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## 1. Introduction

The well-known Jensen's inequality for convex function is given as follows.
Theorem 1.1. If $(\Omega, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ is a probability space and if $f \in L^{1}(\mu)$ is such that $a \leq f(t) \leq b$ for all $t \in \Omega,-\infty \leq a<b \leq \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t)\right) \leq \int_{\Omega} \phi(f(t)) d \mu(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for any convex function $\phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In the case when $\phi$ is strictly convex on $[a, b]$ one has equality in (1.1) if and only if $f$ is constant almost everywhere on $\Omega$.

Here and in the whole paper we suppose that all integrals exist. By considering the difference of (1.1) for functional in [1] Anwar and Pečarić proved an interesting result of log-convexity. We can define this result for integrals as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Let $(\Omega, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ be a probability space and $f \in L^{1}(\mu)$ is such that $a \leq f(t) \leq b$ for all $t \in \Omega,-\infty \leq a<b \leq \infty$. Define

$$
\Lambda_{s}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{s(s-1)}\left(\int_{\Omega}(f(t))^{s} d \mu(t)-\left(\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t)\right)^{s}\right), & s \neq 0,1  \tag{1.2}\\ \log \left(\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t)\right)-\int_{\Omega} \log (f(t)) d \mu(t) \\ \int_{\Omega}(f(t)) \log (f(t)) d \mu(t)-\left(\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t)\right) \log \left(\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t)\right), & s=1\end{cases}
$$

and let $\Lambda_{s}$ be positive. Then $\Lambda_{s}$ is log-convex, that is, for $-\infty<r<s<u<\infty$, the following is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)^{u-r} \leq\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)^{u-s}\left(\Lambda_{u}\right)^{s-r} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following improvement of (1.1) was obtained in [2].
Theorem 1.3. Let the conditions of Theorem 1.1 be fulfilled. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \phi(f(t)) d \mu(t)-\phi\left(\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t)\right) \\
& \quad \geq\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| \phi(f(t))-\phi(\bar{f})\left|d \mu(t)-\left|\phi_{+}^{\prime}(\bar{f})\right| \int_{\Omega}\right| f(t)-\bar{f}|d \mu(t)| \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi_{+}^{\prime}(x)$ represents the right-hand derivative of $\phi$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}=\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\phi$ is concave, then left-hand side of (1.4) should be $\phi\left(\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t)\right)-\int_{\Omega} \phi f(t) d \mu(t)$.
In this paper, we give another proof and extension of Theorem 1.2 as well as improvements of Theorem 1.3 for monotone convex function with some applications. Also we give applications of the Jensen inequality for divergence measures in information theory and related Cauchy means.

## 2. Another Proof and Extension of Theorem 1.2

In fact, Theorem 1.2 for $\Omega=[a, b]$ and $0<r<s<u, r, s, u \neq 1$ was first of all initiated by Simić in [3].

Moreover, in his proof, he has used convex functions defined on $I=(-\infty, 0) \cup(0,1) \cup$ $(1,+\infty)$ (see [3, Theorem 1]). In his proof, he has used the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(x)=v^{2} \frac{x^{s}}{s(s-1)}+2 v w \frac{x^{r}}{r(r-1)}+w^{2} \frac{x^{u}}{u(u-1)}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=(s+u) / 2$ and $v, w, r, s, u$ are real with $r, s, t \in I$.
In [1] we have given correct proof by using extension of (2.1), so that it is defined on $\mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, we can give another proof so that we use only (2.1) but without using convexity as in [3].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider the function $\lambda(x)$ defined, as in [3], by (2.1).
Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)=\left(v x^{s / 2-1}+w x^{u / 2-1}\right)^{2} \geq 0, \quad \text { for } x>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\lambda(x)$ is convex. By using (1.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2} \Lambda_{s}+2 v w \Lambda_{r}+w^{2} \Lambda_{u} \geq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (2.3) is valid for all $s, r, u \in I$. Now since left-hand side of (2.3) is quadratic form, by the nonnegativity of it, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{(s+u) / 2}^{2}=\Lambda_{r}^{2} \leq \Lambda_{s} \Lambda_{u} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_{s}=\Lambda_{0}$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 1} \Lambda_{s}=\Lambda_{1}$, we also have that (2.4) is valid for $r, s, u \in$ $\mathbb{R}$. So $s \mapsto \Lambda_{s}$ is log-convex function in the Jensen sense on $\mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, continuity of $\Lambda_{s}$ implies log-convexity, that is, the following is valid for $-\infty<r<s<u<\infty$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)^{u-r} \leq\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)^{u-s}\left(\Lambda_{u}\right)^{s-r} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that it was used in [4] to get corresponding Cauchy's means. Moreover, we can extend the above result.

