# THE METHOD OF LOWER AND UPPER SOLUTIONS FOR *n*th-ORDER PERIODIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS<sup>1,2</sup>

ALBERTO CABADA

Universidade de Santiago de Compostela Departamento de Análise Matemática Facultade de Matemáticas, SPAIN

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper we develop the monotone method in the presence of lower and upper solutions for the problem

 $u^{(n)}(t) = f(t, u(t)); u^{(i)}(a) - u^{(i)}(b) = \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 0, \dots, n-1,$ 

where f is a Carathéodory function. We obtain sufficient conditions for f to guarantee the existence and approximation of solutions between a lower solution  $\alpha$  and an upper solution  $\beta$  for  $n \geq 3$  with either  $\alpha \leq \beta$  or  $\alpha \geq \beta$ .

For this, we study some maximum principles for the operator  $Lu \equiv u^{(n)} + Mu$ . Furthermore, we obtain a generalization of the method of mixed monotonicity considering f and u as vectorial functions.

Key words: Periodic boundary value problem, lower and upper solutions, monotone method.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications: 34B15, 34C25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the following class of boundary value problems for the ordinary differential equations:

$$u^{(n)}(t) = f(t, u(t)) \text{ for } a.e. \ t \in I = [a, b]$$
 (1.1)

$$u^{(i)}(a) - u^{(i)}(b) = \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}; \quad i = 0, 1, \backslash, n - 1,$$
(1.2)

for  $n \geq 3$  where f is a Carathéodory function.

**Definition 1.1:** We say that  $f: I \times \mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is a Carathéodory function, if  $f \equiv (f_1, \backslash, f_m)$  satisfies the following properties:

<sup>1</sup>Received: August 1993. Revised: January 1994.

<sup>2</sup>Partially supported by DGICYT, project PB91 - 0793 and Xunta de Galicia, project XVGA 20702B92.

- 1.  $f_i(\cdot, x)$  is measurable for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^l$  and  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ .
- 2.  $f_i(t, \cdot)$  is continuous for a.e.  $t \in I$ .
- 3. For every R > 0 and  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ , there exists  $h_{i,R} \in L^1(I)$  such that:

$$|f_i(t,x)| \leq h_{i,R}(t)$$
 for a.e.  $t \in I$ ,

with  $||x|| \leq R$ .

To develop the monotone method we use the concept of lower and upper solutions:

**Definition 1.2:** Let  $\alpha \in W^{n,1}(I)$ , we say that  $\alpha$  is a *lower solution* for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) if  $\alpha$  satisfies

$$\alpha^{(n)}(t) \ge f(t, \alpha(t)) \text{ for } a.e. \ t \in I$$
$$\alpha^{(i)}(a) - \alpha^{(i)}(b) = \lambda_i; \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$$
$$\alpha^{(n-1)}(a) - \alpha^{(n-1)}(b) \ge \lambda_{n-1}.$$

**Definition 1.3:** Let  $\beta \in W^{n,1}(I)$ , we say that  $\beta$  is an upper solution for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) if  $\beta$  satisfies

$$\beta^{(n)}(t) \le f(t, \beta(t)) \text{ for } a.e. \ t \in I$$
$$\beta^{(i)}(a0 - \beta^{(i)}(b) = \lambda_i; \ i = 0, 1, ..., n - 2$$
$$\beta^{(n-1)}(a) - \beta^{(n-1)}(b) \le \lambda_{n-1}.$$

We suppose that f satisfies one of the following conditions, depending on various circumstances:

- $(H_1) \quad f(t,x) f(t,y) \le M(x-y) \text{ for } a.e. \ t \in I \text{ with } \alpha(t) \le y \le x \le \beta(t) \text{ and}$ M > 0. $(H_1) \quad f(t,y) = f(t,y) \ge M(x-y) \text{ for } a.e. \ t \in I \text{ with } \beta(t) \le y \le x \le \alpha(t) \text{ and}$
- $(H_2) \ f(t,x) f(t,y) \geq M(x-y) \ \text{for a.e.} \ t \in I \ \text{with} \ \beta(t) \leq y \leq x \leq \alpha(t) \ \text{and} \ M < 0.$

This problem has been studied by different authors for second order equations when  $\alpha \leq \beta$  ([1]-[4], [6], [8], [10], [11]). If  $\alpha \geq \beta$  the monotone method is not valid if f satisfies the condition  $(H_2)$  for some M < 0 ([2], [7], [12], [14]).

