Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
VOL. 14 NO. 2 (1991) 227-232

AN EXTENSION OF HELSON-EDWARDS THEOREM TO BANACH MODULES

SIN-EI TAKAHASI

Yamagata University
Department of Basic Technology
Faculty of Engineering
Yonezawa 992
JAPAN

(Received September 23, 1988)

ABSTRACT. An extension of the Helson-Edwards theorem for the group algebras to Banach modules over commutative Banach algebras is given. This extension can be viewed as a generalization of Liu-Rooij-Wang's result for Banach modules over the group algebras.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Multiplier, Banach modules, bounded approximate identity, compact abelian group, completely regular.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let A be a commutative complex Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity $\{u_\lambda\}$ of norm β and denote by Φ_A the class of all nonzero homomorphisms of A into the field of complex numbers. The space Φ_A, with the Gelfand topology, is called the carrier space of A. Let X be a Banach left A-module. A continuous module homomorphism of A into X is called a multiplier of X. We introduce a family $\{X_\phi: \phi \in \Phi_A\}$ of Banach A-modules such that any multiplier T of X can be represented as a function T on Φ_A with $T(\phi) \in X_\phi$ for each $\phi \in \Phi_A$. In this setting we give an extension of the Helson-Edwards theorem for the group algebras to Banach modules. We also observe that this extension can be viewed as a generalization of Liu-Rooij-Wang's result for Banach modules over the group algebras. We further consider a local property of multipliers when A is completely regular.

2. REPRESENTATION THEOREM OF MULTIPLIERS.

For each $\phi \in \Phi_A$, let M_ϕ denote the maximal modular ideal of A corresponding to ϕ and define

$$X^\phi = \overline{sp}\{M_\phi X + (1 - e_\phi)X\},$$

where $\overline{sp}$ denotes the closed linear span and e_ϕ is an element of A with $\phi(e_\phi) = 1$. Note that X^ϕ does not depend on the choise of e_ϕ.

Throughout the remainder of this note we will assume

$$\bigcap_{\phi \varepsilon \Phi_A} \overline{sp}(M_\phi) = \{0\}. \tag{2.1}$$

In the case of X = A, the condition (2.1) is equivalent to the semisimplicity of A. The space $\overline{sp}(AX)$ is called the essential part of X and is denoted by X_e. Since A has a bounded approximate identity, it follows that $X_e = AX$ from the Cohen-Hewitt factorization theorem (see Doran-Wichman [1]). We also have

$$X^\phi \quad X_e = \overline{sp}(M_\phi X) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\phi \in \Phi_A$. In fact, let $\phi \in \Phi_A$, $x \in X^\phi \quad X_e$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $x \varepsilon X^\phi$, there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_n \quad M_\phi$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, $y \quad X$ such that

$$||x - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i - (1 - e_\phi)y|| < \varepsilon/\beta.$$

Therefore for each λ, we have

$$||u_\lambda x - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_\lambda a_i x_i + (u_\lambda - u_\lambda e_\phi)y)|| < \varepsilon.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we obtain that $u_\lambda x \in \overline{sp}(M_\phi X)$ for all λ. Since $x \in X_e$, $\lim u_\lambda x = x$. Consequently, we have that $x \in \overline{sp}(M_\phi X)$ and hence $X^\phi \cap X_e \subset \overline{sp}(M_\phi X)$. The reverse inclusion is immediate.

We denote by M(A, X), or simply M(X), the class of all multipliers of X. Then M(X) also becomes a Banach A-module under the module multiplication defined by $(aT)b = a(Tb)$. For each $x \varepsilon X$, the mapping τ_x of A into X defined by $\tau_x(a) = ax$ is a multiplier of X, so that τ becomes a module homomorphism of X into M(X). Also it can be easily observed that

$$TA \subset X_e \text{ and } TM_\phi \subset \overline{M_\phi X} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $T \in M(X)$ and $\phi \in \Phi_A$, where the bar denotes the norm closure.

