ON GENERIC SUBMANIFOLDS OF A LOCALLY CONFORMAL KAHLER MANIFOLD WITH PARALLEL CANONICAL STRUCTURES

M. HASAN SHAHID and A. SHARFUDDIN

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Natural Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi-110025, INDIA.

(Received March 23, 1993 and in revised form October 1, 1993)

ABSTRACT. The study of CR-submanifolds of a Kähler manifold was initiated by Bejancu [1]. Since then many papers have appeared on CR-submanifolds of a Kähler manifold. Also, it has been studied that generic submanifolds of Kähler manifolds [2] are generalisations of holomorphic submanifolds, totally real submanifolds and CR-submanifolds of Kähler manifolds. On the other hand, many examples of generic surfaces in C² which are not CR-submanifolds have been given by Chen [3] and this leads to the present paper where we obtain some necessary conditions for a generic submanifolds in a locally conformal Kahler manifold with four canonical strucrures, denoted by P,F,t and f, to have parallel P,F and t. We also prove that for a generic submanifold of a locally conformal Kahler manifold, F is parallel iff t is parallel.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. CR-submanifold, generic submanifold and locally conformal Kähler manifold.

1992 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 53B25.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let \overline{M} be an almost Hermitian manifold and Ω be the fundamental

2-form given byg(JX,Y) = $\Omega(X,Y)$, g being the Hermitian metric. Then \overline{M} is called a locally conformal Kähler manifold (1.c.k.) if there is a closed 1-form ω , called the Lee-form on \overline{M} , such that $d\Omega = \Omega \Lambda \omega$, where d and Λ denote exterior derivative operator and wedge product, respectively [4]. Let \overline{M} be a 1.c.k. manifold. Then the vector field B (Lee field of \overline{M}) is defined as g(X,B) = $\omega(X)$. Now suppose that $\overline{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of g. Then, we have [4]

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Y} = \bar{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Y} - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} \left[\omega(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{Y} + \omega(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{B} \right], \qquad (1.1)$$

where ∇ is a torsionless linear connection on \overline{M} called the Weyl connection of g.

The following result is known [4].

THEOREM. The almost Hermitian manifold \overline{M} is a l.c.k. manifold if and only if there is a closed 1-form ω on \overline{M} such that the Weyl connection be almost complex i.e. $\nabla J=0$.

DEFINITION. Let M be a submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} . The holomorphic tangent spaces to M at x \in M are defined as $D_x = T_x M \cap JT_x M$, where D_x is the maximal complex subspace of $T_x M$. If the dimension of D_x is constant along M and it defines a differentiable distribution on M, then M is called a generic submanifold of \overline{M} . We call D_x the holomorphic distribution and the orthogonal complementary distribution D_x^{\perp} is called purely real distribution [2]. A generic submanifold M of a l.c.k. manifold is a CR-submanifold [1] if the orthogonal complementary distribution D_x^{\perp} of D_x in $T_x M$ is totally real i.e. $JD_x^{\perp} \subset T_x^{\perp}M$, where $T_x^{\perp}M$ is the normal space of M at x.

Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} and let ∇ , ∇ be covariant differentiation on M induced by $\overline{\nabla}$ and $\overline{\nabla}$, respectively. $\widetilde{\nabla}$ Then Gauss and Weingarten formulae w.r.t. $\overline{\nabla}$ and $\overline{\nabla}$ are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\chi} Y = \nabla_{\chi} Y + h(\chi, Y), \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\chi} N = -A_N \chi + \nabla_{\chi}^{\perp} N \qquad (1.2)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Y} = \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Y} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{N} = -\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{X} + \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\perp} \mathbf{N}$$
(1.3)

for any vector fields X,Y tangent to M and N normal to M. Here h(h) is

the second fundamental form of M with respect to $\bar{\nabla}(\bar{\nabla})$ and $\nabla^{\perp}(\bar{\nabla}^{\perp})$ is the normal connection. Moreover,

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N).$$
 (1.4)

The transforms JX and JN of X and N by J are decomposed into tangential and normal parts as

$$JX = PX + FX, \qquad (1.5)$$

$$JN = tN + fN$$
, (1.6)

where P and f are endomorphisms of TM and T^{\perp}M and F and t are normal bundle valued 1-form on TM and tangent bundle valued 1-form on T^{\perp}M, respectively. For Lee vector field B tangent to M, we put

$$B_{x} = (B_{1})_{x} + (B_{2})_{x}, \quad x \in M$$
 (1.7)

where $(B_1)_x$ and $(B_2)_x$ are the tangential and normal components of B, respectively.

