

ESSENTIAL SPECTRA OF QUASISIMILAR (p, k)-QUASIHYPONORMAL OPERATORS

AN-HYUN KIM AND IN HYOUN KIM

Received 1 July 2005; Accepted 20 September 2005

It is shown that if $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is an 2×2 upper-triangular operator matrix acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and if $\sigma_e(\cdot)$ denotes the essential spectrum, then the passage from $\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)$ to $\sigma_e(M_C)$ is accomplished by removing certain open subsets of $\sigma_e(A) \cap \sigma_e(B)$ from the former. Using this result we establish that quasisimilar (p, k)-quasihyponormal operators have equal spectra and essential spectra.

Copyright © 2006 A.-H. Kim and I. H. Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be infinite-dimensional separable complex Hilbert spaces and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be the set of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} . We abbreviate $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ write $\sigma(T)$ for the spectrum of T . An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is called *left-Fredholm* if it has closed range with finite-dimensional null space and *right-Fredholm* if it has closed range with its range of finite codimension. If A is both left- and right-Fredholm, we call it *Fredholm*: in this case, we define the *index* of A by

$$\text{index}(A) = \frac{\dim A^{-1}(0) - \dim \mathcal{H}}{A(\mathcal{H})}. \quad (1.1)$$

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *Weyl* if it is Fredholm of index zero. If $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, then the left essential spectrum $\sigma_e^+(A)$, the right essential spectrum $\sigma_e^-(A)$, the essential spectrum $\sigma_e(A)$, and the Weyl spectrum $w(A)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_e^+(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda I \text{ is not left-Fredholm}\}; \\ \sigma_e^-(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda I \text{ is not right-Fredholm}\}; \\ \sigma_e(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda I \text{ is not Fredholm}\}; \\ w(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda I \text{ is not Weyl}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

2 Essential spectra of quasisimilar operators

When $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ are given we denote by M_C an operator acting on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ of the form

$$M_C := \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$. For bounded linear operators A, B , and C , the equality

$$\sigma(M_C) = \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) \quad (1.4)$$

and the equality

$$w(M_C) = w(A) \cup w(B) \quad (1.5)$$

were studied by numerous authors. In [5, 10], it was shown that if $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B)$ (or $w(A) \cap w(B)$) has no interior points, then (1.4) (or (1.5)) is satisfied for every $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$.

Recall [9] that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called (p, k) -quasihyponormal if $T^{*k}(|T|^{2p} - |T^*|^{2p})T^k \geq 0$, where $0 < p \leq 1$ and k is a positive integer. This includes p -hyponormal operators ($k = 0$), k -quasihyponormal operators ($p = 1$), and p -quasihyponormal operators ($k = 1$). The followings are well known:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{hyponormal operators}\} &\subseteq \{p\text{-hyponormal operators}\} \\ &\subseteq \{p\text{-quasihyponormal operators}\} \\ &\subseteq \{(p, k)\text{-quasihyponormal operators}\}, \quad (1.6) \\ \{\text{hyponormal operators}\} &\subseteq \{k\text{-quasihyponormal operators}\} \\ &\subseteq \{(p, k)\text{-quasihyponormal operators}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ is called *regular* if there is an operator $A' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ for which $A = AA'A$; then A' is called a *generalized inverse* for A . In this case, \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H} can be decomposed as follows (cf. [6, 7]):

$$A^{-1}(0) \oplus A'A(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}, \quad A(\mathcal{H}) \oplus (AA')^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{H}. \quad (1.7)$$

It is familiar [3, 7] that $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ is regular if and only if A has closed range.

If \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H} are Hilbert spaces and $X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded linear transformation having trivial kernel and dense range, then X is called *quasiaffinity*. If $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, and there exist quasiaffinities $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$, $Y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ satisfying $XA = BX$, $AY = YB$, then A and B are said to be *quasisimilar*. Quasismilarity is an equivalent relation weaker than similarity. Similarity preserves the spectrum and essential spectrum of an operator, but this fails to be true for quasisimilarity. Therefore it is natural to ask that for operators A and B such that A and B are quasisimilar, what condition should be imposed on A and B to insure the equality relation $\sigma_e(A) = \sigma_e(B)$ ($\sigma(A) = \sigma(B)$)?

