

## BANACH-MACKEY SPACES

JING HUI QIU

*Department of Mathematics, University of Suzhou,  
Suzhou, Jiang Su Province, China*

KELLY McKENNON

*Department of Mathematics, Washington State University  
Pullman, Washington, USA*

(Received February 26, 1990)

**ABSTRACT.** In recent publications the concepts of fast completeness and local barreledness have been shown to be related to the property of all weak-\* bounded subsets of the dual (of a locally convex space) being strongly bounded. In this paper we clarify those relationships, as well as giving several different characterizations of this property.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Fast complete, locally barreled, Banach-Mackey spaces.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** 46A05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

In [1], it is claimed that in a locally convex space  $E$  all  $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded sets are  $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded if and only if  $E$  is fast complete. In [2] Kučera and Gilsdorf pointed out that the "only if" part is not correct and proposed a notion "locally barreled" which is weaker than fast completeness. They proved that if  $E$  is locally barreled, then all  $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded sets are  $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded. They also formulated a certain property (P) and showed that  $E$  is locally barreled if it satisfies property (P) and if all  $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded sets are  $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded. Thus, when  $E$  has property (P), a necessary and sufficient condition for all  $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded sets to be  $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded is for  $E$  to be locally barreled. In [3] Gilsdorf proved that whenever  $E$  is locally barreled, then the families of weakly and strongly bounded subsets of  $\mathfrak{L}(E, F)$  are identical and that, when property (P) holds, the two statements are equivalent.

In the present paper we give a number of necessary and sufficient conditions, as well as some sufficient conditions, for weak-\* bounded subsets to be strongly bounded, and then investigate the relationships between them. In particular, we prove that whenever all  $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded sets are  $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded and  $F$  is any locally convex space, then the families of weakly and strongly bounded subsets of  $\mathfrak{L}(E, F)$  are

identical (which yields the above-cited result of [3] as a direct consequence).

Each Fréchet space is locally barreled, but we present below an example of a barreled space which is not locally barreled. This shows that all weak-\* bounded sets may be strongly bounded without a space being locally barreled.

It turns out that property (P) is rather demanding. In particular we show that if all weak-\* bounded sets are strongly bounded, that property (P) does not hold unless each linear functional is continuous (which is never the case, for instance, in an infinite dimensional Fréchet space). Thus there are even many Banach spaces (which are locally barreled and fast complete) which do not have property (P)

## 2. BANACH-MACKEY SPACES (VARIOUS DESCRIPTIONS).

In [1]-[3] some conditions for weak-\* bounded sets to be strongly bounded have been investigated. For brevity, we denote a Hausdorff locally convex linear topological space by the abbreviation l.c.s. As in [4], Def. 10-4-3, we call a l.c.s.  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  a Banach-Mackey space if all  $\sigma(E, E')$ -bounded subsets are  $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded. We begin by giving a number of necessary and sufficient conditions for weak-\* bounded sets to be strongly bounded.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  be a l.c.s. The following statements are pairwise equivalent:

- (S1) all  $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded subsets of  $E'$  are  $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded;
- (S2)  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  is a Banach-Mackey space;
- (S3) each barrel in  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  is a bornivore (absorbs bounded sets) in  $(E, \mathcal{J})$ ;
- (S4)  $\beta(E'', E')|_E = \beta(E, E')$ ;
- (S5) for any absolutely convex, bounded, closed subset  $B$  of  $E$ , the topology on the linear hull  $E_B$  of  $B$  generated by the Minkowski functional  $\rho_B$  of  $B$  is finer than that topology  $\beta(E, E')$  restricted to  $E_B$ ;
- (S6) for any l.c.s.  $F$  and any family  $S$  of bounded subsets of  $E$  covering  $E$ , a subset  $\mathfrak{B}$  of the space  $\mathfrak{L}(E, F)$  (of continuous linear operators from  $E$  to  $F$ ) is pointwise bounded if and only if it is bounded on each element of  $S$  ( $S$ -bounded).