For  $n \ge 3$  the method of lower and upper solutions has been little studied ([2], [9], [13]). In [2] the author obtains the best value on the constant M for

n = 2, n = 3 and n = 4 (in this last case, if M < 0) for which the conditions  $(H_1)$  or  $(H_2)$  imply that the monotone method is valid.

To prove the validity of the monotone method to more general cases, we present some maximum principles for the operator

$$L_n: F^n_{a,b} \to L^1(I),$$

defined by  $L_n u = u^{(n)} + Mu$ . Where M is a real constant different from zero, and

$$F_{a,b}^{n} = \Big\{ u \in W^{n,1}(I); u^{(i)}(a) = u^{(i)}(b), i = 0, \dots, n-2; u^{(n-1)}(a) \ge u^{(n-1)}(b) \Big\}.$$

We say that an operator L is inverse positive in  $F_{a,b}^n$  if  $Lu \ge 0$  implies  $u \ge 0$  for all  $u \in F_{a,b}^n$  and that L is inverse negative in  $F_{a,b}^n$  if  $Lu \ge 0$  implies  $u \le 0$  for all  $u \in F_{a,b}^n$ .

In Section 2, we obtain a new maximum principle for the operator  $L_n$ , using that this operator is given by the composition of the operators of first and second order.

This result is used in Section 3 to extend to more general cases the validity of the monotone method for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) and in Section 4 it is applied to obtain a new generalization of the method of mixed monotony [5] when f and u are vectorial functions.

### 2. MAXIMUM PRINCIPLES

In this section we improve the following result obtained in [2], which generalizes theorem 4 in [15].

Lemma 2.1: Let  $A(n) \equiv \frac{n^n n!}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]^n (b-a)^n (n-1)^{n-1}}$ , where  $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$  is the integer part of  $\frac{n}{2}$ . Then if  $M \in (0, A(n)]$   $(M \in [-A(n), 0))$ , the operator  $L_n$  is inverse positive (inverse negative) on  $F_{a,b}^n$ .

Furthermore, if  $M \in [-[A(n)]^2, 0)$  the operator  $L_{2n}$  is inverse negative on  $F_{a,b}^{2n}$ .

For this, we use the following known result.

Lemma 2.2:

- 1.  $L_1$  is inverse positive (inverse negative) on  $F_{a,b}^1$  for all M > 0(M < 0).
- 2.  $L_2$  is inverse negative on  $F_{a,b}^2$  for all M < 0.
- 3. ([13], Lemma 2.1) The operator  $N_{A,B}u = u'' 2Au' + (A^2 + B^2)u$  is inverse positive on  $F_{0,2\pi}^2$  if and only if  $(0 < ) B \le \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now, we prove the following preliminary lemma.

**Lemma 2.3:** Let  $Lu = u^{(n)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i u^{(i)}$  and  $Nu = u^{(m)} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} b_i u^{(i)}$ . Then if L is inverse positive on  $F_{a,b}^n$  and N is inverse positive (inverse negative) on  $F_{a,b}^m$  then  $L \circ N$  is inverse positive (inverse negative) on  $F_{a,b}^{n+m}$ .

**Proof:** Since  $u \in F_{a,b}^{n+m}$  it is clear that

$$(Nu)^{(i)}(a) = (Nu)^{(i)}(b), i = 0, ..., n-2$$

and

$$(Nu)^{(n-1)}(a) \ge (Nu)^{(n-1)}(b).$$

In consequence, since L is inverse positive on  $F_{a,b}^n$ , we have that  $Nu \ge 0$ . Now, using that N is inverse positive (inverse negative) on  $F_{a,b}^m$ , we obtain that  $u \ge 0$  ( $u \le 0$ ).

Thus, we are in position to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4:** Let M > 0. The following properties hold:

1. Let  $n = 4k, k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ . If  $M \le \left[\frac{\pi}{(b-a)sin\left(\frac{n+2}{2n}\pi\right)}\right]^n$ , then  $L_n$  is inverse positive on  $F_{a,b}^n$ .

2. Let 
$$n = 2 + 4k$$
,  $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ .  
If  $M \le \left[\frac{\pi}{b-a}\right]^n$ , then  $L_n$  is inverse positive on  $F_{a,b}^n$ .

