AN APPROACH TO THE STOCHASTIC CALCULUS IN THE NON-GAUSSIAN CASE

ANDREY A. DOROGOVTSEV

Ukrainian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics 252601 Kiev, Tereshenkovskaia, 3

(Received January, 1994; Revised April, 1995)

ABSTRACT

We introduce and study a class of operators of stochastic differentiation and integration for non-Gaussian processes. As an application, we establish an analog of the Itô formula.

Key words: Non-Gaussian Stochastic Process, Stochastic Integral, Stochastic Derivative, Itô's Formula.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications: 60H05, 60G15, 60H25.

1. Introduction

Operators of stochastic differentiation D and an extended integration $I = D^*$ play an important role in stochastic calculus. In the Gaussian case and for certain special martingales, D and Ican be defined with the aid of an orthogonal expansion (cf., T. Sekiguchi, Y. Shiota [3]). Also, D and I can be defined by means of the usual differentiation with respect to the admissible translation of the probability measure (A.A. Dorogovtsev [2]). In all these situations there are some common features. In this article we consider a general scheme in which the operators D and I are constructed for a non-Gaussian case. Since I plays the role of stochastic integration, an analog of the Itô formula is also established.

2. Stochastic Derivative and the Logarithmic Process

Let $\{\xi(t); t \in [0,1]\}$ be a random process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$. A subset K of \mathbb{R}^n is said to have the *conic property* if for every $x \in K$, there exists a cone, C_x , with the nonempty interior and a neighborhood, U_x of x such that $x \in U_x \cap C_x \subset K$.

Suppose that the support of any finite-dimensional distribution of ξ has the conic property.

Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on the Borel σ -algebra $\mathfrak{B}([0,1])$.

Definition 1: A family of the random elements $\{\zeta(t); t \in [0,1]\}$ from $L_2(\Omega \times [0,1], P \times \lambda)$ is called a differentiation rule if

1)

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall t \in [0,1] {:} \, \zeta(t) \cdot \chi_{(t,1]} = 0 \ (\text{mod} \ P), \\ \text{for every tuple} \ t_1, \ldots, t_n \in [0,1], \ a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}, \ n \geq 1, \ G \in \mathfrak{F}, \ \text{such that} \end{array}$ 2)

$$(a_1\xi(t_1) + \ldots + a_n\xi(t_n))\chi_G = 0 \pmod{P},$$

the following equality holds

$$(a_1\zeta(t_1) + \ldots + a_n\zeta(t_n))\chi_G = 0 \pmod{P \times \lambda}.$$

Definition 2: Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded, continuously differentiable and have a bounded derivative. For a random variable

 $\alpha = \varphi(\xi(t_1), \dots, \xi(t_n)), \ t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1],$

the sum

$$\varphi_1'(\xi(t_1),\ldots,\xi(t_n))\zeta(t_1)+\ldots+\varphi_n'(\xi(t_1),\ldots,\xi(t_n))\cdot\zeta(t_n)$$

is called a stochastic derivative of α and denoted by $D\alpha$ (so $D\xi(t) = \zeta(t)$).

In the sequel, denote the set of all random variables from Definition 2 by \mathcal{M} . \mathcal{M} is a linear subset of L_2 $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$. Also for $t \in [0, 1]$, denote by \mathcal{M}_t the subset of \mathcal{M} which is only from $\{\xi(s), 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_0$.

Lemma 1: D is well-defined on \mathcal{M} .

Proof: Consider $\varphi, \psi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy the conditions in Definition 2, and let t_1, \ldots, t_n be such that

$$\varphi(\xi(t_1),\ldots,\xi(t_n))=\psi(\xi(t_1),\ldots,\xi(t_n)) \pmod{P}.$$

Then, it follows from the assumption about ξ that for all i = 1, ..., n,

$$\varphi'_{i}(\xi(t_{1}),\ldots,\xi(t_{n}))=\psi'_{i}(\xi(t_{1}),\ldots,\xi(t_{n}))(\operatorname{mod} P).$$

Thus, the corresponding sums in Definition 2 are equal. The lemma is proved.

