Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Inequalities and Applications Volume 2009, Article ID 574014, 7 pages doi:10.1155/2009/574014

Research Article

Subordination Results on Subclasses Concerning Sakaguchi Functions

B. A. Frasin¹ and M. Darus²

Correspondence should be addressed to M. Darus, maslina@ukm.my

Received 30 July 2009; Accepted 6 October 2009

Recommended by Ramm Mohapatra

We derive some subordination results for the subclasses $S(\alpha,t)$, $T(\alpha,t)$, $S_0(\alpha,t)$, and $T_0(\alpha,t)$ of analytic functions concerning with Sakaguchi functions. Several corollaries and consequences of the main results are also considered.

Copyright © 2009 B. A. Frasin and M. Darus. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and Definitions

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, t)$, if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(1-t)zf'(z)}{f(z)-f(tz)}\right\} > \alpha, \quad |t| \le 1, \ t \ne 1$$
 (1.2)

for some $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and for all $z \in \Delta$.

The class $S(\alpha, t)$ was introduced and studied by Owa et al. [4], where the class S(0, -1) was introduced by Sakaguchi [5]. Therefore, a function $f(z) \in S(\alpha, -1)$ is called Sakaguchi function of order α .

¹ Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, P.O. Box 130095, Mafraq, Jordan

² School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia

We also denote by $\mathcal{T}(\alpha, t)$ the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all functions f(z) such that $zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, t)$.

We note that $S(\alpha, 0) \equiv S^*(\alpha)$, the usual star-like function of order α and $T(\alpha, 0) \equiv \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ the usual convex function of order α .

We begin by recalling each of the following coefficient inequalities associated with the function classes $S(\alpha, t)$ and $T(\alpha, t)$.

Theorem 1.1 (see [4]). *If* $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ *satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ |n - u_n| + (1 - \alpha)|u_n| \} |a_n| \le 1 - \alpha, \tag{1.3}$$

where $u_n = 1 + t + t + \cdots + t^{n-1}$ and $0 \le \alpha < 1$, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, t)$.

Theorem 1.2 (see [4]). *If* $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ *satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\{|n-u_n| + (1-\alpha)|u_n|\}|a_n| \le 1-\alpha, \tag{1.4}$$

where $u_n = 1 + t + t + \cdots + t^{n-1}$ and $0 \le \alpha < 1$, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha, t)$.

In view of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, Owa et al. [4] defined the subclasses $S_0(\alpha, t) \subset S(\alpha, t)$ and $T_0(\alpha, t) \subset T(\alpha, t)$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_0(\alpha, t) = \{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : f(z) \text{ satisfies } (1.3) \},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_0(\alpha, t) = \{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : f(z) \text{ satisfies } (1.4) \}.$$
(1.5)

Before we state and prove our main results we need the following definitions and lemma.

Definition 1.3 (Hadamard product). Given two functions $f,g \in \mathcal{A}$, where f(z) is given by (1.1) and g(z) is defined by $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ the Hadamard product (or convolution) f * g is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$
 (1.6)

Definition 1.4 (subordination principle). Let g(z) be analytic and univalent in Δ . If f(z) is analytic in Δ , f(0) = g(0), and $f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta)$, then we see that the function f(z) is subordinate to g(z) in Δ , and we write $f(z) \prec g(z)$.

Definition 1.5 (subordinating factor sequence). A sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is called a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever f(z) is analytic, univalent and convex in Δ , we have the subordination given by

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n a_n z^n < f(z) \quad (z \in \Delta, \ a_1 = 1). \tag{1.7}$$

Lemma 1.6 (see [6]). The sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{1.8}$$

In this paper, we obtain a sharp subordination results associated with the classes $S(\alpha,t)$, $T(\alpha,t)$, $S_0(\alpha,t)$, and $T_0(\alpha,t)$ by using the same techniques as in [1, 2, 7, 8].