3. Let n be odd.  
If 
$$M \le \left[\frac{\pi}{(b-a)sin\left(\frac{n+1}{2n}\pi\right)}\right]^n$$
, then  $L_n$  is inverse positive on  $F_{a,b}^n$ .

**Proof:** Since, if  $u \in W^{n,1}(I)$  satisfies

$$L_n u(t) = \sigma(t), u^{(i)}(a) = u^{(i)}(b), i = 0, ..., n-2 \text{ and } u^{(n-1)}(a) - u^{(n-1)}(b) = \lambda,$$
  
then  $v(t) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{b-a}\right)^{n-1} u\left(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}t + a\right)$  satisfies

$$v^{(n)}(t) + \left(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}\right)^n Mv(t) = \left(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}\right)\sigma\left(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}t + a\right),$$

with

$$v^{(i)}(0) = v^{(i)}(2\pi), i = 0, ..., n-2 \text{ and } v^{(n-1)}(0) - v^{(n-1)}(2\pi) = \lambda$$

It is sufficient to study the operator  $L_n$  on  $F_{0,2\pi}^n$  because to obtain the estimate on the interval [a,b] we multiply by  $\left(\frac{2\pi}{b-a}\right)^n$  the estimate obtained on  $[0,2\pi]$ .

Let m > 0 such that  $m^n = M$ .

First, we suppose that n is even.

In this case the polynomial function  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^n + m^n = 0$  if and only if

$$\lambda = \lambda_l = m \left[ \cos\left(\frac{2l+1}{n}\pi\right) \pm i \sin\left(\frac{2l+1}{n}\pi\right) \right] \equiv a_l \pm i\beta_l,$$

 $l=0,1,\ldots,\frac{n-2}{2}.$ 

As consequence we have that

$$\lambda^n + m^n = \prod_{l=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (\lambda^2 - 2\alpha_l \lambda + m^2),$$

and

$$L_n \equiv T_0 \circ T_1 \circ \ldots \circ T_{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$
 (2.3)

Where  $T_l u = u'' - 2\alpha_l u' + m^2 u$ .

If n = 4k for some  $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ , then  $\beta_l \leq \beta_{\frac{n}{4}} = m \sin\left(\frac{n+2}{2n}\pi\right)$  for all  $l \in \{0, 1, ..., \frac{n-2}{2}\}$ . Thus, using lemma 2.2, if  $m \leq \left[2\sin\left(\frac{n+2}{2n}\pi\right)\right]^{-1}$  the operator  $T_l$  is inverse positive on  $F_{0,2\pi}^2$  for all  $l \in \{0, 1, ..., \frac{n-2}{2}\}$ . Therefore lemma 2.3 implies that  $L_n$  is inverse positive on  $F_{0,2\pi}^n$ .

If n = 2 + 4k for some  $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ , then  $\beta_l \leq \beta_{\frac{n-2}{4}} = m$  for all  $l \in \{0, 1, ..., \frac{n-2}{2}\}$  and as a consequence,  $T_l$  is inverse positive on  $F_{0,2\pi}^2$  when  $m \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . By (2.3) and the two previous lemmas, we obtain that  $L_n$  is inverse positive on  $F_{0,2\pi}^n$ .

Now, we suppose that n is odd.

In this case,  $p(\lambda) = 0$  if and only if  $\lambda = -m$  or  $\lambda = \lambda_l = \alpha_l \pm i\beta_l$ ,

 $l = 0, ..., \frac{n-3}{2}$ . Thus

$$\lambda^{n} + m^{n} = (\lambda + m) \prod_{l=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\lambda^{2} - 2\alpha_{l}\lambda + m^{2}),$$

and

$$L_n \equiv T_0 \circ T_1 \circ \ldots \circ T_{\frac{n-3}{2}} \circ S_1.$$

Where  $S_1 u = u' + mu$ .

In this case  $\beta_l \leq \beta_{\frac{n-1}{4}} = m \sin\left(\frac{n+1}{2n}\pi\right)$  for all  $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$ . Thus, if  $m \geq [2\sin\left(\frac{n+1}{2n}\pi\right)]^{-1}$  lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 imply that the operator  $L_n$  is inverse positive on  $F_{0,2\pi}^n$ .

Analogously we can prove the following result for M < 0.

