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## 1. Introduction

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [1] in 1940, concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Let $\left(G_{1}, \cdot\right)$ be a group and let ( $G_{2}, *, d$ ) be a metric group with the metric $d(, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon>0$, does there exist a $\delta>0$ such that if a mapping $h: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x \cdot y), h(x) * h(y))<\delta$ for all $x, y \in G_{1}$, then there exists a homomorphism $H: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ with $d(h(x), H(x))<\epsilon$ for all $x \in G_{1}$ ? In other words, under what condition does there exists a homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism? The concept of stability for functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. Hyers [2] gave a first affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Let $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ be a mapping between Banach spaces such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \delta \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in E$ and some $\delta>0$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \delta \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E$. Moreover, if $f(t x)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in E$, then $T$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear. In 1978, Rassias [3] provided a generalization of Hyers' theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded. In 1991, Gajda [4] answered the question for the case $p>1$, which was raised by Rassias. This new concept is known as Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations (see [5-12]). The functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x)+2 f(y) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is related to a symmetric biadditive mapping. It is natural that this equation is called a quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation (1.3) is said to be a quadratic mapping. It is well known that a mapping $f$ between real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exits a unique symmetric biadditive mapping $B$ such that $f(x)=B(x, x)$ for all $x$ (see $[5,13]$ ). The biadditive mapping $B$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x, y)=\frac{1}{4}(f(x+y)-f(x-y)) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the quadratic functional equation (1.3) was proved by Skof for mappings $f: A \rightarrow B$, where $A$ is a normed space and $B$ is a Banach space (see [14]). Cholewa [15] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if relevant domain $A$ is replaced an abelian group. In [16], Czerwik proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.3). Grabiec [17] has generalized the results mentioned above.

In [18], Park and Bae considered the following quartic functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)=4[f(x+y)+f(x-y)+6 f(y)]-6 f(x) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, they proved that a mapping $f$ between two real vector spaces $X$ and $Y$ is a solution of (1.5) if and only if there exists a unique symmetric multiadditive mapping $M: X^{4} \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x)=M(x, x, x, x)$ for all $x$. It is easy to show that the function $f(x)=x^{4}$ satisfies the functional equation (1.5), which is called a quartic functional equation (see also [19]). In addition, Kim [20] has obtained the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for a mixed type of quartic and quadratic functional equation.

The Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of different functional equations in random normed and fuzzy normed spaces has been recently studied in [21-26]. It should be noticed that in all these papers the triangle inequality is expressed by using the strongest triangular norm $T_{M}$.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the stability of the additive-quadratic functional equation in random normed spaces (in the sense of Sherstnev) under arbitrary continuous $t$-norms.

In this sequel, we adopt the usual terminology, notations, and conventions of the theory of random normed spaces, as in [22,23,27-29]. Throughout this paper, $\Delta^{+}$is the space of distribution functions, that is, the space of all mappings $F: \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty, \infty\} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $F$ is left-continuous and nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}, F(0)=0$ and $F(+\infty)=1$. Also, $D^{+}$is a subset of $\Delta^{+}$consisting of all functions $F \in \Delta^{+}$for which $l^{-} F(+\infty)=1$, where $l^{-} f(x)$ denotes the left limit of the function $f$ at the point $x$, that is, $l^{-} f(x)=\lim _{t \rightarrow x^{-}} f(t)$. The space $\Delta^{+}$is partially
ordered by the usual point-wise ordering of functions, that is, $F \leq G$ if and only if $F(t) \leq G(t)$ for all $t$ in $\mathbb{R}$. The maximal element for $\Delta^{+}$in this order is the distribution function $\varepsilon_{0}$ given by

$$
\varepsilon_{0}(t)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } t \leq 0,  \tag{1.6}\\ 1, & \text { if } t>0 .\end{cases}
$$

Definition 1.1 (see [28]). A mapping $T:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a continuous triangular norm (briefly, a continuous $t$-norm) if $T$ satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $T$ is commutative and associative;
(b) $T$ is continuous;
(c) $T(a, 1)=a$ for all $a \in[0,1]$;
(d) $T(a, b) \leq T(c, d)$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in[0,1]$.