Definition 3: A random process ξ is said to have a *logarithmic derivative* with respect to a differentiation rule ζ if there exist a random process $\{\rho_{\Delta}, \Delta \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ indexed by the Borel subsets of [0,1] such that

1) $\forall \Delta \in \mathfrak{B}, \ M \rho_{\Delta}^2 < +\infty;$ 2) $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } \forall \Delta \in \mathfrak{B};$

$$M\int\limits_{\Delta}Dlpha(au)d au=Mlpha\cdot
ho_{\Delta}.$$

In the sequel, suppose that the process ξ satisfies the conditions in Definition 3.

Definition 4: Denote for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$m(t) = \rho_{[0,t]}$$

The process $\{m(t); t \in [0,1]\}$ is called the *logarithmic process*.

Let for $t \in [0,1]$, $\mathfrak{I}_t = \sigma(\{\xi(s); s \leq t\})$. Note, that analogous processes were considered in different situation in A. Benassi [1].

Lemma 2: For $0 \le s \le t \le 1$,

$$M(m(t) - m(s)/\mathfrak{I}_s) = 0 \quad (mod P).$$

Proof: For $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_s$ consider

$$M(m(t) - m(s)) \cdot \alpha = M \rho_{[0,t]} \cdot \alpha - M_{\rho[0,s]} \cdot \alpha$$

$$= M \int_{0}^{t} D\alpha(\tau) d\tau - M \int_{0}^{s} D\alpha(\tau) d\tau$$
$$= M \int_{(s,t]} D\alpha(\tau) d\tau$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} M \int_{(s,t]} \varphi'_{i}(\xi(\tau_{1}), \dots, \xi(\tau_{n})) \cdot \zeta(\tau_{i})(\tau) d\tau = 0 \pmod{P}.$$

Since the set \mathcal{M}_s is dense in $L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_s, P)$ then the statement of the lemma follows.

For further considerations the following result will be useful.

Lemma 3: The operator D can be closed as a linear operator from $\mathcal{M} \subset L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{T}, P)$ to $L_2(\Omega \times [0,1], P \times \lambda)$.

Proof: Consider a sequence $\{\alpha_n; n \ge 1\} \subset \mathcal{M}$, such that there exists $\nu \in L_2(\Omega \times [0,1], P \times \lambda)$ for

$$M\alpha_n^2 \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,$$

$$M \int_0^1 (D\alpha_n(\tau) - \nu(\tau))^2 \lambda(d\tau) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Then, for every $\Delta \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{split} M\beta \cdot &\int_{\Delta} \nu(\tau) d\tau = \lim_{n \to \infty} M\beta \cdot \int_{\Delta} D\alpha_n(\tau) d\tau \\ = &\lim_{n \to \infty} (M \int_{\Delta} D(\alpha_n \beta)(\tau) d\tau - M\alpha_n \int_{\Delta} D\beta(\tau) d\tau \\ = &\lim_{n \to \infty} (M\alpha_n \beta \cdot \rho_\Delta - M\alpha_n \int_{\Delta} D\beta(\tau) d\tau \\ = &\lim_{n \to \infty} M\alpha_n (\beta \cdot \rho_\Delta - \int_{\Delta} D\beta(\tau) d\tau) = 0 \pmod{P}. \\ &\int_{\Delta} \nu(\tau) d\tau = 0 \pmod{P}. \end{split}$$

So,

Since Δ was arbitrary,

The lemma is proved.

Denote the closure of D by the same symbol. The domain of D is denoted by W^1 .

 $\nu = 0 \pmod{P \times \lambda}.$

3. Integral with Respect to the Logarithmic Process and the Procedure of Approximation

Definition 5: The adjoint operator

$$I = D^*: L_2(\Omega \times [0,1]; P \times \lambda) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$$

363

is called a stochastic integration with respect to the process m. The domain of I is dented by \mathfrak{D} .