Lemma 2.5: Let 
$$M < 0$$
. The following properties hold:  
1. Let  $n = 4k$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ .  
If  $M \ge -\left[\frac{\pi}{b-a}\right]^n$  then  $L_n$  is inverse negative on  $F_{a,b}^n$ .  
2. Let  $n = 2 + 4k$ ,  $k \in \{0, 1, ...\}$ .  
If  $M \ge -\left[\frac{\pi}{(b-a)\sin\left(\frac{n+2}{2n}\pi\right)}\right]^n$  then  $L_n$  is inverse negative on  $F_{a,b}^n$ .  
3. Let  $n$  be odd.  
If  $M \ge -\left[\frac{\pi}{(b-a)\sin\left(\frac{n+1}{2n}\pi\right)}\right]^n$  then  $L_n$  is inverse negative on  $F_{a,b}^n$ .

**Remark 2.1:** Note that these estimates are not the best possible for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In [2] it is proved that  $L_3$  is inverse positive (inverse negative) on  $F_{0,2\pi}^3$  if and only if  $M \in (0, M_3^3](M \in [-M_3^3, 0))$ . Where  $M_3$  is the unique solution of the equation

$$\arctan\left(\frac{\sin\sqrt{3}\ m\pi}{\cos\sqrt{3}m\pi - e^{m\pi}}\right) + \pi = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\log\left(\frac{e^{3m\pi} - e^{m\pi}}{\sqrt{1 + e^{2m\pi} - 2e^{m\pi}\cos\sqrt{3}m\pi}}\right)$$

Furthermore,  $L_4$  is inverse negative on  $F_{0,2\pi}^4$  if and only if  $M \in [-M_4^4, 0)$ , with  $M_4$  given as the unique solution in  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  of the equation

$$-\tanh m\pi = \tan m\pi.$$

Note that the estimates obtained in lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 are the best possible for n = 1 and n = 2.

#### 3. THE MONOTONE METHOD

In this section we study the existence of solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) in the sector  $[\alpha,\beta]$  or  $[\beta,\alpha]$ , where  $[v,w] = \{u \in L^1(I): v \le u \le w \text{ on } I\}$ . We improve the following result given in [2], which generalizes theorem 5 in [15].

**Theorem 3.1:** The following properties hold.

- If there exists α ≤ β (α ≥ β) lower and upper solutions respectively of the problem (1.1)-(1.2), and f satisfies the condition (H<sub>1</sub>) ((H<sub>2</sub>)) for some M ∈ (0, A(n)] (M ∈ [-A(n), 0)) then there exists a solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) in [α, β] ([β, α]). Furthermore, there exist two monotone sequences {α<sub>n</sub>} and {β<sub>n</sub>} with α<sub>0</sub> = α and β<sub>0</sub> = β which converge uniformly to the extremal solutions in [α, β] ([β, α]) of the problem (1.1)-(1.2).
- 2. The previous property is true when n is even and f satisfies the condition  $(H_2)$  for some  $M \in (-[A(\frac{n}{2})]^2, 0]$ .

Using lemma 2.4 we prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.2:** If there exists  $\alpha \geq \beta$  lower and upper solutions respectively of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) and if any of the following properties are true:

1. Let n = 4k,  $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ . Suppose that f satisfies the property  $(H_2)$ 

for some 
$$M \in \left[-\left[\frac{\pi}{(b-a)sin\left(\frac{n+2}{2n}\pi\right)}\right]^n, 0\right)$$
.

- 2. Let n = 2 + 4k,  $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ . Suppose that f satisfies the property  $(H_2)$  for some  $M \in \left[-\left[\frac{\pi}{b-a}\right]^n, 0\right)$ .
- 3. Let n be odd. Suppose that f satisfies the property  $(H_2)$  for some  $M \in \left[-\left[\frac{\pi}{(b-a)sin\left(\frac{n+1}{2n\pi}\right)}\right]^n, 0\right).$

Then there exists u a solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) in  $[\beta, \alpha]$ .