Typical examples of continuous $t$-norms are $T_{P}(a, b)=a b, T_{M}(a, b)=\min (a, b)$ and $T_{L}(a, b)=\max (a+b-1,0)$ (the Lukasiewicz $t$-norm). Recall (see [30,31]) that if $T$ is a $t$ norm and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a given sequence of numbers in $[0,1]$, then $T_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$ is defined recurrently by $T_{i=1}^{1} x_{i}=x_{1}$ and $T_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=T\left(T_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i}, x_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 2 . T_{i=n}^{\infty} x_{i}$ is defined as $T_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{n+i-1}$. It is known [31] that for the Lukasiewicz $t$-norm, the following implication holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T_{L}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{n+i-1}=1 \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x_{n}\right)<\infty . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.2 (see [29]). A random normed space (briefly, RN -space) is a triple ( $\mathrm{X}, \mu, T$ ), where $X$ is a vector space, $T$ is a continuous $t$-norm, and $\mu$ is a mapping from $X$ into $D^{+}$such that the following conditions hold:
(RN1) $\mu_{x}(t)=\varepsilon_{0}(t)$ for all $t>0$ if and only if $x=0$;
(RN2) $\mu_{\alpha x}(t)=\mu_{x}(t /|\alpha|)$ for all $x \in X, \alpha \neq 0$;
(RN3) $\mu_{x+y}(t+s) \geq T\left(\mu_{x}(t), \mu_{y}(s)\right)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t, s \geq 0$.
Every normed space $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ defines a random normed space $\left(X, \mu, T_{M}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{x}(t)=\frac{t}{t+\|x\|} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0$, and $T_{M}$ is the minimum $t$-norm. This space is called the induced random normed space.

## Definition 1.3. Let $(X, \mu, T)$ be an RN-space.

(1) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be convergent to $x$ in $X$ if, for every $\epsilon>0$ and $\lambda>0$, there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $\mu_{x_{n}-x}(\epsilon)>1-\lambda$ whenever $n \geq N$.
(2) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is called a Cauchy sequence if, for every $\epsilon>0$ and $\lambda>0$, there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $\mu_{x_{n}-x_{m}}(\epsilon)>1-\lambda$ whenever $n \geq m \geq N$.
(3) An RN-space $(X, \mu, T)$ is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in $X$ is convergent to a point in $X$.

Theorem 1.4 (see [28]). If $(X, \mu, T)$ is an $R N$-space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{x_{n}}(t)=\mu_{x}(t)$ almost everywhere.

Recently, Gordji et al. establish the stability of cubic, quadratic and additive-quadratic functional equations in RN -spaces (see $[32,33]$ ).

In this paper, we deal with the following functional equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)=4[f(x+y)+f(x-y)]+2[f(2 x)-4 f(x)]-6 f(y) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

on RN -spaces. It is easy to see that the function $f(x)=a x^{4}+b x^{2}$ is a solution of (1.9).
In Section 2, we investigate the general solution of the functional equation (1.9) when $f$ is a mapping between vector spaces and in Section 3, we establish the stability of the functional equation (1.9) in RN-spaces.

## 2. General Solution

We need the following lemma for solution of (1.9). Throughout this section, $X$ and $Y$ are vector spaces.

Lemma 2.1. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.9) for all $x, y \in X$, then $f$ is quadratic-quartic.
Proof. We show that the mappings $g: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $g(x):=f(2 x)-16 f(x)$ and $h: X \rightarrow$ $Y$ defined by $h(x):=f(2 x)-4 f(x)$ are quadratic and quartic, respectively.

