

# Steffensen Pairs and Associated Inequalities

HILLEL GAUCHMAN

*Department of Mathematics, Eastern Illinois University,  
Charleston, IL 61920, USA*

*(Received 22 February 1999; Revised 12 April 1999)*

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be positive numbers and  $\alpha \geq 2$ . It is known that if  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq A$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha \geq B^\alpha$ , then for any  $k$  such that  $k \geq (A/B)^{1/(\alpha-1)}$ , there are  $k$  numbers among  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  whose sum is bigger than or equal to  $B$ . We express this statement saying that a pair of functions  $(x^\alpha, x^{1/(\alpha-1)})$  is a Steffensen pair. In this paper we show how to find many Steffensen pairs.

*Keywords:* Steffensen inequality; Steffensen pair; Convex function; Tchebycheff inequality

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 26D15

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Classical Steffensen's inequality [2] states:

**THEOREM A** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be integrable functions from  $[a, b]$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $f$  is decreasing, and for every  $x \in [a, b]$ ,  $0 \leq g(x) \leq 1$ . Then*

$$\int_{b-\lambda}^b f(x) \, dx \leq \int_a^b f(x)g(x) \, dx \leq \int_a^{a+\lambda} f(x) \, dx,$$

where  $\lambda = \int_a^b g(x) \, dx$ .

In [1], the following discrete analogue of Steffensen's inequality was proved:

**THEOREM B** *Let  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  be a decreasing finite sequence of nonnegative real numbers, and let  $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$  be a finite sequence of real numbers such that for every  $i$ ,  $0 \leq y_i \leq 1$ . Let  $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  be such that  $k_2 \leq y_1 + \dots + y_n \leq k_1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=n-k_2+1}^n x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} x_i.$$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem B, the following proposition was proved in [1]:

**PROPOSITION A** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be nonnegative real numbers such that the following two conditions are satisfied: (i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq A$ , (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \geq B^2$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are positive real numbers. Let  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  be such that  $k \geq A/B$ . Then there are  $k$  numbers among  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  whose sum is bigger than or equal to  $B$ .*

To prove Proposition A we can assume that  $B \geq x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n$ . Set  $y_i = x_i/B$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq A/B \leq k$ . By Theorem B,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{B} \geq B.$$

Proposition A shows that under certain conditions, a relatively small portion of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  has a relatively large sum. For example, if  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq 300$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \geq 10000$ , then there are three numbers among  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , say  $x_j, x_k, x_m$ , such that  $x_j + x_k + x_m \geq 100$ , i.e.  $x_j + x_k + x_m \geq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ .

We will restate Proposition A using the following definition:

**DEFINITION** *Let  $\varphi: [c, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $c \geq 0$ , and  $\tau: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be two strictly increasing functions. We say that  $(\varphi, \tau)$  is a Steffensen pair on  $[c, \infty)$  if the following is satisfied:*

*If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are real numbers such that  $x_i \geq c$  for all  $i$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are positive real numbers, and (i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq A$ , (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) \geq \varphi(B)$ , then for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $k \geq \tau(A/B)$ , there are  $k$  numbers among  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  whose sum is bigger than or equal to  $B$ .*

Now Proposition A can be reformulated as follows:

PROPOSITION A'  $(x^2, x)$  is a Steffensen pair on  $[0, \infty)$ .

The following more general result was proved in [1]:

PROPOSITION B If  $\alpha \geq 2$ , then  $(x^\alpha, x^{1/(\alpha-1)})$  is a Steffensen pair on  $[0, \infty)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to find more examples of Steffensen pairs.

THEOREM 1 Let  $\psi: [c, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  where  $c \geq 0$ , be increasing and convex. Assume that  $\psi$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\psi(xy) \geq \psi(x)g(y) \quad \text{for all } x \geq c, y \geq 1,$$

where  $g: [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is strictly increasing. Set  $\varphi(x) = x\psi(x)$ ,  $\tau(x) = g^{-1}(x)$ , where  $g^{-1}$  is the inverse function for  $g$ . Then  $(\varphi, \tau)$  is a Steffensen pair on  $[c, \infty)$ .

Example Let  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $\psi(x) = x^{\alpha-1}$ . Then  $\psi(xy) = \psi(x)\psi(y)$ . Hence  $\varphi(x) = x^\alpha$ ,  $\tau(x) = x^{1/(\alpha-1)}$ , and we arrive at Proposition B.

THEOREM 2 Let  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a twice differentiable function on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $f'(x) \geq 1$  and  $f''(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Assume that  $f(0) = 0$ . Then the functions  $\psi$  and  $g$  from  $[1, \infty)$  into  $[0, \infty)$  given by

$$\psi = g = \exp \circ f \circ \ln$$

satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.

Remark There are many functions satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2. For example, if  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x^i$  is the sum of a series converging on  $[0, \infty)$  and if  $a_1 \geq 1$ ,  $a_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ , then  $f(x)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.

