

ON THE IDEALS OF EXTENDED QUASI-NILPOTENT BANACH ALGEBRAS

MORTEZA SEDDIGHIN

Department of Mathematics
PanHandle State University
Goodwell, OK 73939

(Received October 3, 1988)

ABSTRACT. Given a quasi-nilpotent Banach algebra A , we will use the results of Seddighin [2], to study the properties of elements which belong to a proper closed two sided ideal of \bar{A} and \bar{A} . Here \bar{A} is the extension of A to a Banach Algebra with identity.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Banach Algebra, Spectrum, Quasi-Nilpotent Banach algebras, nonperturbing element.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 13

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let A be a Banach Algebra and I be a closed two sided ideal in A . If b is any element in I and a is any element in A , it is easy to see that $\sigma(a+b) \cap \sigma(a) \neq \emptyset$. In fact if $\sigma(\bar{a})$ is the spectrum of the image of a in the quotient A/I , then we have

$$\sigma(\bar{a+b}) = \sigma(\bar{a}), \quad \sigma(\bar{a}) \subseteq \sigma(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\bar{a+b}) \subseteq \sigma(a+b).$$

An element b for which

$$\sigma(a+b) \cap \sigma(a) \neq \emptyset$$

for all elements a in A , is called a nonperturbing element of the Banach algebra A . Thus any element of any proper closed two sided ideal in a Banach algebra A is nonperturbing. Aiken [1] has proved that the converse is not true. i.e., there exist Banach algebras in which nonperturbing elements are not necessarily in proper closed two sided ideals. In the following we will show however, that for certain Banach algebras A , the nonperturbing elements of \bar{A} must belong to a proper closed two sided

ideal of \bar{A} . Note that for a Banach algebra A , the extended Banach algebra \bar{A} is defined to be the set $\bar{A} = \{(x, \alpha) : x \in A, \alpha \text{ complex}\}$ together with the operations

$$(x, \alpha) + (y, \beta) = (x + y, \alpha + \beta) \text{ and}$$

$$(x, \alpha)(y, \beta) = (xy + \beta x + \alpha y, \alpha\beta) \text{ and norm } \|(x, \alpha)\| = \|x\| + |\alpha|.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS.

THEOREM 2.1. If $y = (x, \alpha) \in \bar{A}$ is nonperturbing and $r(x) < |\alpha|$, then (x, α) is in a proper two sided closed ideal. Here $r(x)$ is the spectral radius of x in A .

PROOF. Choose the element $z = (0, \beta)$, where β is any complex number. Suppose $|\alpha| > 0$; then it follows from Seddighin [2] Theorem 2.1, that $\sigma(z) = \{\beta\}$ and $\sigma(z + y) = \sigma((x, \alpha + \beta))$ is a subset of the circle with center at $\alpha + \beta$ and radius $r(x)$. Now since

$$r(x) < |\alpha| \text{ and } |\alpha + \beta - \beta| = |\alpha|,$$

β lies outside the circle with center at $\alpha + \beta$ and radius $r(x)$. This shows that $\sigma(z+y) \cap \sigma(z) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction to the fact that y is nonperturbing. Therefore, we must have $|\alpha| = 0$; i.e., $\alpha = 0$ (and hence $r(x) = 0$, also). Thus, y has the form $y = (x, 0)$, and so it belongs to the ideal

$$I = \{(x, 0) : x \in A\}$$

COROLLARY 2.2. Let A be a Banach algebra such that each of its elements is quasi-nilpotent, then \bar{A} has the property that each of its nonperturbing elements belongs to a proper closed two sided ideal.

REMARK. For an example of a Banach algebra in which every element is quasi-nilpotent, see Bonsall and Duncan [3].

THEOREM 2.3. If each element in a Banach algebra A is quasi-nilpotent, then the sets

$$I_1 = \{(a, \alpha), 0\} : a \in A, \alpha \text{ complex}\} \text{ and}$$

$$I_2 = \{(a, \alpha), \beta\} : a \in A \text{ and } \beta = -\alpha\}$$

are proper two sided ideals in \bar{A} , and each nonperturbing element of \bar{A} is either in I_1 or I_2 .