Letting $x=y=0$ in (1.9), we have $f(0)=0$. Putting $x=0$ in (1.9), we get $f(-y)=f(y)$. Thus the mapping $f$ is even. Replacing $y$ by $2 y$ in (1.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2 x+2 y)+f(2 x-2 y)=4[f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)]+2[f(2 x)-4 f(x)]-6 f(2 y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Interchanging $x$ with $y$ in (1.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2 y+x)+f(2 y-x)=4[f(y+x)+f(y-x)]+2[f(2 y)-4 f(y)]-6 f(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Since $f$ is even, by (2.2), one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)=4[f(x+y)+f(x-y)]+2[f(2 y)-4 f(y)]-6 f(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It follows from (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[f(2(x+y))-16 f(x+y)]+[f(2(x-y))-16 f(x-y)]}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad=2[f(2 x)-16 f(x)]+2[f(2 y)-16 f(y)]
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+y)+g(x-y)=2 g(x)+2 g(y) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, the mapping $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is quadratic.
To prove that $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is quartic, we have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x+2 y)+h(x-2 y)=4[h(x+y)+h(x-y)+6 h(y)]-6 h(x) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Since $f$ is even, the mapping $h$ is even. Now if we interchange $x$ with $y$ in the last equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(2 x+y)+h(2 x-y)=4[h(x+y)+h(x-y)+6 h(x)]-6 h(y) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Thus, it is enough to prove that $h$ satisfies (2.7). Replacing $x$ and $y$ by $2 x$ and $2 y$ in (1.9), respectively, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2(2 x+y))+f(2(2 x-y))=4[f(2(x+y))+f(2(x-y))]+2[f(4 x)-4 f(2 x)]-6 f(2 y) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Since $g(2 x)=4 g(x)$ for all $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(4 x)=20 f(2 x)-64 f(x) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. By (2.8) and (2.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2(2 x+y))+f(2(2 x-y))=4[f(2(x+y))+f(2(x-y))]+32[f(2 x)-4 f(x)]-6 f(2 y) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By multiplying both sides of (1.9) by 4 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
4[f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)]=16[f(x+y)+f(x-y)]+8[f(2 x)-4 f(x)]-24 f(y) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If we subtract the last equation from (2.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
h(2 x+y)+h(2 x-y)= & {[f(2(2 x+y))-4 f(2 x+y)]+[f(2(2 x-y))-4 f(2 x-y)] } \\
= & 4[f(2(x+y))-4 f(x+y)]+4[f(2(x-y))-4 f(x-y)]  \tag{2.12}\\
& +24[f(2 x)-4 f(x)]-6[f(2 y)-4 f(y)] \\
= & 4[h(x+y)+h(x-y)+6 h(x)]-6 h(y)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
Therefore, the mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is quartic. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 2.2. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.9) for all $x, y \in X$ if and only if there exist a unique symmetric multiadditive mapping $M: X^{4} \rightarrow Y$ and a unique symmetric bi-additive mapping $B: X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=M(x, x, x, x)+B(x, x) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Let $f$ satisfy (1.9) and assume that $g, h: X \rightarrow Y$ are mappings defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x):=f(2 x)-16 f(x), \quad h(x):=f(2 x)-4 f(x) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. By Lemma 2.1, we obtain that the mappings $g$ and $h$ are quadratic and quartic, respectively, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{12} h(x)-\frac{1}{12} g(x) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Therefore, there exist a unique symmetric multiadditive mapping $M: X^{4} \rightarrow Y$ and a unique symmetric bi-additive mapping $B: X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $(1 / 12) h(x)=M(x, x, x, x)$ and $(-1 / 12) g(x)=B(x, x)$ for all $x \in X[5,18]$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=M(x, x, x, x)+B(x, x) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The proof of the converse is obvious.

## 3. Stability

Throughout this section, assume that $X$ is a real linear space and $(Y, \mu, T)$ is a complete RNspace.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0)=0$ for which there is $\rho: X \times X \rightarrow D^{+}$ $\left(\rho(x, y)\right.$ is denoted by $\left.\rho_{x, y}\right)$ with the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)-4 f(x+y)-4 f(x-y)-2 f(2 x)+8 f(x)+6 f(y)}(t) \geq \rho_{x, y}(t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. If