In the following, suppose that

$$\forall \Delta \in \mathfrak{B}: \rho_{\Lambda} \in W^1,$$

and, that the correspondence $\Delta \mapsto \rho_{\Delta}$ can be extended by the bounded linear operator A: $L_2([0,1],\lambda) \to W^1$ (the inner product in W^1 is defined in the usual way, as a sum of L_2 -products of random variables and their stochastic derivatives). Note that under this assumption, each $\varphi \in$ $L_2([0,1])$ also belongs to \mathfrak{D} and

$$I(\varphi = A(\varphi))$$

To have I act on random elements of $L_2([0,1])$, i.e., to define an extended stochastic integral with respect to the process m, we need the following.

Let $\{K_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of symmetric kernels defined on $[0,1]^2$ such that

 $\boldsymbol{K_n} \in L_2([0,1]^2, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \times \boldsymbol{\lambda}),$ 1) $\forall \varphi \in L_2([0,1],\lambda),$

2)

$$K_n(\varphi) \rightarrow \varphi, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where K_n is an integral operator in $L_2([0,1],\lambda)$ with the kernel K_n . Denote for $n \ge 1$,

$$h_n(s,r) = D(\int_0^1 K_n(s,\tau)dm(\tau))(r).$$

It follows form the existence of the operator A that

$$\forall n \ge 1; h_n \in L_2([0,1]^2, \lambda \times \lambda) \pmod{P}.$$

Consider the following sequences of integral operators with random kernels:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varphi \in L_2([0,1],\lambda) \text{ and } \forall n \geq 1; \\ B_n(\varphi)(t) &= \int_0^1 \varphi(s) \int_0^1 h_n(s,\tau) K_n(t,\tau) d\tau ds, \\ C_n(\varphi)(t) &= \int_0^t \varphi(s) \int_0^1 h_n(s,\tau) K_n(t,\tau) d\tau ds. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that for the every φ there exist

$$L_2 - \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n(\varphi) = B(\varphi) \text{ and } L_2 - \lim_{n \to \infty} C_n(\varphi) = C(\varphi).$$

Then the operators B and C are strong random linear operators (A.V. Skorokhod [4]) which are continuous in L_2 -sense.

Definition 6: A random element x from $L_2([0,1],\lambda)$ is said to belong to the domain of B (or C) if the sequence $\{B_n(x); n \ge 1\}$ converges in L_2 -sense $(\{C_n(x); n \ge 1\}$ respectively).

The following statement can be verified.

Lemma 4: Let H be a separable real Hilbert space embedded into $L_2([0;1],\lambda)$ by the Hilbert-Schmidt operator, and let x be an essentially bounded random element of H. Then, $x \in \mathfrak{D}(B)$ and $x \in \mathfrak{D}(C).$

Now, consider the stochastic integration. Suppose that the differentiation rule is such that the highest derivatives are symmetric, i.e.,

$$D^2\alpha(\tau_1,\tau_2) = D^2\alpha(\tau_2,\tau_1) \pmod{P \times \lambda \times \lambda}.$$

The space of random variables which have kth stochastic derivative will be denoted by W^k .

Lemma 5: For every bounded $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in W^2$ and for every $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_n \in L^2([0;1], \lambda)$, the sum $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \varphi_i \in \mathfrak{D}$

and

$$i = 1$$

$$I(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i I(\varphi_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^1 D\alpha_i(\tau) \varphi_i(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$MI(x)=0,$$

$$MI(x)^{2} = M \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} (Bx)(\tau)x(\tau)d\tau + tr(Dx \cdot Dx) \right\}.$$

Proof: First consider $x = \alpha \cdot \varphi$. For every $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$,

$$M \int_{0}^{1} D\beta(\tau) \cdot x(\tau) d\tau = M\alpha \int_{0}^{1} D\beta(\tau)\varphi(\tau) d\tau$$
$$= M \int_{0}^{1} (D(\alpha\beta)(\tau) - \beta D\alpha(\tau))\varphi(\tau) d\tau$$
$$= M\alpha\beta I(\varphi) - M\beta \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha(\tau)\varphi(\tau) d\tau$$
$$= M\beta [\alpha I(\varphi) - \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha(\tau)\varphi(\tau) d\tau].$$

So, $\alpha \cdot \varphi \in \mathfrak{D}$ and

$$I(\alpha \cdot \varphi) = \alpha \cdot I(\varphi) - \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha(\tau)\varphi(\tau)d\tau.$$