Now, for each $\phi \in \Phi_A$, let $X_\phi = X/X^\phi$ be the quotient of X by X^ϕ. So X_ϕ becomes a Banach A-module under the natural module structure and the quotient norm. For each $x \in X$, let $x(\phi) = x + X^\phi$ be the natural image of x in X^ϕ. A vector field on Φ_A is a function σ defined on Φ_A with $\sigma(\phi) \in X_\phi$ for each $\phi \in \Phi_A$. Of course, $\hat{x}(x \in X)$ is a vector field on Φ_A. Denote by ΠX_ϕ the class of all vector fields on Φ_A and so it becomes an A-module under the module multiplication defined by $(a\sigma)(\phi) = \hat{a}(\phi)\sigma(\phi)$, where a denotes the Gelfand transform of a A. Define

$$\Pi^b X_\phi = \{\sigma \quad \Pi X_\phi : ||\sigma||_\infty = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_A} ||\sigma(\phi)|| < +\infty\}.$$

Then $\Pi^b X_\phi$ beomes a Banach A-module under the norm $|| \; ||_\infty$ and $X = \{\hat{x}: x \in X\} \subset \Pi^b X_\phi$.

With the above notations, we have the following representation theorem of multipliers.

THEOREM 2.1. (i) If $T \in M(X)$, then there exists a unique vector field $\hat{T}$ on Φ_A such that $\widehat{Ta} = a\hat{T}$ for all $a \in A$. (ii) The mapping $T \to \hat{T}$ is a continuous module isomorphism of $M(X)$ into $\Pi^b X_\phi$.

PROOF. Let $T \in M(X)$, $a \in A$ and $\phi \in \Phi_A$. Since $e_\phi a u_\lambda - \hat{a}(\phi) e_\phi u_\lambda \in M_\phi$ for all λ, it follows from (2.3) that $T(e_\phi a u_\lambda) - \hat{a}(\phi) T(e_\phi u_\lambda) \in \overline{M_\phi X}$ for all λ. Hence, after taking the limit with respect to λ, we obtain $T(e_\phi a) - \hat{a}(\phi) Te_\phi \in \overline{M_\phi X}$. Note also that $Ta \in X_e$ from (2.3). Then there exist $c \in A$ and $y \in X$ such that $Ta = cy$, so that

$$Ta - T(e_\phi a) = Ta - e_\phi Ta = (c - e_\phi c)y \in M_\phi X. \quad \text{We therefore have}$$

$$Ta - \hat{a}(\phi)Te_\phi = (Ta - Te_\phi a) + (Te_\phi a - \hat{a}(\phi)Te_\phi) \in M_\phi X + \overline{M_\phi X} \subset \overline{sp}(M_\phi X) \subset X^\phi.$$

Setting $\hat{T}(\phi) = \widehat{Te_\phi}(\phi)$, we obtain that $\widehat{Ta}(\phi) = \hat{a}(\phi)\hat{T}(\phi) = (a\hat{T})(\phi)$. In other words, $\widehat{Ta} = a\hat{T}$ for all $a \in A$. If $\sigma \in \Pi X_\phi$ such that $\widehat{Ta} = a\sigma$ for all $a \in A$, then $\hat{T}(\phi) = \widehat{Te_\phi}(\phi) = \hat{e}_\phi(\phi)\sigma(\phi) = \sigma(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi_A$, so that $\hat{T} = \sigma$. This proves (i). It is immediate from (i) that $T \to \hat{T}$ is a continuous module homomorphism of $M(X)$ into $\Pi^b X_\phi$. To show that this mapping is injective, let $T \in M(X)$ with $\hat{T} = 0$. Then $\widehat{TA} = A\hat{T} = \{0\}$ from (i), so $TA \subset \bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi_A} X^\phi$. Also $TA \subset X_e$ from (2.3). Therefore, by (2.2) and our assumption (2.1),

$$TA \subset \bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi_A} X_e \cap X^\phi = \bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi_A} \overline{sp}(M_\phi X) = \{0\}.$$

We thus obtain $T = 0$, and (ii) is proved.

A Banach left A-module X is said to be order-free if for every $x \in X$ with $x \neq 0$ there exists $a \in A$ with $ax \neq 0$.