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(2^{2 n+i+1} t\right)\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{2 n+i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{2 n+i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)=1 \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{2^{n} x, 2^{n}}\left(2^{2 n} t\right)=1 \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$, then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q_{1}: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(2 x)-16 f(x)-Q_{1}(x)}(t) \geq T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(2^{i+1} t\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. Putting $y=x$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(3 x)-6 f(2 x)+15 f(x)}(t) \geq \rho_{x, x}(t) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Letting $y=2 x$ in (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(4 x)-4 f(3 x)+4 f(2 x)+8 f(x)-4 f(-x)}(t) \geq \rho_{x, 2 x}(t) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Putting $x=0$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{3 f(y)-3 f(-y)}(t) \geq \rho_{0, y}(t) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $y$ by $x$ in (3.6), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{3 f(x)-3 f(-x)}(t) \geq \rho_{0, x}(t) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. It follows from (3.5) and (3.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(4 x)-4 f(3 x)+4 f(2 x)+4 f(x)}(t) \geq T\left(\rho_{x, 2 x}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \rho_{0, x}\left(\frac{2 t}{3}\right)\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. If we add (3.4) to (3.8), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(4 x)-20 f(2 x)+64 f(x)}(t) \geq T\left(\rho_{x, x}(2 t), T\left(\rho_{x, 2 x}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right), \rho_{0, x}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)\right)\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{x, x}(t)=T\left(\rho_{x, x}(2 t), T\left(\rho_{x, 2 x}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right), \rho_{0, x}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(4 x)-20 f(2 x)+64 f(x)}(t) \geq \psi_{x, x}(t) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping defined by $g(x):=f(2 x)-16 f(x)$. Then we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{g(2 x)-4 g(x)}(t) \geq \psi_{x, x}(t) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{g(2 x) / 2^{2}-g(x)}(t) \geq \psi_{x, x}\left(2^{2} t\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{g\left(2^{k+1} x\right) / 2^{2(k+1)}-g\left(2^{k} x\right) / 2^{2 k}}(t) \geq \psi_{2^{k} x, 2^{k} x}\left(2^{2(k+1)} t\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, all $t>0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{g\left(2^{k+1} x\right) / 2^{2(k+1)}-g\left(2^{k} x\right) / 2^{2 k}}\left(\frac{t}{2^{k+1}}\right) \geq \psi_{2^{k} x, 2^{k} x}\left(2^{k+1} t\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, all $t>0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By the triangle inequality, from $1>1 / 2+1 / 2^{2}+\cdots+1 / 2^{n}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{g\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{2 n}-g(x)}(t) \geq T_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mu_{g\left(2^{k} x\right) / 2^{2 k}-g\left(2^{k-1} x\right) / 2^{2(k-1)}}\left(\frac{t}{2^{k}}\right)\right) \geq T_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(2^{i} t\right)\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. In order to prove the convergence of the sequence $\left\{g\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{2 n}\right\}$, we replace $x$ with $2^{m} x$ in (3.16) to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{g\left(2^{n+m} x\right) / 2^{2(n+m)}-g\left(2^{m} x\right) / 2^{2 m}}(t) \geq T_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{2^{i+m-1} x, 2^{i+m-1} x}\left(2^{i+2 m} t\right)\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the right-hand side of the inequality (3.17) tends to 1 as $m$ and $n$ tend to infinity, the sequence $\left\{g\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{2 n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Thus we may define $Q_{1}(x)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(g\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{2 n}\right)$ for all $x \in X$.

Now we show that $Q_{1}$ is a quadratic mapping. Replacing $x, y$ with $2^{n} x$ and $2^{n} y$ in (3.1), respectively, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{\left(\left(g\left(2^{n}(2 x+y)\right)+g\left(2^{n}(2 x-y)\right)-4 g\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)-4 g\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)-2 g\left(2^{n+1} x\right)+8 g\left(2^{n} x\right)+6 g\left(2^{n} y\right)\right) / 4^{n}\right)}(t) \\
\geq \rho_{\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)}\left(2^{2 n} t\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we find that $Q_{1}$ satisfies (1.9) for all $x, y \in X$. By Lemma 2.1, the mapping $Q_{1}: X \rightarrow Y$ is quadratic.

Letting the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.16), we get (3.3) by (3.10).

