

ON THE NORMALIZER OF A GROUP IN THE CAYLEY REPRESENTATION

SURINDER K. SEHGAL

Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210

(Received October 21, 1987)

ABSTRACT If G is embedded as a proper subgroup of X in the Cayley representation of G , then the problem of "if $N_X(G)$ is always larger than G " is studied in this paper.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Cayley representation, Wreath product, permutation group.

1980 A.M.S. SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 20B35, 20D25,

Let R be the Cayley representation (i.e., the right regular representation) of a group G given by $R(g) = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix}$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in G$. Under the mapping R , the group G is embedded into a subgroup $R(G)$ of the symmetric group S_Ω , the group of permutations on the set Ω consisting of the elements of the group G . We identify $R(G)$ with G and say that G is a subgroup of S_Ω . The centralizer of G in S_Ω consists precisely of the elements of the form $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ gx \end{pmatrix}$. (See Lemma 1.) In particular, if G is abelian then G is self centralizing in S_Ω . Also, the normalizer of G in S_Ω is equal to $G \cdot \text{Aut}(G)$ where $\text{Aut}(G)$ is the full automorphism group of G (see Lemma 2).

Suppose that the group G is nonabelian. If X is a subgroup of S_Ω , containing a permutation of the type $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ gx \end{pmatrix}$ for some $g \in G - Z(G)$ such that the property

$$G \not\leq X \leq S_\Omega \quad (*)$$

holds, then it follows that $N_X(G)$ contains G properly. However, it is easy to see that any element of S_Ω which normalizes G is not always a permutation of the form $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ gx \end{pmatrix}$.

When the group G is abelian, the permutations $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ gx \end{pmatrix}$ all lie in G and so G is self centralizing in S_Ω . In this way one cannot find a group X satisfying (*) by the above method. However, P. Bhattacharya [1] proved that if G is any finite, abelian p group satisfying (*) then $N_X(G) \not\supseteq G$. P. Bhattacharya and N. Mukherjee [2] also prove that if G is any finite, nilpotent, Hall subgroup of X satisfying (*) and the Sylow p subgroups of G are regular for all primes p dividing the order of G , then $N_X(G) \not\supseteq G$. In other words, that X must contain an element of the outer automorphism group of G .

In this paper we will prove that if G is any abelian Hall subgroup of X , satisfying the condition (*) then $G \not\leq N_X(G)$. We will also give an example to show that the condition of being Hall subgroup is necessary in the above theorem. We will also show that if G is any nilpotent, Hall subgroup of X satisfying the condition (*) and the Sylow p subgroups P of G do not have a factor group that is isomorphic to the Wreath product of $Z_p \wr Z_p$ then $G \not\leq N_X(G)$. In particular it follows that if G is any finite p -group and does not have a factor group isomorphic to $Z_p \wr Z_p$ then $G \leq N_X(G)$ [i.e., the condition being a Hall subgroup is not necessary]. As a corollary it also follows that if G is any regular p -group satisfying the condition (*) then $G \not\leq N_X(G)$. We will give an example to show that the condition of G having no factor group isomorphic to $Z_p \wr Z_p$ is necessary.

Lemma 1. Let R be the right regular representation of a finite group G and L , the left regular representation of G . Under the mappings L and R , the groups $L(G)$ and $R(G)$ are subgroups of S_Ω and $C_{S_\Omega}(R(G)) = L(G)$.

Proof: Let $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix} \in R(G)$, $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ hx \end{pmatrix} \in L(G)$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ hx \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} xg \\ hxg \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ hxg \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} x \\ hx \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} hx \\ hxg \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ hx \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $L(G) \subseteq C_{S_\Omega}(R(G))$.

Now suppose $\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} \in S_\Omega$ and $\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix}$ centralizes $R(G)$. So $\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ (xg)' \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix}$.

Since $\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} \in C_{S_\Omega}$, so $x'g = (xg)'$ for all $x, g \in G$.

Hence $x' = (xg)'g^{-1}$. Now plug in $g = x^{-1}$. So $x' = 1' \cdot x$. Thus $\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1'x \end{pmatrix} \in L(G)$. Hence $C_{S_\Omega}(R(G)) = L(G)$.

Lemma 2: With the same notation as in Lemma 1, we have $N_{S_\Omega}(R(G)) = R(G) \cdot \text{Aut}(G)$.