Consequently,

$$I(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\varphi_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}I(\varphi_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i}(\tau)\varphi_{i}(\tau)d\tau$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}I(\varphi_{i}) - tr(D\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\varphi_{i}).$$

To prove that MI(x) = 0 it is sufficient to see that D1 = 0 and use the equation $I = D^*$. Now, consider the following chain of equalities:

$$MI(x)^{2} = M \quad \left[\sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}}\alpha_{i_{2}}I(\varphi_{i_{1}}) \cdot I(\varphi_{i_{2}}) - 2\sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}}I(\varphi_{i_{1}}) \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{2}(\tau)d\tau\right]$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{1}}(\tau)d\tau \cdot \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}}\alpha_{i_{2}} \cdot \int_{0}^{1} D(I(\varphi_{i_{1}}))(\tau) \cdot \varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}}I(\varphi_{i_{1}}) \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{2}}I(\varphi_{i_{1}}) \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau - \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}}I(\varphi_{i_{1}}) \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}}\alpha_{i_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} D(I(\varphi_{i_{1}}))(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau + \int_{1}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}}\alpha_{i_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} D(I(\varphi_{i_{1}}))(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{1}}(\tau)d\tau \cdot \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}}\alpha_{i_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} D(I(\varphi_{i_{1}}))(\tau)\varphi_{i_{1}}(\tau)d\tau + \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}}\alpha_{i_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{1}}(\tau)d\tau \cdot \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau d\tau \\ &- \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{1}}(\tau)d\tau + \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{1}}(\tau)d\tau + \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau d\tau \\ &- \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{1}}(\tau)d\tau + \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{2}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau d\tau \\ &= M \left[\sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} D(I(\varphi_{i_{1}}))(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau \\ &= M \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} D(I(\varphi_{i_{1}}))(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} D\alpha_{i_{1}}(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau \\ &= M \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} D(I(\varphi_{i_{1}}))(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau \\ &= M \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} D(I(\varphi_{i_{1}}))(\tau)\varphi_{i_{2}}(\tau)d\tau \\ &= M \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}} \int_{0}$$

Note that, due to the previous lemma, $x \in \mathfrak{D}(B)$, and

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_0^1 \varphi_i(s) \int_0^1 D\left(\int_0^1 K_n(s,r) dm(r)\right)(\tau) K_n(\cdot,\tau) ds d\tau, \quad n \ge 1.$$

So, from the assumption about the operator A, it follows that

$$B(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \int_0^1 K_n(\cdot, \tau) \cdot D \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \varphi_i(s) K_n(s, r) ds \right) dm(r) \right) (\tau) d\tau$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \cdot D(I(\varphi_i)).$$

quently,
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \cdot Q_i \int_0^1 D(I(\varphi_i)) (\tau) d\tau = \int_0^1 P(\varphi_i) (\tau) r(\tau) d\tau$$

Conse

$$\sum_{i_1i_2=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2} \int_{0}^{1} D(I(\varphi_{i_1}))(\tau) \varphi_{i_2}(\tau) d\tau = \int_{0}^{1} B(x)(\tau) x(\tau) d\tau.$$

The lemma is proved.

From this lemma and from the fact that I is a closed operator, it follows that every random element x that satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4 and has a stochastic derivative belongs to \mathfrak{I} , and the equalities from Lemma 5 are valid.

The famous particular case of this situation is as follows. Let H be a Sobolev space of the first order on [0,1]. Then elements of H have usual derivatives with respect to parameters from [0,1]. Suppose that x satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4 and that Dx is a.s. a nuclear operator. Then,

$$I(x) = x(1)m(1) - \int_{0}^{1} m(t)x'(t)dt - trDx.$$

Note also that in this case,

$$I(x) = P - \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} x(t) \int_{0}^{1} K_{n}(t,\tau) dm(\tau) dt - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} Dx(t)(\tau) K_{n}(t,\tau) d\tau dt \right\}.$$
 (1)

This expansion enables one to establish the Itô formula.

