

Research Article

A New Class of Meromorphic Functions Associated with Spirallike Functions

Lei Shi, Zhi-Gang Wang, and Jing-Ping Yi

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Anyang Normal University, Henan, Anyang 455002, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Lei Shi, shilei.04@yahoo.com.cn

Received 22 September 2012; Revised 26 October 2012; Accepted 31 October 2012

Academic Editor: Alberto Cabada

Copyright © 2012 Lei Shi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce a new class of meromorphic functions associated with spirallike functions. Such results as subordination property, integral representation, convolution property, and coefficient inequalities are proved.

1. Introduction

Let Σ denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are *analytic* in the *punctured* open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U}^* := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |z| < 1\} =: \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of functions p given by

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.3)$$

which are analytic in \mathbb{U} and satisfy the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.4)$$

Let $f, g \in \Sigma$, where f is given by (1.1) and g is defined by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (1.5)$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k =: (g * f)(z). \quad (1.6)$$

For two functions f and g , analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in \mathbb{U} and write

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.7)$$

if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which is analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\omega(0) = 0, \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.8)$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.9)$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \implies f(0) = g(0), \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}). \quad (1.10)$$

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \iff f(0) = g(0), \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}). \quad (1.11)$$

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{MS}^*(\beta)$ of *meromorphic starlike functions of order β* if it satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < -\beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1). \quad (1.12)$$

For the real number β ($0 < \beta < 1$), we know that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} + \frac{1}{2\beta} \right| < \frac{1}{2\beta} \iff \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < -\beta. \quad (1.13)$$

If the complex number α satisfies the condition

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (1.14)$$

it can be easily verified that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right| < \frac{1}{2|\alpha|} \iff \operatorname{Re} \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 1. \quad (1.15)$$

We now introduce and investigate the following class of meromorphic functions.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class \mathcal{MS}_α if it satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 1 \quad \left(z \in \mathbb{U}; \left| \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (1.16)$$

Remark 1.2. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, the class \mathcal{MS}_α is the familiar class of meromorphic starlike functions of order α .

Remark 1.3. If $\alpha = |\alpha|e^{i\psi}$ ($-\pi/2 < \psi < \pi/2$), then the condition (1.16) is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\psi} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < -|\alpha| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.17)$$

which implies that f belongs to the class of meromorphic spirallike functions. Thus, the class of meromorphic spirallike functions is a special case of the class \mathcal{MS}_α .

For some recent investigations on spirallike functions and related functions, see, for example, the earlier works [1–9] and the references cited in each of these earlier investigations.

Remark 1.4. The function

$$f(z) = z^{-1}(1-z)^{2\alpha[\operatorname{Re}(1/\alpha)-1]} \quad \left(z \in \mathbb{U}^*; \left| \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (1.18)$$

belongs to the class \mathcal{MS}_α .

It is clear that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) > 1 \quad \left(\left| \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (1.19)$$

Then, for the function f given by (1.18), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 2\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - 1\right] \frac{z}{1-z}\right) \\ &> \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + 1 = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

which implies that $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$.

In this paper, we aim at deriving the subordination property, integral representation, convolution property, and coefficient inequalities of the function class \mathcal{MS}_α .

2. Preliminary Results

In order to derive our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let λ be a complex number. Suppose also that the sequence $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is defined by*

$$A_0 = 2\lambda, \quad A_{k+1} = \frac{2\lambda}{k+2} \left(1 + \sum_{l=0}^k A_l\right) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}). \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$A_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{j=0}^k (2\lambda + j) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. From (2.1), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} (k+2)A_{k+1} &= 2\lambda \left(1 + \sum_{l=0}^k A_l\right), \\ (k+1)A_k &= 2\lambda \left(1 + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} A_l\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By virtue of (2.3), we find that

$$\frac{A_{k+1}}{A_k} = \frac{k+1+2\lambda}{k+2} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, for $k \geq 1$, we deduce from (2.4) that

$$A_k = \frac{A_k}{A_{k-1}} \cdots \frac{A_3}{A_2} \cdot \frac{A_2}{A_1} \cdot \frac{A_1}{A_0} \cdot A_0 = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{j=0}^k (2\lambda + j). \quad (2.5)$$

By virtue of (2.1) and (2.5), we get the desired assertion (2.2) of Lemma 2.1. \square

Lemma 2.2 (Jack's Lemma [10]). *Let ϕ be a nonconstant regular function in \mathbb{U} . If $|\phi|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r < 1$ at z_0 , then*

$$z_0 \phi'(z_0) = t \phi(z_0), \quad (2.6)$$

for some real number t ($t \geq 1$).