It is known that quasisimilar normal operators are unitarily equivalent [2, Lemma 4.1]. Thus quasisimilar normal operators have equal spectra and essential spectra. Clary [1, Theorem 2] proved that quasisimilar hyponormal operators have equal spectra and asked

whether quasisimilar hyponormal operators also have essential spectra. Later Williams (see [11, Theorem 1], [12, Theorem 3]) showed that two quasisimilar quasinormal operators and under certain conditions two quasisimilar hyponormal operators have equal essential spectra. Gupta [4, Theorem 4] showed that biquasitriangular and quasisimilar k -quasihyponormal operators have equal essential spectra. On the other hand, Yang [13, Theorem 2.10] proved that quasisimilar M -hyponormal operators have equal essential spectra, and Yingbin and Zikun [14, Corollary 12] showed that quasisimilar p -hyponormal operators have also equal spectra and essential spectra. Very recently, Jeon et al. [8, Theorem 5] showed that quasisimilar injective p -quasihyponormal operators have equal spectra and essential spectra. In this paper we give some conditions for operators A and B (A is left-Fredholm and B is right-Fredholm) to exist an operator C such that M_C is Fredholm, and describe the essential spectra of M_C . Using this result we establish that quasisimilar (p, k) -quasihyponormal operators have equal spectra and essential spectra.

2. Main results

We need auxiliary lemmas to prove the main result.

LEMMA 2.1. *For a given pair (A, B) of operators if $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is Fredholm, then M_C is Fredholm for every $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$. Hence, in particular,*

$$\sigma_e(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_e \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. This follows at once from the observation that $\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$. □

LEMMA 2.2 [10, Corollary 2]. *Suppose $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are Hilbert spaces. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$, and $ST \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z})$ have closed ranges, then there is isomorphism*

$$T^{-1}(0) \oplus S^{-1}(0) \oplus (ST\mathcal{X})^\perp \cong (ST)^{-1}(0) \oplus (T\mathcal{X})^\perp \oplus (S\mathcal{Y})^\perp. \tag{2.2}$$

The following lemma gives a necessary and sufficient condition for M_C to be Fredholm. This is a Fredholm version of [10, Lemma 4].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is Fredholm for some $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ if and only if A and B satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) A is left-Fredholm,
- (ii) B is right-Fredholm,
- (iii) $(A \text{ Fredholm} \Leftrightarrow B \text{ Fredholm})$.

Proof. Since $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$, we can see that if M_C is Fredholm, then $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ is left-Fredholm and $\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is right-Fredholm, so that A is left-Fredholm and B is right-Fredholm. On the other hand, since, evidently, $\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ have closed ranges, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$A^{-1}(0) \oplus B^{-1}(0) \oplus (\text{ran}(M_C))^\perp \cong \ker(M_C) \oplus A(\mathcal{H})^\perp \oplus B(\mathcal{H})^\perp. \tag{2.3}$$

4 Essential spectra of quasisimilar operators

Since by assumption M_C is Fredholm, we have

$$\dim B^{-1}(0) < \infty \iff \dim A(\mathcal{H})^\perp < \infty, \quad (2.4)$$

which together with the fact that A is left-Fredholm and B is right-Fredholm gives the condition (iii).

For the converse we assume that conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) hold. First observe that if A and B are both Fredholm, then by Lemma 2.1, M_C is Fredholm for every C . Thus we suppose that A and B are not Fredholm. But since A is left-Fredholm and B is right-Fredholm, it follows that

$$B^{-1}(0) \cong A(\mathcal{H})^\perp. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that A and B are both regular, and so we suppose $A = AA'A$ and $B = BB'B$. Then as in (1.7), \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} can be decomposed as

$$A(\mathcal{H}) \oplus (AA')^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{H}, \quad B^{-1}(0) \oplus B'B(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}. \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.5) we have $(AA')^{-1}(0) \cong B^{-1}(0)$. So there exists an isomorphism $J : B^{-1}(0) \rightarrow (AA')^{-1}(0)$. Define an operator $C : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : B^{-1}(0) \oplus B'B(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow (AA')^{-1}(0) \oplus A(\mathcal{H}). \quad (2.7)$$

Then we have that $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, $C(\mathcal{K}) = (AA')^{-1}(0)$, and $C^{-1}(0) = B'B(\mathcal{K})$. We now claim that M_C is Fredholm. Indeed,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ k \end{pmatrix} = 0 \implies Ah = Ck = Bk = 0 \quad (\text{because } A(\mathcal{H}) \cap C(\mathcal{K}) = \{0\}), \quad (2.8)$$

which implies $k = 0$, and hence

$$\ker \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1}(0) \oplus 0_{\mathcal{K}}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(\mathcal{H}) + (AA')^{-1}(0) \\ B(\mathcal{K}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ B(\mathcal{K}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.10)$$

and hence

$$\left(\text{ran} \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right)^\perp \cong 0_{\mathcal{H}} \oplus B(\mathcal{K})^\perp. \quad (2.11)$$