Finally, to prove the uniqueness of the quadratic mapping $Q_{1}$ subject to (3.3), let us assume that there exists another quadratic mapping $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ which satisfies (3.3). Since $Q_{1}\left(2^{n} x\right)=$ $2^{2 n} Q_{1}(x), Q_{1}^{\prime}\left(2^{n} x\right)=2^{2 n} Q_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, from (3.3), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{Q_{1}(x)-Q_{1}^{\prime}(x)}(2 t) \\
& =\mu_{\left.\mathrm{Q}_{1}\left(2^{n} x\right)-\mathrm{Q}_{1}^{\prime} 2^{n} x\right)}\left(2^{2 n+1} t\right) \\
& \geq \\
& \geq T\left(\mu_{Q_{1}\left(2^{n} x\right)-g\left(2^{n} x\right)}\left(2^{2 n} t\right), \mu_{g\left(2^{n} x\right)-Q_{1}^{\prime}\left(2^{n} x\right)}\left(2^{2 n} t\right)\right) \\
& \geq  \tag{3.19}\\
& \geq T\left(T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(2^{2 n+i+1} t\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{n t i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{2 n+i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{2 n+i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right),\right. \\
& \left.\quad T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(2^{2 n+i+1} t\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{2 n+i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{2 n+i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.19), we conclude that $Q_{1}=Q_{1}^{\prime}$, as desired.
Theorem 3.2. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0)=0$ for which there is $\rho: X \times X \rightarrow D^{+}$ ( $\rho(x, y)$ is denoted by $\rho_{x, y}$ ) with the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)-4 f(x+y)-4 f(x-y)-2 f(2 x)+8 f(x)+6 f(y)}(t) \geq \rho_{x, y}(t) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T \left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(2^{4 n+3 i+1} t\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad T\left(\rho_{2^{n t i-1} x, 2 \cdot 22^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{4 n+3 i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{n t i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{4 n+3 i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)=1,  \tag{3.21}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{2^{n} x 2^{n} y}\left(2^{4 n} t\right)=1
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$, then there exists a unique quartic mapping $Q_{2}: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(2 x)-4 f(x)-Q_{2}(x)}(t) \geq T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(2^{3 i+1} t\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 22^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. Putting $y=x$ in (3.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(3 x)-6 f(2 x)+15 f(x)}(t) \geq \rho_{x, x}(t) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Letting $y=2 x$ in (3.20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(4 x)-4 f(3 x)+4 f(2 x)+8 f(x)-4 f(-x)}(t) \geq \rho_{x, 2 x}(t) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Putting $x=0$ in (3.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{3 f(y)-3 f(-y)}(t) \geq \rho_{0, y}(t) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $y$ by $x$ in (3.25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{3 f(x)-3 f(-x)}(t) \geq \rho_{0, x}(t) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. It follows from (3.5) and (3.26) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(4 x)-4 f(3 x)+4 f(2 x)+4 f(x)}(t) \geq T\left(\rho_{x, 2 x}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \rho_{0, x}\left(\frac{2 t}{3}\right)\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. If we add (3.23) to (3.27), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(4 x)-20 f(2 x)+64 f(x)}(t) \geq T\left(\rho_{x, x}(2 t), T\left(\rho_{x, 2 x}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right), \rho_{0, x}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{x, x}(t)=T\left(\rho_{x, x}(2 t), T\left(\rho_{x, 2 x}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right), \rho_{0, x}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(4 x)-20 f(2 x)+64 f(x)}(t) \geq \psi_{x, x}(t) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping defined by $h(x):=f(2 x)-4 f(x)$. Then we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{h(2 x)-16 h(x)}(t) \geq \psi_{x, x}(t) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{h(2 x) / 2^{4}-h(x)}(t) \geq \psi_{x, x}\left(2^{4} t\right) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{h\left(2^{k+1} x\right) / 2^{4(k+1)}-h\left(2^{k} x\right) / 2^{4 k}}(t) \geq \psi_{2^{k} x, 2^{k} x}\left(2^{4(k+1)} t\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, all $t>0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{h\left(2^{k+1} x\right) / 2^{4(k+1)}-h\left(2^{k} x\right) / 2^{4 k}}\left(\frac{t}{2^{k+1}}\right) \geq \psi_{2^{k} x 2^{k} x}\left(2^{3(k+1)} t\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, all $t>0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By the triangle inequality, from $1>1 / 2+1 / 2^{2}+\cdots+1 / 2^{n}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{h\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{4 n}-h(x)}(t) & \geq T_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mu_{h\left(2^{k} x\right) / 2^{4 k}-h\left(2^{k-1} x\right) / 2^{4(k-1)}}\left(\frac{t}{2^{k}}\right)\right)  \tag{3.35}\\
& \geq T_{i=1}^{n}\left(\Psi_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(2^{3 i} t\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. In order to prove the convergence of the sequence $\left\{h\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{4 n}\right\}$, we replace $x$ with $2^{m} x$ in (3.35) to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{h\left(2^{n+m} x\right) / 2^{4(n+m)}-h\left(2^{m} x\right) / 2^{4 m}}(t) \geq T_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{2^{i+m-1} x, 2^{i+m-1} x}\left(2^{3 i+4 m} t\right)\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the right-hand side of (3.36) tends to 1 as $m$ and $n$ tend to infinity, the sequence $\left\{h\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{4 n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Thus we may define $Q_{2}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(h\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{4 n}\right)$ for all $x \in X$.

