

## NOTE ON QUASI-BOUNDED SETS

CARLOS BOSCH, JAN KUCERA, KELLY MCKENNON

Department of Mathematics  
Washington State University  
Pullman, Washington 99164-2930

(Received November 14, 1990)

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that a union of two quasi-bounded sets, as well as the closure of a quasi-bounded set, may not be quasi-bounded.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Locally convex space, bounded and quasi-bounded set, Banach disk.

**1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE :** Primary 46A05, Secondary 46A12.

Let  $A$  be a set in a vector space. By  $abcoA$  we understand the absolutely convex hull of  $A$  and by  $E_A$  the linear hull of  $A$  equipped with the topology generated by the gauge of  $abcoA$ . The set  $A$  is called Banach disk if it is absolutely convex and closed in  $E_A$ , and  $E_A$  is a Banach space. If  $X$  is a locally convex space, then the closure of  $A$  in  $X$  is denoted by  $cl_X A$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $X$  be a locally convex space. A set  $B$ , not necessarily contained in  $X$ , is called quasi-bounded (we write  $q$ -bounded) in  $X$  if:

- (a) there exists a vector space  $Y$  such that  $X$  is a subspace of  $Y$  and  $B \subset Y$ ,
- (b)  $E_B$  is a Hausdorff space,
- (c) for any 0-neighborhood  $U$  in  $X$ , the set  $cl_{E_B}(U \cap E_B)$  absorbs  $B$ .

The condition (c) is equivalent to:

- (cc) for any 0-neighborhood  $U \in X$ , the set  $cl_{E_B}(U \cap B)$  absorbs  $B$ .

**PROPOSITION.** Let  $X$  be a locally convex space and  $B \subset X$  be a Banach disk. Then  $B$  is  $q$ -bounded in  $X$ .

**PROOF.** Take a 0-neighborhood  $U$  in  $X$ . Then  $B \subset \cup \{nU \cap E_B; n \in N\}$ . By the Category Argument  $cl_{E_B}(U \cap E_B)$  contains a 0-neighborhood in  $E_B$  and thus it absorbs  $B$ .

Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional Banach space and  $B$  its closed unit ball. Take a countably linearly independent subset  $\{x_n; n \in N\}$  in  $B$  and denote by  $H$ , resp.  $K$ , a Hamel basis for  $X$  which contains  $\{x_n; n \in N\}$ , resp.  $\{nx_1 - x_n; n \in N\}$ . Let  $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$  be a bijective map such that  $\varphi(x_n) = nx_1 - x_n, n \in N$ , and  $\psi : X \rightarrow X$  the linear extension of  $\varphi$  to  $X$ . Then  $\psi : X \rightarrow X$  is bijective, the space  $E_B = X$  is Banach, and  $\psi : E_B \rightarrow E_{\psi(B)}$  is a topological isomorphism. Hence  $E_{\psi(B)}$  is also Banach and  $\psi(B)$  is a Banach disk in  $X$ .

CLAIM 1.  $B$  is bounded in  $X$  and  $\psi(B)$  is  $q$ -bounded in  $X$ .

PROOF. Clearly the unit ball  $B$  is bounded in  $X$ . By the Proposition, the Banach disk  $\psi(B)$  is  $q$ -bounded in  $X$ .

CLAIM 2. The spaces  $E_{B+\psi(B)}$  and  $E_{B\cup\psi(B)}$  are not Hausdorff. Consequently, the sets  $B + \psi(B)$  and  $B \cup \psi(B)$  are not  $q$ -bounded in any locally convex space.

PROOF. The space  $E_{B+\psi(B)}$  is not Hausdorff since  $nx_1 = x_n + (nx_1 - x_n) \in B + \psi(B)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For any sets  $C, D \subset E$ , and  $c \in C, d \in D$ , we have  $c + d = 2(\frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}d) \in 2\text{abco}(C \cup D)$ , which implies  $C \cup D \subset C + D \subset 2\text{abco}(C \cup D)$ . Hence the identity map:  $E_{C+D} \rightarrow E_{C \cup D}$  is a topological isomorphism. Since the space  $E_{B+\psi(B)}$  is not Hausdorff, the space  $E_{B \cup \psi(B)}$  is not Hausdorff either.