3. Main Results

We begin by deriving the following subordination property of functions belonging to the class \mathcal{MS}_α .

Theorem 3.1. *A function $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$ if and only if*

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < 1 + 2\alpha \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) - 1 \right] \frac{z}{1-z} \quad \left(z \in \mathbb{U}^*; \left| \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$h(z) := \frac{-(1/\alpha)(zf'(z)/f(z)) - 1 - i \operatorname{Im}(1/\alpha)}{\operatorname{Re}(1/\alpha) - 1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha). \quad (3.2)$$

We easily know that $h \in \mathcal{P}$, which implies that

$$\frac{-(1/\alpha)(zf'(z)/f(z)) - 1 - i \operatorname{Im}(1/\alpha)}{\operatorname{Re}(1/\alpha) - 1} = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha), \quad (3.3)$$

where ω is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$).

It follows from (3.3) that

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + 2\alpha \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) - 1 \right] \frac{\omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3.4)$$

which is equivalent to the subordination relationship (3.1).

On the other hand, the above deductive process can be converse. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is thus completed. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$. Then*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \cdot \exp \left(-2\alpha \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) - 1 \right] \int_0^z \frac{\omega(t)}{t(1 - \omega(t))} dt \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*), \quad (3.5)$$

where ω is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$).

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$, by Theorem 3.1, we know that (3.1) holds true. It follows that

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + 2\alpha \left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - 1 \right] \frac{\omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3.6)$$

where ω is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$).

We now find from (3.6) that

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{z} = -2\alpha \left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - 1 \right] \frac{\omega(z)}{z(1 - \omega(z))} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*), \quad (3.7)$$

which, upon integration, yields

$$\log(zf(z)) = -2\alpha \left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - 1 \right] \int_0^z \frac{\omega(t)}{t(1 - \omega(t))} dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.8)$$

The assertion (3.5) of Theorem 3.2 can be easily derived from (3.8). \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$. Then*

$$f(z) * \frac{(1 - e^{i\theta})z + 2\alpha[\operatorname{Re}(1/\alpha) - 1]e^{i\theta}(1 - z)}{z(1 - z)^2} \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*; 0 < \theta < 2\pi). \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$. By Theorem 3.1, we know that (3.1) holds, which implies that

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq 1 + 2\alpha \left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - 1 \right] \frac{e^{i\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*; 0 < \theta < 2\pi). \quad (3.10)$$

It is easy to see that the condition (3.10) can be written as follows:

$$(1 - e^{i\theta})zf'(z) + \left(1 - e^{i\theta} + 2\alpha \left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - 1 \right] e^{i\theta}\right)f(z) \neq 0. \quad (3.11)$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= f(z) * \left(\frac{1}{z} + 1 + \frac{z}{1 - z} \right) = f(z) * \frac{1}{z(1 - z)}, \\ -zf'(z) &= f(z) * \left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} \right) = f(z) * \frac{1 - 2z}{z(1 - z)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Thus, by substituting (3.12) into (3.11), we get the desired assertion (3.9) of Theorem 3.3. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let $\lambda = [\operatorname{Re}(1/\alpha) - 1]|\alpha|$. If $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$, then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{j=0}^k (2\lambda + j) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (3.13)$$

The inequality (3.13) is sharp for the function given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z(1-z)^{2-2\alpha}} \quad (0 < \alpha < 1). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$h(z) := \frac{-(1/\alpha)(zf'(z)/f(z)) - 1 - i \operatorname{Im}(1/\alpha)}{\operatorname{Re}(1/\alpha) - 1}. \quad (3.15)$$

We easily know that $h \in \mathcal{P}$.