Theorem 2.1. Let the conditions of Theorem 1.2 be fulfilled and let $p_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ be real numbers. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Lambda_{p_{i j}}\right|_{k} \geq 0 \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|a_{i j}\right|_{k}$ define the determinant of order $k$ with elements $a_{i j}$ and $p_{i j}=\left(p_{i}+p_{j}\right) / 2$.

Proof. Consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} u_{i} u_{j} \frac{x^{p_{i j}}}{p_{i j}\left(p_{i j}-1\right)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>0$ and $u_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_{i j} \in I$.
So, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} u_{i} u_{j} x^{p_{i j}-2}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} x^{p_{i} / 2-1}\right)^{2} \geq 0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $f(x)$ is convex function, and as a consequence of (1.1), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} u_{i} u_{j} \Lambda_{p_{i j}} \geq 0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\left[\Lambda_{p_{i j}}\right]$ ( $\left[a_{i j}\right]$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix with elements $a_{i j}$ ) is nonnegative semi definite and (2.6) is valid for $p_{i j} \in I$. Moreover, since we have continuity of $\Lambda_{p_{i j}}$ for all $p_{i j}$, (2.6) is valid for all $p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$.

Remark 2.2. In Theorem 2.1, if we set $n=2$, we get Theorem 1.2.

## 3. Improvements of the Jensen Inequality for Monotone Convex Function

In this section and in the following section, we denote $\bar{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}$ and $P_{I}=\sum_{i \in I} p_{i}$.
Theorem 3.1. If $(\Omega, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ is a probability space and if $f \in L^{1}(\mu)$ is such $a \leq f(t) \leq b$ for $t \in \Omega$, and if $f(t) \geq \bar{f}$ for $t \in \Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right.$ is measurable, i.e., $\left.\Omega^{\prime} \in \mathbf{A}\right),-\infty<a<b \leq \infty$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \phi(f(t)) d \mu(t)-\phi\left(\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t)\right) \\
& \quad \geq\left|\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}(f(t)-\bar{f})\left[\phi(f(t))-\phi_{+}^{\prime}(\bar{f}) f(t)\right] d \mu(t)+\left[\phi(\bar{f})-\bar{f} \phi_{+}^{\prime}(\bar{f})\right]\left[1-2 \mu\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right]\right| \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}=\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for monotone convex function $\phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $\phi$ is monotone concave, then the left-hand side of (3.1) should be $\phi\left(\int_{\Omega} f(t) d \mu(t)\right)-\int_{\Omega} \phi(f(t)) d \mu(t)$.

Proof. Consider the case when $\phi$ is nondecreasing on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|\phi(f(t))-\phi(\bar{f})| d \mu(t) \\
&=\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}(\phi(f(t))-\phi(\bar{f})) d \mu(t)+\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}}(\phi(\bar{f})-\phi(f(t))) d \mu(t)  \tag{3.3}\\
&=\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} \phi(f(t)) d \mu(t)-\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}} \phi(f(t)) d \mu(t)-\phi(\bar{f}) \mu\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)+\phi(\bar{f}) \mu\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}\right) \\
&=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}(f(t)-\bar{f}) \phi(f(t)) d \mu(t)+\phi(\bar{f})\left(\mu\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|f(t)-\bar{f}| d \mu(t)=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}(f(t)-\bar{f}) f(t) d \mu(t)+\bar{f}\left(\mu\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (1.4), (3.3), and (3.4) we get (3.1).
The case when $\phi$ is nonincreasing can be treated in a similar way.
Of course a discrete inequality is a simple consequence of Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotone convex function, $x_{i} \in[a, b], p_{i}>0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$. If $x_{i} \geq \bar{x}$ for $i \in I \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}\left(=I_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \phi\left(x_{i}\right)-\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right) \\
& \quad \geq\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left[\phi\left(x_{i}\right)-x_{i} \phi_{+}^{\prime}(\bar{x})\right]+\left[\phi(\bar{x})-\bar{x} \phi_{+}^{\prime}(\bar{x})\right]\left[1-2 P_{I}\right]\right| . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\phi$ is monotone concave, then the left-hand side of (3.5) should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \phi\left(x_{i}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following improvement of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality is valid [5].