2. Subordination Results for the Classes $S_0(\alpha, t)$ **and** $S(\alpha, t)$

Theorem 2.1. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $S_0(\alpha, t)$. Also let \mathcal{K} denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . If $\{n|n-u_n|+(1-\alpha)|u_n|\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is increasing sequence for all $n \geq 2$, then

$$\frac{|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|}{2(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|))}(f*g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (|t| \le 1, \ t \ne 1; \ 0 \le \alpha < 1; \ z \in \Delta; \ g \in \mathcal{K}), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)}{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)|1+t|} \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{2.2}$$

The constant $(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|)/2(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|))$ is the best estimate.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_0(\alpha, t)$ and let $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$\frac{|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|}{2(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|))}(f*g)(z) = \frac{|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|}{2(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|))}\left(z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}a_nc_nz^n\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, by Definition 1.5, the assertion of our theorem will hold if the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)|1+t|}{2(|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|))} a_n \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
(2.4)

is a subordinating factor sequence, with $a_1 = 1$. In view of Lemma 1.6, this will be the case if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)|1+t|}{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)} a_n z^n\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$
(2.5)

Now

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)|1+t|}{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n\right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)|1+t|}{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)} z + \frac{1}{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |1-t| + (1-\alpha)|1+t|a_n z^n\right\}$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)|1+t|}{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)} r - \frac{1}{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n-u_n|$$

$$+ (1-\alpha)|u_n||a_n|r^n$$

$$> 1 - \frac{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)|1+t|}{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)} r - \frac{1-\alpha}{|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)} r$$

$$> 0, \quad (|z| = r < 1).$$

$$(2.6)$$

Thus (2.5) holds true in Δ . This proves inequality (2.1). Inequality (2.2) follows by taking the convex function $g(z) = z/(1-z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n$ in (2.1). To prove the sharpness of the constant $(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|)/(2(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)))$, we consider the function $f_0(z) \in \mathcal{S}_0(\alpha,t)$ given by

$$f_0(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{|1 - t| + (1 - \alpha)|1 + t|} z^2 \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1).$$
 (2.7)

Thus from (2.1), we have

$$\frac{|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|}{2(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|))}f_0(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-z}.$$
 (2.8)

It can easily verified that

$$\min \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{|1 - t| + (1 - \alpha)|1 + t|}{2(|1 - t| + (1 - \alpha)(1 + |1 + t|))} f_0(z) \right) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$
 (2.9)

This shows that the constant $(|1 - t| + (1 - \alpha)|1 + t|)/(2(|1 - t| + (1 - \alpha)(1 + |1 + t|)))$ is best possible.

Corollary 2.2. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $S(\alpha,t)$. Also let \mathcal{K} denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . If $\{|n-u_n|+(1-\alpha)|u_n|\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is increasing sequence for all $n \geq 2$, then (2.1) and (2.2) of Theorem 2.1 hold true. Furthermore, the constant $(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|)/(2(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+|1+t|)))$ is the best estimate.

Letting t = -1 in Corollary 2.2, we have the following.

Corollary 2.3. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $S(\alpha, -1)$. Also let K denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . Then

$$\frac{1}{3-\alpha}(f*g)(z) < g(z) \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1; \ z \in \Delta; \ g \in \mathcal{K}),$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{3-\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$
(2.10)

The constant $1/(3-\alpha)$ is the best estimate.

Letting t = 0 in Corollary 2.2, we have the following result obtained by Ali et al. [1] and Frasin [2].

Corollary 2.4 (see [1, 2]). Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $S(\alpha)$. Also let K denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in A$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . Then

$$\frac{2-\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)} (f * g)(z) < g(z) \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1; \ z \in \Delta; \ g \in \mathcal{K}),$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{3-2\alpha}{2-\alpha} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$
(2.11)

The constant $(2 - \alpha)/2(3 - 2\alpha)$ is the best estimate.

Letting $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 2.4, we have the following result obtained by Singh [3].