The following relations hold for a generic submanifold [2].

$$t(T^{\perp}M) = D^{\perp}, PD = D \text{ and } PD^{\perp} \subseteq D.$$
 (1.8)

2. SOME RESULTS.

We define the covariant differentiation of P,F,t and f as follows:

$$(\overline{\nabla}'_{\chi} P)Y = \nabla_{\chi}(PY) - P\nabla_{\chi}Y, \qquad (2.1)$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}'_{\chi}F)Y = \nabla^{\perp}_{\chi}(FY) - F\nabla_{\chi}Y, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}'_{\chi}t)N = \nabla_{\chi}tN - t\nabla_{\chi}N, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}'_{X}f)N = \nabla^{\perp}_{X}fN - f\nabla^{\perp}_{X}N$$
 (2.4)

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M and any vector field N normal to M.

We say that P (respectively f,F or t) is parallel if $\overline{\nabla}$ P=0 (respectively $\overline{\nabla}$ f=0, $\overline{\nabla}$ F=0 or $\overline{\nabla}$ t=0).

Using (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), we have

LEMMA 2.1. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \bar{M} . Then

$$\nabla_{X} Y = \nabla_{X} Y - \frac{1}{2} \left[\omega(X)Y + \omega(Y)X - g(X,Y)B_{1} \right], \qquad (2.5)$$

$$h(X,Y) = h(X,Y) + \frac{1}{2} g(X,Y)B_2,$$
 (2.6)

$$\tilde{A}_{N}X = A_{N}X + \frac{1}{2}\omega(N)X,$$
 (2.7)

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{X}^{\perp} N = \nabla_{X}^{\perp} N - \frac{1}{2} \omega(X) N \qquad (2.8)$$

for any vector fields X,Y tangent to M and any vector field N normal to M.

The following result is known [4].

LEMMA A. The holomorphic distribution D is integrable iff

$$g(\tilde{h}(X,JY),FZ) = g(\tilde{h}(JX,Y),FZ)$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in D$ and $Z \in D^{\perp}$.

Using (2.6) in Lemma A, we have

COROLLARY 2.2. Let M be a generic submanifold of a 1.c.k. manifold \bar{M} . Then the holomorphic distribution D is integrable iff

 $g(h(X,JY)-h(JX,Y)+\Omega(X,Y)B_2,FZ) = 0$

for any X, Y \in D and Z \in D[⊥].

From (1.3), (1.5) and (1.6), on comparing the tangential and normal components, we obtain

LEMMA 2.3. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} . Then

$$\nabla_{X} PY - A_{FY} X = P \nabla_{X} Y + th(X,Y), \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{PY}) + \overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\perp} \mathbf{FY} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\nabla} \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{f} \, \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$$
(2.10)

for any vector fields X,Y tangent to M. 3. GENERIC SUBMANIFOLDS WITH $\overline{\nabla P}=0$.

We now assume that the canonical structure P is parallel on a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} i.e., $\overline{\nabla}P=0$. Then from (2.5), we have

$$\nabla_{X} PY - P\nabla_{X} Y = \frac{1}{2} \left[\omega(Y) PX - \omega(PY) X + g(X, PY) B_{1} - g(X, Y) PB_{1} \right]$$

for all vector fields X,Y tangent to M.

Using (2.9) in the above equation, we get

$$\tilde{\mathbf{th}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}) + \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{FY} \mathbf{X} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\omega(\mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{P} \mathbf{X} - \omega(\mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{B}_{1} - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}_{1} \right]$$
(3.1)

which, in view of (1.4) and (1.8), give

$$2g(h(X,Z),FY) = \omega(Y)g(PX,Z) - \omega(PY)g(X,Z)$$

$$+g(X, PY)g(B, Z) - g(X, Y)g(PB, Z)$$
 (3.2)

for all Z \in D and X,Y,B \in TM. Thus, for X,Z \in D and Y \in D[⊥], equation (3.2) gives us the following:

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} such that B \in D. If P is parallel, then the holomorphic distribution D is integrable, that is,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{D}),\mathbf{FD}^{\perp}) = 0.$$

Similarly, for $X, Y \in D^{\perp}$ and $Z \in D$, we have, from (3.2), the following:

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} such that $B \in D^{\perp}$. If P is parallel, then

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{\tilde{h}}(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{D}^{\perp}),\mathbf{F}\mathbf{D}^{\perp}) = 0.$$

