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## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with study of nonoscillation of solutions of third-order nonlinear differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)+p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))=f(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}  \tag{1.1}\\
\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)+p(t) h(y(g(t)))=f(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}, \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $t_{0} \geq 0$ is a fixed real number, $f, p, q, r$, and $g \in C([0, \infty), \mathfrak{R})$ such that $r(t)>0$ and $f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in[0, \infty) . k, h \in C(R, R)$ are nondecreasing such that $h(y) y>0, k\left(y^{\prime}\right) y^{\prime}>0$ for all $y \neq 0, y^{\prime} \neq 0$. Throughout the paper, it is assumed, for all $g(t)$ and $\alpha$ appeared in (1.1) and (1.2), that $g(t) \leq t$ for all $t \geq t_{0} ; \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty ; \alpha>0$ is a quotient of odd integers.

It is well known from relevant literature that there have been deep and thorough studies on the nonoscillatory behaviour of solutions of second- and third-order nonlinear differential equations in recent years. See, for instance, [1-37] as some related papers or
books on the subject. In the most of these studies the following differential equation and some special cases of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{\beta}+p(t) y^{\alpha}=f(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been investigated. However, much less work has been done for nonoscillation of all solutions of nonlinear functional differential equations. In this connection, Parhi [10] established some sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of the second-order forced differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))=f(t) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and nonoscillation of all bounded solutions of the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\beta}+p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))=f(t), \\
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)\left(y^{\prime}\left(g_{1}(t)\right)\right)^{\beta}+p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))=f(t), \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the real-valued functions $f, p, q, r, g$, and $g_{1}$ are continuous on $[0, \infty)$ with $r(t)>0$ and $f(t) \geq 0 ; g(t) \leq t, g_{1}(t) \leq t$ for $t \geq t_{0} ; \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g_{1}(t)=\infty$, and both $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$ are quotients of odd integers.

Later, Nayak and Choudhury [5] considered the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}-q(t)\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\beta}-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))=f(t), \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and they gave certain sufficient conditions on the functions involved for all bounded solutions of the above equation to be nonoscillatory.

Recently, in 2007, Tunç [23] investigated nonoscillation of solutions of the third-order differential equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) y^{\prime}(t)+p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))=f(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}, \\
\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)\left(y^{\prime}\left(g_{1}(t)\right)\right)^{\beta}+p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))=f(t), \quad t \geq t_{0} . \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The motivation for the present work has come from the paper of Parhi [10], Tunç [23] and the papers mentioned above. We restrict our considerations to the real solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) which exist on the half-line $[T, \infty)$, where $T(\geq 0)$ depends on the particular solution, and are nontrivial in any neighborhood of infinity. It is well known that a solution $y(t)$ of (1.1) or (1.2) is said to be nonoscillatory on $[T, \infty)$ if there exists a $t_{1} \geq T$ such that $y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$; it is said to be oscillatory if for any $t_{1} \geq T$ there exist $t_{2}$ and $t_{3}$ satisfying $t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}$ such that $y\left(t_{2}\right)>0$ and $y\left(t_{3}\right)<0 ; y(t)$ is said to be a Z-type solution if it has arbitrarily large zeros but is ultimately nonnegative or nonpositive.

## 2. Nonoscillation Behaviors of Solutions of (1.1)

In this section, we obtain sufficient conditions for the nonoscillation of solutions of (1.1).
Theorem 2.1. Let $q(t) \leq 0$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(f(t) /|p(t)|)=\infty$, then all bounded solutions of (1.1) are nonoscillatory.

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a bounded solution of (1.1) on $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y} \geq 0$, such that $|y(t)| \leq M$ for $t \geq T_{y}$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$, there exists a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $g(t) \geq T_{y}$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. In view of the assumption $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(f(t) /|p(t)|)=\infty$, it follows that there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that $f(t)>$ $M^{\alpha}|p(t)|$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. If possible, let $y(t)$ be of nonnegative $Z$-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b\left(t_{2}<a<b\right)$ such that $y(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, b)$. So, there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, c)$. Multiplying (1.1) through by $y^{\prime}(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t)) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.1) from $a$ to $c$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{a}^{c}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t)) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{a}^{c}\left[f(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{2.2}\\
& \geq \int_{a}^{c}\left[f(t)-M^{\alpha}|p(t)|\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Let $y(t)$ be of nonpositive Z-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b$ $\left(t_{2}<a<b\right)$. Then, there exists a $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(c, b)$.