**PROOF.** That (S2) is equivalent to (S1), (S3), and (S4) is proved in [4], Th. 10-4-5, Th. 10-4-7, and Th. 10-4-101.

Next we show that (S3) is equivalent to (S5). Let  $B$  be as in (S5) and let  $W$  be a barrel in  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  (so that  $E_B \cap W$  is a typical neighborhood of 0 for the topology  $\beta(E, E')$  relativized to  $E_B$ ). To know that  $W$  will always absorb  $B$  is to know that some positive scalar-multiple of the set  $\{x \in E : \rho_B(x) \leq 1\}$  is contained in  $W$ , which is to know that (S5) holds.

That (S6) implies (S2) is trivial. We shall complete the proof by assuming that (S3) holds and demonstrating that (S6) follows. Let then  $F$  and  $S$  be as in (S6), let  $A$  be an element of  $S$ , let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a pointwise bounded subset of  $\mathfrak{L}(E, F)$ , and let  $\rho$  be a continuous seminorm on  $F$ . The set  $B = \{x \in E : \rho(T(x)) \leq 1 \ (\forall T \in \mathfrak{B})\}$  is a barrel in  $E$  and so, by (S3),  $B$  absorbs bounded subsets of  $E$  — in particular  $B$  absorbs  $A$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is bounded on  $A$ , which establishes (S6). Q.E.D.

## 3. BANACH-MACKEY SPACES (SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS).

In the present section we give some sufficient conditions for weak-\* bounded sets to be strongly bounded.

**DEFINITION 1** (cf. [2] or [3]). Let  $B \subseteq E$  be a disk,  $E_B$  the linear hull of  $B$ , and  $\rho_B$  the Minkowski functional of  $B$ :

(a) if  $(E_B, \rho_B)$  is a Banach space, then B is called a Banach disk and E is said to be fast complete if each bounded subset of E is contained in a bounded Banach disk;

(b) if  $(E_B, \rho_B)$  is a barreled normed space, then B is called a barreled disk and E is said to be locally barreled if each bounded subset of E is contained in a closed bounded barreled disk.

THEOREM 2. Let  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  be a l.c.s. Each of the following statements implies that E is a Banach-Mackey space:

- (1)  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  is locally barreled;
- (2)  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  is semi-reflexive;
- (3) for each absolutely summable sequence  $c_n$  of scalars and each null sequence  $x_n$  in  $(E, \mathcal{J})$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n$  is convergent.

PROOF. We first show that (1) implies (S3) of Theorem 1. Let W be any barrel and B any bounded subset of  $(E, \mathcal{J})$ . Then  $W \cap E_B$  is a barrel in  $E_B$  (since  $E_B$  is continuously embedded in E), a neighborhood of 0 in  $E_B$  (by (1)), and so absorbs the bounded subset B of  $E_B$ .

That (2) implies (S4) of Theorem 1 is trivial.

We conclude by showing that (3) implies (S3). Suppose that (3) holds and assume that there exists a barrel W in  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  which is not a bornivore. Then there is a bounded sequence  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $y_n \notin W$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $\{x_n \equiv y_n/n\}$  is a null sequence in the complement of W. Define  $T: \ell_1 \rightarrow E$  by

$$T(c) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n \tag{3.1}$$

for each  $c \in \ell_1$ . If  $f \in E'$ , then  $M \equiv \sup\{|f(y_n)| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is finite and for all  $c, d \in \ell_1$  we have

$$|f(T(c)) - f(T(d))| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(c_n - d_n) f(y_n/n)| \leq M \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n - d_n|/n. \tag{3.2}$$

It follows that T is  $\sigma(\ell_1, c_0) \rightarrow \sigma(E, E')$  continuous on bounded subsets of  $\ell_1$ . Since the closed unit ball D of  $\ell_1$  is  $\sigma(\ell_1, c_0)$ -compact, it follows that the image T(D) is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact and so  $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded as well. But W (being a barrel) then absorbs T(D) which contains the range of the sequence x as a subset: absurd! Thus, (S3) holds. Q.E.D.