PROPOSITION 1 If  $\alpha \geq 1$ , then  $(x \exp(x^\alpha - 1), (1 + \ln x)^{(1/\alpha)})$  is a Steffensen pair on  $[1, \infty)$ .

PROPOSITION 2 Let  $a$  and  $b$  be real numbers satisfying the conditions  $b > a > 1$  and  $\sqrt{ab} \geq e$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \begin{cases} (x^{1+\ln b} - x^{1+\ln a}) / \ln x, & \text{if } x > 1, \\ \ln b - \ln a, & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \\ \tau(x) &= x^{1/\ln \sqrt{ab}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(\varphi, \tau)$  is a Steffensen pair on  $[1, \infty)$ .

*Remark* Since  $\sqrt{ab} \geq e$ ,  $x \geq x^{1/\ln\sqrt{ab}}$  for  $x \geq 1$ . Therefore it is possible to take  $\tau(x) = x$  in Proposition 2.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1, 2 AND PROPOSITIONS 1, 2

Theorem 1 can be deduced easily from Theorem 6.5 in [1]. However the proof of Theorem 6.5 in [1] uses the integration over a general measure space. Because of this reason we give here a direct and elementary proof of Theorem 1 (although it follows closely the ideas of the proof of Theorem 6.5 in [1]).

**LEMMA 1** *Assume that  $\psi[c, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $c \geq 0$ , is increasing and convex. Set  $\varphi(x) = x\psi(x)$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be positive real numbers such that  $x_i \geq c$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Set  $m = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \varphi(x_i) - \psi(m) \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \leq \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i\right) - \psi(rm) \sum_{i=1}^r x_i.$$

*Proof* Since  $\psi(x)$  is convex, it is well known (and easy to prove) that if  $x_1 < x_2$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ , then

$$\psi(x_2) - \psi(x_1) \leq \psi(x_2 + \delta) - \psi(x_1 + \delta).$$

Using this fact we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi(x_i) - \psi(m) \sum_{i=1}^r x_i &= \sum_{i=1}^r x_i [\psi(x_i) - \psi(m)] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r x_i [\psi(x_i + (r-1)m) - \psi(rm)] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \left[ \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i\right) - \psi(rm) \right] \\ &= \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i\right) \sum_{i=1}^r x_i - \psi(rm) \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \\ &= \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i\right) - \psi(rm) \sum_{i=1}^r x_i. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 1* Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be real numbers such that  $x_i \geq c$  for all  $i$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be positive real numbers, and (i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq A$ , (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) \geq \varphi(B)$ . Assume that  $k \geq \tau(A/B)$ . We will prove that  $x_1 + \dots + x_k \geq B$ .

The inequality  $k \geq \tau(A/B)$  implies that  $g(k) \geq A/B$ . Hence

$$A\psi(x_k) = \psi(x_k) \frac{A}{B} B \leq \psi(x_k) g(k) B.$$

Since  $\psi(xy) \geq \psi(x)g(y)$ , we obtain

$$A\psi(x_k) \leq \psi(kx_k) B. \quad (1)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(B) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(x_i) + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \psi(x_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(x_i) + \psi(x_k) \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(x_k) + \psi(x_k) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(x_k) - \psi(x_k) \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + A\psi(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 implies that

$$\varphi(B) \leq \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) - \psi(kx_k) \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + A\psi(x_k).$$

By (1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(B) - \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) &\leq -\psi(kx_k) \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + \psi(kx_k) B \\ &= \psi(kx_k) \left( B - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that the conclusion of the theorem is wrong, that is, assume that  $B - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i > 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(B) - \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) &\leq \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) \left(B - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) \\ &= B\psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) - \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\varphi(B) \leq B\psi(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i)$ . Hence  $\psi(B) \leq \psi(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i)$ . It follows that  $B \leq \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$ , which contradicts the above assumption.

*Proof of Theorem 2* For  $x > 1$ ,

$$\psi'(x) = \psi(x)f'(\ln x) \frac{1}{x} > 0,$$

$$\psi''(x) = \psi(x)f'(\ln x) \frac{1}{x^2} [f'(\ln x) - 1] + \psi(x)f''(\ln x) \frac{1}{x^2} \geq 0.$$

Therefore  $\psi$  is increasing and convex. Let  $y \geq 0$ , be a fixed number. For  $x \geq 0$ , set

$$F(x) = f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y).$$

Then

$$F'(x) = f'(x+y) - f'(x) \geq 0, \quad F(0) = 0.$$

Hence  $F(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Thus

$$f(x+y) \geq f(x) + f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \geq 0$ . Therefore, for  $x, y \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(xy) &= \exp(f(\ln xy)) = \exp(f(\ln x + \ln y)) \\ &\geq \exp[f(\ln x) + f(\ln y)] \\ &= \exp(f(\ln x)) \cdot \exp(f(\ln y)) = \psi(x)\psi(y). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Proposition 1* For  $\alpha \geq 1$ , set  $f(x) = e^{\alpha x} - 1$ . Then  $f(0) = 0$  and for all  $x \geq 0$ ,  $f'(x) \geq 1$ ,  $f''(x) \geq 0$ . Therefore by Theorem 2, functions  $\psi$  and  $g$  from  $[1, \infty)$  into  $[0, \infty)$  given by  $\psi(x) = g(x) = \exp(e^{\alpha \ln x} - 1) = \exp(x^\alpha - 1)$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. It follows by Theorem 1, that  $(\varphi, \tau)$ , where  $\varphi(x) = x\psi(x) = x \exp(x^\alpha - 1)$  and  $\tau(x) = g^{-1}(x) = (1 + \ln x)^{1/\alpha}$  is a Steffensen pair on  $[1, \infty)$ .