The spaces in (2.9) and (2.11) are both finite dimensional. Thus M_C is Fredholm. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.4. *For a given pair (A, B) of operators the following holds*

$$\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_e(M_C) = \sigma_e^+(A) \cup \sigma_e^-(B) \cup [(\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)) \setminus (\sigma_e(A) \cap \sigma_e(B))]. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence in particular, for every $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$,

$$(\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)) \setminus (\sigma_e(A) \cap \sigma_e(B)) \subset \sigma_e(M_C) \subset \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B). \quad (2.13)$$

The proof is immediate from Lemma 2.3, Corollary 2.4, and Lemma 2.1.

From Corollary 2.4 we see that $\sigma_e(M_C)$ shrinks from $\sigma_e \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)$. How much of $\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)$ survives? The following says that the passage from $\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)$ to $\sigma_e(M_C)$ is accomplished by removing certain open subsets of $\sigma_e(A) \cap \sigma_e(B)$ from the former.

THEOREM 2.5. *For operators $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, and $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$, there is equality*

$$\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B) = \sigma_e(M_C) \cup \mathfrak{S}, \quad (2.14)$$

where \mathfrak{S} is the union of certain of the holes in $\sigma_e(M_C)$ which happen to be subsets of $\sigma_e(A) \cap \sigma_e(B)$.

Proof. We first claim that, for every $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$,

$$\eta(\sigma_e(M_C)) = \eta(\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)), \quad (2.15)$$

where $\eta\mathcal{C}$ denotes the ‘‘polynomially convex hull,’’ which is also the ‘‘connected hull’’ obtained [6, 7] by ‘‘filling in the holes’’ of a compact subset. Since by (2.15), $\sigma_e(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)$ for every $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$, we need to show that $\partial(\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)) \subseteq \partial\sigma_e(M_C)$, where $\partial\mathcal{C}$ denotes the topological boundary of the compact set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. But since $\text{int}\sigma_e(M_C) \subseteq \text{int}(\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B))$, it suffices to show that $\partial(\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)) \subseteq \sigma_e(M_C)$. Indeed we have

$$\partial(\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)) \subseteq \partial\sigma_e(A) \cup \partial\sigma_e(B) \subseteq \sigma_e^+(A) \cup \sigma_e^-(B) \subseteq \sigma_e(M_C), \quad (2.16)$$

where the last inclusion follows from (2.13) and the second inclusion follows from the punctured neighborhood theorem (cf. [7]): for every operator T ,

$$\partial\sigma_e(T) \subseteq \sigma_e^+(T) \cap \sigma_e^-(T). \quad (2.17)$$

This proves (2.15). Consequently, (2.15) says that the passage from $\sigma_e(M_C)$ to $\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)$ is the filling in certain of the holes in $\sigma_e(M_C)$. But since, by (2.12), $(\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)) \setminus \sigma_e(M_C)$ is contained in $\sigma_e(A) \cap \sigma_e(B)$, it follows that any holes in $\sigma_e(M_C)$ which are filled in should occur in $\sigma_e(A) \cap \sigma_e(B)$. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.6. *If $\sigma_e(A) \cap \sigma_e(B)$ has no interior points, then, for every $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$,*

$$\sigma_e(M_C) = \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B). \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. This follows at once from Theorem 2.5. \square

6 Essential spectra of quasisimilar operators

The following lemma is used for proof of the main theorem.

LEMMA 2.7 [9, Lemma 1]. *If A is (p, k) -quasihyponormal operator and the range of A^k is not dense, then A has the following matrix representation:*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \overline{\text{ran}(A^k)} \oplus \ker(A^{*k}), \quad (2.19)$$

where A_1 is p -hyponormal on $\overline{\text{ran}(A^k)}$ and $A_3^k = 0$. Furthermore, $\sigma(A) = \sigma(A_1) \cup \{0\}$.

We are ready for proving the main theorem.