COROLLARY 2.2. Let $x \in X$. If either $x \in X_e$ or X is order-free, then $\hat{x} = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

PROOF. Note first that

$$\widehat{ax} = a\hat{x}, \quad a \in A, \ x \in X. \tag{2.4}$$

In fact, for each $\phi \in \Phi_A$,

$$ax - \hat{a}(\phi)x = (a - \hat{a}(\phi)e_\phi)x - \hat{a}(\phi)(1 - e_\phi)\, x \in M_\phi X - (1-e_\phi)\, X \subset X^\phi.$$

This implies (2.4). Now let $x \in X$ with $\hat{x} = 0$. By the above theorem and (2.4), we have

$$\hat{\tau}_x(\phi) = \hat{e}_\phi(\phi)\hat{\tau}_x(\phi) = (e_\phi \hat{\tau}_x)(\phi) = \hat{\tau}_x e_\phi(\phi) = \widehat{e_\phi x}(\phi) = \hat{e}_\phi(\phi)\hat{x}(\phi) = \hat{x}(\phi)$$

for all $\phi \in \Phi_A$, so that $\hat{\tau}_x = \hat{x}$. Then $\hat{\tau}_x = 0$ and hence $Ax = \{0\}$. Accordingly, if either $x \in X_e$ or X is order-free, then $x = 0$.

3. EXTENSION OF HELSON-EDWARDS THEOREM.

We give a characterization of multipliers of an order-free Banach A-module which is similar to [2, Theorem 1.2.4] and Liu, van Rooij, and Wang [3, Lemma 1.3].

COROLLARY 3.1. Let X be order-free and T a mapping of A into X. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) $T \in M(X)$.

(ii) T is linear and continuous; $TM_\phi \subset X^\phi$ for every $\phi \in \Phi_A$.

(iii) $T(ab) = aTb$ for all $a,b \in A$.

PROOF. (i) ==> (ii) follows immediately from (2.3). (ii) ==> (iii). Let $a,b \in A$ and $\phi \in \Phi_A$. Since $abu_\lambda - \hat{a}(\phi)bu_\lambda \in M_\phi$ for all λ, it follows from (ii) that $T(abu_\lambda) - \hat{a}(\phi)T(bu_\lambda) \in TM_\phi \subset X^\phi$ for all λ. Hence, after taking the limit with respect to λ, we obtain $T(ab) - \hat{a}(\phi)Tb \in X^\phi$. Then, by (2.4), $\widehat{T(ab)} = \widehat{aTb} = \widehat{aTb}$, so that $T(ab) = aTb$ by Corollary 2.2.

(iii) ==> (i). To show that T is linear, let $a,b \in A$ and α,β scalars. Then

$$cT(\alpha a + \beta b) = T(\alpha ac - \beta bc) = (\alpha a + \beta b)Tc = \alpha aTc + \beta bTc$$

$$= \alpha cTa + \beta cTb = c(\alpha Ta + \beta Tb)$$

for all $c \in A$. Since X is order-free, $T(\alpha a + \beta b) = \alpha Ta + \beta Tb$.

To show the continuity of T, let $\lim a_n = a \in A$ and $\lim Ta_n = x \in X$. Then

$$bTa = aTb = \lim a_nTb = \lim bTa_n = bx$$

for all $b \in A$. So $Ta = x$ and hence T is continuous by the closed graph theorem.

Let $\widehat{M(A)} = \{\hat{T}: T \in M(X)\}$. The following result is an extension of the Helson-Edwards theorem for the group algebra of a locally compact Abelian group (see Rudin [4, Theorem 3.8.1]).

THEOREM 3.2. Let $\sigma \in \Pi X_\phi$. Then, $A\sigma \subset \widehat{M(X)}$ if and only if $\sigma \in \widehat{M(X)}$.

PROOF. Note first that $\hat{\tau}_x = \hat{x}$ for all $x \in X$ as observed in the proof of Corollary 2.2. If $T \in M(X)$ with $\hat{T} = \sigma$, then, by Theorem 2.1, $a\sigma = \widehat{aT} = \widehat{Ta} = \hat{\tau}_{Ta} \in \widehat{M(X)}$ for all a A.

Suppose conversely that $A\sigma \subset \widehat{M(X)}$. Let $a \in A$. By the Cohen-Hewitt factorization theorem, a can be written as $a = bc$ for some $b, c \in A$. Choose $S \in M(X)$ with $c\sigma = \hat{S}$. Then, $a\sigma = bc\sigma = b\hat{S} = \widehat{Sb} \in \hat{X}_e$ from (2.3). Hence, by Corollary 2.2, there is a unique element of X_e, say Ta, such that $a\sigma = \widehat{Ta}$. If a,b are arbitrary elements of A, then $\widehat{T(ab)} = ab\sigma = a(b\sigma) = a\widehat{Tb} = \widehat{aTb}$ by (2.4). Since $TA \quad X_e$, $T(ab) = aTb$ by Corollary 3.1. Note that X_e is an order-free Banach A-module. Then, by Corollary 3.1, $T \in M(A, X_e) \subset M(A, X) = M(X)$. Consequently, $\sigma = \hat{T} \in \widehat{M(X)}$ and the theorem is proved.