Theorem (The Itô formula): Let a function $F:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ have a continuous bounded derivative of the first and second order, and let the random process x satisfy the conditions:

- x has the second stochastic derivative; 1)
- 2)for every $\tau \in [0,1]$, x and $Dx(\cdot)(\tau)$ satisfy all integrability conditions (considered above);
- $Dx(\cdot)(\cdot) \in C([0,1]^2) \pmod{P};$ 3)
- x, Dx and D^2x are bounded. 4)

Then, the following random process

$$z(t) = \int_0^t x(\tau) dm(\tau), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

is well-defined and it holds true that

$$F(t, z(t)) = F(0, 0) + \int_{0}^{t} F_{1}'(s, z(s))ds$$

+
$$\int_{0}^{t} F_{2}'(s, z(s))x(s)dm(s) + \int_{0}^{t} x(s)F_{22}''(s, z(s))C(x)(s)ds$$

+
$$\int_{0}^{t} x(s)F_{22}''(s, z(s)) \cdot \int_{0}^{s} Dx(r)(s)dm(r)ds.$$

The proof follows directly from the expansion (1) and approximation arguments.

4. Examples

Example 1: (Wiener case) Let $\xi(t) = w(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ be a Wiener process. Consider the differentiation rule of the form $\zeta(t) = \chi_{[0,t]}, t \in [0,1]$. Then the stochastic derivative D which is obtained from this rule is a well-known stochastic derivative of L_2 -integrable Wiener functionals (T. Sekiguchi, Y. Shiota [3]) and m(t) = w(t), $t \in [0,1]$.

Now the operator B is the identity operator and $C = \frac{1}{2}B$. Then, from the previous theorem we can obtain the Itô formula for the extended stochastic integral in the Gaussian case:

$$F(t,z(t)) = F(0,0) + \int_0^t F'_1(s,z(s))ds + \int_0^t F'_2(s,z(s))dw(s) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t F''_{22}(s,z(s)) \cdot x(s)^2ds + \int_0^t x(s)F''_{22}(s,z(s)) \cdot \int_0^s Dx(r)(s)dw(r)ds.$$

Example 2: Let the distribution of the process ξ in the space C([0,1]) be absolutely continuous with respect to the Wiener measure with the density p. Suppose, that

1) $0 < \inf p \le \sup p < +\infty,$

2) p has a bounded continuous derivative on C([0,1]).

Consider the differentiation rule from Example 1: $\zeta(t) = \chi_{[0,t]}, t \in [0,1]$. Then the stochastic derivative of the random variable α from the family $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M})$ is of type

$$D\alpha = D\varphi(\xi(t_1), \dots, \xi(t_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi'_i \chi_{[0, t_i]}.$$

Hence, for the Borel subset

$$M \int_{\Delta} D\alpha(\tau) d\tau = M \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_i' \langle \delta_{t_i}, \int_{0}^{\cdot} \chi_{\Delta}(\tau) d\tau \rangle.$$

Here δ_t is Dirac δ -function with respect to the point t. Denote by u_{Δ} the function

$$u_{\Delta}(s) = \int_{0}^{s} \chi_{\Delta}(\tau) d au, \ s \in [0,1],$$

by ν the distribution of ξ , and by μ the Wiener measure. Also, denote by Φ the following function on C([0,1]):

$$\forall v \in C([0,1]), \Phi(v) = \varphi(v(t_1), \dots, v(t_n)).$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} M & \int_{\Delta} D\alpha(\tau) d\tau = \int \langle \Phi'(v); u_{\Delta} \rangle \nu(dv) = \int \langle \Phi'(v); u_{\Delta} \rangle p(v) \mu(dv) \\ = & \int \langle (p(v)\Phi(v))'; u_{\Delta} \rangle \mu(dv) - \int \langle p'(v); u_{\Delta} \rangle \cdot \Phi(v) \mu(dv) = \int \Phi(v) p(v) \cdot \int_{\Delta} dv(\tau) \mu(dv) \end{split}$$

$$-\int \Phi(v) \langle (lnp(v))'; u_{\Delta} \rangle p(v) \mu(dv) = \int \Phi(v) \left[\int_{\Delta} dv(\tau) - \langle (lnp(v))'; u_{\Delta} \rangle \right] \nu(dv)$$