If we put

$$h(z) = 1 + h_1z + h_2z^2 + \dots, \quad (3.16)$$

it is known that

$$|h_k| \leq 2 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.17)$$

From (3.15), we have

$$-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 - i \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) = \left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - 1 \right] h(z). \quad (3.18)$$

We now set

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= 1 + i \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right), \\ B &:= \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

It follows from (3.18) that

$$-zf'(z) = [\alpha A + \alpha B h(z)]f(z). \quad (3.20)$$

Combining (1.1), (3.16), and (3.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -z \left(-\frac{1}{z^2} + a_1 + 2a_2z + \cdots + ka_kz^{k-1} + \cdots \right) \\ & = \left(1 + \alpha Bh_1z + \cdots + \alpha Bh_kz^k + \cdots \right) \left(\frac{1}{z} + a_0 + a_1z + \cdots + a_kz^k + \cdots \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

In view of (3.21), we get

$$a_0 + \alpha Bh_1 = 0, \quad (3.22)$$

$$-ka_k = a_k + \alpha B(a_{k-1}h_1 + a_{k-2}h_2 + \cdots + a_0h_k + h_{k+1}) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.23)$$

From (3.17) and (3.22), we obtain

$$|a_0| \leq 2|\alpha|B = 2\lambda. \quad (3.24)$$

Moreover, we deduce from (3.17) and (3.23) that

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{2|\alpha|B}{k+1} \left(1 + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} |a_l| \right) = \frac{2\lambda}{k+1} \left(1 + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} |a_l| \right) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.25)$$

Next, we define the sequence $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$A_0 = 2\lambda, \quad A_{k+1} = \frac{2\lambda}{k+2} \left(1 + \sum_{l=0}^k A_l \right) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (3.26)$$

In order to prove that

$$|a_k| \leq A_k, \quad (3.27)$$

we make use of the principle of mathematical induction. By noting that

$$|a_0| \leq 2\lambda = A_0. \quad (3.28)$$

Therefore, assuming that

$$|a_l| \leq A_l \quad (l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k; k \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (3.29)$$

Combining (3.25) and (3.26), we get

$$|a_{k+1}| \leq \frac{2\lambda}{k+2} \left(1 + \sum_{l=0}^k |a_l| \right) \leq \frac{2\lambda}{k+2} \left(1 + \sum_{l=0}^k A_l \right) = A_{k+1}. \quad (3.30)$$

Hence, by the principle of mathematical induction, we have

$$|a_k| \leq A_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0) \quad (3.31)$$

as desired.

By means of Lemma 2.1 and (3.26), we know that

$$A_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{j=0}^k (2\lambda + j) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (3.32)$$

Combining (3.31) and (3.32), we readily get the coefficient estimates asserted by Theorem 3.4.

For the sharpness, we consider the function f given by (3.14). A simple calculation shows that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1 + (2\alpha - 3)z}{1 - z}\right) \geq 2 - \alpha > \alpha. \quad (3.33)$$

Thus, the function f belongs to the class \mathcal{MS}_α . Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have

$$\lambda = 1 - \alpha. \quad (3.34)$$

Then f becomes

$$f(z) = z^{-1}(1 - z)^{-2\lambda} = z^{-1} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-2\lambda}{n} (-z)^n \right) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\lambda(2\lambda + 1) \cdots (2\lambda + n)}{(n+1)!} z^n. \quad (3.35)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.5. *If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + |k + 2\alpha|) |a_k| \leq 1 - |2\alpha - 1| \quad \left(\left| \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (3.36)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$.

Proof. To prove $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$, it suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right| < \frac{1}{2|\alpha|} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3.37)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z) + 2\alpha f(z)}{zf'(z)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \quad (3.38)$$

From (3.36), we know that

$$1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k|a_k| \geq |2\alpha - 1| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |k + 2\alpha||a_k| > 0. \quad (3.39)$$

Now, by the maximum modulus principle, we deduce from (1.1) and (3.39) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z) + 2\alpha f(z)}{zf'(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{(2\alpha - 1) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + 2\alpha)a_k z^{k+1}}{-1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k+1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|2\alpha - 1| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |k + 2\alpha||a_k||z|^{k+1}}{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k|a_k||z|^{k+1}} \\ &< \frac{|2\alpha - 1| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |k + 2\alpha||a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k|a_k|} \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

which implies that the assertion of Theorem 3.5 holds. \square

Theorem 3.6. *If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the condition*

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha} \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1 \right), \quad (3.41)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$.