We will observe that Theorem 3.2 can be viewed as a generalization of Liu-Rooij-Wang's result [3, Theorem 2.3].

Let G be a compact Abelian group and X a Banach L^1 (G)-module. Let $X_\gamma = \gamma X$ for each $\gamma\hat{G}$ the dual group of G. Also denote by πX_γ the class of all mappings ρ of $\hat{G}$ into X such that $\rho(\gamma) \in X_\gamma$ for every $\gamma \in G$. Set $\emptyset_\gamma(f) = \hat{f}(\gamma)$ $(\gamma \in \hat{G}, f \in L^1(G))$, where $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f. Note that for each $\gamma \in \hat{G}, X^{\emptyset\gamma} = (1-\gamma)X$ and $X^{\emptyset\gamma}$ is isometrically module-isomorphic to X_γ. Also since $\overline{\text{sp}}\ \hat{G} = L^1(G)$, it follows that

$$\bigcap_{\gamma \in G} X^{\phi_\gamma} = \{0\}$$

and hence X satisfies (2.1). For each $x \in X$, denote by $\tilde{x}$ the restriction of τ_x to $\hat{G}$ and set $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{x}: x \in X\}$.

COROLLARY (Liu-Rooij-Wang). $\rho \in \Pi X_\gamma$ can be extended to a multiplier of X if and only if $\hat{f}\rho \in \tilde{X}$ for every $f \in L^1(G)$.

PROOF. Clearly $\hat{f}(\gamma)\gamma = \gamma * f$ $(\gamma \in \hat{G},\ f \in L^1(G))$. So if $\rho = T|\hat{G}$ for some $T \in M(X)$, then $\hat{f}\rho = \widetilde{Tf} \in \tilde{X}$ for every $f \in L^1(G)$. Suppose conversely that $\rho \in \Pi X_\gamma$ and $\hat{f}\rho \in \tilde{X}$ for every $f \in L^1(G)$. Then for each $f \in L^1(G)$, choose $x_f \in X$ with $\hat{f}\rho = \tilde{x}_f$. set $\sigma(\phi_\gamma) = \widehat{\rho(\gamma)}(\phi_\gamma)$ for each $\gamma \in \hat{G}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}(f\sigma)(\phi_\gamma) &= \hat{f}(\gamma)\widehat{\rho(\gamma)}(\phi_\gamma) = (\tilde{x}_f(\gamma))\ (\phi_\gamma)\\ &= \widehat{\gamma x_f}(\phi_\gamma) = \hat{x}_f(\phi_\gamma)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma \in G$ and $f \in L^1(G)$. Thus $f\sigma = \hat{x}_f \in \hat{X}$ for all $f \in L^1(G)$ and hence $\sigma = \hat{T}$ for some $T \in M(X)$ from Theorem 3.2. Therefore,

$$\widehat{\rho(\gamma)}(\phi_\gamma) = \hat{T}(\phi_\gamma) = (\widehat{\gamma T})(\phi_\gamma) = \widehat{T\gamma}(\phi_\gamma),$$

so that $\rho(\gamma) - T\gamma \in X^{\phi_\gamma} = (1 - \gamma)X$ for all $\gamma \in \hat{G}$. But $\rho(\gamma)$, $T\gamma \in \gamma X$ and so $\rho(\gamma) - T\gamma \in \gamma X$ for all $\gamma \in \hat{G}$. Consequently, $\rho = T|\hat{G}$.

4. LOCAL PROPERTIES OF MULTIPLIERS.

We will consider local properties of multipliers. To do this, we introduce the following notation which is exactly similar to one given in Rickart [5, 2.7.13].