Corollary 2.5 (see [3]). Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class S^* . Also let K denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . Then

$$\frac{1}{3}(f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta; \ g \in \mathcal{K}),$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{3}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$
(2.12)

The constant 1/3 *is the best estimate.*

3. Subordination Results for the Classes $T_0(\alpha, t)$ **and** $T(\alpha, t)$

By applying Theorem 1.2 instead of Theorem 1.1, the proof of the next theorem is much akin to that of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{T}_0(\alpha,t)$. Also let \mathcal{K} denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . If $\{(|n-u_n|+(1-\alpha)|u_n|)\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is increasing sequence for all $n \geq 2$, then

$$\frac{|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|}{2|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+2|1+t|)}(f*g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (|t| \le 1, \ t \ne 1; \ 0 \le \alpha < 1; \ z \in \Delta; \ g \in \mathcal{K}),$$
(3.1)

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{2|1-t| + (1-\alpha)(1+2|1+t|)}{2(|1-t| + (1-\alpha)|1+t|)} \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.2}$$

The constant $(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|)/(2|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+2|1+t|))$ is the best estimate.

Corollary 3.2. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{T}(\alpha,t)$. Also let \mathcal{K} denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . If $\{n|n-u_n|+(1-\alpha)|u_n|\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is increasing sequence for all $n \geq 2$, then (3.1) and (3.2) of Theorem 3.1 hold true. Furthermore, the constant $(|1-t|+(1-\alpha)|1+t|)/(2|1-t|+(1-\alpha)(1+2|1+t|))$ is the best estimate.

Letting t = -1 in Corollary 3.2, we have the following.

Corollary 3.3. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -1)$. Also let \mathcal{K} denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . Then

$$\frac{2}{5-\alpha}(f*g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1; \ z \in \Delta; \ g \in \mathcal{K}),$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{5-\alpha}{4} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$
(3.3)

The constant $2/(5-\alpha)$ is the best estimate.

Letting t = 0 in Corollary 3.2, we have the following result obtained by Ali et al. [1], and Frasin [2] (see also [9]).

Corollary 3.4 (see [1]). Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{T}(\alpha,0)$. Also let \mathcal{K} denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . Then

$$\frac{2-\alpha}{5-3\alpha} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \qquad (0 \le \alpha < 1; \ z \in \Delta; \ g \in \mathcal{K})$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{5-3\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$
(3.4)

The constant $(2 - \alpha)/(5 - 3\alpha)$ is the best estimate.

Letting $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 3.4, we have the following result obtained by Özkan [9].

Corollary 3.5 (see [9]). Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class K. Then

$$\frac{2}{5}(f * g)(z) < g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta; g \in \mathcal{K}),$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{5}{4} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$
(3.5)

The constant 2/5 *is the best estimate.*

Acknowledgment

The second author is under sabbatical program and is supported by the University Research Grant: UKM-GUP-TMK-07-02-107, UKM, Malaysia.

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, and N. Seenivasagan, "Subordination by convex functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2006, Article ID 62548, 6 pages, 2006.
- [2] B. A. Frasin, "Subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by a linear operator," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 4, article 134, 7 pages, 2006.
- [3] S. Singh, "A subordination theorem for spirallike functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 433–435, 2000.
- [4] S. Owa, T. Sekine, and R. Yamakawa, "On Sakaguchi type functions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 187, no. 1, pp. 356–361, 2007.
- [5] K. Sakaguchi, "On a certain univalent mapping," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 11, pp. 72–75, 1959.
- [6] H. S. Wilf, "Subordinating factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 12, pp. 689–693, 1961.
- [7] A. A. Attiya, "On some applications of a subordination theorem," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 311, no. 2, pp. 489–494, 2005.
- [8] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, "Some subordination results associated with certain subclasses of analytic functions," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 4, article 82, pp. 1–6, 2004.
- [9] Ö. Özkan, "Some subordination results on the classes starlike and convex functions of complex order," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 187, no. 1, pp. 362–368, 2007.