Proof: Let $\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Aut}(G)$ then $\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} \in S_\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} xg \\ (xg)' \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ (xg)' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ x'g' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xg' \end{pmatrix} \in R(G). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{Aut}(G) \subseteq N_{S_\Omega}(R(G))$. Conversely, let $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}$ be an arbitrary element of $N_{S_\Omega}(G)$. Let $a = 1'$. So $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ xa^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in R(G)$. Let $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xa^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. So θ sends 1 to 1. Now $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \in R(G)$. So $\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} xg \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x'g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x'g^* \end{pmatrix}$ since it lies in $R(G)$, i.e., $(xg)^0 = x^0 \cdot g^*$. Plug in $x = 1$, we get $g^* = g^0 \Rightarrow (xg)^0 = x^0 \cdot g^0 \Rightarrow \theta$ is an automorphism of $G \Rightarrow N_{S_\Omega}(R(G)) = R(G) \cdot \text{Aut}(G)$.

Lemma 3: Let G be any finite group satisfying the condition (*). Then for any $\alpha \in \Omega$

- (i) $G \cap X_\alpha = \{e\}$.
- (ii) $X = G \cdot X_\alpha$
- (iii) X_α is core free, i.e., it does not contain any non-identity normal subgroup of X .

Proof: Recall that here G is identified with $R(G)$ in $G \leq X \leq S_\Omega$. Since R is the right regular representation of G , so $R(g)$ does not fix any $\alpha \in \Omega$ except when $g = e$. So $G \cap X_\alpha =$

$\{e\}$. Also X acts transitively on Ω , $|\alpha^X| = |\Omega| = |G|$. Now $[X : X_\alpha] = |\alpha^X| = |G|$. So $X = G \cdot X_\alpha$. For part (iii) suppose $N \triangleleft X$ and $N \subseteq X_\alpha$. So $N \subseteq \cap_{x \in X} x^{-1} X_\alpha x$, i.e., if n is an arbitrary element of N , then n can be written as $n = x^{-1} u x$ for all $x \in X$ and some $u \in X_\alpha$. Here u depends on x , i.e., $x \cdot n = u \cdot x$ or $\alpha^{xn} = \alpha^{ux} = \alpha^x$ since u fixes α , i.e., n fixes α^x for all $x \in X$, but X acts transitively on $\Omega \Rightarrow n$ fixes every element of $X \Rightarrow n = e \Rightarrow N = \{e\}$.

Lemma 4: (Core Theorem): Let H be any subgroup of G with $[G : H] = n$. then $G/\text{core } H$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of S_n where $\text{core } H$ is the largest normal subgroup of G which is contained in H .

Proof: Let Ω be the set of distinct right cosets of H in G , i.e., $\Omega = \{Hg_1, Hg_2, \dots, Hg_n\}$. Then the mapping σ defined by $\sigma(g) = \begin{pmatrix} Hg_i \\ Hg_j g \end{pmatrix}$ is a transitive permutation representation of G of degree n with Kernel of $\sigma = \text{core } H$.

Theorem 5: Let G be a finite abelian, Hall subgroup of X , satisfying the condition (*). Then $N_X(G) \supseteq G$.

Proof: Suppose the result is false, i.e., there exists a subgroup X of S_Ω satisfying $G \subsetneq X \leq S_\Omega$ and $N_X(G) = G$. Amongst all subgroups of S_Ω containing G property, pick X to be smallest. In other words, G is a maximal subgroup of X . Let $|G| = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t}$ with p_i distinct primes. Let P_i be Sylow p_i subgroups of G for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Since G is a maximal subgroup of X , so $N_X(P_i) = G$ or $N_X(P_i) = X$. Renumber the p_i 's if necessary and say $N_X(P_i) = G$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ and $N_X(P_i) = X$ for $i = \ell + 1, \dots, t$. For $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, $N_X(P_i) = C_X(P_i) = G$. So by Burnside Lemma X has a normal p_i complement. For $j = \ell + 1, \dots, t$, $P_j \triangleleft X \Rightarrow C_X(P_j) \triangleleft X$ $G \subseteq C_X(P_j) \Rightarrow C_X(P_j) = X = N_X(P_j)$. So X has a normal P_i complement M_i for all $i \Rightarrow X_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^t M_i$, $X_\alpha \triangleleft S$ which is a contradiction to Lemma 3.

In the case where G is abelian, but not Hall subgroup of X , the result is not true as illustrated by the following example.

Example 6: Let $X = Z_3 \times S_3 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b, c | b^3 = c^2 = 1, c^{-1}bc = b^{-1} \rangle$.

Let $G = Z_3 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \simeq Z_6$. Let H be the subgroup of X of order 3 generated by the ordered pair (a, b) . Then H is not normal in X since (e, c) does not normalize H . So H is core free, of index 6 in X . By Lemma 4, $G \subsetneq X \leq S_6$. Now G is abelian, not Hall subgroup of X and $N_X(G) = G$.