PROOF. Let $x = ((f, \alpha_1), \alpha_2)$ and $y = ((g, \beta_1), \beta_2)$. Since each element of A is quasi-nilpotent, by Seddighin [2], theorem 2.1 we have

$$\sigma_{\bar{A}}((f, \alpha_1)) = \alpha_1 \text{ and } \sigma_{\bar{A}}((g, \beta_1)) = \beta_1.$$

\bar{A} has a unit. Thus again by Seddighin [2], Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sigma(x) \subseteq \{a_2\} \cup \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} \text{ and } \sigma(y) \subseteq \{\beta_2\} \cup \{\beta_1 + \beta_2\} \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma(x + y) \subseteq \{\alpha_2 + \beta_2\} \cup \{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2\}. \text{ Now } \sigma(x) \cap \sigma(x + y) \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{implies that } \{\alpha_2 + \beta_2\} \cup \{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2\} \cap \{\beta_2\} \cup \{\beta_1 + \beta_2\} \neq \emptyset,$$

which implies

$$\alpha_2 + \beta_2 = \beta_2 \text{ or } \alpha_2 + \beta_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{ or } \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 = \beta_2$$

or

$$\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2$$

Hence, $\sigma(y) \cap \sigma(x + y) \neq \emptyset$ implies $\alpha_2 = 0$ or $\beta_1 = \alpha_2$ or $\alpha_1 = -\beta_2 - \alpha_2$ or $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$.

If $((f, \alpha_1), \alpha_2)$ is neither in I_1 nor I_2 , then $\alpha_1 \neq -\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$.

Therefore, $\sigma(y) \cap \sigma(x + y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $y = ((g, \beta_1), \beta_2)$ in \bar{A} implies

$\beta_1 = \alpha_2$ or $\beta_1 = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ for all β . This is a contradiction, since for any

element $y = ((g, \beta_1), \beta_2)$ with $\beta_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and $\beta_1 \neq -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ we have

$\sigma(y) \cap \sigma(x + y) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $x \in I_1$ or $x \in I_2$. I_1 is trivially an ideal. To show

that I_2 is an ideal, let $((f, \alpha_1), \alpha_2)$ be an element of I_2 and $((g, \beta_1), \beta_2)$ be any element

of \bar{A} , then $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$ and $((g, \beta_1), \beta_2) ((f, \alpha_1), \alpha_2) = ((g, \beta_1)(f, \alpha_1) + \alpha_2(g, \beta_1) +$

$\beta_2(f, \alpha_1), \alpha_2 \beta_2) = ((gf + \beta_1 f + \alpha_1 g + \alpha_2 g + \beta_2 f, \beta_1 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha_1), \alpha_2 \beta_2)$. Now $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$

implies that $\beta_1 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha_1 = -\alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2 = -\alpha_2 \beta_2$, which shows that

$((g, \beta_1), \beta_2) ((f, \alpha_1), \alpha_2)$ is in I_2 . Similarly, $((f, \alpha_1), \alpha_2) ((g, \beta_1), \beta_2)$ is in I_2 .

REMARK. In most Banach algebras, the class of all nonperturbing elements actually forms an ideal. i.e. the set

$$L = \{y : \sigma(x + y) \cap \sigma(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } x \text{ in } A\}$$

is an ideal. A fine example is the algebra $B(H)$ of bounded operators on a separable Hilbert space, in which the set of nonperturbing elements is exactly the ideal consisting of compact operators (see Dyer, Parcelll, and Rosenfeld [4]).

However, the proof of theorem 2.3 shows that although each nonperturbing element of the algebra \bar{A} in that theorem belongs to a proper closed two sided ideal, the set of nonperturbing elements is not an ideal. To see this consider the elements $u = ((x, 1), 0)$ and $v = ((x, 1), -1)$. u and v are nonperturbing since $u \in I_1$ and $v \in I_2$, but $u-v = ((0, 0), 1)$ which is the identity element of \bar{A} .

REFERENCES

1. AIKEN, G., Ph.D. Dissertation, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge (1972).
2. SEDDIGHIN, M., Supersets for the Spectrum of Elements in Extended Banach Algebras, to appear.
3. BONSALL, F. and DUNCAN, J., Complete Normed Algebra, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1973)
4. DEYER, J., PARCELLI, P. and ROSENFELD, M., Spectral Characterization of Two-sided Ideals in $B(H)$, Israel J. Math., 19 (1971), 26-31.

Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Gerrit K. Janssens, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be

Guest Editor

Cathy Macharis, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be