Corollary 3.3. Let $\phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex. Then
(i) the inequality

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \phi(t) d t-\phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \geq\left|\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\right| \phi(t)-\phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right\rvert\, d t  \tag{3.7}\\
\left.-\left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right)\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \right\rvert\,
\end{array}
$$

holds.
If $\phi$ is differentiable concave, then the left-hand side of (3.7) should be $\phi((a+b) / 2)$ $(1 /(b-a)) \int_{a}^{b} \phi(t) d t ;$
(ii) if $\phi$ is monotone, then the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \phi(t) d t-\phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \geq\left|\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\left(\phi(t)-t \phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right) d t\right| \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

holds. If $\phi$ is differentiable and monotone concave then the left-hand side of (3.8) should be $\phi((a+b) / 2)-(1 /(b-a)) \int_{a}^{b} \phi(t) d t$.

Proof. (i) Setting $\Omega=[a, b], f(t)=t, d \mu(t)=d t /(b-a)$ in (1.4), we get (3.7).
(ii) Setting $f(t)=t, d \mu(t)=d t /(b-a)$, and $\Omega=[a, b]$ in (3.1), we get (3.8).

## 4. Improvements of the Levinson Inequality

Theorem 4.1. If the third derivative of $f$ exist and is nonnegative, then for $0<x_{i}<a, p_{i}>0(1 \leq$ $i \leq n), \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$ and $P_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$ one has
(i)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(2 a-x_{i}\right)-f(2 a-\bar{x})-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)+f(\bar{x}) \\
& \geq\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right| f\left(2 a-x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)-f(2 a-\bar{x})+f(\bar{x}) \mid  \tag{4.1}\\
& \quad-\left|f^{\prime}(2 a-\bar{x})+f^{\prime}(\bar{x})\right| \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left|x_{i}-\bar{x}\right| \mid
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) if $\phi(x)=f(2 a-x)-f(x)$ is monotone and $x_{i} \geq \bar{x}$ for $i \in I \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}=I_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(2 a-x_{i}\right)-f(2 a-\bar{x})-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)+f(\bar{x}) \\
& \quad \geq \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left[f\left(2 a-x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)+x_{i}\left(f^{\prime}(2 a-\bar{x})+f^{\prime}(\bar{x})\right)\right]  \tag{4.2}\\
& \quad+\left[f(2 a-\bar{x})-f(\bar{x})+\bar{x}\left(f^{\prime}(2 a-\bar{x})+f^{\prime}(\bar{x})\right)\right]\left[1-2 P_{I}\right] \mid
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (i) As for 3-convex function $f:[0,2 a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function $\phi(x)=f(2 a-x)-f(x)$ is convex on $[0, a]$, so by setting $\phi=f(2 a-x)-f(x)$ in the discrete case of [2, Theorem 2 ], we get (4.1).
(ii) As $f(2 a-x)-f(x)$ is monotone convex, so by setting $\phi=f(2 a-x)-f(x)$ in (3.5), we get (5.16).

## Ky Fan Inequality

Let $x_{i} \in(0,1 / 2]$ be such that $x_{1} \geq x_{2} \geq \cdots \geq x_{k} \geq \bar{x} \geq x_{k+1} \cdots \geq x_{n}$. We denote $G_{k}$ and $A_{k}$, the weighted geometric and arithmetic means, respectively, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=\frac{1}{P_{k}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} x_{i}\right)(=\bar{x}), \quad G_{k}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p_{i}}\right)^{1 / P_{k}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also by $A_{k}^{\prime}$ and $G_{k}^{\prime}$, the arithmetic and geometric means of $1-x_{i}$, respectively, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{P_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)\left(=1-A_{k}\right), \quad G_{k}^{\prime}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{p_{i}}\right)^{1 / P_{k}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following remarkable inequality, due to Ky Fan, is valid [6, page 5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{n}}{G_{n}^{\prime}} \leq \frac{A_{n}}{A_{n}^{\prime}}, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality sign if and only if $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}$.
Inequality (4.5) has evoked the interest of several mathematicians and in numerous articles new proofs, extensions, refinements and various related results have been published [7].

The following improvement of Ky Fan inequality is valid [2].