Theorem 7: Let G be a finite, nilpotent, Hall subgroup of X , satisfying the condition (*). Suppose that the Sylow p subgroups P of G do not have a factor group isomorphic to the Wreath product of $Z_p \wr Z_p$ for all primes p dividing the order of G . Then $N_X(G) \supseteq G$.

Proof: Suppose the result is false, i.e., there exists a subgroup X of S_Ω satisfying $G \subsetneq X \leq S_\Omega$ and $N_X(G) = G$. Amongst all subgroups of S_Ω containing G properly, pick X to be smallest. In other words G is a maximal subgroup of X . Let $|G| = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_t^{\alpha_t}$, here p_i are all distinct primes. Since G is nilpotent, so $G = P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_t$ where P_i are Sylow p_i subgroups of G . So we have either $N_X(P_i) = G$ or $N_X(P_i) = X$. Renumber the p_i 's if necessary and say $N_X(P_i) = G$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ and $N_X(P_i) = X$ for $i = \ell + 1, \dots, t$.

Let us look at the case $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. We have $N = N_X(P_i) = G$. By Yoshida's transfer theorem [3], X has normal p_i complement M_i .

Let $M = \cap_{i=1}^{\ell} M_i$. So $p_i \nmid |M|$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Now for $j = \ell + 1, \dots, t$, $N_X(P_j) = X$. So $P_j \triangleleft X$ which implies that $C_X(P_j) \triangleleft X$ and $P_j C_X(P_j) \triangleleft X$ and $G \subseteq P_j \cdot C_X(P_j) \Rightarrow P_j C_X(P_j) = X$.

For $\alpha \in \Omega$, by Lemma 3 $X = G \cdot X_\alpha$; $G \cap X_\alpha = 1$; $(|G|, |X_\alpha|) = 1 \Rightarrow X_\alpha \subseteq C_X(P_j) \cap M \Rightarrow X_\alpha \subseteq C_M(P_j)$ for $j = \ell + 1, \dots, t$. $|M| = p_{j+1}^{\alpha_{j+1}} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} \cdot |X_\alpha| \Rightarrow X_\alpha \Delta M \Rightarrow X_\alpha$ is a characteristic subgroup of $M \Delta G \Rightarrow X_\alpha \Delta G$, which is a contradiction to Lemma 3.

As an immediate corollary to the theorem, we get the result of P. Bhattacharya and N. Mukherjee [2].

Corollary 8: Let G be a finite, regular p subgroup of X and satisfies the condition (*), then $N_X(G) \not\supseteq G$.

Proof: If G is not a Hall subgroup of X then G is properly contained in a Sylow p subgroup of X and so $N_X(G) \not\supseteq G$. So we can assume that G is a Hall subgroup of X . Now G being a regular p group $\Rightarrow G$ does not have a factor group isomorphic to $Z_p \wr Z_p$. So Theorem 7 proves the result.

Corollary 9. Let G be a finite, nilpotent, Hall subgroup of X , satisfying the condition (*). Suppose further that Sylow p subgroups of G are regular for all primes p dividing the order of G then $N_X(G) \not\supseteq G$.

Corollary 10: Let G be a finite p group, satisfying the condition (*). Suppose that G does not have a factor group isomorphic to $Z_p \wr Z_p$, then $G \not\leq N_X(G)$.

The condition that the Sylow p subgroups of G in Theorem 6 have the property that it has no homomorphic isomorphic to $Z_p \wr Z_p$ is necessary. See example below.

Example: Let X be the simple group of order 168. Let $G \in \text{Syl}_2(X)$. Then $G \cong Z_2 \wr Z_2$ so G is nilpotent, Hall subgroup of X . Since $H =$ the normalizer of a Sylow 7 subgroup has index 8, so by Lemma 4, $G \subseteq X \subseteq S_8$, i.e., G satisfies the condition (*) but $N_X(G) = G$.

References

1. P. Bhattacharya, On the normalizer of a group in the Cayley representation, Bull. Austrian Math. Soc. 25 (1982) 81-84.
2. P. Bhattacharya and N. Mukherjee, On the normalizer of a subgroup of a finite group and the Cayley embedding; J. of Pure and Applied Algebra 33 (1984) 253-257.
3. T. Yoshida, Character theoretic transfer; J. of Algebra 52, (1978) 1-38.
4. B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I (Springer, New York, 1967).
5. M. ISSACS, Character Theory of Finite Groups. (Academic Press 1976).
6. H. Zassenhaus, The Theory of Groups (Chelsea, 1956).