Proof. Define the function φ by

$$\varphi(z) := \frac{(zf'(z)/f(z)) + 1}{(zf'(z)/f(z)) + 2\alpha - 1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.42)$$

Then we see that φ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\varphi(0) = 0$.

It follows from (3.42) that

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\varphi(z)}{1 - \varphi(z)}. \quad (3.43)$$

By differentiating both sides of (3.43) logarithmically, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{2(1 - \alpha)z\varphi'(z)}{[1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\varphi(z)](1 - \varphi(z))}. \quad (3.44)$$

From (3.41) and (3.44), we find that

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{2(1-\alpha)z\varphi'(z)}{[1+(1-2\alpha)\varphi(z)](1-\varphi(z))} \right| < \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}. \quad (3.45)$$

Next, we claim that $|\varphi(z)| < 1$. Indeed, if not, there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |\varphi(z)| = |\varphi(z_0)| = 1. \quad (3.46)$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\varphi(z_0) = e^{i\theta}, \quad z_0\varphi'(z_0) = te^{i\theta} \quad (t \geq 1). \quad (3.47)$$

Moreover, for $z = z_0$, we find from (3.44) and (3.47) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 1 + \frac{z_0 f''(z_0)}{f'(z_0)} - \frac{z_0 f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{2(1-\alpha)te^{i\theta}}{(1+(1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta})(1-e^{i\theta})} \right| \\ &= \frac{2(1-\alpha)t}{\sqrt{1+2(1-2\alpha)\cos\theta+(1-2\alpha)^2} \cdot \sqrt{2-2\cos\theta}} \\ &\geq \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha} \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

But (3.48) contradicts to (3.45). Therefore, we conclude that $|\varphi(z)| < 1$, that is

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z)/f(z)) + 1}{(zf'(z)/f(z)) + 2\alpha - 1} \right| < 1, \quad (3.49)$$

which shows that $f \in \mathcal{MS}_\alpha$. □

Acknowledgments

The present investigation was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation under Grants 11101053 and 11226088, the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education under Grant 211118, the Excellent Youth Foundation of Educational Committee of Hunan Province under Grant 10B002, the Open Fund Project of the Key Research Institute of Philosophies and Social Sciences in Hunan Universities under Grants 11FEFM02 and 12FEFM02, and the Key Project of Natural Science Foundation of Educational Committee of Henan Province under Grant 12A110002 of the People's Republic of China. The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading and valuable suggestions which essentially improved the quality of this paper.

References

- [1] M. Elin, "Covering and distortion theorems for spirallike functions with respect to a boundary point," *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 387–400, 2006.
- [2] M. Elin and D. Shoikhet, "Angle distortion theorems for starlike and spirallike functions with respect to a boundary point," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2006, Article ID 81615, 13 pages, 2006.
- [3] K. Hamai, T. Hayami, K. Kuroki, and S. Owa, "Coefficient estimates of functions in the class concerning with spirallike functions," *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, vol. 11, pp. 189–196, 2011.
- [4] Y. C. Kim and T. Sugawa, "The Alexander transform of a spirallike function," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 1, pp. 608–611, 2007.
- [5] Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, "Some subordination properties for spirallike functions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 203, no. 2, pp. 838–842, 2008.
- [6] A. Lecko, "The class of functions spirallike with respect to a boundary point," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, no. 37–40, pp. 2133–2143, 2004.
- [7] H. M. Srivastava, Q.-H. Xu, and G.-P. Wu, "Coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of spiral-like functions of complex order," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 763–768, 2010.
- [8] Y. Sun, W.-P. Kuang, and Z.-G. Wang, "On meromorphic starlike functions of reciprocal order α ," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 469–477, 2012.
- [9] N. Uyanik, H. Shiraishi, S. Owa, and Y. Polatoglu, "Reciprocal classes of p -valently spirallike and p -valently Robertson functions," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2011, article 61, 2011.
- [10] I. S. Jack, "Functions starlike and convex of order α ," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 3, pp. 469–474, 1971.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