Now we show that $Q_{2}$ is a quartic mapping. Replacing $x, y$ with $2^{n} x$ and $2^{n} y$ in (3.20), respectively, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{\left(h\left(2^{n}(2 x+y)\right)+h\left(2^{n}(2 x-y)\right)-4 h\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)-4 h\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)-2 h\left(2^{n+1} x\right)+8 h\left(2^{n} x\right)+6 h\left(2^{n} y\right)\right) / 16^{n}(t)} \quad \geq \rho_{2^{n} x, 2^{n} y}\left(2^{4 n} t\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we find that $Q_{2}$ satisfies (1.9) for all $x, y \in X$. By Lemma 2.1 we get that the mapping $Q_{2}: X \rightarrow Y$ is quartic.

Letting the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.35), we get (3.22) by (3.29).

Finally, to prove the uniqueness of the quartic mapping $Q_{2}$ subject to (3.24), let us assume that there exists a quartic mapping $Q_{2}^{\prime}$ which satisfies (3.22). Since $Q_{2}\left(2^{n} x\right)=$ $2^{4 n} Q_{2}(x)$ and $Q_{2}^{\prime}\left(2^{n} x\right)=2^{4 n} Q_{2}^{\prime}(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, from (3.22), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{Q_{2}(x)-Q_{2}^{\prime}(x)}(2 t) \\
& =\mu_{Q_{2}\left(2^{n} x\right)-Q_{2}^{\prime}\left(2^{n} x\right)}\left(2^{4 n+1} t\right) \\
& \geq T\left(\mu_{Q_{2}\left(2^{n} x\right)-h\left(2^{n} x\right)}\left(2^{4 n} t\right), \mu_{h\left(2^{n} x\right)-Q_{2}^{\prime}\left(2^{n} x\right)}\left(2^{4 n} t\right)\right), \\
& \geq T\left(T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(2^{4 n+3 i+1} t\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{4 n+3 i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{4 n+3 i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(2^{4 n+3 i+1} t\right) T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{4 n+3 i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{4 n+3 i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.38), we get that $Q_{2}=Q_{2}^{\prime}$, as desired.
Theorem 3.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0)=0$ for which there is $\rho: X \times X \rightarrow D^{+}$ ( $\rho(x, y)$ is denoted by $\left.\rho_{x, y}\right)$ with the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)-4 f(x+y)-4 f(x-y)-2 f(2 x)+8 f(x)+6 f(y)}(t) \geq \rho_{x, y}(t) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T \left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(2^{4 n+3 i+1} t\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.T\left(\rho_{2^{n+i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{4 n+3 i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{n+i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{4 n+3 i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)=1,  \tag{3.40}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{2^{n} x, 2^{n} y}\left(2^{2 n} t\right)=1
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$, then there exist a unique quadratic mapping $Q_{1}: X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quartic mapping $Q_{2}: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{f(x)-Q_{1}(x)-Q_{2}(x)}(t) \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\geq\left(T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{12}\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{4 \cdot 24}\right), \rho_{0,2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{3 \cdot 24}\right)\right)\right)\right),\right. \\
\left.\quad T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{24}\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{4 \cdot 24}\right), \rho_{0,2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{3 \cdot 24}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, there exist a quadratic mapping $Q_{1}^{\prime}: X \rightarrow Y$ and a quartic mapping $Q_{2}^{\prime}: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{f(2 x)-16 f(x)-Q_{1}^{\prime}(x)}(t) \geq T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(2^{i+1} t\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{f(2 x)-4 f(x)-Q_{2}^{\prime}(x)}(t) \geq T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(2^{3 i+1} t\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{4}\right), \rho_{0,2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{3}\right)\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.42}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. It follows from the last inequalities that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{f(x)+(1 / 12) Q_{1}^{\prime}(x)-(1 / 12) Q_{2}^{\prime}(x)}(t) \\
& \geq T\left(\mu_{f(2 x)-16 f(x)-Q_{1}^{\prime}(x)}\left(\frac{t}{24}\right), \mu_{f(2 x)-4 f(x)-Q_{2}^{\prime}(x)}\left(\frac{t}{24}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \\
& \geq\left(T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{12}\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{4 \cdot 24}\right), \rho_{0,2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{i} t}{3 \cdot 24}\right)\right)\right)\right),\right.  \tag{3.43}\\
& \left.\quad T_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{24}\right), T\left(\rho_{2^{i-1} x, 2 \cdot 2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{4 \cdot 24}\right), \rho_{0,2^{i-1} x}\left(\frac{2^{3 i} t}{3 \cdot 24}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Hence we obtain (3.41) by letting $Q_{1}(x)=-(1 / 12) Q_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ and $Q_{2}(x)=(1 / 12) Q_{2}^{\prime}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. The uniqueness property of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ is trivial.
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