Integrating (2.1) from $c$ to $b$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{c}^{b}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t)) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}\left[f(t)-\left|p(t) \| y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right|\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{2.3}\\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}\left[f(t)-M^{\alpha}|p(t)|\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
If possible, let $y(t)$ be oscillatory with consecutive zeros at $a, b$ and $a^{\prime}\left(t_{2}<a<b<a^{\prime}\right)$ such that $y^{\prime}(a) \leq 0, y^{\prime}(b) \geq 0, y^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \leq 0, y(t)<0$ for $t \in(a, b)$ and $y(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$. So
there exists points $c \in(a, b)$ and $c^{\prime} \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0, y^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=0, y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(c, b)$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(b, c^{\prime}\right)$. Now integrating (2.1) from $c$ to $c^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{c}^{c^{\prime}}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t)) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}\left[f(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}\left[f(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}\left[f(t)-|p(t)|\left|y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right|\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}\left[f(t)-|p(t)|\left|y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right|\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{2.4}\\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}\left[f(t)-M^{\alpha}|p(t)|\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}\left[f(t)-M^{\alpha}|p(t)|\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Remark 2.2. For the special case $k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)=\left(y^{\prime}\left(g_{1}(t)\right)\right)^{\beta}, h\left(y(g(t))=y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right.$, Theorem 2.1 has been proved by Tunç [23]. Our results include the results established in Tunç [23].

Theorem 2.3. Let $0 \leq p(t)<f(t)$ and $q(t) \leq 0$, then all solutions $y(t)$ of (1.1) which satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-z^{\alpha}(g(t)) \geq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on any interval where $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ are nonoscillatory.
Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of (1.1) on $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y}>0$. Due to $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$, there exists a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $g(t) \geq T_{y}$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. If possible, let $y(t)$ be of nonnegative $Z$-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b\left(T_{y} \leq a<b\right)$ such that $y(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, b)$. So, there exists a $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, c)$. Integrating (2.1) from $a$ to $c$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{a}^{c}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t)) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{a}^{c}\left[f(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{2.6}\\
& \geq \int_{a}^{c} p(t)\left[1-y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Next, let $y(t)$ be of nonpositive Z-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b\left(T_{y} \leq a<b\right)$. Then, there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(c, b)$.

Integrating (2.1) from $c$ to $b$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{c}^{b}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t)) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t>0, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Now, if possible let $y(t)$ be oscillatory with consecutive zeros at $a, b$ and $a^{\prime}\left(T_{y}<a<\right.$ $b<a^{\prime}$ ) such that $y^{\prime}(a) \leq 0, y^{\prime}(b) \geq 0, y^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \leq 0, y(t)<0$ for $t \in(a, b)$ and $y(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, there exist $c \in(a, b)$ and $c^{\prime} \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=y^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(c, b)$ and $t \in\left(b, c^{\prime}\right)$. Integrating (2.1) from $c$ to $c^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{c}^{c^{\prime}}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t)) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}\left[f(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}\left[f(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{2.8}\\
& \geq \int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}\left[f(t)-p(t) y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b} p(t)\left[1-y^{\alpha}(g(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.
Remark 2.4. For the special case $k\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{\beta}, y^{\alpha}(g(t))=y^{\alpha}$, Theorem 2.3 has been proved by Tunç [25]. Our results include the results established in Tunç [25].