Furthermore, there exist two monotone sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  with  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and  $\beta_0 = \beta$ , which converge uniformly to the extremal solutions in  $[\beta, \alpha]$  of

the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

**Proof:** We consider the problem:

$$u^{(n)}(t) - Mu(t) = f(t, \eta(t)) - M\eta(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in I$$
(3.1)

$$u^{(i)}(a) - u^{(i)}(b) = \lambda_i, \ i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$
(3.2)

with  $\eta \in L^1(I), \ \beta(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq \alpha(t).$ 

We have:

$$(\alpha - u)^{(n)}(t) - M(\alpha - u)(t) \ge -f(t, \eta(t))$$
$$+ M\eta(t) + f(t, \alpha(t)) - M\alpha(t) \ge 0$$
$$(\alpha - u)^{(i)}(a) - (\alpha - u)^{(i)}(b) = 0; \quad i = 0, ..., n - 2$$
$$(\alpha - u)^{(n-1)}(a) - (\alpha - u)^{(n-1)}(b) \ge 0.$$

Lemma 2.4 implies that  $u \leq \alpha$ .

Analogously we can prove that  $u \geq \beta$ .

Let  $u_i = Q\eta_i$  the unique solution of the problem (3.1)-(3.2) for  $\eta = \eta_i \in L^1(I)$ . Since for  $\beta \leq \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \alpha$ ,

$$(u_2 - u_1)^{(i)}(t) - M(u_2 - u_1)(t) = f(t, \eta_2(t))$$
$$- M\eta_2(t) - f(t, \eta_1(t)) + M\eta_1(t) \ge 0$$
$$(u_2 - u_1)^{(i)}(a) - (u_2 - u_1)^{(i)}(b) = 0; \quad i = 0, \dots, n - 1,$$

the following property holds:

If 
$$\beta \leq \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \alpha$$
 then  $u_1 = Q\eta_1 \leq Q\eta_2 = u_2$ .

The sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  are obtained by recurrence:  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ ,  $\beta_0 = \beta$ ,  $\alpha_n = Q\alpha_{n-1}$  and  $\beta_n = Q\beta_{n-1}$ ;  $n \ge 1$ .

By standard arguments we prove that  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  converge to the extremal solutions on  $[\beta, \alpha]$  of the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Analogously, using lemma 2.5 we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3:** If there exists  $\alpha \leq \beta$  lower and upper solutions respectively of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) and any of the following properties are

verified:

- 1. Let n = 4k,  $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ . Suppose that f satisfies the property  $(H_1)$  for some  $M \in (0, \left[\frac{\pi}{b-a}\right]^n]$ .
- 2. Let n = 2 + 4k,  $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ . Suppose that f satisfies the property  $(H_1)$  for some  $M \in (0, \left[\frac{\pi}{(b-a)sin\left(\frac{n+2}{2n}\pi\right)}\right]^n$ ].
- 3. Let n be odd. Suppose that f satisfies the property  $(H_1)$  for some  $M \in \left(0, \left[\frac{\pi}{(b-a)sin\left(\frac{n+1}{2n}\pi\right)}\right]^n\right].$

Then there exists u a solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) in  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .

Furthermore there exist two monotone sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  with  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and  $\beta_0 = \beta$  which converge uniformly to the extremal solutions in  $[\alpha, \beta]$  of the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

**Remark 3.1:** Similarly to the remark 2.1, note that the estimates obtained for the function f in theorems 3.2 and 3.3 are not the best possible for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 4. THE METHOD OF MIXED MONOTONY

In this section we study the method of mixed monotony, studied by Khavanin and Lakshmikantham in [5], in which they consider the initial and periodic first order problems. In this case, under stronger conditions on the function f it is possible to guarantee the unicity of the solution when we have an *n*th-order system.

In [5] the following results are obtained.

**Theorem 4.1:** Consider the following system

$$u'(t) = f(t, u(t)); t \in [0, T]$$

with  $f \in C([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

If there exists  $F \in C([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in C^1([0,T], \mathbb{R}^N)$  which satisfy the following conditions:

- $(i) \qquad \alpha'(t) \geq F(t,\alpha(t),\beta(t)), \beta'(t) \leq F(t,\beta(t),\alpha(t)). \quad With \ \beta \leq \alpha \ on \ [0,T].$
- (ii) F(t, u, v) is nondecreasing on u and nonincreasing on v.
- (iii) F(t, u, u) = f(t, u) and

$$-B(z_1-z_2) \leq F(t,y_1,z_1) - F(t,y_2,z_2) \leq B(y_1-y_2),$$

with  $\beta(t) \leq y_2 \leq y_1 \leq \alpha(t)$ ,  $\beta(t) \leq z_2 \leq z_1 \leq \alpha(t)$  and B an  $N \times N$  matrix with nonnegative elements.