DEFINITION 2 (cf. [4], Def. 9-2-8). A l.c.s. E is said to have the convex compactness property if the closed absolutely convex hull of each compact set is compact.

THEOREM 3. If  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  has the convex compactness property, then condition (3) of Theorem 2 is satisfied.

PROOF. Assume that  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  has the convex compactness property and let  $x_n$  and  $c_n$  be as in (3) of Theorem 2. Then the range A of x is compact and so the closed absolute convex hull [A] is compact. Let  $d_n$  be the sequence  $c_n$  divided by its  $\ell_1$ -norm. Then each of the partial sums  $\sum_{n=1}^m d_n x_n$  is in [A] and so there exists a limit point s of the partial sums. For two finite sums  $\sum_{n=1}^m d_n x_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{m+k} d_n x_n$  and any continuous semi-norm  $\rho$  on E we have

$$\rho(\sum_{n=1}^{m+k} d_n x_n - \sum_{n=1}^m d_n x_n) \leq \sum_{n=m+1}^{m+k} |d_n| \cdot \rho(x_n). \tag{3.3}$$

3.3)

Since  $\{\rho(x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded, it follows that the sequence of partial sums is Cauchy. Hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n x_n$  exists (and equals s). Q.E.D.

COROLLARY. If a l.c.s.  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  is either fast complete or has the convex compactness property, then it is a Banach-Mackey space.

PROOF. If  $(E, \mathcal{J})$  is fast complete, it is evidently locally barreled. Thus the

corollary follows from Theorems 1 and 2. Q.E.D.

#### 4. COUNTER-EXAMPLES.

In this section we show that none of the conditions of Theorem 2 is necessary for  $E$  to be a Banach-Mackey space.

EXAMPLE 1 (a barreled Banach-Mackey space which is not locally barreled). It is shown in [8] that there exists a Hausdorff inductive limit  $(E, \mathcal{T})$  of Fréchet spaces  $(E_n, \mathcal{T}_n)$  such that each bounded subset of  $E$  is contained in some  $E_n$  but that there exists a closed, absolutely convex, bounded subset  $B$  of  $E$  which is not bounded in any space  $E_n$ . Obviously  $(E, \mathcal{T})$  is barreled and so is a Banach-Mackey space as well.

Assume that  $(E, \mathcal{T})$  were locally barreled. Then we may also assume that the set  $B$  above is such that  $E_B$  is a barreled space. Denote by  $\rho_B$  the Minkowski functional on  $E_B$  and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $E_B \subseteq E_m$ . Let  $\{U_n\}$  be a nested neighborhood base of  $0$  in  $E_m$  consisting of closed, absolutely convex sets. Then  $\{\frac{B}{n} \cap U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  generates a metrizable locally convex topology  $\mathcal{T}_0$  on  $E_B$  which is finer than the norm topology induced by  $\rho_B$ . It follows that  $(E_B, \mathcal{T}_0)$  is complete ([9] I.1.6). It now follows from the generalized closed graph theorem ([4] Th. 12-5-7) that  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is exactly the norm topology induced by  $\rho_B$ . Hence each set  $\frac{B}{n} \cap U_n$  contains a positive multiple of  $B$ , whence follows that each  $U_n$  absorbs  $B$ . This means that  $B$  is bounded in  $E_m$ : absurd.

We note that the fact that  $(E, \mathcal{T})$  is not locally barreled can also be deduced from [4] T. 12-5-10.

EXAMPLE 2 (a Banach-Mackey space which is not semi-reflexive). Choose any Banach space which is not semi-reflexive.

EXAMPLE 3 (a Banach-Mackey space which does not have property (3) of Theorem 2). It is shown in [5] (cf. also [6] 31.6) that there exists a Hausdorff inductive limit  $(E, \mathcal{T})$  of Fréchet spaces  $(E_n, \mathcal{T}_n)$  containing a bounded sequence  $\{y_n\}$  not contained in any of the spaces  $E_n$ .