## 3. Nonoscillation Behaviors of Solutions (1.2)

In this section, we give sufficient conditions so that all solutions of (1.2) are nonoscillatory.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $q(t) \leq 0$ and $0 \leq p(t)<f(t)$. If $y(t)$ is a solution (1.2) such that it satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-h(z(t))>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on any interval where $y^{\prime}(t)>0$, then $y(t)$ is nonoscillatory.
Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of (1.2) on $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y}>0$. Due to $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$, there exists a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $g(t) \geq T_{y}$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. If possible, let $y(t)$ be of nonnegative $Z$-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b\left(T_{y} \leq a<b\right)$ such that $y(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, b)$. So, there exists a $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, c)$. Multiplying (1.2) through by $y^{\prime}(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.2) from $a$ to $c$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{a}^{c}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{a}^{c}[f(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t)))] y^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{3.3}\\
& \geq \int_{a}^{c} f(t)[1-h(y(t))] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Next, let $y(t)$ be of nonpositive Z-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b\left(T_{y} \leq a<b\right)$. Then, there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(c, b)$.

Integrating (3.2) from $c$ to $b$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{c}^{b}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t>0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Now, if possible let $y(t)$ be oscillatory with consecutive zeros at $a, b$ and $a^{\prime}\left(T_{y}<a<\right.$ $\left.b<a^{\prime}\right)$ such that $y^{\prime}(a) \leq 0, y^{\prime}(b) \geq 0, y^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \leq 0, y(t)<0$ for $t \in(a, b)$ and $y(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, there exist $c \in(a, b)$ and $c^{\prime} \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=y^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(c, b)$ and $t \in\left(b, c^{\prime}\right)$. Integrating (3.2) from $c$ to $c^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{c}^{c^{\prime}}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}[f(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t)))] y^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}[f(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t)))] y^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}[f(t)-p(t) h(y(t))] y^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}[f(t)-p(t) h(y(t))] y^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{3.5}\\
& \geq \int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}[f(t)-p(t) h(y(t))] y^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \geq \int_{b}^{c^{\prime}} f(t)[1-h(y(t))] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $0 \leq q \leq p \leq f$ and $q \neq 0$ on any subinterval of $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y} \geq 0$. If $y(t)$ is a solution of (1.2) such that it satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-k\left(z^{\prime}\right)-h(z)>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on any subinteval of $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y} \geq 0$, where $y^{\prime}(t)>0$, then $y(t)$ is nonoscillatory.

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of (1.2) on $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y}>0$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$, there exists a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $g(t) \geq T_{y}$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. If possible, let $y(t)$ be of nonnegative $Z$-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b\left(T_{y} \leq a<b\right)$ such that $y(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, b)$. So, there exists a $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, c)$. Integrating (3.2) from $a$ to $c$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{a}^{c}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{a}^{c}\left[-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t  \tag{3.7}\\
& \geq \int_{a}^{c}\left[-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(t)) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{a}^{c} f(t)\left[1-k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)-p(t) h(y(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Next, let $y(t)$ be of nonpositive $Z$-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b\left(T_{y} \leq a<b\right)$. Then, there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(c, b)$.

Integrating (3.2) from $c$ to $b$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{c}^{b}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}\left[-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t  \tag{3.8}\\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b} q(t)\left[1-k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)-p(t) h(y(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Now, if possible let $y(t)$ be oscillatory with consecutive zeros at $a, b$ and $a^{\prime}\left(T_{y}<a<\right.$ $b<a^{\prime}$ ) such that $y^{\prime}(a) \leq 0, y^{\prime}(b) \geq 0, y^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \leq 0, y(t)<0$ for $t \in(a, b)$ and $y(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, there exist $c \in(a, b)$ and $c^{\prime} \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=y^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(c, b)$ and $t \in\left(b, c^{\prime}\right)$. Integrating (3.2) from $c$ to $c^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \int_{c}^{c^{\prime}}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
\geq & \int_{c}^{b}\left[-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)-p(t) h(y(g(t)))+f(t)\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& +\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}\left[-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)-p(t) h(y(g(t)))+f(t)\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq \int_{c}^{b}\left[-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)-p(t) h(y(t))+f(t)\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \quad+\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}\left[-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)-p(t) h(y(t))+f(t)\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \geq \int_{c}^{b} q(t)\left[1-k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)-h(y(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}} f(t)\left[1-k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)-h(y(t))\right] y^{\prime}(t) d t>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.
Remark 3.3. It is clear that Theorem 3.2 is not applicable to homogeneous equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)+p(t) h(y(g(t)))=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(t) \geq 0$ and $q(t) \geq 0$.
Remark 3.4. For the special case $k\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}, h(y(g(t)))=y^{\beta}$, Theorem 3.2 has been proved by N. parhi and S. parhi [19, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 3.5. Let $p(t) \geq 0, q(t) \leq 0$, and $h(y) \leq y$ for all $y>0$. If $p(t)$ and $f(t)$ are once continuously differentiable functions such that $p^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, f^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, and $2 f(t)-p(t) \geq 0$, then all solutions $y(t)$ of (1.2) for which $|y(t)| \leq 1$ ultimately are nonoscillatory.