Then:

If  $\beta(0) \leq u_0 \leq \alpha(0)$ , then there exist two sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  nonincreasing and nondecreasing respectively which converge uniformly to the unique solution of the problem

$$u'(t) = f(t, u(t)); u(0) = u_0$$

Furthermore, if  $(T = 2\pi)$   $\beta(0) \leq \beta(2\pi)$  and  $\alpha h(0) \geq \alpha(2\pi)$  with  $I \neq e^{2B\pi}$  the same result is valid for the problem

$$u'(t) = f(t, u(t)); u(0) = u(2\pi).$$

**Theorem 4.2:** If there exists  $\alpha, \beta \in C^1([0,T], \mathbb{R}^N)$ , with  $\beta \leq \alpha$  on [0,T] verifying:

$$\alpha'(t) \geq f(t,\alpha(t)) + B(\alpha(t) - \beta(t)) \text{ and } \beta'(t) \leq f(t,\beta(t)) - B(\alpha(t) - \beta(t)),$$

and f satisfies

(i)

$$-B(x-y) \le f(t,x) - f(t,y) \le B(x-y)$$

with  $\beta(t) \leq y \leq x \leq \alpha(t)$ , where B is an  $N \times N$  matrix with nonnegative elements, then the conclusions of theorem 4.1 are valid.

Using lemma 2.5 we prove the following result.

Theorem 4.3: Let

$$u^{(n)}(t) = f(t, u(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b]$$
(4.1)

$$u_{j}^{(i)}(a) - u_{j}^{(i)}(b) = \lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 0, \dots, n-1; \ j = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$(4.2)$$

with  $f: I \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$  a Carathéodory function and  $n \geq 2$ .

If there exists a Carathéodory function  $F: I \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in W^{n,1}(I, \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta$  on I, verifying the following properties:

$$\alpha^{(n)}(t) \geq F(t, \alpha(t), \beta(t))$$
 for a.e.  $t \in I$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{j}^{(i)}(a) - \alpha_{j}^{(i)}(b) &= \lambda_{i,j}; i = 0, \dots, n-2; j = 1, \dots, N\\ \alpha_{j}^{(n-1)}(a) - \alpha_{j}^{(n-1)}(b) &\geq \lambda_{n-1,j}; j = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$
(ii)  
$$\beta^{(n)}(t) &\leq F(t, \beta(t), \alpha(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in I\\ \beta_{j}^{(i)}(a) - \beta_{j}^{(i)}(b) &= \lambda_{i,j}; i = 0, \dots, n-2; j = 1, \dots, N\\ \beta_{j}^{(n-1)}(a) &= \beta_{j}^{(n-1)}(b) \leq \lambda_{n-1,j}; j = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) F(t, u, v) is nonincreasing on u and nondecreasing on v.
- (iv) F(t, u, u) = f(t, u) and

$$F(t,y,z) - F(t,z,y) = -B(y-z),$$

B being an  $N \times N$  matrix with nonnegative elements such that  $exp(C(b-a)) \neq I$ . Where C is given by the expression

$$C \equiv \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I_{(n-1)N} \\ \hline -B & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Here  $I_{(n-1)N}$  is the  $(n-1)N \times (n-1)N$  identity matrix.

Then there exist two monotone sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$ , with  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and  $\beta_0 = \beta$ , which converge uniformly to the unique solution of the problem (4.1)-(4.2).

**Proof:** Let 
$$M_1 = -\left[\frac{\pi}{b-a}\right]^n$$
 and  $\eta, \nu \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^N), \eta, \nu \in [\alpha, \beta].$ 

Consider the following linear problem for each j = 1, ..., N:

$$u_{j}^{(n)}(t) + M_{1}u_{j}(t) = F_{j}(t,\eta(t),\nu(t)) + M_{1}\eta_{j}(t) \text{ for } a.e. \ t \in [a,b]$$
(4.3)

$$u_{j}^{(i)}(a) - u_{j}^{(i)}(b) = \lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 0, \dots, n-1; \ j = 1, \dots, N.$$

$$(4.4)$$

Let  $u = A[\eta, \nu]$  be the unique solution of the problem (4.3)-(4.4) for each  $\eta, \nu$ .