We now assume that the Lee field B is normal to M. Then from (2.6), (2.7), (3.1) and using the fact that $t(T M)=D^{\perp}$, we have

$$th(X,Y) + \frac{1}{2}g(X,Y)B_2 = -A_{FY}X - \frac{1}{2}\omega(FY)X$$
 (3.3)

for $X \in D$ and $Y \in D^{\perp}$. Then, for $Z \in D$ and using (1.6) in (3.3), we get

$$h(X,Z) = -\frac{1}{2}g(X,Z)B_2$$

whence

$$h(X,JZ) - h(JX,Z) = -g(X,JZ)B_2$$

which can be written as

$$g(h(X,JZ)-h(JX,Z)+\Omega(X,Z)B_2,FY) = 0.$$

Consequently, using Corollary (2.1), we have

PRPOPSITION 3.3. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} with B normal to M. If P is parallel, then the holomorphic distribution D is integrable.

4. GENERIC SUBMANIFOLDS WITH $\overline{\nabla}F=0$

In this section, we obtain some results assuming that F is parallel.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} . If F is parallel, then distribution D is integrable and leaf of D is totally geodesic in M.

PROOF. Since F is parallel, we have from (2.2) that for all $X, Y \in D$, $F\nabla_X Y = 0$. Consequently, $\nabla_X Y \in D$ for any $X, Y \in D$, which shows that the distribution D is integrable and leaf of D is totally geodesic in M.

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \bar{M} . If F is parallel, then

KAHLER MANIFOLD WITH PARALLEL CANONICAL STRUCTURES

$$A_{fN}X + A_{N}PX = \frac{1}{2} \left[g(B_{2}, N)X - g(B_{2}, N)PX - \omega(X)tN \right]$$

for any vector field X tangent to M and N normal to M.

PROOF. Using $(\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{y}}J)$ Y=0, the equations (1.3) and (1.5), we get

$$\nabla_{X} PY + \tilde{h}(X, PY) - \tilde{A}_{FY} X + \tilde{\nabla}_{X}^{\perp} FY = J(\nabla_{X} Y + \tilde{h}(X, Y)),$$

for all X tangent to M.

Using Lemma A and the relation $\nabla_{\chi}^{\perp}N = \nabla_{\chi}^{\perp}N - \frac{1}{2}\omega(\chi)N$, we get that

$$\nabla_{X} PY - \frac{1}{2} \omega(PY)X + \frac{1}{2} g(X, PY)(B_{1}+B_{2}) + h(X, PY) - A_{FY}X - \frac{1}{2} \omega(FY)X + \nabla_{X}^{\perp}FY$$
$$= P\nabla_{X}Y + F\nabla_{X}Y + th(X, Y) + th(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2} \omega(Y)(PX+FX) + \frac{1}{2} g(X, Y)(B_{1}+B_{2})$$

for any vector fields X,Y tangent to M.

Comparing the normal components on both sides of the above equation, we get

$$(\overline{\nabla}'_{X}F)(Y) = fh(X,Y)-h(X,PY)+\frac{1}{2}\left[g(X,Y)B_{2}-g(X,PY)B_{2}-\omega(Y)FX\right]$$

Hence, for any vector field N normal to M, we get

$$g((\overline{\nabla}'_{X}F)Y,N) = -g\left(A_{fN}Y + A_{N}PY,X\right)$$

+
$$\frac{1}{2}\left[g(X,Y)g(B_{2},N) - \omega(Y)g(FX,N) - g(X,PY)g(B_{2},N)\right].$$

Thus, if F is parallel, we get the result.

From the above result, we immediately get the following :

COROLLARY 4.3. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} and let B=D. If P is parallel, then

(a) $A_{fN}X - A_NPX = -\frac{1}{2}\omega(X)tN$, for any vector field X tangent to M, and

(b)
$$g(Jh(X,Y),N) = 0$$
, or $g(Jh(D,D^{\perp}),N) = 0$ for all $X \in D^{\perp}$ and $Y \in D$.

5. GENERIC SUBMANIFOLDS WITH
$$\overline{2}'_t = 0$$

Now, we consider generic submanifold with parallel t. Then, using $(7_{\chi}J)N = 0$, the equations (1.3) and (1.6), we get

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} t\mathbf{N} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{X}, t\mathbf{N}) - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{X} + \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{f}\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{J}(-\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{X} + \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\perp} \mathbf{N}),$$

for all X tangent to M and N normal to M.