*Proof of Proposition 2* We prove this proposition using Theorem 1 and recent results from [3]. Let  $b > a > 1$  and  $\sqrt{ab} \geq e$ . Set

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} (b^x - a^x)/x, & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ \ln b - \ln a, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 3 in [3],  $h'(x) > 0$ .

LEMMA 2  $h''(x) \geq h'(x)$  for  $x \geq 0$ .

*Proof* It is easy to see that

$$h^{(n)}(x) = \int_a^b (\ln t)^n t^{x-1} dt. \tag{2}$$

We will use the following Tchebycheff inequality.

Let  $p, q: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable increasing functions and let  $r: [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an integrable function. Then

$$\int_a^b r(t)p(t) dt \int_a^b r(t)q(t) dt \leq \int_a^b r(t) dt \int_a^b r(t)p(t)q(t) dt.$$

Taking  $p(t) = q(t) = \ln t$ ,  $r(t) = t^{x-1}$ , we get

$$\left( \int_a^b \ln t \cdot t^{x-1} dt \right)^2 \leq \int_a^b t^{x-1} dt \int_a^b (\ln t)^2 t^{x-1} dt.$$

By (2), we obtain that for all  $x$ ,

$$[h'(x)]^2 \leq h(x)h''(x). \tag{3}$$

By Proposition 4 in [3], for every  $y \geq 0$ ,  $F(x) = h(x+y)/h(x)$  is increasing as a function of  $x$ . Therefore

$$F'(x) = \frac{h'(x+y)h(x) - h(x+y)h'(x)}{[h(x)]^2} \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$h'(x+y)h(x) - h(x+y)h'(x) \geq 0 \tag{4}$$

for all  $x$  and all  $y \geq 0$ .

Taking  $x = 0$  in (4), we obtain

$$h'(y)h(0) - h(y)h'(0) \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

for all  $y \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} h(0) &= \ln b - \ln a \\ h'(0) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} \left[ \frac{b^x - a^x}{x} - (\ln b - \ln a) \right] = \frac{1}{2} [(\ln b)^2 - (\ln a)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $h'(0) = h(0) \ln \sqrt{ab}$ . Since  $\sqrt{ab} \geq e$ , we obtain that  $h'(0) \geq h(0)$ . It follows from (5) that  $h'(y) \geq h(y)$  for  $y \geq 0$ . Therefore, by (3) and (5),

$$h(x)h''(x) \geq [h'(x)]^2 \geq h(x)h'(x)$$

for  $x \geq 0$ . Thus  $h''(x) \geq h'(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . That proves the lemma.

Set  $\psi(x) = h(\ln x)$  for  $x \geq 1$ . Then  $\psi'(x) = h'(\ln x)(1/x) > 0$ ,  $\psi''(x) = (1/x^2)[h''(\ln x) - h'(\ln x)] \geq 0$ . Hence  $\psi(x)$  is increasing and convex. In addition,

$$\frac{\psi(xy)}{\psi(x)} = \frac{h(\ln(xy))}{h(\ln x)} = \frac{h(\ln x + \ln y)}{h(\ln x)}.$$

By Proposition 5 in [3], we have that for  $x, y \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{h(x+y)}{h(x)} \geq (\sqrt{ab})^y.$$

Therefore, for  $x, y \geq 1$ ,

$$\frac{\psi(xy)}{\psi(x)} \geq (\sqrt{ab})^{\ln y}.$$

Set  $g(x) = (\sqrt{ab})^{\ln x}$ . Then  $g^{-1}(x) = x^{1/\ln \sqrt{ab}}$ .

By Theorem 1 ( $\varphi, \tau$ ), where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= x\psi(x) \\ &= \begin{cases} x(b^{\ln x} - a^{\ln x})/\ln x = (x^{1+\ln b} - x^{1+\ln a})/\ln x, & \text{if } x > 1, \\ \ln b - \ln a, & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \\ \tau(x) &= x^{1/\ln \sqrt{ab}}, \end{aligned}$$

is a Steffensen pair.



**References**

- [1] J.-C. Evard and H. Gauchman, Steffensen type inequalities over general measure spaces, *Analysis*, **17** (1997), 301–322.
- [2] J.F. Steffensen, On certain inequalities and methods of approximation, *J. Inst. Actuaries*, **51** (1919), 274–297.
- [3] Feng Qi and Sen Lin Xu, The function  $(b^x - a^x)/x$ : inequalities and properties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**(11) (1998), 3355–3359.