Corollary 4.2. Let $A_{n}, G_{n}$ and $A_{n}^{\prime}, G_{n}^{\prime}$ be as defined earlier. Then, the following inequalities are valid
(i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}}{G_{n} / G_{n}^{\prime}} \geq \exp \left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right| \ln \left(\frac{\left(1-x_{i}\right) A_{n}}{x_{i} A_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\left|-\frac{1}{A_{n} A_{n}^{\prime}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right| x_{i}-A_{n}| |\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}}{G_{n} / G_{n}^{\prime}} \geq \exp \left[\left|2 P_{k}\left\{\ln \left(\frac{G_{k}^{\prime} A_{n}}{G_{k} A_{n}^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{A_{k}-A_{n}}{A_{n} A_{n}^{\prime}}\right\}+\ln \left(\frac{G_{n} A_{n}^{\prime}}{A_{n} G_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\right|\right] \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) Setting $a=1 / 2, f(x)=\ln x$ in (4.1), we get (4.6).
(ii) Consider $a=1 / 2$ and $f(x)=\ln x$, then $\phi(x)=\ln (1-x)-\ln x$ is strictly monotone convex on the interval $(0,1 / 2)$ and has derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{1}{x(x-1)} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the application of inequality (4.2) to this function is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \ln \frac{1-x_{i}}{x_{i}}-\ln \frac{1-\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \\
& \quad \geq\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left[\ln \frac{1-x_{i}}{x_{i}}+\frac{x_{i}}{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}\right]+\left[\ln \frac{1-\bar{x}}{\bar{x}}+\frac{1}{1-\bar{x}}\right]\left(1-2 P_{k}\right)\right| \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.9) we get (4.7).

## 5. On Some Inequalities for Csiszár Divergence Measures

Let $(\Omega, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space satisfying $|\mathbf{A}|>2$ and $\mu$ a $\sigma$-finite measure on $\Omega$ with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the set of all probability measures on the measurable space $(\Omega, \mathbf{A})$ which are absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$. For $P, Q \in \mathbf{P}$, let $p=d P / d \mu$ and $q=d Q / d \mu$ denote the Radon-Nikodym derivatives of $P$ and $Q$ with respect to $\mu$, respectively.

Csiszár introduced the concept of $f$-divergence for a convex function, $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $(-\infty, \infty)$ that is continuous at 0 as follows (cf. [8], see also [9]).

Definition 5.1. Let $P, Q \in \mathbf{P}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(Q, P)=\int_{\Omega} p(s) f\left(\frac{q(s)}{p(s)}\right) d \mu(s) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the $f$-divergence of the probability distributions $Q$ and $P$.

We give some important $f$-divergences, playing a significant role in Information Theory and statistics.
(i) The class of $x$-divergences: the $f$-divergences, in this class, are generated by the family of functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\alpha}(u) & =|u-1|^{\alpha} \quad u \geq 0, \alpha \geq 1, \\
I_{f_{\alpha}}(Q, P) & =\int_{\Omega} p^{1-\alpha}(s)|q(s)-p(s)|^{\alpha} d \mu(s) . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\alpha=1$, it gives the total variation distance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(Q, P)=\int_{\Omega}|q(s)-p(s)| d \mu(s) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha=2$, it gives the Karl pearson $\chi^{2}$-divergence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{X^{2}}(Q, P)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{[q(s)-p(s)]^{2}}{p(s)} d \mu(s) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii)The $\alpha$-order Renyi entropy: for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=t^{\alpha}, \quad t>0 . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $I_{f}$ gives $\alpha$-order entropy

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\alpha}(Q, P)=\int_{\Omega} q^{\alpha}(s) p^{1-\alpha}(s) d \mu(s) . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Harmonic distance: let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\frac{2 t}{1+t^{\prime}}, \quad t>0 . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $I_{f}$ gives Harmonic distance

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{H}(Q, P)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{2 p(s) q(s)}{p(s)+q(s)} d \mu(s) . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) Kullback-Leibler: let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=t \log t, \quad t>0 . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f$-divergence functional gives rise to Kullback-Leibler distance [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{KL}}(Q, P)=\int_{\Omega} q(s) \log \left(\frac{q(s)}{p(s)}\right) d \mu(s) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The one parametric generalization of the Kullback-Leibler [10] relative information studied in a different way by Cressie and Read [11].
(v) The Dichotomy class: this class is generated by the family of functions $g_{\alpha}$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
g_{\alpha}(u)= \begin{cases}u-1-\log u, & \alpha=0  \tag{5.11}\\ \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left[\alpha u+1-\alpha-u^{\alpha}\right], & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}, \\ 1-u+u \log u, & \alpha=1 .\end{cases}
$$

This class gives, for particular values of $\alpha$, some important divergences. For instance, for $\alpha=1 / 2$, we have Hellinger distance and some other divergences for this class are given by

$$
I_{g_{\alpha}}(Q, P)= \begin{cases}Q-P+D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P, Q), & \alpha=0  \tag{5.12}\\ \frac{\alpha(Q-P)+P-D_{\alpha}(Q, P)}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\} \\ D_{\mathrm{KL}}(Q, P)+P-Q, & \alpha=1\end{cases}
$$

where $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are positive integrable functions with $\int_{\Omega} p(s) d \mu(s)=P, \int_{\Omega} q(s) d \mu(s)=$ Q.