Using Lemma A in the above equation, we get

$$\nabla_{\chi} tN - \frac{1}{2} \omega(tN)X + \frac{1}{2} g(X, tN)B_{1} + h(X, tN) + \frac{1}{2} g(X, tN)B_{2}$$
$$- A_{fN}X - \frac{1}{2} \omega(fN)X + \nabla_{\chi}^{\perp} fN = t\nabla_{\chi}^{\perp} N + f\nabla_{\chi}^{\perp} N - PA_{N}X$$
$$- FA_{N}X - \frac{1}{2} \omega(N)(PX + FX)$$

for any vector field X tangent to M and N normal to M.

Comparing tangential components, we get

$$(\overline{\nabla}'_{X}t)N = A_{fN}X - PA_{N}X + \frac{1}{2} \left[\omega(JN)X - \omega(N)PX - g(X, tN)B_{1} \right]$$
(5.1)

for any vector field X tangent to M and N normal to M.

Thus we have

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \bar{M} . If t is parallel, then

$$A_{fN}X - PA_{N}X = \frac{1}{2} \left[\omega(N)PX - \omega(JN)X + g(X,tN)B_{1} \right]$$

for any vector field X tangent to M and N normal to M.

The following is immediate.

COROLLARY 5.2. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \overline{M} such that B=D. If t is parallel, then

(a)
$$A_{fN} X - PA_N X = \frac{1}{2}g(X,tN)B_1$$
, for any X tangent to M, and

(b)
$$g(Jh(X,Y),N) = 0$$
, or $g(Jh(D,D^{\perp}),N) = 0$ for any $X \in D$ and $Y \in D^{\perp}$

PROPOSITION 5.3. Let M be a generic submanifold of a l.c.k. manifold \bar{M} . Then F is parallel if and only if t is parallel.

PROOF. Let t be parallel. Then, from (5.1) we have

$$g(A_{fN}X,Y) - g(PA_NX,Y) + \frac{1}{2} g(B,JN)g(X,Y)$$

- $\frac{1}{2} g(X,tN)g(B_1,Y) - \frac{1}{2} g(PX,Y)g(B_2,N) = 0$

for any vector fields X,Y tangent to M and any vector field N normal to M. The above equation becomes

$$-g(fh(X,Y),N)+g(h(X,PY),N) - \frac{1}{2}g(B_2,N)g(X,Y)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}g(FX,N)g(B_1,Y) - \frac{1}{2}g(B_2,N)g(PX,Y) = 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$fh(X,Y)-h(X,PY) + \frac{1}{2}\left[g(X,Y)B_2 - g(X,PY)B_2 - \omega(Y)FX\right] = 0$$

that is,

$$\overline{\nabla}F = 0.$$

REMARK

1. Let M^{\perp} be any complex submanifold in complex number space C^{r} and M be any purely real submanifold of dimension p in the complex number space C^{p} . Then it can be verified that the Riemannian product $M^{\perp} X M^{\perp}$ is a generic submanifold in C^{r+p} satisfying $\overline{\nabla}P = 0$ and $\overline{\nabla}F = 0$.

2. It is to be noted that many examples of generic surfaces in C² which are not CR-submanifolds can be found in Chen's book [3]. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT The authors wish to appreciate the useful comments made by the referee.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Bejancu, CR-Submanifold of a Kähler manifold I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.69(1978), 135-142.
- 2. B.Y.Chen, Differential Geometry of Real submanifolds in a Kähler manifold, Monat. Für. Math. 91(1981),257-274.
- 3. B.Y. Chen, Geometry of Slant Submanifolds, Katholicke Universiteit, Leuven (1990).
- 4. I. Vaisman, On locally conformal almost Kähler manifolds, Israel J. of Math; 24(1976)338.351.
- A. Bejancu, CR_submanifolds of Kähler manifold II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 250(1979),333-345.
- B.Y. Chen, CR-submanifolds of a Kähler manifold I, J. Differential Geometry, 16(1981),305-322.
- B.Y. Chen, CR-submanifolds of a Kähler manifold II, J. Differential Geometry, 16(1981),493-509.
- M. Hasan Shahid and S.I. Husain, Generic submanifolds of a l.c.k. manifold Soochow J. Math. Vol. 14, No. 1, (1988), 111-117.
- M. Hasan Shahid and K. Sekigawa, Generic Submanifolds of locally conformal Kahler manifold II, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. Vol. 16, No. 3 (1993).