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of (1.2) on $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y}>0$, such that $|y(t)| \leq 1$ for $t \geq T_{1}>T_{y}$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$, there exists a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $g(t) \geq T_{y}$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. If possible, let $y(t)$ be of nonnegative $Z$-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b\left(T_{1} \leq a<b\right)$ such that $y(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, b)$. So, there exists a $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, c)$. Integrating (3.2) from $a$ to $c$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{a}^{c}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{a}^{c} f(t) y^{\prime}(t) d t=\left.f(t) y(t)\right|_{a} ^{c}-\int_{a}^{c} f^{\prime}(t) y(t) d t \geq f(c) y(c)  \tag{3.12}\\
\int_{a}^{c} p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t) d t \leq \frac{1}{2} p(c) y^{2}(c)
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{c}\left[-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t  \tag{3.13}\\
& \quad \geq f(c) y(c)-\frac{1}{2} p(c) y^{2}(c) \geq \frac{p(c)}{2} y(c)-\frac{1}{2} p(c) y^{2}(c)=\frac{1}{2} p(c)\left[y(c)-y^{2}(c)\right]>0,
\end{align*}
$$

since $|y(t)| \leq 1$ for $t \geq T_{1}$. So (3.11) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{a}^{c}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t>0, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Next, let $y(t)$ be of nonpositive $Z$-type solution with consecutive double zeros at $a$ and $b\left(T_{1} \leq a<b\right)$. Then, there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(c, b)$.

Integrating (3.2) from $c$ to $b$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{c}^{b}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t>0, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Now, if possible let $y(t)$ be oscillatory with consecutive zeros at $a, b$ and $a^{\prime}\left(T_{y}<a<\right.$ $b<a^{\prime}$ ) such that $y^{\prime}(a) \leq 0, y^{\prime}(b) \geq 0, y^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \leq 0, y(t)<0$ for $t \in(a, b)$ and $y(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$. So there exist $c \in(a, b)$ and $c^{\prime} \in\left(b, a^{\prime}\right)$ such that $y^{\prime}(c)=0, y^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)$. We consider two cases, namely, $y^{\prime \prime}(b) \leq 0$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(b)>0$. Suppose that $y^{\prime \prime}(b) \leq 0$. Integrating (3.2) from $c$ to $b$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq r(b) y^{\prime}(b) y^{\prime \prime}(b) \\
& =\int_{c}^{b}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t  \tag{3.16}\\
& >0,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Let $y^{\prime \prime}(b)>0$. Integrating (3.2) from $b$ to $c^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-r(b) y^{\prime}(b) y^{\prime \prime}(b)=\int_{b}^{c^{\prime}}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)-p(t) h(y(g(t))) y^{\prime}(t)+f(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right] d t . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed as in nonnegative Z-type to conclude that $0 \geq-r(b) y^{\prime}(b) y^{\prime \prime}(b)>0$. This is a contradiction. So $y(t)$ is nonoscillatory. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Remark 3.6. If $f \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.5, then $p \equiv 0$ and hence the theorem is not applicable to homogeneous equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) k\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)+p(t) h(y(g(t)))=0 . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$
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