There are various other divergences in Information Theory and statistics such as Arimoto-type divergences, Matushita's divergence, Puri-Vincze divergences (cf. [12-14]) used in various problems in Information Theory and statistics. An application of Theorem 1.1 is the following result given by Csiszár and Körner (cf. [15]).

Theorem 5.2. Let $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ be convex, and let $p$ and $q$ be positive integrable function with $\int_{\Omega} p(s) d \mu(s)=P, \int_{\Omega} q(s) d \mu(s)=Q$. Then the following inequality is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(P, Q) \geq Q f\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{f}(P, Q)=\int_{\Omega} q(s) f(p(s) / q(s)) d \mu(s)$.
Proof. By substituting $\phi(s)=f(s), f(s)=p(s) / q(s)$ and $d \mu(s)=q(s) d \mu(s)$ in Theorem 1.1 we get (5.13).

Similar consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 2.1 in information theory for divergence measures discussed above is the following result.

Theorem 5.3. Let $p$ and $q$ be positive integrable functions with $\int_{\Omega} p(s) d \mu(s)=P, \int_{\Omega} q(s) d \mu(s)=$ $Q$. Define the function

$$
\Phi_{t}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{t(1-t)}\left(P^{t} Q^{1-t}-D_{t}(P, Q)\right), & t \neq 0,1,  \tag{5.14}\\ D_{\mathrm{KL}}(Q, P)+Q \log \frac{P}{Q}, & t=0, \\ D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P, Q)+P \log \frac{Q}{P}, & t=1,\end{cases}
$$

and let $\Phi_{t}$ be positive. Then
(i) it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{p_{i j}}\right|_{k} \geq 0 \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n), \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|a_{i j}\right|_{k}$ define the determinant of order $n$ with elements $a_{i j}$ and $p_{i j}=\left(p_{i}+p_{j}\right) / 2$,
(ii) $\Phi_{t}$ is log-convex.

As we said in [4] we define new means of the Cauchy type, here we define an application of these means for divergence measures in the following definition.

Definition 5.4. Let $p$ and $q$ be positive integrable functions with $\int_{\Omega} p(s) d \mu(s)=$ $P, \int_{\Omega} q(s) d \mu(s)=Q$. The mean $\mathrm{M}_{s, t}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}_{s, t}=\left(\frac{\Phi_{s}}{\Phi_{t}}\right)^{1 /(s-t)}, \quad s \neq t \neq 0,1, \\
& \mathrm{M}_{s, s}=\exp \left(\frac{P^{s} Q^{1-s} \log (P / Q)-D_{s}^{\prime}(P, Q)}{P^{s} Q^{1-s}-D_{s}(P, Q)}-\frac{1-2 s}{s(1-s)}\right), \quad s \neq 0,1,  \tag{5.16}\\
& \mathrm{M}_{0,0}=\exp \left(\frac{Q(\log (P / Q))^{2}-D_{0}^{\prime \prime}(P, Q)}{2\left(Q \log (P / Q)-D_{0}^{\prime}(P, Q)\right)}+1\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{0}^{\prime}(P, Q)=\int_{\Omega} q(s) \log (p(s) / q(s)) d \mu(s)$ and $D_{0}^{\prime \prime}(P, Q)=\int_{\Omega} q(s) \log (p(s) / q(s))^{2} d \mu(s)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{1,1}=\exp \left(\frac{Q(\log (P / Q))^{2}-D_{1}^{\prime \prime}(P, Q)}{2\left(P \log (P / Q)-D_{1}^{\prime}(P, Q)\right)}-1\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{1}^{\prime}(P, Q)=\int_{\Omega} p(s) \log (q(s) / p(s)) d \mu(s)$ and $D_{1}^{\prime \prime}(P, Q)=\int_{\Omega} p(s) \log (q(s) / p(s))^{2} d \mu(s)$.
Theorem 5.5. Let $r, s, t, u$ be nonnegative reals such that $r \leq t, s \leq u$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{r, t} \leq \mathrm{M}_{s, u} . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By using log convexity of $\Phi_{t}$, we get the following result for $r, s, t, u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r \leq t, s \leq u$ and $r \neq s, t \neq u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Phi_{s}}{\Phi_{r}}\right)^{1 /(s-r)} \leq\left(\frac{\Phi_{u}}{\Phi_{t}}\right)^{1 /(u-t)} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also for $r=s, t=u$, we consider limiting case and the result follows from continuity of $M_{s, u}$.