First, we prove that  $\alpha \leq A[\alpha,\beta] = \alpha_1$ ,

$$(\alpha_{j}^{(n)} - \alpha_{1,j}^{(n)})(t) + M_{1}(\alpha_{j} - \alpha_{1,j})(t) \ge 0$$
  
$$(\alpha_{j}^{(i)} - \alpha_{1,j}^{(i)})(a) - (\alpha_{j}^{(i)} - \alpha_{1,j}^{(i)})(b) = 0; i = 0, \dots, n-2$$
  
$$(\alpha_{j}^{(n-1)} - \alpha_{1,j}^{(n-1)})(a) - (\alpha_{j}^{(n-1)} - \alpha_{1,j}^{(n-1)})(b) \ge 0.$$

Thus, lemma 2.5 implies that  $\alpha \leq \alpha_1$  on *I*.

Similarly, we obtain that  $\beta \geq \beta_1 = A[\beta, \alpha]$ .

Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \nu \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , with  $\eta_1 \le \eta_2$ . Let  $u_1 = A[\eta_1, \nu]$  and  $u_2 = A[\eta_2, \nu]$ . We have that

$$(u_{1,j} - u_{2,j})^{(n)}(t) + M_1(u_{1,j} - u_{2,j})(t) = F_j(t,\eta_1,\nu) + M_1\eta_{1,j}$$
$$-F_j(t,\eta_2,\nu) - M_1\eta_{2,j} \ge 0$$

which implies that  $u_1 \leq u_2$ .

Analogously, one can prove that  $A[\eta, \nu_1] \leq A[\eta, \nu_2]$  if  $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2$ .

It is now easy to define the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  with  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ ,  $\beta_0 = \beta$ ,  $\alpha_{n+1} = A[\alpha_n, \beta_n]$  and  $\beta_{n+1} = A[\beta_n, \alpha_n]$ .

Clearly,  $\alpha \leq \alpha_1 \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \leq \ldots \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta$  on I.

By standard arguments we can show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = \phi$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_n = \psi$ exist uniformly on I and  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  satisfy

$$\phi^{(n)}(t) = F(t,\phi,\psi), \ \psi^{(n)}(t) = F(t,\psi,\phi)$$
  
$$\phi^{(i)}_{j}(a) - \phi^{(i)}_{j}(b) = \psi^{(i)}_{j}(a) - \psi^{(i)}_{j}(b) = \lambda_{i,j};$$

i = 0, ..., n - 1; j = 1, ..., N.

That is

$$(\phi - \psi)^{(n)}(t) = F(t, \phi, \psi) - F(t, \psi, \phi) = -B(\phi - \psi)$$
(4.5)

$$(\phi - \psi)^{(i)}(a) = (\phi - \psi)^{(i)}(b); \ i = 0, \dots, n - 1.$$
(4.6)

Now, we define  $p(t) = ((\phi - \psi)(t), (\phi - \psi)'(t), \dots, (\phi - \psi)^{(n-1)}(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$ . Therefore p' = Cp, p(a) = p(b). Since p(b) = exp(C(b-a))p(a), we obtain that  $p \equiv 0$  and, in consequence,  $\phi = \psi$ . That is,  $\phi^{(n)}(t) = F(t, \phi, \phi) = f(t, \phi)$ , which concludes the proof.

Similarly, using lemma 2.4 we prove the following result.

**Theorem 4.4:** The conclusions obtained in theorem 4.3 are valid if  $\alpha \ge \beta$ and the properties (iii) and (iv) are changed by

(iii)' F(t, u, v) is nondecreasing on u and nonincreasing on v.

(iv)' F(t,u,u) = f(t,u) and

$$F(t,y,z) - F(t,z,y) = B(y-z),$$

B being an  $N \times N$  matrix with nonnegative elements as such that  $exp(D(b-a)) \neq I$ , where D is defined as follows:

$$D \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I_{(n-1)N} \\ \hline B & 0 \end{array}\right).$$
  
Here  $I_{(n-1)N}$  is the  $(n-1)N \times (n-1)N$  identity matrix.

As consequence of the two previous lemmas we prove the following result.