DEFINITION. Let $\sigma \in \Pi X_\phi$ and $\Sigma \subset \Pi X_\phi$. Then σ is said to belong to Σ near a point $\phi \in \Phi_A$ (or at infinity) provided there exists a neighborhood V of ϕ (or infinity) and an element σ' Σ such that $\sigma|V = \sigma'|V$. If σ belongs to near every point of Φ_A and at infinity, then σ is said to belong locally to Σ.

The following result is similar to one given in [5, 2.7.16] and we refer to the proof of one.

THEOREM 4.1. Assume A to be completely regular and let Σ be a submodule of ΠX_ϕ. If $\sigma \in \Pi X_\phi$ belongs locally to Σ, then $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

PROOF. Since σ belongs to Σ at infinity, there exists an open set U_0 of Φ_A with compact complement K and $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ with $\sigma_0|U_0 = \sigma|U_0$. Also since σ belongs to Σ near every point of K, there exists a finite open covering $\{U_1,\dots,U_n\}$ of K and a finite subset $\{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_n\}$ of Σ with $\sigma_i|U_i = \sigma|U_i$ $(i = 1,\dots,n)$. Note that A admits a partion of the identity (cf. [5, Theorem 2.7.12]). Then there exists $e_1,\dots,e_n$ A such that $e = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ is an identity for A modulo ker K and $e_i \in \ker(\Phi_A - U_i)$ $(i = 1,\dots, n)$, where ker K denotes the kernel of K. Set

$$\sigma' = (1-e)\sigma_0 + e_1\sigma_1 + \dots + e_n\sigma_n .$$

Then σ' is obviously in Σ. We further assert $\sigma' = \sigma$. In fact, if $\phi \in U_0$, then we have

$$\sigma'(\phi) = (1 - \hat{e}(\phi))\sigma(\phi) + \sum_{\phi \in U_i} \hat{e}_i(\phi)\sigma(\phi)$$

$$= (1 - \hat{e}(\phi) + \sum_{\phi \in U_i} \hat{e}_i(\phi))\sigma(\phi)$$

$$= \sigma(\phi).$$

If $\phi \in K$, then $\hat{e}(\phi) = 1$ and $\{i: 1 \leq i \leq n, \phi \in U_i\} \neq \emptyset$, so that

$$\sigma'(\phi) = \sum_{\phi \in U_i} \hat{e}_i(\phi)\sigma_i(\phi) = \sum_{\phi \in U_i} \hat{e}_i(\phi)\sigma(\phi)$$

$$= \hat{e}(\phi)\sigma(\phi) = \sigma(\phi).$$

Consequently, $\sigma' = \sigma$ and the theorem is proved.

Because M(X) is a submodule of ΠX_ϕ, we obtain the following local property of multipliers from the preceding theorem.

COROLLARY 4.2. Assume A to be completely regular. If $\sigma \in \Pi X_\phi$ belongs locally to M(X), then $\sigma \in M(X)$.

Let A contain local identities (cf. [5, 3.6.11]) and $T \in M(X)$. The closure of $\{\phi \in \Phi_A: \hat{T}(\phi) \neq 0\}$ is called the support of T and is denoted by supp T. If supp T is compact, then there exists a unique $x \in X_e$ with $T = \tau_x$. In fact, by [5, Theorem 3.6.13], A has an identity for A modulo ker(supp $\hat{T}$), say e. Set x = Te. So the desired result follows from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2.

Similarly, we obtain that for each compact set K of Φ_A, there exists $x \in X_e$ with $\hat{T}|K = \hat{x}|K$.

REFERENCES

1. DORAN, R.S. and WICHMANN, J., Approximate Identities and Factorization in Banach Modules, Lecture Notes in Math. 768, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.

2. LARSEN, R., An Introduction to the Theorey of Multipliers, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971.

3. LIU, T.S., VAN ROOIJ, A.C.M. and WANG, J.K., A Generalized Fourier Transformation for $L_1(G)$-modules, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 36 (1984), 365-377.

4. RUDIN, W., Fourier Analysis on Groups, New York, N.Y.: Interscience Publishers, Inc., 1962.

5. RICKART, C.E., General Theorey of Banach Algebras, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J.. 1960.

Special Issue on Space Dynamics

Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

Lead Guest Editor

Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; prado@dem.inpe.br

Guest Editors

Maria Cecilia Zanardi, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; cecilia@feg.unesp.br

Tadashi Yokoyama, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; tadashi@rc.unesp.br

Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; silvia@feg.unesp.br