Assume that (3) of Theorem 2 holds. As in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 2, we have that  $T(D)$  is  $\alpha(E, E')$ -compact and so a Banach disk in  $(E, \mathcal{T})$ . By the localization theorem for strictly webbed spaces ([7] 35.6),  $T(D)$  is contained in  $E_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ : absurd!

#### 5. BANACH-MACKEY SPACES AND PROPERTY P.

In [2], Kučera and Gilsdorf formulated a property (P) and proved that if  $E$  has property (P) and if each  $\alpha(E', E)$ -bounded set is  $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded, then  $E$  is locally barreled. Thus if a space has property (P), it is a Banach-Mackey space if and only if it is locally barreled. We prove below that property (P) is actually quite demanding. For reference we set down this property:

(P) for each absolutely convex, bounded, closed subset  $B$  of  $E$ , there exists a barrel  $W$  in  $E$  such that  $B = W \cap E_B$ .

The following result seemed rather surprising.

THEOREM 4. Let  $(E, \mathcal{T})$  be a Banach-Mackey space with property (P). Then each linear functional on  $E$  is continuous.

PROOF. Let  $B$  be any absolutely convex, bounded, closed subset of  $E$  and denote by  $\rho_B$  the Minkowski functional of  $B$ . By Theorem 1 (S6) it follows that the topology  $\mathcal{T}_B$  induced by  $\rho_B$  is finer than the relativized  $\beta(E, E')$ -topology. But property (P) implies  $\mathcal{T}_B$  is coarser as well—hence  $\mathcal{T}_B$  is the relativized topology  $\beta(E, E')$ .

Assume there is a discontinuous linear functional  $f$  on  $(E, \mathcal{T})$ . Then there exists a

bounded set  $D$  contained in no finite dimensional sub space of  $E$  ([4] Prob. 4-4-109). Let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence of linearly independent elements of  $D$  and denote  $y_n/n$  as  $x_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows from Theorem 1 (S3) that  $D$  is  $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded and so  $\{x_n\}$  is a null sequence in  $(E, \beta(E, E'))$ . Let  $B$  the closed, absolutely convex hull of the range of this null sequence, so that (in particular)  $B$  is  $\beta(E, E')$ -precompact. From the first paragraph of this proof follows that  $B$  is precompact in  $E_\beta$ . But a precompact normed space must be finite-dimensional: absurd! Q.E.D.

## REFERENCES

1. BOSCH, C. and KUCERA, J. A necessary and sufficient condition for  $w^*$ -bounded sets to be strongly bounded, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1987) 453-454.
2. BOSCH, C., GILSDORF, T., and KUCERA, J. A necessary and sufficient condition for weakly bounded sets to be strongly bounded, An. Inst. Mat. Univ. Nač. Autonomă Mexico 28 (1988) 1-5.
3. GILSDORF, T. Bounded sets in  $\mathfrak{L}(E, F)$ , Int. J. of Math. & Sci. 12:3 (1989) 447-450.
4. WILANSKY, A. Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces, Blaisdell, 1978.
5. KUCERA, J. and MCKENNON, K., Köthe's example of an incomplete LB-space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93:1 (1985), 79-80.
6. KOTHE, G. Topological Vector Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1983.
7. KOTHE, G. Topological Vector Spaces II, Springer-Verlag, New York 1979.
8. MAKAROV, B.M. On pathological properties of inductive limits of Banach spaces (Russian), Uspehi Mat. Nauk 18:3 (1963), 171-178.
9. SCHAEFER, H.H. Topological Vector Spaces, Macmillan, New York, 1966.

## Special Issue on Space Dynamics

### Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

### Lead Guest Editor

**Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado**, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; [prado@dem.inpe.br](mailto:prado@dem.inpe.br)

### Guest Editors

**Maria Cecilia Zanardi**, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; [cecilia@feg.unesp.br](mailto:cecilia@feg.unesp.br)

**Tadashi Yokoyama**, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; [tadashi@rc.unesp.br](mailto:tadashi@rc.unesp.br)

**Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter**, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; [silvia@feg.unesp.br](mailto:silvia@feg.unesp.br)