**Theorem 4.5:** Let  $n \ge 2$ . Suppose that there exist  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in W^{n,1}(I,\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\alpha \le \beta$  ( $\alpha \ge \beta$ ) and f a Carathéodory function, satisfying

$$-B(x-y) \le f(t,x) - f(t,y) \le B(x-y),$$

with  $y \leq x$  between  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$ , where B is an  $N \times N$  matrix with nonnegative elements.

If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(n)}(t) &\geq f(t, \alpha(t)) + B \mid \beta(t) - \alpha(t) \mid \text{ for a.e. } t \in I \\ \alpha^{(i)}_{j}(a) - \alpha^{(i)}_{j}(b) &= \lambda_{i, j}; i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, j = 1, \dots, N \\ \alpha^{(n-1)}_{j}(a) - \alpha^{(n-1)}_{j}(b) &\geq \lambda_{n-1, j}; j = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta^{(n)}(t) \le f(t,\beta(t)) - B | \beta(t) - \alpha(t) | \text{ for a.e. } t \in I$$
  
$$\beta^{(i)}_{j}(a) - \beta^{(i)}_{j}(b) = \lambda_{i,j}; i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, j = 1, \dots, N$$
  
$$\beta^{(n-1)}_{j}(a) - \beta^{(n-1)}_{j}(b) \le \lambda_{n-1,j}; j = 1, \dots, N.$$

And  $exp(C(b-a)) \neq I$   $(exp(D(b-a)) \neq I)$  (C and D given in theorems 4.3 and 4.4).

Then there exists a unique solution u between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of the problem (4.1)-(4.2). Furthermore, there exist two monotone sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$ , with  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and  $\beta_0 = \beta$ , which converge uniformly to the solution u.

**Proof:** If  $\alpha \leq \beta$  we define F as follows:

$$F(t, u, v) = \frac{1}{2} [f(t, u) + f(t, v) - B(u - v)].$$

It is easy to prove that the function F satisfies the conditions of theorem 4.3. If  $\alpha \geq \beta$  the function F is defined as follows:

$$F(t,u,v) = rac{1}{2}[f(t,u) + f(t,v) + B(u-v)].$$

Clearly, the function F satisfies the conditions of theorem 4.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bernfeld, S.R. and Lakshmikantham, V., An Introduction to Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems, Academic Press, New York 1974.
- [2] Cabada, A., The method of lower and upper solutions for second, third, fourth and higher order boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear).
- [3] Cabada, A. and Nieto, J.J., A generalization of the monotone iterative technique for nonlinear second order periodic boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 151 (1990), 181-189.
- [4] Cabada, A. and Nieto, J.J., Extremal solutions of second order nonlinear periodic boundary value problems, Appl. Math. Comput. 40 (1990), 135-145.
- [5] Khavanin, M. and Lakshmikantham, V., The method of mixed monotony and first order differential systems, Nonlinear Anal. 10:9 (1986), 873-877.
- [6] Ladde, G.S., Lakshmikantham, V. and Vatsala, A.S., Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations, Pitman, Boston 1985.
- [7] Nieto, J.J., Nonlinear second order periodic boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 130 (1988), 22-29.
- [8] Nieto, J.J., Nonlinear second order periodic boundary value problems with Carathéodory functions, Appl. Anal. 34 (1989), 111-128.
- [9] Nieto, J.J., Periodic solutions for third order ordinary differential equations, Commentat. Math. Univ. Carol. 32 (1991), 495-499.
- [10] Nieto, J.J. and Cabada, A., A generalized upper and lower solution method for nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations, J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal. 5 (1992), 157-166.
- [11] Omari, P., A monotone method for constructing extremal solutions of second order scalar boundary value problems, Appl. Math. Comp. 18 (1986), 257-275.
- [12] Omari, P., Nonordered lower and upper solutions and solvability of the periodic problem for the Liénard and the Rayleigh equations, *Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste* 20 (1991), 54-64.

- [13] Omari, P. and Trombetta, M., Remarks on the lower and upper solutions methods for second and third order periodic boundary value problems, Appl. Math. Comput. 50 (1992), 1-21.
- [14] Rudolf, B. and Kubacek, Z., Remarks on J.J. Nieto's paper: Nonlinear second order periodic boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 146 (1990), 203-206.
- [15] Šeda, V., Nieto, J.J. and Gera, M., Periodic boundary value problems for nonlinear higher order ordinary differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput. 48 (1992), 71-82.