An application of Theorem 1.3 in divergence measure is the following result given in [16].

Theorem 5.6. Let $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable convex function on $\stackrel{o}{I}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(P, Q)-Q f\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \geq\left|\left|I_{f}(P, Q)-Q f\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)\right|-\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(P / Q)\right|}{Q} \bar{Q}\right|, \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}=\int_{\Omega}|Q p(s)-P q(s)| d \mu(s) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By substituting $\phi(s)=f(s), f(s)=p(s) / q(s)$, and $d \mu(s) \mapsto q(s) d \mu(s)$ in Theorem 1.3, we get (5.20).

Theorem 5.7. Let $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable monotone convex function on $\stackrel{o}{I}$ and let $p(s) / q(s)>P / Q$ for $s \in \Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{f}(P, Q)-Q f\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \geq & \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right) f\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}\right) q(s) d \mu(s) \\
& -f^{\prime}\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right) p(s) d \mu(s)  \tag{5.22}\\
& +Q\left[f\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)-\frac{P}{Q} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)\right]\left[1-2 \frac{Q^{\prime}}{Q}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}=\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} q(s) d \mu(s) \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Omega^{\prime}$ as in Theorem 5.7.
Proof. By substituting $\phi(s)=f(s), f(s)=p(s) / q(s)$ and $d \mu(s) \mapsto q(s) d \mu(s)$ in Theorem 3.1(ii) we get (5.22).

Corollary 5.8. It holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{H_{\alpha}}(P, Q)-\frac{2 P Q}{P+Q} \geq & \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right) \frac{2 p(s) q(s)}{p(s)+q(s)} d \mu(s) \\
& -\frac{2 Q^{2}}{(P+Q)^{2}} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right) p(s) d \mu(s)  \tag{5.24}\\
& +\left[\frac{2 P Q}{P+Q}-\frac{2 P Q^{2}}{(P+Q)^{2}}\right]\left[1-\frac{2 Q^{\prime}}{Q}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}=\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} q(s) d \mu(s), \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Omega^{\prime}$ as in Theorem 5.7.
Proof. The proof follows by setting $f(t)=2 t /(1+t), t>0$ in Theorem 5.7.
Corollary 5.9. Let $g_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be as given in (5.11), then
(i) for $\alpha=0$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\mathrm{KL}}(Q, P)+Q \log \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right)\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-1-\log \left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}\right)\right) q(s) d \mu(s)  \tag{5.26}\\
& \quad-\frac{P-Q}{P} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right) p(s) d \mu(s)+Q \log \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)\left(1-\frac{2 Q^{\prime}}{Q}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{P^{\alpha} Q^{1-\alpha}-D_{\alpha}(P, Q)}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \geq & \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right)\left(\alpha \frac{p(s)}{q(s)}+1-\alpha-p(s)^{\alpha} q(s)^{-\alpha}\right) q(s) d \mu(s) \\
& -\alpha\left(1-P^{\alpha-1} Q^{1-\alpha}\right) \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right) p(s) d \mu(s) \\
& +\frac{\left[\alpha P+Q-\alpha Q+P Q^{(1-\alpha)}-\alpha P / Q\left(1-P^{(1-\alpha)} Q^{(1-\alpha)}\right)\right]\left(1-2 Q^{\prime} / Q\right)}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

(iii) for $\alpha=1$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P, Q)+\frac{P}{Q} \log \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \geq & \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right)\left(1-\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}+\frac{p(s)}{q(s)} \log \frac{p(s)}{q(s)}\right) q(s) d \mu(s) \\
& -\log \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{p(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{P}{Q}\right) p(s) d \mu(s)  \tag{5.28}\\
& +[Q-P]\left[1-\frac{2 Q^{\prime}}{Q}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}=\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} q(s) d \mu(s) \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Omega^{\prime}$ as in Theorem 5.7.
Proof. The proof follows be setting $f=g_{\alpha}$ to be as given in (5.11), in Theorem 3.1.
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