# A NOTE ON ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY FACTORS

#### **HÜSEYİN BOR**

Department of Mathematics Erciyes University Kayseri 38039, Turkey

(Received May 6, 1992)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, a generalization of a theorem of Mishra and Srivastava [4] on  $|C,1|_k$  summability factors has been proved.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Absolute summability, summability factors, infinite series. 1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 40D15, 40F05, 40G99.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $\Sigma a_n$  be a given infinite series with partial sums  $(s_n)$ . We denote by  $u_n$  the *n*-th (C,1) mean of the sequence  $(s_n)$ . The series  $\Sigma a_n$  is said to be summable  $|C,1|_k, k \ge 1$ , [2] if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |u_n - u_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$
(1.1)

Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$P_{n} = \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{v} \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty, (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, i \ge 1).$$
(1.2)

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v \tag{1.3}$$

defines the sequence  $(t_n)$  of the  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  mean of the sequence  $(s_n)$ , generated by the sequence of coefficients  $(p_n)$  (see [3]).

The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$ , if (see [1])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P_n/p_n)^{k-1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$
(1.4)

In the special case when  $p_n = 1$  for all values of n, then  $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$  summability is the same as  $|C, 1|_k$  summability.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULT.

Mishra and Srivastava [4] proved the following theorem for  $|C,1|_k$  summability.

**THEOREM A.** Let  $(X_n)$  be a positive non-decreasing sequence and there be sequences  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  such that

$$|\Delta\lambda_n| \le \beta_n \tag{2.1}$$

H. BOR

$$\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$
 (2.2)

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = 0(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
(2.3)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mid \Delta\beta_n \mid X_n < \infty.$$
(2.4)

If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n} |s_n|^k = 0(X_m) \text{ as } m \to \infty, \qquad (2.5)$$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|C, 1|_k, k \ge 1$ .

7

### 3. MAIN RESULT.

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem A for  $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$  the summability in the form of the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** Let  $(X_n)$  be a positive non-decreasing sequence and the sequences  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  such that conditions (2.1) - (2.4) of Theorem A are satisfied. Furthermore, if  $(p_n)$  is a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \boldsymbol{0}(\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{n}}) \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_n}{P_n} |s_n|^k = 0(X_m) \text{ as } m \to \infty, \qquad (3.2)$$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|\overline{N} p_n|_k, k \ge 1$ .

**REMARK.** It should be noted that if we take  $p_n = 1$  for all values of n, then the condition (3.2) will be reduced to the condition (2.5). Also noticed that, in this case condition (3.1) is obvious.

4. We need the following lemma for the proof of our theorem.

**LEMMA** ([4]). Under the conditions on  $(X_n), (\beta_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  as taken in the statement of the theorem, the following conditions hold, when (2.4) is satisfied

$$n \ \beta_n X_n = 0(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty.$$
(4.2)

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let  $(T_n)$  be the  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  mean of the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ . Then, by definition, we have

$$T_{n} = \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{v} \sum_{w=0}^{v} a_{w} \lambda_{w} = \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{v=0}^{n} (P_{n} - P_{v-1}) a_{v} \lambda_{v}.$$

Then, for  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$T_{n} - T_{n-1} = \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} P_{v-1} a_{v}\lambda_{v}.$$

Applying Abel's transformation, we have

$$\begin{split} T_n - T_{n-1} &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta (P_{v-1} \lambda_v) s_v + \frac{p_n}{P_n} s_n \lambda_n = -\frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v s_v \lambda_v \\ &+ \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v \Delta \lambda_v s_v + \frac{p_n}{P_n} s_n \lambda_n = T_{n,1} + T_{n,2} + T_{n,3}, \text{ say.} \end{split}$$

480

To prove the theorem, by Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P_n/p_n)^{k-1} |T_{n,w}|^k < \infty \text{ for } w = 1, 2, 3.$$

Now, applying Hölder's inequality, we have (k > 1)

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{k-1} |T_{n,1}|^k \le \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v |s_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1}$$
$$= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^m p_v |s_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} = 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{p_v}{P_v} |s_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k.$$

Since  $|\lambda_n| = 0(1/X_n) = 0(1)$ , by (2.3), we have that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{k-1} |T_{n,1}|^k &= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{p_v}{P_v} |s_v|^k |\lambda_v| |\lambda_v|^{k-1} &= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{p_v}{P_v} |s_v|^k |\lambda_v| \\ &= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_v| \sum_{w=1}^v \frac{p_w}{P_w} |s_w|^k + 0(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{p_v}{P_v} |s_v|^k \\ &= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| X_v + 0(1) |\lambda_m| X_m = 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + 0(1) |\lambda_m| X_m = 0(1) \end{split}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , by the hypotheses of the theorem and lemma.

Using the fact that  $|\Delta\lambda_n| \leq \beta_n$  and  $P_n = 0(np_n)$ , and after applying the Hölder's inequality, we get that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( P_n / p_n \right)^{k-1} |T_{n,2}|^k \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v |\Delta \lambda_v| |s_v| \right\}^k \\ &= 0(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v \beta_v p_v |s_v| \right\}^k \\ &= 0(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v \beta_v)^k p_v |s_v|^k \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} (v \beta_v)^k p_v |s_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} = 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} (v \beta_v)^k \frac{p_v}{P_v} |s_v|^k. \end{split}$$

Since  $n\beta_n = 0(1/X_n) = 0(1)$ , by (4.1), we have that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( P_n / p_n \right)^{k-1} | T_{n,2} |^k &= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left( v \beta_v \right)^{k-1} v \beta_v \frac{p_v}{P_v} | s_v |^k &= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v \beta_v \frac{p_v}{P_v} | s_v |^k \\ &= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v \beta_v) \sum_{w=1}^v \frac{p_w}{P_w} | s_w |^k + 0(1) m \beta_m \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{p_v}{P_v} | s_v |^k \\ &= 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} | \Delta(v \beta_v) | X_v + 0(1) m \beta_m X_m = 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v | \Delta \beta_v | X_v + 0(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_{v+1} X_v \end{split}$$

 $+0(1)m\beta_m X_m = 0(1)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

by virtue of the hypothesis and lemma.

Finally, as in  $T_{n,1}$ , we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (P_n/p_n)^{k-1} |T_{n,3}|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_n}{P_n} |s_n|^k |\lambda_n|^k = 0 (1) \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (P_n/p_n)^{k-1} |T_{n,w}|^k = 0 (1) m \to \infty, \text{ for } w = 1, 2, 3.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. BOR, H., A note on two summability methods, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1986), 81-84.
- 2. FLETT, T.M., On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 7 (1957), 113-141.
- 3. HARDY, G.H., Divergent Series, Oxford University Press, 1949.
- 4. MISHRA, K.N. & SRIVASTAVA, R.S.L., On absolute Cesáro summability factors of infinite series, *Portugaliae Math*, 42 (1), (1983-84), 53-61.