CLAIM 3. Let  $A = \text{cl}_X \psi(B)$ . Then the space  $E_A$  is not Hausdorff. Consequently, the set  $A$  is not  $q$ -bounded in any locally convex space.

PROOF. Assume that  $E_A$  is Hausdorff and take  $x \in E_A, x \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $x \notin \alpha A$ . Since  $\alpha A$  is closed in  $X$ , there exists a 0-neighborhood  $U$  in  $X$  for which  $(x+U) \cap \alpha A = \emptyset$ . The set  $B$  is bounded in  $X$ , hence  $\beta B \subset U$  for some  $\beta > 0$ . Then  $(x+\beta B) \cap \alpha A = \emptyset$  and  $x \notin \alpha A + \beta B$ , which implies  $x \notin \gamma(A + B)$ , where  $\gamma = \min(\alpha, \beta)$ . Thus  $E_{A+B}$  is also a Hausdorff space. Now,  $\psi(B) + B \subset A + B$  and the topology of  $E_{\psi(B)+B}$  is finer than that of  $E_{A+B}$ . Hence the space  $E_{\psi(B)+B}$  is Hausdorff too, a contradiction with Claim 2.

In [1], it is stated in Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 that the union of two  $q$ -bounded sets and the closure of a  $q$ -bounded set are both  $q$ -bounded. The above example shows that it is not true. The problem is in the preservation of Property (b) in the definition of  $q$ -bounded sets. Thus a natural correction of those Propositions reads as follows:

PROPOSITION. Let  $A, B$  be  $q$ -bounded sets in a locally convex space  $X$ .

(a) If either the space  $E_{A+B}$  or the space  $E_{A \cup B}$  is Hausdorff, then both are Hausdorff and both sets  $A + B, A \cup B$ , are  $q$ -bounded in  $X$ .

(b) If  $B \subset X$  and the space  $E_D$ , where  $D = \text{cl}_X B$ , is Hausdorff, then  $D$  is  $q$ -bounded in  $X$ .

PROOF. (a) From the the proof of Claim 2, we know that the spaces  $E_{A+B}$  and  $E_{A \cup B}$  are topologically isomorphic. So the first statement holds.

Take a convex 0-neighborhood  $U$  in  $X$ . There is  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $A \subset \lambda \text{cl}_{E_A}(U \cap A) \subset \lambda \text{cl}_{E_{A+B}}(U \cap (A + B))$  and  $B \subset \lambda \text{cl}_{E_B}(U \cap B) \subset \text{cl}_{E_{A+B}}(U \cap (A + B))$ . Similarly  $A \cup B \subset \lambda \text{cl}_{E_{A \cup B}}(U \cap (A \cup B))$ . Hence both sets  $A + B, A \cup B$ , are  $q$ -bounded in  $X$ .

(b) Let  $U$  and  $\lambda$  be the same as in (a). Since the topology of  $E_B$  is finer than that of  $E_D$ , we have  $B \subset \lambda \text{cl}_{E_B}(U \cap E_B) \subset \lambda \text{cl}_{E_D}(U \cap E_B) \subset \lambda \text{cl}_{E_D}(U \cap E_D)$ .

For  $x \in D$  there exists  $y \in B$  such that  $x - y \in U$ . Then  $x = (x - y) + y \in (U \cap E_D) + B \subset \text{cl}_{E_D}(U \cap E_D) + \lambda \text{cl}_{E_D}(U \cap E_D) = (1 + \lambda) \text{cl}_{E_D}(U \cap E_D)$ . Hence  $\text{cl}_{E_D}(U \cap E_D)$  absorbs  $D$  and  $D$  is  $q$ -bounded in  $X$ .

## References

- [1] Kučera, Jan, Quasi-bounded sets, *Int. J. of Math. & Math. Sci.*, Vol. 13, No.3 (1990), 607-610.

## Special Issue on Space Dynamics

### Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

### Lead Guest Editor

**Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado**, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; [prado@dem.inpe.br](mailto:prado@dem.inpe.br)

### Guest Editors

**Maria Cecilia Zanardi**, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; [cecilia@feg.unesp.br](mailto:cecilia@feg.unesp.br)

**Tadashi Yokoyama**, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; [tadashi@rc.unesp.br](mailto:tadashi@rc.unesp.br)

**Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter**, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; [silvia@feg.unesp.br](mailto:silvia@feg.unesp.br)