

Equation (2.59) leads to the conclusion that for any $\epsilon \in (0, p/2)$, there exist $\delta_3 = \delta_3(\epsilon) > 0$ and $X_3 = X_3(p, \epsilon) > 1$ such that $\sqrt{M_p(1+x, 1)M_0(1+x, 1)} > M_{p/2-\epsilon}(1+x, 1)$ for $x \in (0, \delta_3)$ and $M_\epsilon(x, 1) > \sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_0(x, 1)}$ for $x \in (X_3, +\infty)$.

Case D. $(p, q) \in E_4$. Then for $\epsilon \in (0, (p+q)/2)$ and $x > 0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, we have

$$M_p(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1) - M_{(p+q)/2-\epsilon}^2(1+x, 1) = \frac{\epsilon}{4}x^2 + o(x^2) \quad (x \rightarrow 0),$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M_\epsilon^2(x, 1)}{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} = +\infty. \quad (2.60)$$

Equation (2.60) implies that for any $\epsilon \in (0, (p+q)/2)$, there exist $\delta_4 = \delta_4(\epsilon) > 0$ and $X_4 = X_4(p, q, \epsilon) > 1$ such that $M_{(p+q)/2-\epsilon}(1+x, 1) < \sqrt{M_p(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1)}$ for $x \in (0, \delta_4)$ and $M_\epsilon(x, 1) > \sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)}$ for $x \in (X_4, +\infty)$.

Case E. $(p, q) \in E_5$. Then for any $\epsilon \in (0, -q/2)$ and $x > 0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, one has

$$M_{q/2+\epsilon}^2(1+x, 1) - M_0(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1) = \frac{\epsilon}{4}x^2 + o(x^2) \quad (x \rightarrow 0),$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M_0(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)}{M_{-\epsilon}^2(x, 1)} = +\infty. \quad (2.61)$$

Equation (2.61) leads to the conclusion that for any $\epsilon \in (0, -q/2)$, there exist $\delta_5 = \delta_5(\epsilon) > 0$ and $X_5 = X_5(q, \epsilon) > 1$ such that $M_{q/2+\epsilon}(1+x, 1) > \sqrt{M_0(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1)}$ for $x \in (0, \delta_5)$ and $M_{-\epsilon}(x, 1) < \sqrt{M_0(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)}$ for $x \in (X_5, +\infty)$.

Case F. $(p, q) \in E_6$. Then for any $\epsilon \in (0, -(p+q)/2)$ and $x > 0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, one has

$$M_{(p+q)/2+\epsilon}^2(1+x, 1) - M_p(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1) = \frac{\epsilon}{4}x^2 + o(x^2) \quad (x \rightarrow 0),$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)}{M_{-\epsilon}^2(x, 1)} = +\infty. \quad (2.62)$$

Equation (2.62) shows that for any $\epsilon \in (0, -(p+q)/2)$, there exist $\delta_6 = \delta_6(\epsilon) > 0$ and $X_6 = X_6(p, q, \epsilon) > 1$ such that $M_{(p+q)/2+\epsilon}(1+x, 1) > \sqrt{M_p(1+x, 1)M_q(1+x, 1)}$ for $x \in (0, \delta_6)$ and $\sqrt{M_p(x, 1)M_q(x, 1)} > M_{-\epsilon}^2(x, 1)$ for $x \in (X_6, +\infty)$. \square

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 11071069 and 11171307 and the Innovation Team Foundation of the Department of Education of Zhejiang Province under Grant T200924.

References

- [1] Y.-M. Chu, S.-S. Wang, and C. Zong, "Optimal lower power mean bound for the convex combination of harmonic and logarithmic means," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 520648, 9 pages, 2011.
- [2] Y. M. Chu and B. Y. Long, "Sharp inequalities between means," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 647–655, 2011.
- [3] M.-K. Wang, Y.-M. Chu, Y.-F. Qiu, and S.-L. Qiu, "An optimal power mean inequality for the complete elliptic integrals," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 887–890, 2011.
- [4] B.-Y. Long and Y.-M. Chu, "Optimal power mean bounds for the weighted geometric mean of classical means," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 905679, 6 pages, 2010.
- [5] W.-F. Xia, Y.-M. Chu, and G.-D. Wang, "The optimal upper and lower power mean bounds for a convex combination of the arithmetic and logarithmic means," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2010, Article ID 604804, 9 pages, 2010.
- [6] Y.-M. Chu and W.-F. Xia, "Two optimal double inequalities between power mean and logarithmic mean," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 83–89, 2010.
- [7] Y.-M. Chu, Y.-F. Qiu, and M.-K. Wang, "Sharp power mean bounds for the combination of Seiffert and geometric means," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2010, Article ID 108920, 12 pages, 2010.
- [8] M.-Y. Shi, Y.-M. Chu, and Y.-P. Jiang, "Optimal inequalities among various means of two arguments," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2009, Article ID 694394, 10 pages, 2009.
- [9] Y.-M. Chu and W.-F. Xia, "Two sharp inequalities for power mean, geometric mean, and harmonic mean," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 741923, 6 pages, 2009.
- [10] S. H. Wu, "Generalization and sharpness of the power means inequality and their applications," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 312, no. 2, pp. 637–652, 2005.
- [11] P. A. Hästö, "Optimal inequalities between Seiffert's mean and power means," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 47–53, 2004.
- [12] H. Alzer and S.-L. Qiu, "Inequalities for means in two variables," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 201–215, 2003.
- [13] H. Alzer, "A power mean inequality for the gamma function," *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, vol. 131, no. 3, pp. 179–188, 2000.
- [14] J. E. Pečarić, "Generalization of the power means and their inequalities," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 161, no. 2, pp. 395–404, 1991.

- [15] P. S. Bullen, D. S. Mitrinović, and P. M. Vasić, *Means and Their Inequalities*, vol. 31, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1988.
- [16] F. Burk, "Notes: the geometric, logarithmic, and arithmetic mean inequality," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 94, no. 6, pp. 527–528, 1987.
- [17] H. Alzer, "Ungleichungen für mittelwerte," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 422–426, 1986.
- [18] H. Alzer, "Ungleichungen für $(e/a)^a(b/e)^b$," *Elemente der Mathematik*, vol. 40, pp. 120–123, 1985.
- [19] K. B. Stolarsky, "The power and generalized logarithmic means," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 87, no. 7, pp. 545–548, 1980.
- [20] A. O. Pittenger, "Inequalities between arithmetic and logarithmic means," *Univerzitet u Beogradu. Publikacije Elektrotehničkog Fakulteta. Serija Matematika i Fizika*, vol. 678–715, pp. 15–18, 1980.
- [21] A. O. Pittenger, "The symmetric, logarithmic and power means," *Univerzitet u Beogradu. Publikacije Elektrotehničkog Fakulteta. Serija Matematika i Fizika*, vol. 678–715, pp. 19–23, 1980.
- [22] T. P. Lin, "The power mean and the logarithmic mean," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 81, pp. 879–883, 1974.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