Here the symbol of integration is used for the integration through all C([0,1]), and the integral

$$\int\limits_{\Delta} dv(au)$$

is a measurable linear functional on C([0,1]) with respect to the measure $\nu \sim \mu$. Note also that the function

$$\int\limits_{\Delta} dv(\tau) - \langle (lnp(v))'; u_{\Delta} \rangle$$

is square-integrable with respect to the measure ν . Consequently, ξ has a logarithmic derivative, and

$$ho_{\Delta} = \int_{\Delta} d\xi(\tau) - \langle (lnp(\xi))'; u_{\Delta} \rangle.$$

So, the operator D is closed, and for every bounded functional ψ , which has a bounded continuous derivative on C([0,1]), the random variable $\psi(\xi)$ belongs to W^1 ; in particular, $\ln p(\xi) \in W^1$ and

$$\int_{\Delta} d\ln p(\xi)(\tau) d\tau = \langle (\ln p(\xi))'; u_{\Delta} \rangle.$$

Hence, the logarithmic process is of the form

$$m(t) = \xi(t) - \int_0^t D \ln p(\xi)(\tau) d\tau.$$

Now the second stochastic derivatives are symmetric. So to estimate the second moment of the extended stochastic integral only the operator B is essential. To describe the operators B and C let us find the stochastic derivative of the integral

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(\tau) dm(\tau) = \int_{0}^{1} f(\tau) d\xi(\tau) - \int_{0}^{1} f(\tau) D \ln p(\xi)(\tau) d\tau.$$

Using the approximation by step functions, it can be verified that

$$D\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(\tau)dm(\tau)\right)(s) = f(s) + \int_{0}^{1} f(\tau) \cdot D^{2}ln \, p(\xi)(\tau,s)d\tau, \ s \in [0,1].$$

Consequently, for the $n \geq 1$,

$$B_{n}(\varphi)(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(s) \int_{0}^{1} \left[K_{n}(s,\tau) + \int_{0}^{1} K_{n}(s,r) D^{2} ln \, p(\xi)(r,\tau) dr \right] \cdot K_{n}(t,\tau) d\tau ds.$$

Hence,

$$B(\varphi)(t) = \varphi(t) + \int_0^1 D^2 ln \, p(\xi)(t,s)\varphi(s) ds.$$

In a similar way,

$$C(\varphi)(t) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(t) + \int_0^t D^2 \ln p(\xi)(s,t)\varphi(s)ds.$$

Now the second moment of the extended stochastic integral and the Itô formula have the form

$$\begin{split} M\left(\int_{0}^{1} x(t)dm(t)\right)^{2} &= M\int_{0}^{1} x^{2}(t)dt + M\int_{0}^{1} \int D^{2}ln \, p(\xi)(t,s)x(t)x(s)dtds + M(tr(Dx)^{2}, \\ F(t,z(t)) &= F(0,0) + \int_{0}^{t} F_{1}'(s,z(s))ds + \int_{0}^{t} F_{2}'(s,z(s))x(s)dm(s) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t} F_{22}''(s,z(s))x^{2}(s)ds + \int_{0}^{t} F_{22}''(s,z(s))x(s)\int_{0}^{s} D^{2}ln \, p(\xi)(\tau,s)x(\tau)d\tau ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} x(s)F_{22}''(s,z(s))\cdot \int_{0}^{s} Dx(r)(s)dm(r)ds. \end{split}$$

References

- [1] Benassi, A., Calcul stochastique anticipatif: Martingales Hierarchiques, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. T311 Ser. I:7 (1990), 457-460.
- [2] Dorogovtsev, A.A., On the family of Itô formulas for the logarithmic processes/Asymptotic analysis of the random evolutions, *Kiev. Inst. of Math.* (1994), 101-112.
- [3] Sekiguchi, T. and Shiota, Y., L₂-theory of non-causal stochastic integrals, Math. Rep. Toyama Univ. 8 (1985), 119-195.
- [4] Skorokhod, A.V., Random Linear Operators, Naukova Dumka, Kiev 1979.