THEOREM 2.8. *If $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ are quasisimilar (p, k) -quasihyponormal operators, then $\sigma(A) = \sigma(B)$ and $\sigma_e(A) = \sigma_e(B)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ and $Y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ are injective operators with dense range such that $XA = BX$ and $AY = YB$. If the range of A^k is dense, then $B^kX = XA^k$ implies that the range of B^k is also dense. Therefore A and B are quasisimilar p -hyponormal operators, and hence the result follows from [14, Corollary 12]. If instead the range of A^k is not dense, then $A^kY = YB^k$ implies that the range of B^k is not dense. Therefore by Lemma 2.7, A and B have the following matrix representations:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \overline{\text{ran}(A^k)} \oplus \ker(A^{*k}), \\ B &= \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & B_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \overline{\text{ran}(B^k)} \oplus \ker(B^{*k}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where A_1 and B_1 are p -hyponormal and $A_3^k = B_3^k = 0$. Since quasisimilar p -hyponormal operators have equal spectra and essential spectra, in view of Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.7, it suffices to show that

- (i) A_1 and B_1 are quasisimilar;
- (ii) $\text{domain}(A_3) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \text{domain}(B_3) = \{0\}$.

Towards the statement (i), observe that

$$XA^k = BXA^{k-1} = \dots = B^kX, \quad YB^k = AYB^{k-1} = \dots = A^kY. \quad (2.21)$$

If we denote the $X_1 : \overline{\text{ran}(A^k)} \rightarrow \overline{\text{ran}(B^k)}$ and $Y_1 : \overline{\text{ran}(B^k)} \rightarrow \overline{\text{ran}(A^k)}$, then X_1 and Y_1 are injective and have dense range. Now for any $x \in \overline{\text{ran}(A^k)}$, $X_1A_1x = XAx = BXx = B_1X_1x$ and for any $y \in \overline{\text{ran}(B^k)}$, $Y_1B_1y = YBy = AYy = A_1Y_1y$. Hence A_1 and B_1 are quasisimilar.

For the statement (ii), assume that $A^{*k}x = 0$ for nonzero x in \mathcal{H} . Then by (2.21) we have that $B^{*k}Y^*x = 0$. Since Y^* is one to one, we have that $\text{domain}(B_3) = \{0\}$ implies $\text{domain}(A_3) = \{0\}$, and similarly, $\text{domain}(A_3) = \{0\}$ implies $\text{domain}(B_3) = \{0\}$, which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by a Grant (R14-2003-006-01000-0) from the Korea Research Foundation.

References

- [1] S. Clary, *Equality of spectra of quasi-similar hyponormal operators*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **53** (1975), no. 1, 88–90.
- [2] R. G. Douglas, *On the operator equation $S^*XT = X$ and related topics*, Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum (Szeged) **30** (1969), 19–32.
- [3] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, and M. A. Kaashoek, *Classes of Linear Operators. Vol. I, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, vol. 49, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990.
- [4] B. C. Gupta, *Quasimilarity and k -quasihyponormal operators*, Mathematics Today **3** (1985), 49–54.
- [5] J. K. Han, H. Y. Lee, and W. Y. Lee, *Invertible completions of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **128** (2000), no. 1, 119–123.
- [6] R. E. Harte, *Fredholm, Weyl and Browder theory*, Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy. Section A **85** (1985), no. 2, 151–176.
- [7] ———, *Invertibility and Singularity for Bounded Linear Operators*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 109, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [8] I. H. Jeon, J. I. Lee, and A. Uchiyama, *On p -quasihyponormal operators and quasimilarity*, Mathematical Inequalities & Applications **6** (2003), no. 2, 309–315.
- [9] I. H. Kim, *On (p, k) -quasihyponormal operators*, Mathematical Inequalities & Applications **7** (2004), no. 4, 629–638.
- [10] W. Y. Lee, *Weyl spectra of operator matrices*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **129** (2001), no. 1, 131–138.
- [11] L. R. Williams, *Equality of essential spectra of certain quasimilar seminormal operators*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **78** (1980), no. 2, 203–209.
- [12] ———, *Equality of essential spectra of quasimilar quasinormal operators*, Journal of Operator Theory **3** (1980), no. 1, 57–69.
- [13] L. M. Yang, *Quasimilarity of hyponormal and subdecomposable operators*, Journal of Functional Analysis **112** (1993), no. 1, 204–217.
- [14] R. Yingbin and Y. Zikun, *Spectral structure and subdecomposability of p -hyponormal operators*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **128** (2000), no. 7, 2069–2074.

An-Hyun Kim: Department of Mathematics, Changwon National University,
Changwon 641–773, South Korea
E-mail address: ahkim@changwon.ac.kr

In Hyoun Kim: Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, South Korea
E-mail address: ihkim@math.skku.ac.kr